# Latex footnotes



#### \begin{itemize}

\item Claude Sonnet 3.7\footnote{\url{https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-3-7-sonnet} (Last accessed 13/06/2025)}

\item Claude Sonnet 3.7 (Thinking)

\item Claude Sonnet 4\footnote{\url{https://www.anthropic.com/claude/sonnet} (Last accessed 13/06/2025)}

\item GPT 4.1\footnote{\url{https://openai.com/index/gpt-4-1/} (Last accessed 13/06/2025)} \item GPT 4o\footnote{\url{https://openai.com/index/hello-gpt-4o/} (Last accessed 13/06/2025)} \item GPT o3\footnote{\url{https://openai.com/index/introducing-o3-and-o4-mini/} (Last accessed 13/06/2025)}

\end{itemize}

Consider this list. I need for the second item: \item Claude Sonnet 3.7 (Thinking)

To have the same footnote as the first one, without having a new footnote at the bottom. Must reference the same one

#### To have:

```
latex
\item Claude Sonnet 3.7 (Thinking)
```

#### reference the same footnote as:

```
latex
\item Claude Sonnet 3.7\footnote{\url{https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-3-7-sonnet} (Last accessed 13/06/2025)}
```

without duplicating the footnote, you can define a custom label using \footnotemark and \footnotetext like this:

```
latex

\begin{itemize}
   \item Claude Sonnet
3.7\footnote{\label{fn:claude37}\url{https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-3-7-sonnet} (Last accessed 13/06/2025)}
   \item Claude Sonnet 3.7 (Thinking)\footnotemark[\value{footnote}]
\end{itemize}
```

## Alternatively, and more reliably:



If you want absolute reference to the same footnote (in case other footnotes are added and the counter changes), use:

```
latex

\begin{itemize}
   \item Claude Sonnet
3.7\footnote{\label{fn:claude37}\url{https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-3-7-sonnet} (Last accessed 13/06/2025)}
   \item Claude Sonnet 3.7 (Thinking)\footnotemark[\ref{fn:claude37}]
\end{itemize}
```

#### **Notes:**

- \label{} and \ref{} ensure that both items reference the same exact footnote even if other footnotes are added later.
- \footnotemark is used to repeat the mark without adding a new \footnotetext.

Let me know if you're compiling this with hyperref or need this adapted for a different document class (e.g., beamer or article).



\item Claude Sonnet 3.7 (Thinking)\footnotemark[\value{footnote}]

How is this working? The solution is correct, but I am not seeing the unique identifier used in the previous footnote

Great observation — this can seem counterintuitive at first. Here's a breakdown of how this works:

# Why \footnotemark[\value{footnote}] Works

In this construct:

```
latex
\item Claude Sonnet 3.7\footnote{\url{...}}
\item Claude Sonnet 3.7 (Thinking)\footnotemark[\value{footnote}]
```

- \footnote{...} automatically increments the footnote counter.
- Immediately after that \footnote, \value{footnote} still holds the number of the last footnote written.
- So \footnotemark[\value{footnote}] reproduces that exact number without incrementing the counter again or generating a new footnote at the bottom.

In other words:

\walue{footnote} grabs the current counter value (say, 5)
\footnotemark[5] then inserts a footnote marker with that number, without creating a new footnote.

## Why You Don't See a Label

You're right — there's **no explicit label or identifier** like \label{fn:...}. This solution works by **reusing the numeric value** of the last footnote rather than referring to it by name.

It's a kind of **positional reuse**, and it's simple and elegant — but fragile if you later insert a footnote between the two items. In that case, \value{footnote} will point to the *newest* footnote, and your reference would be wrong.

### ✓ Safer Alternative: Label + Ref

To avoid such issues when editing, the more robust and maintainable option is:

```
latex
\item Claude Sonnet 3.7\footnote{\label{fn:claude37}\url{...}}
\item Claude Sonnet 3.7 (Thinking)\footnotemark[\ref{fn:claude37}]
```

### This approach:

- Assigns a **persistent label** to the first footnote.
- Uses \ref to get the correct number regardless of ordering or new insertions.

Just make sure hyperref is loaded, as \ref works better with it.

Let me know if you'd like a comparison of both in code!