

# Hash Tables

## Books

*Everyday Data Structures*

<https://www.packtpub.com/application-development/everyday-data-structures>

<https://www.packtpub.com/application-development/everyday-data-structures>

Examples using C#, Java, Objective-C, and Swift

*Learning Functional Data Structures and Algorithms*

<https://www.packtpub.com/application-development/learning-functional-data-structures-and-algorithms>

<https://www.packtpub.com/application-development/learning-functional-data-structures-and-algorithms>

Examples using Scala and Clojure.

*Beginning Haskell: A Project-Based Approach*

<https://www.apress.com/us/book/9781430262503>

Introduction to Haskell.

# 1 Hash Tables

Searching for an element in a tree can be very fast, but slows down as the number of items increases (at best  $\mathcal{O}(\log N)$ ).

Why?

- Tree imbalance
- No direct access

What about using an array?

- Direct access
- Not working with just numbers

Need/Want some sort of conversion scheme to use an array.

imbalance/unbalance — Merriam Webster

<http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/imbalance>

Main Entry: im·bal·ance

Pronunciation: \(.)im-?ba-len(t)s\

Function: noun

Date: circa 1890

: lack of balance : the state of being out of  
equilibrium or out of proportion <a vitamin imbalance>  
<racial imbalance in schools>

Main Entry: 2 unbalance

Function: noun

Date: 1855

: lack of balance : imbalance

## 1.1 Hashing

A **Hash function** transforms keys into an array index.

One possible implementation is to sum the ASCII values of each character in a string and *mod* it with the table size. For example, given an array with 120 elements and the string JONES:

J 74

O 79

N 78

E 69

S 83

$$74 + 79 + 78 + 69 + 83 = 383$$

$$383 \% 120 = 23$$

One problem with all hash functions is that they lead to **collisions**. A collision is the result of two or more keys hashing to the same value (location)<sup>1</sup>.

How to handle collisions?

---

<sup>1</sup>Physics: Two objects cannot occupy the same space at the same time.

## 1.2 Collision Resolution

Need some sort of **collision resolution strategy** to handle collisions when they occur.

Two common strategies:

- Linear resolution
- Open hashing

### 1.2.1 Linear Resolution

The simplest strategy is **linear resolution**:

If  $h(x)$ , for some key  $x$ , points to a location that is already occupied, inspect the next location in the array. If that location is full, try the one after that, and so on, until we find a vacant location or find that the array (**hash table**) is completely full.



**Example** The hash function is given by:

$$h(x) = x \% 7$$

(the table has seven locations).

Insert the integers (keys) 23, 14, 9, 6, 30, 12, and 18 into the table,  $T$  (recall that its indices are  $0, \dots, 6$ ).

1.  $h(23) = 2$ , so key 23 would be stored in  $T[2]$ .
2.  $h(14) = 0$ , so key 14 would be stored in  $T[0]$ .
3.  $h(9) = 2$ , but  $T[2]$  is already occupied, so key 9 would be stored in  $T[3]$ .
4.  $h(6) = 6$ , so key 6 would be stored in  $T[6]$ .
5.  $h(30) = 2$ , but  $T[2]$  and  $T[3]$  are already occupied, so key 30 would be stored in  $T[4]$ .
6.  $h(12) = 5$ , so key 12 would be stored in  $T[5]$ .
7.  $h(18) = 4$ , but  $T[4]$ ,  $T[5]$ , and  $T[6]$  are already occupied, so we *wrap around* the array indices and go back to  $T[0]$ , which is also occupied, so key 18 would be stored in  $T[1]$ .

0	1	2	3	4	5	6

It took 14 **probes** into the hash table to fill it in this example: Seven (7) initial probes to hash the elements into the table and seven (7) more probes to resolve the collisions.

The other problem that we encountered was **clustering**. Keys form clusters when several elements whose keys hash to the same/nearly the same value are inserted into the table.

### 1.2.2 Open Hashing

Another way to resolve the collision/clustering problem is to use **Open Hashing** (also referred to as *chaining*). We ignore the collision and simply place the value in a *bucket* (which is what table cells are usually called), along with the values that are already there. This technique is usually accomplished by using a linked list for every bucket, accessed by pointers in the hash table.

**Example** The hash function is given by:

$$h(x) = x \% 7$$

(the table has seven locations).

Insert the integers (keys) 23, 14, 9, 6, 30, 12, and 18 into the table,  $T$  (recall that its indices are  $0, \dots, 6$ ).

1.  $h(23) = 2$ , so key 23 would be stored in  $T[2]$ .
2.  $h(14) = 0$ , so key 14 would be stored in  $T[0]$ .
3.  $h(9) = 2$ , so key 9 would be stored in  $T[2]$ .
4.  $h(6) = 6$ , so key 6 would be stored in  $T[6]$ .
5.  $h(30) = 2$ , so key 30 would be stored in  $T[2]$ .
6.  $h(12) = 5$ , so key 12 would be stored in  $T[5]$ .
7.  $h(18) = 4$ , so key 18 would be stored in  $T[4]$ .

0	1	2	3	4	5	6