## Machine Learning Exercise Sheet 10

## **Dimensionality Reduction & Matrix Factorization**

## In-class Exercises

**Problem 1:** In this exercise, we use proof by induction to show that the linear projection onto an M-dimensional subspace that maximizes the variance of the projected data is defined by the M eigenvectors of the data covariance matrix S, given by

$$S = rac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (oldsymbol{x}_n - ar{oldsymbol{x}}) (oldsymbol{x}_n - ar{oldsymbol{x}})^T \qquad ar{oldsymbol{x}} = rac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} oldsymbol{x}_n$$

corresponding to the M largest eigenvalues. In Section 12.1 in Bishop this result was proven for the case of M=1. Now suppose the result holds for some general value of M and show that it consequently holds for dimensionality M+1. To do this, first set the derivative of the variance of the projected data with respect to a vector  $\mathbf{u}_{M+1}$  defining the new direction in data space equal to zero. This should be done subject to the constraints that  $\mathbf{u}_{M+1}$  be orthogonal to the existing vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots \mathbf{u}_M$ , and also that it be normalized to unit length. Use Lagrange multipliers to enforce these constraints. Then make use of the orthonormality properties of the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_M$  to show that the new vector  $\mathbf{u}_{M+1}$  is an eigenvector of S. Finally, show that the variance is maximized if the eigenvector is chosen to be the one corresponding to eigenvector  $\lambda_{M+1}$  where the eigenvalues have been ordered in decreasing value.

Suppose that the result holds for projection spaces of dimensionality M. The M+1 dimensional principal subspace will be defined by the M principal eigenvectors  $\boldsymbol{u}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{u}_M$  together with an additional direction vector  $\boldsymbol{u}_{M+1}$  whose value we wish to determine. We must constrain  $\boldsymbol{u}_{M+1}$  such that it cannot be linearly related to  $\boldsymbol{u}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{u}_M$  (otherwise it will lie in the M-dimensional projection space instead of defining an M+1 independent direction). This can easily be achieved by requiring that  $\boldsymbol{u}_{M+1}$  be orthogonal to  $\boldsymbol{u}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{u}_M$ , and these constraints can be enforced using Lagrange multipliers  $\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_M$ .

Following the argument given in section 12.1.1 for  $u_1$  we see that the variance in the direction  $u_{M+1}$  is given by  $u_{M+1}^T S u_{M+1}$ . We now maximize this using a Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda_{M+1}$  to enforce the normalization constraint  $u_{M+1}^T u_{M+1} = 1$ . Thus we seek a maximum of the function:

$$m{u}_{M+1}^T m{S} m{u}_{M+1} + \lambda_{M+1} (1 - m{u}_{M+1}^T m{u}_{M+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^M \eta_i m{u}_{M+1}^T m{u}_i$$

with respect to  $u_{M+1}$ . The stationary points occur when

$$0 = 2Su_{M+1} - 2\lambda_{M+1}u_{M+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \eta_{i}u_{i}$$

Left multiplying with  $u_j^T$ , and using the orthogonality constraints, we see that  $\eta_j = 0$  for j = 1, ..., M. We therefore obtain

$$Su_{M+1} = \lambda_{M+1}u_{M+1}$$

and so  $u_{M+1}$  must be an eigenvector of S with eigenvalue  $\lambda_{M+1}$ . The variance in the direction  $u_{M+1}$  is given by  $u_{M+1}^T S u_{M+1} = \lambda_{M+1}$  and so is maximized by choosing  $u_{M+1}$  to be the eigenvector having the largest eigenvalue amongst those not previously selected. Thus the result holds also for projection spaces of dimensionality M+1, which completes the inductive step. Since we have already shown this result explicitly for M=1 if follows that the result must hold for any  $M \ll D$ .

**Problem 2:** Proof that minimizing the error is equivalent to maximizing the variance.

See Bishop chapter 12.1.2.