

# ADVANCED TOPICS IN SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING

## LECTURE 12

Some textbooks on numerical PDEs in general or on NS in particular:

Quarteroni. *Numerical Methods for Differential Problems*, Springer, 2027.

Quarteroni & Valli. *Numerical Approximation of Partial Differential Equations*. Springer, 2008.

Temam *Navier-Stokes Equations. Theory and Numerical Analysis*. AMS Chelsea, 1984.

Girault & Raviart. *Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes Equations*. Springer, 1986.

Kanschat. *Discontinuous Galerkin Methods for Viscous Incompressible Flow*. Teubner, 2007.

(circumventing inf-sup)

Galerkin Least-Squares

From : Bilinear forms of Stokes with Laplacian

$$B((u, p), (v, q)) = Q(u, v) + \underbrace{b(v, p) - b(u, q)}_{\text{skew-symmetric form}}$$

$$F(v, q) = (f, v)$$

$$B_n((u, p), (v, q)) = B((u, p), (v, q))$$

$$+ \gamma \sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_n} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} -\Delta u + \nabla p & -\Delta v + \nabla q \end{array} \right)_K$$

$$F_n(v, q) = F(v, q) + \gamma \sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_n} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} f & -\Delta v + \nabla q \end{array} \right)_K$$

consistent stab

$\delta = \delta(h)$  Stabilisation parameter

example :  $\|P^1 - P^1\|_K = \|\nabla u\|_K = \|\nabla v\|_K = 0$

$\rightarrow$  yields  $B = \begin{pmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & C \end{pmatrix}$

$$B_h((u, p), (u, p)) = Q(u, u) + \delta (\nabla p, \nabla p)$$

$$= \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \delta \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$B_h$  is coercive depending on  $\boxed{\delta} \sim h^2$

## Navier - Stokes

Some issues

- well-posedness in 3D not fully clear
- Turbulence : chaotic flows when small viscosity + fast flow

↙

$$\text{Reynolds number } \text{Re} = \frac{U L}{D}$$

$U, L$  = characteristic velocity and length-scale

$\text{Re} \gg 1 \rightarrow \text{turbulent flow}$

$\text{Re} \sim 1 \rightarrow \text{laminar flow} \sim \text{Stokes}$

Numerically: as turbulence occurs at all scales down to  $\text{Re}^{-3/4}$  = Kolmogorov Scale → unaffordable fine meshes

are necessary → DIFFICULT !

(adding to the issue of the need of stabilizing (nonlinear) convection-dominated flows)

Possible remedy: turbulence modelling

= averaging of the small scales  
 For instance, resulting in a  
 local change of viscosity  $\nu \rightarrow \nu + \nu_k$   
 $+ k \in \mathbb{Z}_h$  where  $\nu_k$  added viscosity  
 depends on velocity ("subgrid")

- choice of time-stepping (exp/cmp/  
semi-implicit)
- inf-sup elements vs stabilise
- nonlinear → initial guess

## Steady H-S

Recall H-S. system (with  $\Delta$ )

$$\begin{cases} -\nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla p = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\nabla \cdot u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g_D & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

with if  $\mathbf{g}_D \equiv 0$   $\begin{cases} u \in V = [H_0^1(\Omega)]^d \\ p \in Q = L^2_0(\Omega) \end{cases}$

otherwise  $u \in [H^1(\Omega)]^d$  with  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathbf{g}_D$

**weak form:** ( $\mathbf{g}_D \equiv 0$ ) Find  $(u, p) \in V \times Q$ :

$$\underbrace{\alpha(u; u, v)}_{(MS)} + \underbrace{a(u, v) + c(u; u, v) + b(v, p)}_{b(u, q)} = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in V$$

$$b(u, q) = 0 \quad \forall q \in Q$$

$$\begin{aligned} C(w; u, v) &= \int_{\Omega} [(w \cdot \nabla) u] \cdot v \, dx = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\Omega} [(w \cdot \nabla) u]_i v_i \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\Omega} w_j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} v_i \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Is the form  $c(\cdot; \cdot, \cdot)$  continuous?

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} w_j (\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}) v_i \, dx \right| \leq \|w_j\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v_i\|_{L^4(\Omega)}$$

Hölder

$$\text{if } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1 \quad \int_{\Omega} fgh \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q} \|h\|_{L^r}$$

use with :  $\boxed{2 \quad 4 \quad 4}$

$$f \in L^p, g \in L^q, h \in L^r$$

$$\leq C \|w\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

$$H^1(\Omega) \subset L^4(\Omega)$$

Poincare ineq.

that is,  $C$  is continuous in  $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^d$ .

Well-posedness (Q-V) depending on  $f$

if  $\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot f = 0 \\ \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \frac{\lambda^2}{C} \end{cases}$

$\lambda^2 = \text{Poincare}$

then  $(H_0)$  is well-posed

Need to tackle "new" difficulty given by nonlinear convection term

Linearise and then discretise (step 57)

(consider :  $F(u, p) :$

$$F(u, p) = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla \Delta u + (u - \bar{u})u + \gamma p - f \\ -\nabla \cdot u \end{pmatrix}$$

Issue : find  $(u, p) : F(u, p) = 0$

By Newton iterations.

Denote  $x = (u, p)$ .

• fix  $x^0$  initial guess

•  $x^{k+1} = x^k - \nabla F(x^k)^{-1} F(x^k)$

c.e. solve for  $\delta x^k = x^{k+1} - x^k$  the system

$$\boxed{\nabla F(x^k) \delta x^k = -F(x^k)}$$

$$\nabla F(u^k, p^k)(\delta u^k, \delta p^k) \stackrel{\text{Gateau derivative}}{=}$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(u^k + \epsilon \delta u^k, p^k + \epsilon \delta p^k) - F(u^k, p^k)}{\epsilon}$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{-\nabla \Delta(u^k + \epsilon \delta u^k) + [(u^k + \epsilon \delta u^k) \cdot \nabla](u^k + \epsilon \delta u^k)}{\epsilon}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left( + \nabla(p^k + \epsilon \delta p^k) - f + \nabla \Delta u^k - (\underline{u}^k \cdot \nabla) u^k - \nabla(p^k) + f \right) \\
 & - \frac{\nabla \cdot (\underline{u}^k + \epsilon \delta u^k)}{\epsilon} + \nabla \cdot u^k \\
 & = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{-\nabla \epsilon \Delta \delta u + \epsilon^2 (\delta u^k \cdot \nabla) \delta u^k + \epsilon (\delta u^k \cdot \nabla) u^k}{\epsilon} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{\epsilon \nabla \cdot \delta u^k}{\epsilon} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \epsilon (\underline{u}^k \cdot \nabla) \delta u^k + \epsilon \nabla \cdot \delta p^k \right) \\
 & = \left( \nabla \Delta \delta u^k + (\underline{u}^k \cdot \nabla) \delta u^k + (\delta u^k \cdot \nabla) u^k + \nabla \cdot \delta p^k \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \nabla \cdot \delta u^k \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \stackrel{\text{w.eq.}}{=} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\nabla \cdot \delta u^k = \nabla \cdot u^k \\ \text{incompressibility constraint} \end{array} \right. \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Linear system which can solve for  $\delta u^k$

- In particular, if  $u = \emptyset_D |_{\Omega} = 0 |_{\Omega}$   
hence  $\delta u^k |_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

How to fix  $u^0$

1) solve for Stokes problem

2) Continuation method:

If  $D > \frac{1}{1000}$  Stokes good initial guess.

Starting with Stokes solution, solve for  $v^1$  and then iterate (using obtained HS( $v^j$ ) sol. or initial guess) up to required HS( $v$ ) solution.