

**Machine Learning for Graphs and Sequential Data Exercise Sheet 03****VAE & GAN**

---

**Problem 1:** Below we show pseudocode for implementing 3 autoencoder-like neural net architectures. The observed data is denoted as  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ . Here,  $g_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^L$  and  $f_\psi : \mathbb{R}^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$  are fully connected feedforward neural networks with learnable parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\psi$ . The output layers of  $g_\lambda$  and  $f_\psi$  have no (i.e. have linear) activation functions.  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the normal distribution,  $\mathbf{I}_N$  is the  $N \times N$  identity matrix, and  $\mathbf{0}_N$  is the vector of all zeros of length  $N$ .

For each of the architectures below, explain whether it's **necessary** to use the reparametrization trick to compute the gradient of the loss  $\mathcal{L}$  w.r.t. **both**  $\lambda$  and  $\psi$ . Answer “Yes” or “No” and provide a justification. If the answer is “Yes”, modify the code to implement the reparametrization trick.

a) Model 1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}_i &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{I}_D) \\ \mathbf{h}_i &= g_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_i) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i &= f_\psi(\mathbf{h}_i) \\ \mathcal{L} &= \|\mathbf{x}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

b) Model 2

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_i &= g_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \mathbf{z}_i &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{I}_L) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i &= f_\psi(\mathbf{z}_i) \\ \mathcal{L} &= \|\mathbf{x}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

c) Model 3

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_i &= g_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \mathbf{z}_i &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_L, \mathbf{I}_L) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i &= f_\psi(\mathbf{h}_i + \mathbf{z}_i) \\ \mathcal{L} &= \|\mathbf{x}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

---

**Problem 2:** Consider the same setup as in the previous problem. The model specified below is **not well defined**. Your task is to find the problem with the model and modify the pseudo code to fix it.

In addition, if you think it's **necessary** to use the reparametrization trick, include it in your implementation.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_i &= g_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \mathbf{z}_i &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_L, \text{diag}(\mathbf{h}_i)) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i &= f_{\psi}(\mathbf{z}_i) \\ \mathcal{L} &= \|\mathbf{x}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 3:** The loss used in generative adversarial networks (GANs) can be written in the following form:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \max_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \max_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \mathbb{E}_{p^*(\mathbf{x})}[\log D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{x})] + \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{z})}[\log(1 - D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{z})))]$$

where  $p^*(\mathbf{x})$  is the true data distribution,  $p(\mathbf{z})$  is the distribution of the noise,  $f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  is the generator, and  $D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$  is the discriminator.

- a) For a given generator (fixed parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ) assume there exists a discriminator  $D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}^*}(\mathbf{x})$  with parameters  $\boldsymbol{\phi}^*$  such that for all  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}^*}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p^*(\mathbf{x})}{p^*(\mathbf{x}) + p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x})}$$

where  $p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x})$  is the distribution learned by the generator. Show that  $D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}^*}$  is **optimal**, i.e.  $\boldsymbol{\phi}^* = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi})$ .

Hint:  $\arg \max_y [a \log(y) + b \log(1 - y)] = \frac{a}{a+b}$  for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_0^+, a + b > 0$ .

- b) What is value of the optimal  $D_{\boldsymbol{\phi}^*}(\mathbf{x})$  when:

- The generator is optimal i.e.  $p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = p^*(\mathbf{x})$
  - The generator assigns a zero probability  $p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  to a sample  $\mathbf{x}$  whereas  $p^*(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$
  - The generator assigns a non-zero probability  $p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$  to a sample  $\mathbf{x}$  whereas  $p^*(\mathbf{x}) = 0$
-