Algorithm Design Second Homework

Andrea Fioraldi 1692419

January 4, 2019

Exercise 1

We redefine our problem as a problem on graphs. Let G(M, F, E) a fully connected graph in which each friend is a node. Each edge (u, v) as a cost $w(u, v) \ge 0$. We define the following functions:

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{deg}(v, \overset{\smile}{G}) := \sum_{u \in G. M \cup G.F} w(v, u); \\ \operatorname{density}(G) := \frac{1}{|C. M \cup G.F|} \sum_{v, u \in G. M \cup G.F} w(v, u); \end{array}
      algorithm michele party approx(G)
  1
  2
             n := |G.M \cup G.F|
  3
             H_n := G
             max\_d := -1
  4
  5
             s := -1
  6
             for i = \frac{n}{2} to 1
  7
                    d := density(H_i)
  8
                   if d > max d
 9
                          max d = d
10
11
                   m := v \in H_i.M \mid deg(v, H_i) \le deg(u, H_i) \ \forall u \in H_i.M
12
                    f := v \in H_i.F \mid deg(v, H_i) \le deg(u, H_i) \ \forall u \in H_i.F
                    H_{i-1} = H_i \setminus \{m, f\}
13
14
             return H_s
```

Let OPT the optimal solution and $d_{OPT} = \frac{1}{|OPT|} \sum_{v,u \in OPT} w(v,u) = \frac{W}{N}$ its density. Let $m \in OPT \cap M$ and $f \in OPT \cap F$.

Consider that $\frac{W}{N} \ge \frac{W - deg(m) - deg(f)}{N - 2} \Leftrightarrow \frac{deg(m) + deg(f)}{N - 2} \ge \frac{W}{N - 2} - \frac{W}{N} \Leftrightarrow deg(m) + deg(f) \ge W - \frac{N - 2}{N} * W = 2 * \frac{W}{N}$. So in OPT the sum of the degree of a generic m and f is at least twice d_{OPT} .

Consider the iteration i of the algorithm in which for the first time two nodes $m \in OPT \cap$ M and $f \in OPT \cap F$ are removed. In the remaining graph all the pairs $m \in H_{i-1} \cap M$ and $f \in H_{i-1} \cap F$ have $deg(m) + deg(f) \ge 2 * d_{OPT}$ thanks tp min(a, b) = min(a) + min(b) and the previous observation. In H_{i-1} there are $\frac{|H_{i-1}|}{2}$ pairs that can be considered in the following iterations until i = 1. The total cost of the edges in H_{i-1} is greater than $\frac{2*d_{OPT}*\frac{|H_{i-1}|}{2}}{2}$ (the division by 2 is due to the fact that we want to avoid to consider edges twice). The density is greater than $\frac{2*d_{OPT}*\frac{|H_{i-1}|}{2}}{2*|H_{i-1}|} = \frac{d_{OPT}}{2}$. Since the algorithm returns the graph with the highest density over all the iterations we have a solution with density at least $\frac{d_{OPT}}{2}$. We proved that $michele_party_approx$ is a 2-approximation.

Exercise 2

Let A is the set of required skills. S is the set of all the people available, each people is represented as a set of skills $S_j \subseteq A$. Let n = |A|.

We can express the Set Cover with Redundancies problem using the following ILP formulation:

$$\min \sum_{S_j \in S} c_j * x_j$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{S_j \mid A_i \in S_j} x_j \ge 3 \quad \forall A_i \in A$$

$$x_j \in \{0, 1\} \qquad \forall S_j \in S$$

In order to build a randomized approximation consider the associated LP problem where $x_i^* \in [0,1]$. The LP solution is a vector x^* of real values.

Consider the algorithm ALG in which each person S_j is chosen randomly with probability $p_j = min(d * log(n) * x_i^*, 1)$ with all choices that are indepedent. We denote the vector of these choices as x'.

Let $C_i = \sum_{S_j | A_i \in S_j} x_j'$ a random variable that represents the times that the skill A_i is covered. The expectation of C_i is $\mathbb{E}[C_i] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{S_j|A_i \in S_j} x_j'\right] = \sum_{S_j|A_i \in S_j} p_j$. Then, $\mathbb{E}[C_i] = d * log(n) * \sum_{S_j|A_i \in S_j} x_j^* \ge d * log(n) * 3$ because the cover constraint in LP is

From this, thanks to the Chernoff lower bound, follows that:
$$Pr\left[C_i < 3\right] = Pr\left[C_i < \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{d*log(n)}\right)\right) * d*log(n) * 3\right] \le Pr\left[C_i < \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right)\right) * d*log(n) * 3\right] \le exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}*3*d*log(n)*\left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right)^2\right)$$

Note that $\left(1 - \frac{d*log(n)-1}{d*log(n)}\right) * d*log(n) = 1$. 0 < 1 - 1/d < 1 must be valid in order apply Chernoff and so we have that d > 1.

We have that the probability that the skill A_i is covered more than 3 times is $Pr[C_i \geq$ $3] \ge 1 - exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} * 3 * d * log(n) * \left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right)^2\right).$

The probability that at least one A_i is not covered 3 times is $Pr\left[\bigcup_{A_i \in A} C_i < 3\right] \le$

$$\sum_{A_i \in A} \Pr \Big[C_i < 3 \Big] = n * exp \bigg(-\frac{1}{2} * 3 * d * log(n) * \bigg(1 - \frac{1}{d} \bigg)^2 \bigg).$$

The cost is d * log(n) times the cost of LP. Increasing d give us a better probability that all skills are covered but also, on the other hand, decrease the probability to have a minimal solution.

We bound the cost of the approximation using the Markov inequality: $Pr[\sum x'_j \geq$

$$\sum 4*d*log(n)*x_j^*] \leq \frac{\sum d*log(n)*x_j^*}{\sum 4*d*log(n)*x_j^*} = \frac{1}{4}$$
 Choosing $d=3$ we have an interesting result:

$$Pr\left[\bigcup_{A_i \in A} C_i < 3\right] = n * exp(-2 * log(n)) = 1/n$$

The probability that all the skills are covered, so that x' is feasible, is 1 - 1/n that is quite high and so we expect to need only a run to get a feasible solution.

The cost of this approximation is at most 3*4*log(n)*LP.

The probability that the solution is feasible and that does not exceed the bound is $Pr\left[\sum x_j' \le \sum 4 * d * log(n) * x_j^* \land \bigcup_{A_i \in A} C_i >= 3\right] \ge (1 - \frac{1}{4})(1 - \frac{1}{n}) = 3/4 - 3/(4n).$

Exercise 3

We denote as F^* the optimal solution of the problem. F^* is the minimum cost set of edges that if removed creates k connected components with each target terminal s_i inside each component.

Let $F_i^* \subset F^*$ the set of edges that if removed separated the vertex s_i from the other s_j with $i \neq j$. We have that $\bigcup_{i=0}^k F_i^* = F^*$.

Each edge e in F^* is contained in two F_i^* because it is incident at two connected components. So we can say that:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{e \in F_i^*} w(e) = 2 * \sum_{e \in F^*} w(e)$$

Our algorithm returns k min cuts F_i . By definition F_i is the minimum set of edges that separates s_i from the other s_j with $i \neq j$ so we have that $\sum_{e \in F_i} w(e) \leq \sum_{e \in F_i^*} w(e)$.

This implies that:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{e \in F_i} w(e) \le 2 * \sum_{e \in F^*} w(e)$$

And so, in the worst case, the cost of F is twice the cost of F^* . We proved that this is a 2-approximation algorithm.

Exercise 4

Let k an ordered multiset of genes $g \in G$ such that $g_1||...||g_j||...||g_{|k|} = D$ with $g_j \in k$ (|| is the concatenation operator). K is the set of all possible k.

As instance, if D = ACCA we can have $K = \{\{AC, CA\}, \{ACC, A\}\}\$ if $G = \{AC, CA, ACC, A\}$. Let the variables x_i with i = 1...m binary variables that represents if a gene $G_i \in G$ is in D.

Let $y_k \ \forall k \in K$ a binary variable that tells if the multiset k is used to form D. The ILP formulation is the following:

(1) means that if a gene G_i is not taken all the k that contains G_i mus be excluded. (2) means that at least one k must be taken, and it is our solution that has minimum cost genes.

Consider now the LP-relaxion with $x_i \ge 0 \land y_k \ge 0$. Let's compute the dual of it. In the dual, we have that the number of variables is the same of the number of constraints in the primal (m+1) and vice-versa the number of constraints is the number of variables in the primal (m+|K|). Only constraint (2) contribute to the objective function (cfr. [1]).

When G_i is used the index i is always relative to G.

$$\begin{aligned} \max u \\ \sum_{G_i \in k} v_i - u &\geq 0 \quad \forall k \in K \\ v_i &\leq w_i & \forall i \in \{1...m\} \\ u &\geq 0, v_i \geq 0 & \forall i \in \{1...m\} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 5

Exercise 6

Let h the position of Giorgio's home. Let S(i) = Pr[Safe|x(t) = i] the probability that Giorgio goes to home safely when he is at position i. We know that Pr[x(t+1)]x(t) + 1 = p and Pr[x(t+1) = x(t) - 1] = 1 - p. Follows that:

$$S(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = -1\\ 1 & \text{if } i = h\\ p * S(i-1) + (1-p) * S(i+1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We have that in general S(i) = p*S(i-1) + (1-p)*S(i+1) and S(i) = p*S(i) + (1-p)*S(i) (from 1 = p - (1-p)) and so $S(i+1) = \frac{p}{1-p}*\left(S(i) - S(i-1)\right) + S(i)$. Follows that $S(i+2) = \frac{p}{1-p} * \left(S(i+1) - S(i)\right) + S(i+1) = \frac{p}{1-p} \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) - S(i-1)\right) + S(i) - S(i)\right) + \frac{p}{1-p} \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) - S(i)\right) + \frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) - S(i)\right)\right) + \frac{p}{1-p} \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) - S(i)\right) + \frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) - S(i)\right)\right) + \frac{p}{1-p} \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) - S(i)\right) + \frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) - S(i)\right)\right) + \frac{p}{1-p} \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) - S(i)\right) + \frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) - S(i)\right)\right) + \frac{p}{1-p} \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) - S(i)\right) + \frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) - S(i)\right)\right) + \frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) - S(i)\right) + \frac{p}{1-p} \left(S(i) \frac{p}{1-p} * (S(i) - S(i-1)) + S(i).$ With i = 0 we have $S(2) = (\frac{p}{1-p})^2 * S(0) + \frac{p}{1-p} * S(0) + S(0)$ and so clearly:

$$S(i) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{j} * S(0).$$

Consider now when i = h. The problem says that Giorgio makes an infinite number of steps so we can set $h = +\infty$.

$$1 = S(+\infty) = S(0) * \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{j}.$$

This is a geometric series [2]. By definition if $\left|\frac{p}{1-p}\right| < 1$ it converges to $\frac{1}{1-\frac{p}{1-p}}$. The probability that Giorgio goes to the hospital from position 0 is Pr[Hospital|x(t) =0] = 1 + Pr[Safe|x(t) = 0] = 1 - S(0). $\left|\frac{p}{1-p}\right| < 1 \Leftrightarrow p < 1/2$ and so, finally, we have that:

$$Pr[Hospital|x(t) = 0] = 1 - \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} (\frac{p}{1-p})^{j}} = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{1-p} & p < 1/2\\ 1 & p \ge 1/2 \end{cases}$$

Giorgio goes to hospital for sure when $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and goes to hospital with probability at most $\frac{1}{2}$ when $\frac{p}{1-p} \le \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow p \le \frac{1}{3}$.

References

- [1] "Massimo Roma Appunti dalla lezione di Ricerca Oprativa, chapter 8." www.dis. uniroma1.it/~roma/didattica/R017-18/cap8.pdf. Accessed: 2019-1-3.
- [2] "Geometric series Wikipedia." https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geometric_ series#Geometric_power_series. Accessed: 2019-1-3.