Afternoon session: computer lab exercises

The exercises you could try in the first afternoon session are:

- 1. GAMLSS modelling of aggregate UK electricity demand (solution in "UKload_GAMLSS.html");
- 2. Body Mass Index (BMI) of Dutch boys (sol: "bmi_GAMLSS.html");
- 3. Rent modelling in Munich (sol: "Rent_munich_GAMLSS.html");

while in the second afternoon session you could try:

- 1. Reaction times for Estonian case-inflected nouns (sol: "Estonian_QGAM.html");
- 2. Rainfall modelling in Switzerland (sol: "Swiss_rainfall_QGAM.html");
- 3. Quantile modelling of UK electricity demand (sol: "UKload_QGAM.html");

but feel free to try qgam and mgcViz on your own data.

1 GAMLSS modelling of aggregate UK electricity demand

Here we consider a UK electricity demand dataset, taken from the national grid. The dataset covers the period January 2011 to June 2016 and it contains the following variables:

- NetDemand net electricity demand between 11:30am and 12am.
- wM instantaneous temperature, averaged over several English cities.
- wM_s95 exponential smooth of wM, that is wM_s95[i] = a*wM[i] + (1-a)*wM_s95[i] with a=0.95.
- Posan periodic index in [0, 1] indicating the position along the year.
- Dow factor variable indicating the day of the week.
- Trend progressive counter, useful for defining the long term trend.
- NetDemand.48 lagged version of NetDemand, that is NetDemand.48[i] = NetDemand[i-2].
- Holy binary variable indicating holidays.
- Year and Date should obvious, and partially redundant.

Questions:

1. Load mgcViz and the data (data("UKload")). Then create a model formula (e.g. y~s(x)) containing: smooth effects for wM, wM_s95 and Trend with 20, 20 and 4 knots and cubic regression splines bases (bs='cr'), a cyclic effect (bs='cc') for Posan with 30 knots; and parametric fixed effects for Dow, NetDemand.48 and Holy. Fit a Gaussian GAM using gamV with this model formula, and set argument aViz=list(nsim = 50) to have some simulated responses for residuals checks. Let fit0 be the fitted model.

- 2. Use the check1D function together with the 1_gridCheck1D layer to check whether the conditional mean of the residuals of fit0 varies along wM, wM_s95 or Posan. In the call to 1_gridCheck1D you can set stand = "sc" to standardize the residuals means, thus making the residuals patterns more visible. Look at the plot for Posan, does the residuals mean in January (Posan ≈ 0) differ from that in December (Posan ≈ 1)? What does this suggest?
- 3. Change the model formula, by using a cubic regression spline basis also for Posan, and refit the model. Is there any improvement in AIC? Re-check the residuals along Posan using check1D and l_gridCheck1D. Is the pattern gone? Now use check(fit1) and look at the p-values. Recall that a low p-value means that an effect might not have a sufficiently large basis. Also, plot all the smooth effects using plot(fit1), how does the effect of Posan look like? Given this plot and the result of check can you think of a better spline basis for Posan?
- 4. Change the model formula, by using an adaptive spline basis (bs = 'ad') for Posan, and refit the model. Is there any improvement in AIC? Now that we are satisfied with our mean model, we start looking at the conditional variance. Use check1D together with the 1_densCheck layer to compare the empirical and theoretical (Gaussian) density of the residuals along wM, wM_s95 an Posan. Do you see any evidence of model mis-specification? Now use 1_gridCheck1D with gridFun = sd to check for non-constant residuals variance along the same variables. Does the variance change along wM, wM_s95 and Posan?
- 5. Now we will fit a GAMLSS model using the gaulss family (see ?gaulss). For the location use the same model formula we have used in the Gaussian GAM, while for the scale use two cubic regression spline smooths for wM_s95 and Posan (10 and 20 knots respectively) and a fixed effect for Dow. Fit the model using gamV and then check whether there has been any improvement in AIC, and check the conditional variance again using l_gridCheck1D. Is the variance pattern as strong as before? Plot the fitted effects using plot.
- 6. Extra question: now that we have a satisfactory model for the conditional variance, we look at further features of the residuals distribution. Plot a QQ-plot of the residuals of fit3 using qq. Do you see significant deviations from the model-based theoretical residuals distribution? Load the e1071 package and use check1D with l_gridCheck1D and gridFun = skewness to verify how the skewness of the residuals varies along wM_s95 and Posan. Do you see major departures from the model-based simulations?
- 7. Extra question: to allow the distribution of the response to be skewed we will now consider the shash distribution from the mgcFam package (see ?shash). The shash family has four parameters, so we need to specify four linear predictors (location, scale, skewness and kurtosis in that order) in the model formula. For location and scale use the same models we used for gaulss, for the skewness include a fixed effect for Dow and a smooth effect for Posan (with k = 10 and bs='cr'), while for the kurtosis use only an intercept (~ 1). Fit the model, convert it and call it fit4. Check whether the AIC has improved, relative to fit3 and produce another QQ-plot using qq. Are the deviations from the theoretical distribution larger or smaller in this model?
- 8. Extra question: well... congratulations if you got here! What one could do at this point is to check how the kurtosis changes along the covariates using l_gridCheck1D (e1071 provides a function called kurtosis). But beware: the shash is still experimental and model estimation might break down if you try to fit overly complicated models.

2 Body Mass Index (BMI) of Dutch boys

This simple data set comes from the Fourth Dutch Growth Study, which is a cross-sectional study that measures growth and development of the Dutch population between the ages 0 and 21 years. Here we have only two variables: bmi and age. The data is taken from the gamlss.data package. Questions:

- 1. Load mgcViz and the data (load("data/dbbmi.rda")). The use gamV to fit a Gaussian GAM with simply a single smooth effect for age. Set argument aViz=list(nsim = 50) to have some simulated responses for residuals checks. Then plot the data (a scatterplot bmi vs age) and add a line representing the fitted mean BMI (you can use the predict function).
- 2. Check the residual distribution using qq: do you see any problem? Then use the check1D function together with the l_gridCheck1D(gridFun=sd) layer to check whether the conditional standard deviation of the residuals varies with age. If so address this by fitting a Gaussian GAMLSS model (family = gaulss), with model formula list(bmi ~ s(age), ~ s(age)). Then repeat the residuals checks. Any improvement?
- 3. Use check to verify whether the number of basis functions used for the smooth effects is sufficiently large. Then increase the number of basis functions used for each effect to 20 (k=20), and use an adaptive basis fom the effect of age on mean BMI (bs = "ad"). Is this model better in terms of AIC? Does the output of check look ok now? Plot the smooth effects, and decide whether they make sense. Do you see why we used an adaptive smooth for the effect of age on mean BMI?
- 4. Now we look at residual skewness. Load the e1071 package, and use the check1D function together with the 1_gridCheck1D(gridFun=skewness) layer to check whether the conditional skewness of the residuals varies with age. To take skewness into account, load the mgcFam package, and fit a shash GAM model (family=shash) with model formula:

```
list(bmi \sim s(age, k = 20, bs = "ad"), \sim s(age, k = 20), \sim s(age), \sim 1)
```

Do we get lower AIC, and how does a residuals QQ-plot look? Plot all the smooth effect and use check to verify that everything is ok.

5. Now we plot the fitted conditional distribution. Let fit4 be the shash model you just fitted, then you can plot several estimated conditional quantiles by doing:

```
plot(bmi~age, data=dbbmi, col = "grey")
pr <- predict(fit4)
for(.q in c(0.01, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 0.9)){
    q_hat <- fit4$family$qf(.q, pr, wt = fit4$prior.weights, scale = 1)
    lines(dbbmi$age, q_hat, col = 2)
}</pre>
```

3 Rent modelling in Munich

This data set comes from gamlss.data package. The main variables are:

- R rent response variable, the monthly net rent in DM;
- F1 floor space in square meters;

- A year of construction;
- B a binary indicating whether there is a bathroom, 1, (1925 obs.) or not, 0, (44 obs.);
- H a binary indicating whether there is central heating, 1, (1580 obs.) or not, 0, (389 obs.);
- L a binary indicating whether the kitchen equipment is above average, 1, (161 obs.) or not, 0, (1808 obs.);
- loc a factor indicating whether the location is below, 1, average, 2, or above average 3.

Questions:

- 1. Load mgcViz, the data (load("munich_rent.rda")) and have a look at it by doing pairs(munich_rent). Then use gamV to fit a Gaussian GAM with rent as response, smooth effects for F1 and A and fixed effects for the remaining covariates. Set argument aViz=list(nsim = 50) to have some simulated responses for residuals checks. Use summary and plot to see which are the most important effects.
- 2. The effect of F1 looks fairly linear, but it should depend on the location's desirability (loc). Substitute the smooth effect for F1 with a linear effect for F1 and the interaction F1:loc. Is there any improvement in AIC? Do the fitted coefficient reported by summary make sense?
- 3. Use the check1D function together with the l_gridCheck1D(gridFun=sd) layer to check whether the conditional standard deviation of the residuals varies with any of the covariate. If so address this by fitting a Gaussian GAMLSS model (family = gaulss), with the same formula for mean and for scale. Then repeat the residuals checks. Any improvement? Do you get lower AIC?
- 4. Now look at the residuals distribution using qq. Do you see any departure from normality? Check whether the conditional skewness of the residuals varies with any of the covariates by loading the e1071 package, and using the check1D function together with the l_gridCheck1D(gridFun=skewness) layer. To take skewness into account, load the mgcFam package, and fit shash GAM model (family=shash) with model formula:

Do we get lower AIC, and how does a residuals QQ-plot look? Do the skewness checks obtained with check1D look better now? Finally plot the smooth effects.

4 Reaction times for Estonian case-inflected nouns

This question is about modelling reaction time, the main variables are:

- Word: Estonian case-inflected nouns;
- Subject: subjects in lexical decision experiment;
- Trial: trial number in the experiment;
- LogFrequency: the log-transformed frequency of the inflected word;
- WordLength: the length of the word in letters;

- Age: the age of the participant in years;
- RT: reaction time;
- RTinv: RT transformed by -1000/RT to make it more Gaussian-like;
- InfFamSize: inflectional family size: the number of different case endings of a noun that are in actual use in the language;

Questions:

- 1. Load mgcViz and the data with load("data/est.rda"). Use qgamV to fit an additive quantile regression model for the median RT, with linear effects for InfFamSize, Age, LogFrequency, WordLength and Trial. Is the effect for Trial significant (use summary)?
- 2. Use check1D with the l_gridQCheck1D layer to check that the fraction of negative residuals does not depart too much from 0.5 along Trial and Subject. Do you see a pattern in the deviations?
- 3. Refit the model using a smooth, rather than linear, effect for Trial and a random effect for Subject. Then check if the effects are significant using summary and look at the deviations from 0.5 using check1D: are the residual patterns still there?
- 4. Add a tensor effect (te(x1,x2)) for LogFrequency and WordLength, re-fit and plot all the effects using plot. Then get a 3D visualization of the tensor product smooth by extracting the tensor product using the sm function, and then plotting it using plotRGL. Does this bivariate effect look very non-linear?
- 5. Substitute the tensor effect with two linear effects, and fit the resulting model to the quantiles qu = seq(0.1, 0.9, length.out = 5) using mqgamV. Plot the estimated smooth and the random effects using plot. Do you see differences in the effect of Trial across quantiles? Now use plot with allTerms = TRUE and select = 3:6 to plot only the parametric effects (for each quantile). Do you see differences in the estimated effects across different quantiles?

5 Rainfall modelling in Switzerland

This question is about modelling extreme rainfall in Switzerland, mainly using spatio-temporal effects. The main variables are:

- exra: the highest rainfall observed in any 12 hour period in that year, in mm;
- N: degrees North;
- E: degrees East;
- elevation: metres above sea level;
- climate.region: factor variable indicating one of 12 climate regions;
- nao: annual North Atlantic Oscillation index, based on the difference of normalized sea level pressure (SLP) between Lisbon, Portugal and Stykkisholmur/Reykjavik, Iceland. Positive values are generally associated with wetter and milder weather over Western Europe;
- year: year of the observation;

Questions:

- 1. Load mgcViz, gamair and the data with data(swer). Use qgamV to fit an additive quantile regression model for the median of exra, with smooth effects for nao, elevation and year (use k=5 for the latter), and an isotropic smooth for E and N (i.e. s(E,N)). Look at the significance of the fitted effects using summary and plot them using plot.
- 2. We might be interested in verifing whether the rainfall trend is different depending on the climate region. To assess this, modify the model formula to include a by-factor smooth as follows s(year, climate.region, bs = "fs", k = 5). Refit and use summary to verify whether the by-region trend term is significant, and plot the by-region trends by extracting it using sm and the l_fitLine(alpha = 1) layer.
- 3. We can also verify whether the bivariate spatial effect changes with time, by creating a tensor product between the 2D effect of E and N, and the effect of year. Such an effect can be set up using te(E, N, year, d = c(2, 1), k = c(20, 5)). Fit the corresponding median QGAM model, and plot several slices of the 3D tensor product across year, using the plotSlice function with the l_fitRaster and l_fitContour layers.
- 4. Visualize individual 2D slices (across year) of the 3D spatio-temporal smooth using the plotRGL function (see ?plotRGL.mgcv.smooth.MD for examples).
- 5. Go back to the simpler model formula used in the first question and fit the corresponding model to the quantiles qu = seq(0.1, 0.9, length.out = 9), using mqgamV. Plot only the univariate effects using plot and its select argument, and see how they differ between quantiles. Do the same for the spatial effect and for the effect of the climate region.

6 Quantile modelling of UK electricity demand

Here we consider a UK electricity demand dataset, taken from the national grid. The dataset covers the period January 2011 to June 2016 and it contains the following variables:

- NetDemand net electricity demand between 11:30am and 12am.
- wM instantaneous temperature, averaged over several English cities.
- wM_s95 exponential smooth of wM, that is $wM_s95[i] = a*wM[i] + (1-a)*wM_s95[i]$ with a=0.95.
- Posan periodic index in [0, 1] indicating the position along the year.
- Dow factor variable indicating the day of the week.
- Trend progressive counter, useful for defining the long term trend.
- NetDemand.48 lagged version of NetDemand, that is NetDemand.48[i] = NetDemand[i-2].
- Holy binary variable indicating holidays.
- Year and Date should obvious, and partially redundant.

Questions:

- 1. Load mgcViz and the data (data("UKload")). Then create a model formula (e.g. y~s(x)) containing: smooth effects for wM, wM_s95, Posan and Trend with 20, 20, 50 and 4 knots and cubic regression splines bases (bs='cr'); parametric effects for Dow, NetDemand.48 and Holy.
- 2. Use the qgamV function to fit this model for the median, setting err=0.1 to avoid numerical problems. Call fit the fitted model and use plot(fit) and summary(fit) to visualise the fitted effects and to see which effects are significant. Do you notice anything problematic about the effect of Posan? How many degrees of freedom are we using for this smooth effect (you can read it from the output of summary)?
- 3. Modify the effect of Posan to use an adaptive (bs='ad') spline basis. Then refit the model and plot the smooth effects. Has the effect of Posan changed? How many degrees of freedom are we using now for Posan? Explain what happened.
- 4. Use mqgamV to fit this model to the five quantiles qu=seq(0.1,0.9,length.out=5), using err=0.1. Use plot to visualize the smooth effects corresponding to each quantile. You can set allTerms=TRUE to plot also the parametric effects. How do the smooth and parametrics effects differ between quantiles? NB: here we are plotting the smooth effects, not the predicted quantiles, hence the effects corresponding to, say, quantile 0.9 can fall below that of quantile 0.1.
- 5. Now we check the median fit. If the output of mqgamV is called fitM then the median fit is fitM[[3]]. Check the bias distribution using check(fitM[[3]]). Recall that we expect that, because we are looking at quantile 0.5, around 50% of the residuals should be negative. Use check1D with the l_gridQCheck1D layer to check that the fraction of negative residuals does not depart too much from 0.5 along any of the covariates.