Bloom filters vs. space-efficient perfect hash

Recall that classic Bloom filters use roughly $1.44log_2(1/f)$ bits per key, as seen in class (where $f=(1-p)^k$ is the failure probability minimized for $p\approx e^{-\frac{kn}{m}=1/2}$). The problem asks to extend the implementation required in Problem 10 by employing an additional random universal hash function $s:U\to [m]$ with $m=\lceil\frac{1}{f}\rceil$, called signature, so that $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ is also stored (in place of \mathbf{x} , which is discarded). The resulting space-efficient perfect hash table T has now a one-side error with failure probability of roughly f, as in Bloom filters: say why. Design a space-efficient efficient implementation of T, and compare the number of bits per key required by T with that required by Bloom filters.

SOLUTION

The probability of error in the first data structure (the bit perfect min hash) is $\frac{1}{m}$ for the external table. Instead we approximate the error in each bucket with 1, this because the length of each bucket is not know apriori, and thus we can just have an expectation. Now the addiction of the hash table s, which has got m elements, introduce another probability of a collision which is $\frac{1}{m}$.

elements, introduce another probability of a collision which is $\frac{1}{m}$. Wrapping all we have $Pr[error] = \frac{1}{m} * \frac{1}{m} = 2 * f'$ since $m = \frac{1}{f'}$. So to obtain the same probability of error we should have $f' = \frac{f}{2}$.

We consider first of all to have the same data structure of EX10. Therefore, we have H,T,A,B,P, and then till now if we have n keys we occupy a space equal to 18n+o(n) bits. Now we add another data structure T' where we store the hash generated by s. T' has got n slots, as the number of keys, in which every element occupy $log_2(m) = log_2(1/f)$ bits, since $s:U \to [m]$ with $m = \lceil \frac{1}{f} \rceil$. Now, since this data structure is static, ones we build the H,T,A,B,P we can insert each s(k) in T' in the same position as it is in T. For example, the first element of bucket 1 (if it exist) is going to be the fist element of T', and so on. Then now to find the position of the hash in T' given a key k we use $rank_1(BASE + OFFSET)$, where BASE + OFFSET is the position calculate to check the if there is a 1 in T (T[BASE + OFFSET], look EX10).

Now we need to check how many bits are used for each key. T' uses $log_2(1/f)$ bit per key as explained before, and, by the previous analysis, we have 18 bit for each key + o(1)(18n + o(n)). Therefore, we have roughly $log_2(1/f) + 18$ per key, instead Bloom filters use roughly $1.44log_2(1/f)$. Let's compare them, to see which is more convenient:

$$\begin{split} log_2(1/f) + 18 &< 1.44log_2(1/f) \\ -log_2(f) + 18 &< -1.44log_2(f) \\ -log_2(f) + 1.44log_2(f) &< -18) \\ log_2(f) &< -\frac{18}{0.44}) \\ f &< \frac{1}{2^{\frac{18}{0.44}}} \end{split}$$

Then perfect hash is convenient for f less than $\approx 4.84 \times 10^{-13}$.