

# Dissipative Dynamical Systems

Andrea Brugnoli

28 June 2022

**UNIVERSITY  
OF TWENTE.**

# Outline

Introduction

Definition and characterization of dissipativity

# Outline

## Introduction

Definition and characterization of dissipativity

# Why dissipative dynamical systems?

All engineering systems exhibit dissipation.

- ▶ Electrical networks with resistors;
- ▶ Mechanical systems (viscoelastic or Coulomb friction);
- ▶ Thermodynamic systems: dissipation leads to an increase in entropy.

The notion of dissipativity establishes a natural link between the properties of input-output and state-space models. Many modern computational tools for the analysis and synthesis of control systems are based on it.

Jan C. Willems. "Dissipative dynamical systems Part I: General theory". In: *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 45.5 (1972), pp. 321–351

Jan C. Willems. "Dissipative dynamical systems Part II: Linear systems with quadratic supply rates". In: *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 45.5 (1972), pp. 352–393

Arjan van der Schaft. *L2-gain and passivity in nonlinear control*. Springer-Verlag, 1999

## Some mathematical notation

$\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  denotes the set of positive reals.

Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional normed linear space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_V$ .

(If  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  then the Euclidean norm is denoted by  $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x^\top x}$ )

### Definition (Local $L^p_{\text{loc}}$ Banach spaces)

For each positive integer  $p \in 1, 2, \dots$ , the set  $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, V)$  consists of all functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow V$ , which are measurable and satisfy

$$\int_a^b \|f(t)\|_V^p dt < \infty, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The case  $p = \infty$  consists of all bounded measurable functions on compact intervals, i.e.  $\sup_{t \in [a, b]} \|f(t)\|_V < \infty$ .

## General setting

Consider the state-space system with inputs and outputs

$$\Sigma : \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x, u), & u(t) &\in U, \\ y &= h(x, u), & y(t) &\in Y, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x(t) \in \mathcal{X}$ . In general  $\mathcal{X}$  is a manifold and  $U, Y$  vector spaces.

For sake simplicity, assume  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $U = \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{R}^p$ .

### Theorem

*Suppose  $f, h$  to be Lipschitz continuous in  $x$  and  $u$  jointly. Then system  $\Sigma$  has a unique solution  $\forall x(t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u(\cdot) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, U)$  with  $x(\cdot) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{X})$ ,  $y(\cdot) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ .*

# Reachability and controllability

Notation:  $\mathbb{R}_+^2 := \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid t_2 \geq t_1\}$  (causal triangular sector of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ).

## Definition (State transition function)

Given the system  $\Sigma$ , the state transition function  $\phi$  is the map

$$\phi(t_1, t_0, x(t_0), u(\cdot)) : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathcal{X} \times L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}, U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that  $x(t_1) = \phi(t_1, t_0, x(t_0), u(\cdot))$ .

## Definition (Reachability and controllability)

The state space  $\mathcal{X}$  of system  $\Sigma$  is said to be **reachable** from  $x_{-1}$  if

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \exists t_{-1} \leq 0, \exists u(\cdot) \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}, U) \text{ such that } x = \phi(0, t_{-1}, x_{-1}, u(\cdot)).$$

It is said to be **controllable** to  $x_1$  if

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \exists t_1 > 0, \exists u(\cdot) \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}, U) \text{ such that } x_1 = \phi(t_1, 0, x, u(\cdot)).$$

# Outline

Introduction

Definition and characterization of dissipativity



# The mathematical definition of dissipativity

On the combined space  $U \times Y$  consider the supply rate function  $s : U \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

## Definition (Dissipative state space system)

A state space system  $\Sigma$  is said to be dissipative w.r.t. the supply rate  $s$  if there exists a function  $S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  (the storage function), such that  $\forall x(t_0) \in \mathcal{X}$  at any time  $t_0$ , and  $\forall u(\cdot)$  and  $\forall t_1 \geq t_0$  and the following inequality holds

$$S(x(t_1)) \leq S(x(t_0)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} s(u(t), y(t)) \, dt, \quad \text{Dissipation Inequality.}$$

If equality holds then the system is called conservative (w.r.t. the supply rate  $s$ ).

## Corollary (Convexity of the storage functions set)

*Given two storage functions  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  then any convex combination  $\alpha S_1 + (1 - \alpha)S_2$ ,  $\alpha = [0, 1]$  is also a storage function.*

## Passive systems and $L^2$ finite gain

Two important class of supply rate functions:

- ▶ passive systems  $s(u, y) = u^\top y$ ;
- ▶ finite  $L^2$  gain  $s(u, y) = \frac{1}{2}\gamma\|u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|y\|_2^2, \quad \gamma \geq 0$ .

### Definition (Passive system)

$\Sigma$  with  $U = Y = \mathbb{R}^m$  is **passive** if it is dissipative w.r.t.

$$s(u, y) = u^\top y.$$

$\Sigma$  is **input strictly passive** if  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\Sigma$  is dissipative w.r.t.

$$s(u, y) = u^\top y - \delta\|u\|_2^2.$$

$\Sigma$  is **output strictly passive** if  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\Sigma$  is dissipative w.r.t.

$$s(u, y) = u^\top y - \varepsilon\|y\|_2^2$$

$\Sigma$  is **lossless** if it is conservative with respect to  $s(u, y) = u^\top y$ .

## Passive systems and $L^2$ finite gain

Two important class of supply rate functions:

- ▶ passive systems  $s(u, y) = u^\top y$ ;
- ▶ finite  $L^2$  gain  $s(u, y) = \frac{1}{2}\gamma\|u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|y\|_2^2, \quad \gamma \geq 0$ .

### Definition ( $L^2$ finite gain)

A system  $\Sigma$  with  $U = \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{R}^p$  has  $L^2$ -gain  $\leq \gamma$  ( $\gamma \geq 0$ ) if it is dissipative w.r.t.

$$s(u, y) = \frac{1}{2}\gamma\|u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|y\|_2^2.$$

The  $L^2$ -gain of  $\Sigma$  is defined as

$$\gamma(\Sigma) := \inf\{\gamma \mid \Sigma \text{ has } L^2\text{-gain} \leq \gamma\}.$$

$\Sigma$  is said to have  $L^2$ -gain  $< \gamma$  if  $\exists \tilde{\gamma} \leq \gamma$  such that  $\Sigma$  has  $L^2$ -gain  $\leq \tilde{\gamma}$ .

$\Sigma$  is called inner if it is conservative with respect to  $s(u, y) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|y\|_2^2$ .

## How to establish dissipativity? The available storage

### Theorem (Necessary and sufficient conditions for dissipativity)

Consider system  $\Sigma$  and supply rate  $s(u, y)$ .  $\Sigma$  is dissipative with respect to  $s$  iff

$$S_a(x) := \sup_{\substack{u(\cdot) \\ T \geq 0}} - \int_0^T s(u(t), y(t)) \, dt, \quad x(0) = x,$$

is finite  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Furthermore, if  $S_a$  is finite  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$  then  $S_a$  is a storage function, called the **available storage**, and all other possible storage functions  $S$  satisfy

$$S_a(x) \leq S(x) - \inf_x S(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$$

Moreover  $\inf_x S_a(x) = 0$ .

The available storage is the minimal storage function.

## Proof

- (  $\implies$  ) Suppose  $S_a$  is finite. Then  $S_a \geq 0$  (supremum of a set that contains 0). Compare  $S(x(t_0))$  and  $S(x(t_1)) - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} s(u(t), y(t)) \, dt$  with  $s(u, y)$  evaluated on a trajectory generated by  $u : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  that drives  $x(t_0)$  at  $t_0$  to  $x(t_1)$  at  $t_1$ . Since  $S_a$  is the supremum over all  $u(\cdot)$  it follows

$$S_a(x(t_0)) \geq S_a(x(t_1)) - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} s(u(t), y(t)) \, dt \implies S_a \text{ is a storage function.}$$

- (  $\impliedby$  ) Suppose  $\Sigma$  dissipative. Then  $\exists S \geq 0$  such that  $\forall u(\cdot)$

$$S(x(t)) + \int_0^T s(u(t), y(t)) \, dt \geq S(x(T)) \geq 0.$$

This implies that

$$S(x(0)) \geq \sup_{\substack{u(\cdot) \\ T \geq 0}} - \int_0^T s(u(t), y(t)) \, dt = S_a(x(0)) \implies S_a(x(0)) < \infty$$

## Reachability and Storage functions

If the system is reachable from  $x^*$ , the finiteness of  $S_a$  needs to be checked only in  $x^*$

### Theorem

*Assume that  $\Sigma$  is reachable from  $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is dissipative iff  $S_a(x^*) < \infty$ .*

### Proof

( $\Leftarrow$ ) By contradiction. Suppose there exists  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $S_a(x) = \infty$ . Since by reachability  $x$  can be reached from  $x^*$  in finite time, this would imply (by time invariance) that also  $S_a(x^*) = \infty$ .

## The maximal storage: the required supply

If  $\Sigma$  is reachable from  $x^*$ , there exists another canonically defined storage function.

### Theorem

*Assume that  $\Sigma$  is reachable from  $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$ . Define the required supply (from  $x^*$ )  $S_r : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  as*

$$S_r(x) := \inf_{\substack{u(\cdot) \\ T \geq 0}} \int_{-T}^0 s(u(t), y(t)) \, dt, \quad x(-T) = x^*, \quad x(0) = x.$$

*Then  $S_r$  satisfies the dissipation inequality. Furthermore,  $\Sigma$  is dissipative iff  $\exists K > -\infty$  such that  $S_r(x) \geq K$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Moreover, if  $S$  is a storage function for  $\Sigma$ , then*

$$S(x) \leq S_r(x) + S(x^*), \quad x \in \mathcal{X},$$

*and  $S_r(x) + S(x^*)$  is itself a storage function (and in particular  $S_r(x) + S_a(x^*)$ ).*

## Proof

To steer the system from  $x^*$  at  $-T$  to  $x(t_1)$  consider  $u(\cdot) : [-T, t_1] \rightarrow U$  which first take  $x^*$  to  $x(t_0)$  at time  $t_0 \leq t_1$ , and then equal to a given input  $u(\cdot) : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow U$  transferring  $x(t_0)$  to  $x(t_1)$ . This is a suboptimal control policy, whence

$$S_r(x(t_0)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} s(u(t), y(t)) \, dt \geq S_r(x(t_1)).$$

For the second claim, note that by definition of  $S_a$  and  $S_r$

$$S_a(x^*) = \sup_x -S_r(x),$$




By the previous theorem,  $\Sigma$  is dissipative iff  $\exists K > -\infty$  such that  $S_r(x) \geq -K$ ,  $\forall x$ . Finally, let  $S$  satisfy the dissipation inequality. Then for any  $u(\cdot) : [-T, 0] \rightarrow U$  transferring  $x(-T) = x^*$  to  $x(0) = x$  we have by the dissipation inequality

$$S(x) - S(x^*) \leq \int_{-T}^0 s(u(t), y(t)) \, dt.$$

Taking the infimum on the right-hand side over all  $u(\cdot)$  proves the claim. If  $S \geq 0$ , then  $S_r + S(x^*) \geq 0$ , and also  $S_r + S(x^*)$  satisfies the dissipation inequality.



# Bibliography

-  Schaft, Arjan van der. *L2-gain and passivity in nonlinear control*. Springer-Verlag, 1999.
-  Willems, Jan C. “Dissipative dynamical systems Part I: General theory”. In: *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 45.5 (1972), pp. 321–351.
-  – .“Dissipative dynamical systems Part II: Linear systems with quadratic supply rates”. In: *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 45.5 (1972), pp. 352–393.