

IN4050 Sample Questions for Final Exam

Topics: Evaluation and Bias-variance tradeoff

1- For a binary classification problem with a large class imbalance, you decide to evaluate the model using both ROC-AUC and Precision-Recall AUC. The model achieves a high ROC-AUC but a low Precision-Recall AUC. What is the most likely reason for this discrepancy?

- A) The model has high sensitivity but low specificity.
- B) The model has high precision but low recall.
- C) The model performs well on the majority class but poorly on the minority class.
- D) The model is underfitting both classes.

2- For a model's predictions on a binary classification problem at a given threshold, the F1-score is 0.75. The model has 40 true positives (TP) and 10 false positives (FP). Calculate the model's recall.

- A) 0.50
- B) 0.60
- C) 0.67
- D) 0.71

3- Suppose you are tuning hyperparameters using grid search and k-fold cross-validation with $k=5$. Approximately how many models will be trained in total if three hyperparameters are each tested with five different values?

- A) 75
- B) 125
- C) 625
- D) 1215

4- Which of the following techniques can help reduce variance in a model?

- A) Adding more features
- B) Using ensemble methods like bagging
- C) Decreasing the size of the training data
- D) Increasing the model complexity

5- In a regularized linear regression model, both Ridge (L2) and Lasso (L1) regularization terms are applied. The regularization parameters are set as $\lambda_2=0.5$ and $\lambda_1=0.3$ for L1 regularization. Given the weight vector $w=[2, -1, 3]$, what is the total penalty added to the loss function by the regularization terms? (*Hint: Calculate the L2 penalty and the L1 penalty separately, then add them to get the total penalty.*)

- A) 2.8
- B) 4.2
- C) 6
- D) 8.8

Solution 1

- ROC-AUC looks at how well the model separates the two classes overall; it considers both positives and negatives. So even if the model mostly predicts the majority class correctly, the ROC-AUC can still look high.
- Precision-Recall AUC, on the other hand, focuses only on the positive (minority) class. If the model struggles to detect the minority class, the Precision-Recall AUC will be low.
- The model seems “good” overall (ROC-AUC high) because it gets the majority class right, but it’s actually bad at finding the rare class, so the PR-AUC is low. So, the correct answer is that the model performs well on the majority class but poorly on the minority class (C).

Solution 2

- now that you've provided F1-score = 0.75, TP = 40, and FP = 10, we can solve for recall.
- $\text{Precision} = \text{TP} / (\text{FP} + \text{TP}) = 40 / (10 + 40) = 40 / 50 = 0.8$

$$\text{F1} = 2 * \frac{\text{Precision} * \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \rightarrow$$

$$0.75 = 2 * \frac{0.8 * \text{Recall}}{0.8 + \text{Recall}} \rightarrow 0.6 + (0.75 * \text{Recall}) = 1.6 * \text{Recall} \rightarrow$$

$$\text{Recall} = 0.6 / 0.85 \approx 0.7059 \text{ when rounded to 2 decimal places: } \approx 0.71$$

Solution 3

- Given: 3 hyperparameters, each tested with 5 different values, using 5-fold cross-validation.
- Each combination of hyperparameter values forms one model configuration. So, total combinations = $5 * 5 * 5 = 5^3 = 125$
- For each of those 125 configurations, k-fold CV trains one model per fold, i.e. 5 models.
- So, total trained models = $125 \text{ combinations} * 5 \text{ folds} = 625 \text{ models}$.

Solution 4

- Correct answer: B) Using ensemble methods like bagging
- Variance means the model changes too much when trained on different data; it overfits.

Bagging (Bootstrap Aggregating) trains many models on random subsets of the data and averages their results. This helps smooth out the noise and makes predictions more stable.

- Why not the other options:
- A) Adding more features → Can increase variance (model becomes more complex).
- C) Decreasing training data → Makes variance worse, not better.
- D) Increasing model complexity → Also increases variance (model overfits more).

Solution 5

- λ_2 (L2 penalty weight) = 0.5
- λ_1 (L1 penalty weight) = 0.3
- $w = [2, -1, 3]$
- Compute the L2 penalty:
 - $R(w) = \sum_{i=0}^m w_i^2 = 0.5 \times (2^2 + (-1)^2 + 3^2) = 0.5 \times (4 + 1 + 9) = 0.5 \times 14 = 7$
- Compute the L1 penalty
 - $R(w) = \sum_{i=0}^m |w_i| = 0.3 \times (|2| + |-1| + |3|) = 0.3 \times (2 + 1 + 3) = 0.3 \times 6 = 1.8$
- Total penalty = L2 penalty + L1 penalty = $7 + 1.8 = 8.8$
- Final Answer: D