Tutorial Business Analytics

Homework 9 - Solution

Exercise 9.3

Apply k-means clustering for the following items and initial cluster centers a and b.

p _i	х
1	1
2	2
3	7

p _i	х
a	3
*	0

Solution 9.3

1. Assign instances to nearest cluster centre

p _i	х
1	1
2	2
3	7

p _i	х
a	3
*	0

2. Update cluster centre

p _i	х
1	1
2	2
3	7

p _i	х
a	4.5
*	1

3. Assign instances to nearest cluster

p _i	х
1	1
2	2
3	7

4. Update cluster centre

p _i	х
1	1
2	2
3	7

p _i	х
a	7
*	1.5

5. Assign instances to nearest cluster centre – no reassignment: termination

Exercise 9.4

We want to automatize the Expectation Maximization algorithm using R. This will allow us to run a higher number of phases and to solve different instances. However, we assume that we will stick to two clusters only. As an example we solve the instance from Exercise 9.2.

a) Write a function in R which, for a given vector x and parameters μ_A , σ_A , returns the solution of $f(x,\mu_A,\sigma_A)=\frac{1}{\sigma_A\cdot\sqrt{2\pi}}\cdot e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_A)^2}{(z\cdot\sigma_A^2)}}$. (Hint: Have a look at https://www.statmethods.net/management/userfunctions.html to see how to write a function in R.)

```
myf 	function(x, mu, sigma) {
    [...]
    return(...)
}
```

b) Initialize your start values.

```
values ← c(.76,.86,1.12,3.05,3.51,3.75)
mu_a ← 1.12
sigma_a ← 1
p_a ← .5
mu_b ← 3.05
sigma_b ← 1
p_b ← .5
```

 Build a for-loop which repeats the expectation and the maximization step for two times.

```
for (i in 1:2) {
    #Calculate likelihoods
    [...]
    #Update parameters
    [...]
    mu_a ← ...
    sigma_a ← ...
    p_a ← ...
    #same for b
    [...]
}
```

d) Experiment with the following starting parameters and higher numbers of repetition (increase the counter in the for-loop). What do you observe?

Sigma a = sigma b = 1, p a = p b = 0.5

mu_a	mu_b
.76	3.75
.86	1.12

Solution 9.4

See R Script Exercise 9.4.

Exercise 9.5

- a) Name benefits that an ensemble model (ideally) has in comparison to a single model
- b) In terms of the training process, what is a major difference between bagging and boosting?

Solution 9.5

- a) Ensemble models tend to be more stable than single models. As the final prediction is the summary of a lot of different "expert opinions", a small change in the input data does not necessarily change the final prediction. Moreover, the combining of models might reduce the predictor's variance. Collectively can lead to better prediction performances.
- b) The bagging method draws samples and then trains several models at the same time, which is why it can be easily parallelized. In boosting on the other hand, the kth model depends on the prediction of the k-1th, the k-1th model depends on the prediction of the k-2th model and so on (the weights of the data change with each prediction model). In addition, boosting tends to overfit more easily than bagging.