

# **Latex vectors**



vector latex

To represent vectors in LaTeX, there are a few common methods, depending on your preferred notation. Here are the most frequently used ones:

#### 1. Arrow Notation

Use the \vec command to place an arrow over a letter:

```
latex
\vec{v}
```

Output: →v

#### 2. Bold Notation

Use \mathbf or \boldsymbol for bold characters (common in physics and engineering):

```
latex
\mathbf{v}
\boldsymbol{v}
```

Output: v (bold)

Note: \boldsymbol works better for Greek letters or complex symbols, while \mathbf is best for Latin characters.

#### 3. Unit Vectors

To write unit vectors like  $\mathbf{i}$ ,  $\mathbf{j}$ , and  $\mathbf{k}$ , you can do:

```
latex
\hat{\imath}, \hat{\jmath}, \hat{k}
```

Output: î, ĵ, k

## 4. Vector Components

To express a vector in component form:

```
latex

\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{bmatrix}
```

or inline as:

```
latex
(x, y, z)
```

### 5. Vector Notation in Equations

```
latex
\vec{F} = m \vec{a}
```

Output:  $\rightarrow$ F = m  $\rightarrow$ a

If you're using packages like amsmath or physics, more expressive forms are also available:

```
latex
\usepackage{physics}
\vb{v}
```

From the physics package,  $\vb{v}$  renders a bold  $\v v$  for vectors.

Let me know if you need vector calculus notation (like dot products, cross products, etc.) or formatting for a specific type of document or context.



I want to have v and rhe subscript

To write a **vector with a subscript** in LaTeX, you can combine \vec (or bold notation) with a subscript like this:

### **Arrow Notation with Subscript**

```
latex
\vec{v}_{\text{sub}}
```

Output: →v<sub>sub</sub>



You can use either plain text or math symbols in the subscript:

```
latex

\vec{v}_{i} % for index i
\vec{v}_{\text{max}} % for textual subscript
```

### **Bold Notation with Subscript**

```
latex
\mathbf{v}_{\text{sub}}
\boldsymbol{v}_{i}
```

Output: v<sub>sub</sub>

This is useful if you're working with coordinate vectors, like:

```
latex
\vec{v}_{1}, \vec{v}_{2}, \dots, \vec{v}_{n}
```

## **Example in Full Equation**

```
latex
\vec{v}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix}
```

Would render as a vector with indexed components.

Let me know if you're using this inline, in display mode, or in a specific context (like physics or linear algebra) — I can tailor the formatting further.



Is this in math mode?

Yes, all vector notation in LaTeX—including \vec{v}\_{i}, \mathbf{v}\_\text{max}, etc.—must be written in math mode.

# Ways to Enter Math Mode

#### **Inline Math**

Use dollar signs:



```
latex
$\vec{v}_i$
```

This renders the vector inline with the text: →v<sub>i</sub>

#### **Display Math (Centered, Standalone)**

```
Use \[ ... \]:
```

```
latex

\[
\vec{v}_i = \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix}
\]
```

Or use equation environment:

```
latex

\begin{equation}
\vec{v}_i = m \vec{a}_i
\end{equation}
```

### Non't write math outside of math mode

If you write  $\ensuremath{\mbox{vec{v}_i}}$  outside of math mode (i.e., without \$...\$ or  $\ensuremath{\mbox{[...\scale=n]}}$ ), LaTeX will throw an error like:

```
ruby
Missing $ inserted.
```

If you're working inside a LaTeX document, just wrap your expression properly and it will render fine. Let me know if you need this in a table, label, or caption—those have slightly different rules.



How can I center the vector?

To **center a vector expression** in LaTeX, you should use **display math mode**. There are a few correct methods, depending on the context.

## ✓ Method 1: Use \[ ... \]

This is the cleanest way to center a math expression like a vector:

```
latex
\[
\vec{v}_i
```

\]

This will center  $\rightarrow$ v<sub>i</sub> on its own line.

## Method 2: Use the equation Environment

This also centers the vector and optionally numbers the equation:

```
latex

\begin{equation}
\vec{v}_i = \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix}
\end{equation}
```

#### To remove numbering, use:

```
latex

\begin{equation*}
\vec{v}_i
\end{equation*}
```

(requires the amsmath package)

## Avoid using \centerline{} or \begin{center} with math directly

These are for text, not math, and won't handle spacing or fonts properly.

### Summary

- Use \[...\] for simple centering.
- Use equation or equation\* for labeled/unlabeled centered equations.
- Always keep vector math in math mode.

Let me know if you're inserting it inside a figure, table, or custom environment—there are tweaks for those situations too.



#### How can I write the summation?

To write a **summation** in LaTeX, use the \sum command in **math mode**. Here's a breakdown of how to structure it, depending on the level of detail and formatting you need.

# Basic Summation Syntax

```
latex

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{v}_i
\]
```

**Output** (display math):  $\sum$ <sub>i=1</sub><sup>n</sup>  $\rightarrow$ v<sub>i</sub>

### Inline Summation

```
latex
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{v}_i$
```

In inline mode, the limits appear beside the  $\Sigma$  symbol:  $\Sigma$ <sub>i=1</sub><sup>n</sup>  $\rightarrow$ v<sub>i</sub>

### With Bold or Matrix Vectors

```
latex

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \begin{bmatrix} x_j \\ y_j \\ z_j \end{bmatrix}
\]
```

Or using bold:

```
latex

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{v}_i
\]
```

## **With a Condition**

You can use:

```
latex

\[
\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{n} \vec{v}_i
\]
```

This renders:  $\sum$  with a stacked condition (e.g.,  $i \neq k$ )

#### **Notes**

• Use \limits if you want summation limits above and below in inline math (not typical):



```
latex
$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} a_i$
```

• The \sum command is part of standard LaTeX, no additional packages needed.

Let me know if you need double sums, indexed expressions, or vector dot/cross products inside the summation.



```
\[
\text{CumExpected\textsubscript{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \text{Expected\textsubscript{k}}}
\]
Is this correct?
```

You're very close, but there are a few issues in your LaTeX expression:

- 1. \textsubscript is not standard in math mode.
- 2. Use subscripts with \_ in math mode instead.
- 3. You don't need \text{...} for math identifiers like variables—it's better to use math subscripts directly.
- 4. You should only use \text{...} for **non-math text**, like units or labels.

### Corrected Version

If CumExpected, and Expected, are variable names with subscripts:

```
latex
\[
\text{CumExpected}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \text{Expected}_k
\]
```

But if you want it to be in full math mode (cleaner, more consistent):

```
latex

\[
\mathrm{CumExpected}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{Expected}_k
\]
```

Here, \mathrm{} keeps the font upright (like in text) but keeps it within math mode. Use this if those are symbolic names for quantities rather than plain words.

# Best Practice (if they're variables)

If CumExpected and Expected are treated like single variables:



```
latex
\[
\text{CumExpected}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \text{Expected}_k
```

or simply

```
latex
\[
\text{CumExpected}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \text{Expected}_k
\]
```

This ensures everything renders cleanly.

Let me know how you want it styled—formal variable notation, or readable text style—and I can tailor it precisely.