Macroeconomic Risks and Asset Prices

Authors: Andrea Landini, Eric Mnatsakanyan, Nicole Nkumbe

Mbote, Faith Ugorji

Supervisor: Merlin Bartel, M.Sc.

EMPIRICAL ASSET METHODS UNIVERSITÄT LIECHTENSTEIN

May 14th, 2025



Table of Contents

- Introduction
- 2 Literature Review
- 3 Framework
- 4 Results



Introduction: Traditional Macroeconomics and Asset Prices

Key Features of Standard Models:

- Consumption-Based Pricing: Asset prices reflect marginal utility of consumption (Lucas, Epstein-Zin).
- Loglinear Euler Equation:

$$x_t = f_x \mathbb{E}_t x_{t+1} + \rho_x x_{t-1} - \psi r_t$$

- Fixed Preferences, Exogenous Shocks: Time variation in risk premia requires exogenous volatility or recursive preferences.
- Asset Return Co-movement: Typically lacks microfoundations for changes in bond-stock correlation.



Introduction: New Contributions from the Paper

Innovations in Macroeconomic Asset Pricing:

- Habit-Based Preferences: Surplus consumption ratio $s_t = \frac{C_t H_t}{C_t}$ drives time-varying risk aversion.
- Exact Euler Equation: Preferences consistent with standard macro loglinear structure.
- Empirical Regime Shift:
 - Pre-2001: Inflation-output gap correlation negative \Rightarrow bonds risky.
 - Post-2001: Correlation positive \Rightarrow bonds hedge assets.

Introduction: Implications and Mechanisms

Why Asset Risks Change:

- Flight to Safety: In recessions, increased risk aversion shifts demand to safe assets (bonds).
- Risk Premia Amplification: Time-varying premia strengthen bond-stock co-movement dynamics.
- Quantitative Fit: Model matches observed transition in bond-stock correlation (positive → negative).
- **Policy Implication:** Structural macro changes (e.g., Fed reaction function) reshape asset risk profiles.

Literature Review: What Came Before

Two Streams in Macro-Finance:

- Consumption-Based Asset Pricing (Lucas, 1978; Mehra-Prescott, 1985):
 - Explains asset prices using marginal utility of consumption.
 - Cannot generate realistic equity premium or time-varying risk premia under smooth consumption.
- Habit Formation (Campbell-Cochrane, 1999):
 - Introduces surplus consumption ratio to induce endogenous risk aversion.
 - Powerful for equity volatility and predictability, but not naturally embedded in macro models.



Literature Review: New Keynesian Models and Macroeconomic Frictions

Key Ideas of the New Keynesian Approach:

• Loglinear Euler Equation:

$$x_t = f_x \mathbb{E}_t x_{t+1} + \rho_x x_{t-1} - \psi r_t$$

- Nominal Rigidities: Sticky prices and wages create real effects of monetary policy.
- Monetary Rules: Taylor rules guide central bank responses to inflation and output gaps.
- Focus: Business cycle dynamics, inflation targeting, but usually ignore asset prices.



Literature Review: How Macro Models Influence Asset Prices

Mechanisms Linking Macro to Markets:

- Euler Equation as Pricing Kernel: Determines real interest rate and discount factor.
- Inflation and Output Risk: Affect real payoffs of nominal assets (bonds).
- Monetary Policy Regime: Changes in policy reaction functions shift return dynamics.
- Expectations Channel: Bond prices reflect expectations of future inflation and policy.
- Risk Premia Channel: Surplus consumption dynamics feed back into required returns.



Framework of the Model

Goal: Integrate macroeconomic dynamics and asset pricing in a unified structure.

Three Building Blocks:

- **Preferences:** Habit formation à la CampbellCochrane, generating endogenous, time-varying risk aversion.
- Macroeconomic Dynamics: Loglinear, homoskedastic processes for the output gap, inflation, and the nominal interest rate.
- Asset Pricing: Bonds and stocks priced as claims on consumption; returns depend on macro state and surplus consumption.

Key Innovation: Preferences are designed to be consistent with an *exact* loglinear Euler equation a bridge between asset pricing and structural macro models.



Framework: Key Formulas of the Model

Preferences and Surplus Consumption:

$$U_t = \frac{(C_t - H_t)^{1-\gamma} - 1}{1-\gamma}, \quad S_t = \frac{C_t - H_t}{C_t}, \quad \text{RRA}_t = \frac{\gamma}{S_t}$$

Euler Equation (output gap version):

$$x_t = f_x \mathbb{E}_t x_{t+1} + \rho_x x_{t-1} - \psi r_t$$

Inflation and Interest Rate Laws of Motion:

$$\pi_{t} = b_{\pi x} x_{t-1} + b_{\pi \pi} \pi_{t-1} + b_{\pi i} i_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}^{\pi}$$
$$i_{t} = b_{ix} x_{t-1} + b_{i\pi} \pi_{t-1} + b_{ii} i_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i}$$

Bond Pricing Recursion:

$$P_t^n = \mathbb{E}_t \left[M_{t+1} e^{-\pi_{t+1}} P_{t+1}^{n-1} \right],$$



Framework: Risk Premia in the Model

Risk Premia Driven by Surplus Consumption:

• Time-varying risk premia arise from variation in the surplus consumption ratio S_t :

$$\mathrm{RRA}_t = \frac{\gamma}{S_t}$$
, so higher risk aversion when $S_t \downarrow$

Stock Risk Premium:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[R_{t+1}^{\text{stock}} - r_t] + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Var}_t(R_{t+1}^{\text{stock}}) = \gamma (1 + \lambda(S_t)) \sigma_x^2$$

• Positively related to output gap volatility and surplus sensitivity.

Bond Risk Premium (e.g. 2-period nominal bond):

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}[R_{t+1}^{b} - r_{t}] + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Var}_{t}(R_{t+1}^{b}) = \gamma (1 + \lambda(S_{t})) \cdot \operatorname{Cov}_{t}(x_{t+1}, -i_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1})$$

Framework: Calibration and Estimation Strategy

Objective: Match model-implied moments to empirical moments using Simulated Method of Moments (SMM).

Parameter Vector:

$$\theta = [b_{\pi x}, b_{\pi \pi}, b_{\pi i}, b_{ix}, b_{i\pi}, b_{ii}, \sigma_{\pi}, \sigma_{i}, \sigma^{*}, \rho_{\pi i}, \rho_{\pi^{*}}, \rho_{i^{*}}]$$

Objective Function:

$$W(\theta) = \left(\hat{\Psi} - \Psi(\theta)\right)^{\top} \, \hat{W} \left(\hat{\Psi} - \Psi(\theta)\right)$$

- $\hat{\Psi}$: vector of empirical moments
- $\Psi(\theta)$: vector of model-implied simulated moments
- \hat{W} : weighting matrix (diagonal, inverse variances)

Targeted Moments:

- Impulse responses (VAR) for x_t, π_t, i_t
- Correlation between 20-quarter average Fed Funds rate and output gap

Framework: Machine Learning Methods for Calibration

Enhancing SMM Calibration with Machine Learning:

1. Neural Network Surrogate Model

- Approximates the mapping $\theta \mapsto \Psi(\theta)$ using a feedforward neural network trained on simulated data.
- Allows fast evaluation of moments without solving the full model each time.
- Facilitates gradient-based optimization in high-dimensional parameter space.

2. Bayesian Optimization

- Global optimization method using probabilistic surrogate (e.g., Gaussian process).
- Efficiently explores the parameter space with few evaluations.
- Balances exploration (new areas) and exploitation (known good fits).

Results: Model Performance

Asset Pricing Successes:

- Stocks: Realistic Sharpe ratios and excess return volatility.
- **Bonds:** Positive bond-stock correlation in period 1, negative in period 2.
- Risk Premia: Endogenous and nonlinear; respond to surplus consumption dynamics.

Macroeconomic Dynamics:

- Captures switch in inflation-output gap correlation (negative positive).
- Smooth and hump-shaped impulse responses to interest rate shocks.
- Matches long-term Fed Fundsoutput gap correlation across regimes.



Results: Calibration and Estimation

Estimation Insights:

- Two subperiods: 1979Q32001Q1 and 2001Q22011Q4.
- Break in inflation-output gap cyclicality validated by QLR tests.
- Lag parameters (especially b_{ix}) drive regime shift in bond risk.

Key Estimates:

- Period 1: Bonds *risky*, positively correlated with stocks.
- Period 2: Bonds *hedges*, negatively correlated with stocks.
- Time-varying stock and bond risk premia explain 7080% of the shift in bond-stock correlation.

Conclusion: The SMM-calibrated model explains asset return regime shifts using only macro targets.



Post-Estimation: ML-Based Calibration Results

Goal: Evaluate whether ML methods improve or confirm SMM-based calibration.

Using Machine Learning Methods:

- Neural Network Surrogate: Improved the speed of moment evaluations significantly.
- Bayesian Optimization: Found better-fitting parameter regions under fewer simulations.

Results:

- Slightly better match to long-horizon Fed Fundsoutput gap correlation.
- Improved fit to the bond return variance and bond-stock beta in period 2.
- Reduced numerical instability in asset pricing recursion for low surplus states.

References I

- Campbell, J. Y., Pfueger, C., & Viceira, L. M. (2019). *Macroeconomic Drivers of Bond and Equity Risks*. NBER Working Paper No. 14-031.
- Campbell, J. Y., & Cochrane, J. H. (1999). By Force of Habit: A Consumption-Based Explanation of Aggregate Stock Market Behavior. Journal of Political Economy, 107(2), 205251.
- Clarida, R., Gali, J., & Gertler, M. (1999). The Science of Monetary Policy: A New Keynesian Perspective. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 37(4), 16611707.
- Woodford, M. (2003). Interest and Prices: Foundations of a Theory of Monetary Policy. Princeton University Press.
- Christiano, L. J., Eichenbaum, M., & Evans, C. L. (2005). Nominal Rigidities and the Dynamic Effects of a Shock to Monetary Policy. *Journal of Political Economy*, 113(1), 145.



Data and Code Availability

All data, code, and calibration tools used in this presentation are freely available at:

github.com/andrealandini/ Empirical-Asset-Processing-Project2025

Includes:

- Replication data (macro series, impulse responses, returns)
- Calibration code (SMM + machine learning)
- Presentation slides and LaTeX source

License: MIT Free for academic and non-commercial use.



Contact

Thanks for your attention.

Andrea Landini andrea.landini@uni.li

https://andrealandini.info

Eric Mnatsakanyan eric.mnatsakanyan@uni.li

Nicole Nkumbe Mbote nicole.nkumbe@uni.li

Faith Ugorji faith.ugorji@uni.li

