

# **Tutorial Business Analytics**

Tutorial 2: Statistics

Decision Sciences & Systems (DSS)


Department of Informatics

TU München

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

What we will focus on in this tutorial:

### Statistical Tests



Random error (chance) can be controlled by statistical significance or by confidence interval

# Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

## Agenda

- 1.Theory: How does **Hypothesis testing** work?
- 2.Calculation **Example**
- 3.Practice: **Exercises in Live Tutorial Session**

## Recommendations

- Use paper and a scientific calculator for the exercises (except R exercises)
- Pay attention to the theory and the example part
- Do all exercises and homework

# Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

## Statistical Testing

- We are trying to validate a claim about a statistic of a population, only based upon (a) sample(s)
- This **statistical hypothesis** is tested by observing random variables
- Common cases are
  - Sample statistic is compared against a synthetic (population) statistic
  - Two samples are compared
- A hypothesis is proposed for the **statistical relationship** between the two statistics; this is compared to a **null hypothesis**
- The comparison is denoted as **statistically significant** if the relationship between the statistics (i.e., drawing respective sample(s)) would be unlikely under the null hypothesis according to a threshold probability

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### “Test Manual” – Overview

1.   i) 1 sample or 2 samples  
          ii) If 1 sample:  $\sigma_x$  known or unknown  
              If 2 samples: dependent or independent
2.   State  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  (given)
3.   Select and calculate the test statistic
4.   Select  $\alpha$  (given)
5.   Find the critical value in the table
6.   Result

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### “Test Manual” – 2<sup>nd</sup> Step


There exist three possible alternative hypotheses  $H_1$ :

Hypothesis	$H_0$	$H_1$
Two-sided	$\mu_x = \mu_0$	$\mu_x \neq \mu_0$
One-sided	$\mu_x \leq \mu_0$	$\mu_x > \mu_0$
One-sided	$\mu_x \geq \mu_0$	$\mu_x < \mu_0$

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### “Test Manual” – 2<sup>nd</sup> Step: Two-Sided Hypothesis Test

$$H_0: \mu_x = \mu_0 \quad H_1: \mu_x \neq \mu_0$$




## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### “Test Manual” – 2<sup>nd</sup> Step: One-Sided Hypothesis Test


$$H_0: \mu_x \leq \mu_0 \quad H_1: \mu_x > \mu_0$$

Statistical Significance



$$H_0: \mu_x \geq \mu_0 \quad H_1: \mu_x < \mu_0$$

Statistical Significance





## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### “Test Manual” – 3<sup>rd</sup> Step

When to use which test? We want to make a statement about the mean of a population,  $\mu_x$ , based on a sample with size  $n_x$  and mean  $\bar{x}$

#### 1 Sample

- $\sigma_x$  known → Gauss/z-test  $z_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma_x} \sqrt{n} \sim N(0,1)$
- $\sigma_x$  unknown → t-test  $t_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s_x} \sqrt{n} \sim t_{n-1}$  with  $s_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$

#### 2 Samples

- independent → Welch-test  $t_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{w} - \mu_0}{s_{\bar{x} - \bar{w}}} \sim_{\text{approx}} t_{\text{df}}$  with  $s_{\bar{x} - \bar{w}}^2 = \frac{s_x^2}{n_x} + \frac{s_w^2}{n_w}$  and  

$$s_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \quad (\text{df} = \frac{(s_{\bar{x} - \bar{w}}^2)^2}{\frac{s_x^4}{n_x^2(n_x-1)} + \frac{s_w^4}{n_w^2(n_w-1)}} \text{ rounded to nearest integer number})$$
- dependent → Paired t-test  $t_0 = \frac{\bar{d} - \mu_0}{s_d} \sqrt{n} \sim t_{n-1}$  with  $s_d^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - \bar{d})^2$  and  

$$\bar{d} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = \bar{x} - \bar{w}, \quad d_i = x_i - w_i, \quad \mu_D = \mu_X - \mu_W$$

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### “Test Manual” – 5<sup>th</sup> Step

How to find the critical value in the table? For

- Gauss/z-Test → use normal distribution
- t-Test, Welch-Test and Paired t-Test → use t-distribution

$H_1$	$t^c$ range	$t^c$ value
$\mu_x \neq \mu_0$	can be any, $\mathbb{R}$	$\left  t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}; df}^c \right  = \left  t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}; df}^c \right $
$\mu_x > \mu_0$	must be positive, $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$	$t_{1-\alpha; df}^c$
$\mu_x < \mu_0$	must be negative, $\mathbb{R}_{<0}$	$t_{\alpha; df}^c$

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics

### Normal Distribution (z-table)

- If  $X$  is a normally distribution random variable with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$ ,

$$Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$$

is **standard normally distributed**

- The table contains the *probabilities* that a statistic is less than  $z$ , i.e., between negative infinity and  $z$
- The values are calculated using the cumulative distribution function  $\Phi$
- Examples:
  - $\Phi(0.72) = 0.76424$
  - $\Phi(-1.48) = 1 - \Phi(1.48) = 0.06944$
  - If quantile  $z_{0.9}$  is needed:  
 $\Phi(z_{0.9}) = 0.9 \Rightarrow z_{0.9} \approx 1.28$

$z$	+0.00	+0.01	+0.02	+0.03	+0.04	+0.05	+0.06	+0.07	+0.08	+0.09
0.0	0.50000	0.50399	0.50798	0.51197	0.51595	0.51994	0.52392	0.52790	0.53188	0.53586
0.1	0.53983	0.54380	0.54776	0.55172	0.55567	0.55966	0.56360	0.56749	0.57142	0.57535
0.2	0.57926	0.58317	0.58706	0.59095	0.59483	0.59871	0.60257	0.60642	0.61026	0.61409
0.3	0.61791	0.62172	0.62552	0.62930	0.63307	0.63683	0.64058	0.64431	0.64803	0.65173
0.4	0.65542	0.65910	0.66276	0.66640	0.67003	0.67364	0.67724	0.68082	0.68439	0.68793
0.5	0.69146	0.69497	0.69847	0.70194	0.70540	0.70884	0.71226	0.71566	0.71904	0.72240
0.6	0.72575	0.72907	0.73237	0.73565	0.73891	0.74215	0.74537	0.74857	0.75175	0.75490
0.7	0.75804	0.76115	0.76424	0.76730	0.77035	0.77337	0.77637	0.77935	0.78230	0.78524
0.8	0.78814	0.79103	0.79389	0.79673	0.79955	0.80234	0.80511	0.80785	0.81057	0.81327
0.9	0.81594	0.81859	0.82121	0.82381	0.82639	0.82894	0.83147	0.83398	0.83646	0.83891
1.0	0.84134	0.84375	0.84614	0.84849	0.85083	0.85314	0.85543	0.85769	0.85993	0.86214
1.1	0.86433	0.86650	0.86864	0.87076	0.87286	0.87493	0.87698	0.87900	0.88100	0.88298
1.2	0.88493	0.88686	0.88877	0.89065	0.89251	0.89435	0.89617	0.89796	0.89973	0.90147
1.3	0.90320	0.90490	0.90658	0.90824	0.90988	0.91149	0.91308	0.91466	0.91621	0.91774
1.4	0.91924	0.92073	0.92220	0.92364	0.92507	0.92647	0.92785	0.92922	0.93056	0.93189
1.5	0.93319	0.93448	0.93574	0.93699	0.93822	0.93943	0.94062	0.94179	0.94295	0.94408
1.6	0.94520	0.94630	0.94738	0.94845	0.94950	0.95053	0.95154	0.95254	0.95352	0.95449
1.7	0.95543	0.95637	0.95728	0.95818	0.95907	0.95994	0.96080	0.96164	0.96246	0.96327
1.8	0.96407	0.96485	0.96562	0.96638	0.96712	0.96784	0.96856	0.96926	0.96995	0.97062
1.9	0.97128	0.97193	0.97257	0.97320	0.97381	0.97441	0.97500	0.97558	0.97615	0.97670
2.0	0.97725	0.97778	0.97831	0.97882	0.97932	0.97982	0.98030	0.98077	0.98124	0.98169
2.1	0.98214	0.98257	0.98300	0.98341	0.98382	0.98422	0.98461	0.98500	0.98537	0.98574
2.2	0.98610	0.98645	0.98679	0.98713	0.98745	0.98778	0.98809	0.98840	0.98870	0.98899
2.3	0.98928	0.98956	0.98983	0.99010	0.99036	0.99061	0.99086	0.99111	0.99134	0.99158
2.4	0.99180	0.99202	0.99224	0.99245	0.99266	0.99286	0.99305	0.99324	0.99343	0.99361
2.5	0.99379	0.99396	0.99413	0.99430	0.99446	0.99461	0.99477	0.99492	0.99506	0.99520

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics

### t-Distribution (t-table)

- A random variable with t-distribution arises, e.g., when estimating the mean of a normally distributed population in situations with a small sample size and unknown population standard deviation
- The numbers in the body of the table,  $t_{1-\alpha; df}^c$ , are the critical values needed for the t-test
  - df: degrees of freedom
  - $\alpha$ : significance level

cum. prob	$t_{.50}$	$t_{.75}$	$t_{.80}$	$t_{.85}$	$t_{.90}$	$t_{.95}$	$t_{.975}$	$t_{.99}$	$t_{.995}$	$t_{.999}$	$t_{.9995}$
one-tail	0.50	0.25	0.20	0.15	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.001	0.0005
two-tails	1.00	0.50	0.40	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.002	0.001
df											
1	0.000	1.000	1.376	1.963	3.078	6.314	12.71	31.82	63.66	318.31	636.62
2	0.000	0.816	1.061	1.386	1.886	2.920	4.303	6.965	9.925	22.327	31.599
3	0.000	0.765	0.978	1.250	1.638	2.353	3.182	4.541	5.841	10.215	12.924
4	0.000	0.741	0.941	1.190	1.533	2.132	2.776	3.747	4.604	7.173	8.610
5	0.000	0.727	0.920	1.156	1.476	2.015	2.571	3.365	4.032	5.893	6.869
6	0.000	0.718	0.906	1.134	1.440	1.943	2.447	3.143	3.707	5.208	5.959
7	0.000	0.711	0.896	1.119	1.415	1.895	2.365	2.998	3.499	4.785	5.408
8	0.000	0.706	0.889	1.108	1.397	1.860	2.306	2.896	3.355	4.501	5.041
9	0.000	0.703	0.883	1.100	1.383	1.833	2.262	2.821	3.250	4.297	4.781
10	0.000	0.700	0.879	1.093	1.372	1.812	2.228	2.764	3.169	4.144	4.587
11	0.000	0.697	0.876	1.088	1.363	1.796	2.201	2.718	3.106	4.025	4.437
12	0.000	0.695	0.873	1.083	1.356	1.782	2.179	2.681	3.055	3.930	4.318
13	0.000	0.694	0.870	1.079	1.350	1.771	2.160	2.650	3.012	3.852	4.221
14	0.000	0.692	0.868	1.076	1.345	1.761	2.145	2.624	2.977	3.787	4.140
15	0.000	0.691	0.866	1.074	1.341	1.753	2.131	2.602	2.947	3.733	4.073
16	0.000	0.690	0.865	1.071	1.337	1.746	2.120	2.583	2.921	3.686	4.015
17	0.000	0.689	0.863	1.069	1.333	1.740	2.110	2.567	2.898	3.646	3.965
18	0.000	0.688	0.862	1.067	1.330	1.734	2.101	2.552	2.878	3.610	3.922
19	0.000	0.688	0.861	1.066	1.328	1.729	2.093	2.539	2.861	3.579	3.883
20	0.000	0.687	0.860	1.064	1.325	1.725	2.086	2.528	2.845	3.552	3.850
21	0.000	0.686	0.859	1.063	1.323	1.721	2.080	2.518	2.831	3.527	3.819
22	0.000	0.686	0.858	1.061	1.321	1.717	2.074	2.508	2.819	3.505	3.792
23	0.000	0.685	0.858	1.060	1.319	1.714	2.069	2.500	2.807	3.485	3.768
24	0.000	0.685	0.857	1.059	1.318	1.711	2.064	2.492	2.797	3.467	3.745
25	0.000	0.684	0.856	1.058	1.316	1.708	2.060	2.485	2.787	3.450	3.725
26	0.000	0.684	0.856	1.058	1.315	1.706	2.056	2.479	2.779	3.435	3.707
27	0.000	0.684	0.855	1.057	1.314	1.703	2.052	2.473	2.771	3.421	3.690
28	0.000	0.683	0.855	1.056	1.313	1.701	2.048	2.467	2.763	3.408	3.674
29	0.000	0.683	0.854	1.055	1.311	1.699	2.045	2.462	2.756	3.396	3.659
30	0.000	0.683	0.854	1.055	1.310	1.697	2.042	2.457	2.750	3.385	3.646
40	0.000	0.681	0.851	1.050	1.303	1.684	2.021	2.423	2.704	3.307	3.551
60	0.000	0.679	0.848	1.045	1.296	1.671	2.000	2.390	2.660	3.232	3.460
80	0.000	0.678	0.846	1.043	1.292	1.664	1.990	2.374	2.639	3.195	3.416
100	0.000	0.677	0.845	1.042	1.290	1.660	1.984	2.364	2.626	3.174	3.390
1000	0.000	0.675	0.842	1.037	1.282	1.646	1.962	2.330	2.581	3.098	3.300
<b>Z</b>	0.000	0.674	0.842	1.036	1.282	1.645	1.960	2.326	2.576	3.090	3.291
	0%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	95%	98%	99%	99.8%	99.9%
	Confidence Level										

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### “Test Manual” – 6<sup>th</sup> Step

Reject  $H_0$ :

$H_1$	p-value criterion	test statistic criterion
$\mu_x \neq \mu_0$	$p < \alpha$	$ t_0  > \left  t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}; df}^c \right $
$\mu_x > \mu_0$	$p < \alpha$	$t_0 > t_{1-\alpha; df}^c$
$\mu_x < \mu_0$	$p < \alpha$	$t_0 < t_{\alpha; df}^c$

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### Example: Learning Method Comparison

In order to compare two learning methods, results have been measured for a group of students. Test if the students got better (higher) results using method 2. Assume the difference follows a normal distribution, (significance level of 5%, i.e.,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

student	1	2	3	4	5
method 1 ( $x$ )	8	6	8	8	4
method 2 ( $w$ )	10	9	7	12	7

- 1.) i) 2 samples ii) dependent
- 2.)  $H_0: \mu_D = \mu_X - \mu_W \geq \mu_0 = 0$        $H_1: \mu_D = \mu_X - \mu_W < \mu_0 = 0$
- 3.)  $\rightarrow$  Paired t-Test:  $t_0 = \frac{\bar{d} - \mu_0}{s_d} \sqrt{n} \sim t_{n-1}$  with unbiased sample variance  $s_d^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - \bar{d})^2$   
sample means:  $\bar{x} = 6.8$ ,  $\bar{w} = 9.0$ , difference  $\bar{d} = -2.2$ ,  
 $s_d^2 = 3.7$ ,  $s_d = 1.9235 \Rightarrow t_0 = -2.5574$
- 4.)  $\alpha = 0.05$
- 5.)  $\rightarrow t_{\alpha; n-1}^c = -t_{1-\alpha; n-1}^c$  (sym.)  $\Rightarrow t_{0.05; 4}^c = -t_{0.95; 4}^c \stackrel{\text{table}}{=} -2.132$
- 6.)  $t_0 = -2.557 < -2.132 = t_{0.05; 4}^c \Rightarrow$  Reject  $H_0$ : Learning method 2 is significantly better.

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### Example: Learning Method Comparison – step 3 details

In order to compare two learning methods, results have been measured for a group of students. Test if the students got better (higher) results using method 2. Assume the difference follows a normal distribution, (significance level of 5%, i.e.,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

student	1	2	3	4	5
method 1 ( $x$ )	8	6	8	8	4
method 2 ( $w$ )	10	9	7	12	7

3.)

sample means:  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{5}(8 + 6 + 8 + 8 + 4) = 6.8$ ,  $\bar{w} = \frac{1}{5}(10 + 9 + 8 + 12 + 7) = 9.0$

difference:  $\bar{d} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = \bar{x} - \bar{w} = -2.2$

sample variance:  $s_d^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - \bar{d})^2$ ,  $d_i = x_i - w_i$ ,

$$s_d^2 = \frac{1}{4}((8 - 10 + 2.2)^2 + (6 - 9 + 2.2)^2 + (8 - 7 + 2.2)^2 + (8 - 12 + 2.2)^2 + (4 - 7 + 2.2)^2) = 3.7$$

$$s_d = 1.9235$$

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### Confidence Intervals

Find confidence intervals for  $\mu_x$ , which—under  $H_0$ —contain the true value  $\mu_x$  with a probability of at least  $1 - \alpha$  (confidence level). We differentiate two cases:

- $\sigma_x$  known:

confidence interval: 
$$[I_u(x), I_o(x)] = \left[ \bar{x} - z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^c \frac{\sigma_x}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^c \frac{\sigma_x}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

- $\sigma_x$  unknown, use  $s_x$  as estimate instead:

confidence interval: 
$$[I_u(x), I_o(x)] = \left[ \bar{x} - t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}; n-1}^c \frac{s_x}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}; n-1}^c \frac{s_x}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

- Values of  $\mu_0$  within the confidence interval cannot be rejected regarding a significance level of  $\alpha$   
 → Reject  $H_0$  if  $\mu_0$  is not in the confidence interval



## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### Exercise 2.1

The consumption per person is measured in index values, where a high index value represents a high consumption. The following table embodies index values for 10 individuals before and after a tax increase.

Individual number, $i$	Index value		Difference, $d = a - b$
	previous to tax increase, $a$	after tax increase, $b$	
1	27	40	-13
2	31	36	-5
3	23	43	-20
4	35	34	1
5	26	25	1
6	27	41	-14
7	26	32	-6
8	18	29	-11
9	22	21	1
10	21	36	-15

- Determine if there is a significant difference in consumption prior to the tax increase and after, utilizing a hypothesis test (significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). The difference is assumed to be normally distributed.
- Check your result by applying `t.test()` in R.

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### Exercise 2.1

1.) i) 2 samples ii) dependent

2.)  $\mu_D = \mu_{\text{before}} - \mu_{\text{after}}$

$$H_0: \mu_{\text{before}} = \mu_{\text{after}} \Leftrightarrow H_0: \mu_D = \mu_0 = 0$$

$$H_1: \mu_{\text{before}} \neq \mu_{\text{after}} \Leftrightarrow H_1: \mu_D \neq \mu_0 = 0$$

3.)  $\rightarrow$  Paired t-Test:  $t_0 = \frac{\bar{d} - \mu_0}{s_d} \sqrt{n} \sim t_{n-1}$  and  $s_d^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - \bar{d})^2$

average Difference, $\bar{d}$	standard deviation of differences, $s_d$
-8.1	7.5931

$$t_0 = \frac{\bar{d} - \mu_0}{s_d} \sqrt{n} = \frac{-8.1 - 0}{7.5931} \sqrt{10} = -3.3734$$

4.)  $\alpha = 0.05$

5.)  $\rightarrow t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}; n-1}^c = t_{0.975; 9}^c = 2.262$

6.)  $|t_0| = 3.3734 > 2.262 = t_{0.975; 9}^c$

Reject  $H_0$ : difference is significant at  $\alpha = 0.05$  (tax has an effect on consumption)

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### Exercise 2.1

b) Check your result by applying `t.test()` in R.

```
#use paired=T
a <- c(27,31,23,35,26,27,26,18,22,21)
b <- c(40,36,43,34,25,41,32,29,21,36)
t.test(a,b,alternative = "two.sided", paired=T)

#<=> test if difference is significantly different from zero
d <- c(-13,-5,-20,1,1,-14,-6,-11,1,-15)
t.test(d)
```

Output:

```
Paired t-test

data: before and after
t = -3.3734, df = 9, p-value = 0.008213
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -13.531795 -2.668205
sample estimates:
mean of the differences
          -8.1
```

$H_0$  is rejected!

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### Exercise 2.2

According to the information supplied by the manufacturer of a certain type of car, its gas consumption in city traffic is approximately normally distributed with expected value  $\mu = 9.5\ell/100\text{km}$ . The standard deviation  $\sigma = 2.5\ell/100\text{km}$  is commonly known (to the general public and the manufacturer). In order to review the manufacturer's prediction, a consumer organization has performed a test on 25 cars which yielded the following result:

Average gas consumption:  $\bar{x} = 10.5\ell/100\text{km}$

Check the manufacturer's statement with a suitable test at significance level of  $\alpha = 0.05$  and a second time with  $\alpha = 0.01$ .

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### Exercise 2.2 (using test statistics criterion)


- 1.) i) One sample ii)  $\sigma_x$  known
- 2.)  $H_0: \mu_x = \mu_0 = 9.5$  (manufacturer's information correct)  
 $H_1: \mu_x \neq \mu_0 = 9.5$  (manufacturer's information not correct)
- 3.) → Gauss Test:

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_0 &= \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma_x} \sqrt{n} \\
 &= \frac{10.5 - 9.5}{2.5} \sqrt{25} \quad (\text{inserting values of exercise}) \\
 &= 2
 \end{aligned}$$

- 4.) Significance level: a)  $\alpha = 0.05$  b)  $\alpha = 0.01$
- 5.) Since it is two-sided test we use  $\alpha/2$  to find the critical value :  
a)  $1 - \alpha/2 = 1 - 0.025 = 0.975$ ;  $z^c = z_{0.975} \approx 1.96$   
b)  $1 - \alpha/2 = 1 - 0.005 = 0.995$ ;  $z^c = z_{0.995} \approx 2.58$
- 6.) 

a)  $z_0 = 2 > 1.96 \approx z^c \rightarrow H_0$  is rejected  
b)  $z_0 = 2 < 2.58 \approx z^c \rightarrow H_0$  is not rejected

Summary of a)  $\alpha = 0.05$



## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### Exercise 2.2 (using p-value criterion)

- 1.) i) One sample ii)  $\sigma_x$  known
- 2.)  $H_0: \mu_x = \mu_0 = 9.5$  (manufacturer's information correct)  
 $H_1: \mu_x \neq \mu_0 = 9.5$  (manufacturer's information not correct)
- 3.) → Gauss Test:

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_0 &= \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma_x} \sqrt{n} \\
 &= \frac{10.5 - 9.5}{2.5} \sqrt{25} \quad (\text{inserting values of exercise}) \\
 &= 2
 \end{aligned}$$

- 4.) Significance level: a)  $\alpha = 0.05$  b)  $\alpha = 0.01$
- 5.) Calculating p value corresponding to the test statistic:


$$\frac{p}{2} = 1 - \phi(z_0) \approx 1 - 0.97725 = 0.02275 \approx 0.023$$

(Note: since it is two sided test what we get from the test statistic is  $p/2$ )

- 6.) We compare  $p/2$  with  $\alpha/2$ :

a)	$p/2 \approx 0.023 < 0.025 = \alpha/2$	$\Rightarrow$	$p < \alpha$	$\Rightarrow H_0$ is rejected
b)	$p/2 \approx 0.023 > 0.005 = \alpha/2$	$\Rightarrow$	$p > \alpha$	$\Rightarrow H_0$ is not rejected

Summary of a)  $\alpha = 0.05$



## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### Exercise 2.3

During a recent study project, a friend of yours asked 8 men and 10 women how many hours per day they wear a mask during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. The following table shows their answers. Afterwards he/she set the hypothesis to "On average, women wear their mask longer per day".

- Test the hypothesis "by hand" with significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$  and 16 degrees of freedom.
- Find out how to solve this exercise using R.

Individual no. i	Hours per day	Gender
1	4	female
2	2	female
3	3	female
4	5	female
5	7	female
6	2	female
7	7	female
8	3	female
9	5	female
10	2	female
11	2	male
12	1	male
13	5	male
14	3	male
15	1	male
16	3	male
17	2	male
18	3	male

## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### Exercise 2.3 a)

- 1.) i) Two samples ii) independent
- 2.)  $H_0: \mu_D = \mu_f - \mu_m \leq \mu_0 = 0$   $H_1: \mu_D = \mu_f - \mu_m > \mu_0 = 0$
- 3.) → Welch Test:

$$t_0 = \frac{\bar{x}_f - \bar{x}_m - \mu_0}{s_{\bar{f}-\bar{m}}} \text{ and } s_{\bar{f}-\bar{m}}^2 = \frac{s_f^2}{n_f} + \frac{s_m^2}{n_m}$$

$$\bar{x}_f = \frac{4+2+3+5+7+2+7+3+5+2}{10} = 4 \text{ and } \bar{x}_m = \frac{2+1+5+3+1+3+2+3}{8} = 2.5$$

$$s_f^2 = \frac{(4-4)^2 + (2-4)^2 + (3-4)^2 + (5-4)^2 + (7-4)^2 + (2-4)^2 + (7-4)^2 + (3-4)^2 + (5-4)^2 + (2-4)^2}{10-1}$$

$$= \frac{0^2 + (-2)^2 + (-1)^2 + 1^2 + 3^2 + (-2)^2 + 3^2 + (-1)^2 + 1^2 + (-2)^2}{9} = 3.778$$

$$s_m^2 = \frac{(-0.5)^2 + (-1.5)^2 + 2.5^2 + 0.5^2 + (-1.5)^2 + 0.5^2 + (-0.5)^2 + 0.5^2}{7} = 1.714$$

$$s_{\bar{f}-\bar{m}}^2 = \frac{3.778}{10} + \frac{1.714}{8} = 0.592 \rightarrow s_{\bar{f}-\bar{m}} = 0.769$$

$$t_0 = \frac{1.5}{0.769} = 1.949$$

- 4.) Significance level:  $\alpha = 0.05$
- 5.)  $t_{0.95;16}^c = 1.746$
- 6.)  $t_0 = 1.949 > 1.746 = t^c \rightarrow H_0$  can be rejected.

df = 16 was given in the exercise, but can also be calculated by hand

$$df = \frac{(s_{\bar{f}-\bar{m}}^2)^2}{\frac{s_f^4}{n_f^2(n_f-1)} + \frac{s_m^4}{n_m^2(n_m-1)}}$$

→ Regarding a significance level of it can be concluded that on average, women wear their mask longer.



## Tutorial 2 Business Analytics: Statistics

### Exercise 2.3 b)

The same result can be achieved by using R as follows:

```
> female <- c(4,2,3,5,7,2,7,3,5,2)
> male <- c(2,1,5,3,1,3,2,3)
> t.test(female, male, alternative="greater", paired=F)
```

Output:

```
Welch Two Sample t-test
data: female and male
t = 1.9494, df = 15.637, p-value = 0.03471
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is greater than 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.1547037      Inf
```