

Solutions to Exercises in Steve Awodey's Book

Andreas Lyngé

July 19, 2018

Contents

Exercise 4.5.1	2
Exercise 5.7.9	3
Exercise 5.7.11	4

Exercise 4.5.1 Regarding a group G as a category with one object and every arrow an isomorphism:

- (a) Show that a categorical congruence \sim on G is the same thing as (the equivalence relation on G determined by) a normal subgroup $N \subseteq G$, that is, show that the two kinds of things are in isomorphic correspondence.
- (b) Show further that the quotient category G/\sim and the factor group G/N coincide.
- (c) Conclude that the homomorphism theorem for groups is a special case of the one for categories.

Solution. To solve this exercise I will make use of page 81 [1], where Awodey defines an equivalence relation \sim_N , determined by a normal subgroup $N \subseteq G$, such that

$$g \sim_N h \quad \text{iff} \quad g \cdot h^{-1} \in N \quad \text{for } g, h \in G \quad (1)$$

I will begin with part (a). First, let $N \subseteq G$ be a normal subgroup in order to show that \sim_N is a categorical congruence. If $r, s \in G$ and $r \sim_N s$ then $r \cdot s^{-1} \in N$, and since N is normal we have

$$(g \cdot r \cdot h) \cdot (h^{-1} \cdot s^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}) = g \cdot (r \cdot s^{-1}) \cdot g^{-1} \in N \quad \text{for } g, h \in G$$

The above equation shows that

$$g \cdot r \cdot h \sim (h^{-1} \cdot s^{-1} \cdot g^{-1})^{-1} = g \cdot s \cdot h$$

and \sim_N is therefore a categorical congruence. On the other hand, let \sim be a categorical congruence on G regarded as a category. The goal is now to define a normal subgroup $N \subseteq G$ with corresponding equivalence relation \sim_N satisfying

$$g \sim_N h \quad \text{iff} \quad g \sim h \quad \text{for } g, h \in G \quad (2)$$

Given definition (1), $N := \{g \cdot h^{-1} \mid g, h \in G \text{ and } g \sim h\}$ seems to be a natural candidate. If $g \sim h$ then $g \cdot h^{-1} \in N$ and thus $g \sim_N h$ by definition (1). If $g \sim_N h$ then $g \cdot h^{-1} \in N$ so there exist $r, s \in G$ where $g \cdot h^{-1} = r \cdot s^{-1}$ and $r \sim s$. Since \sim is a categorical congruence we get $g \cdot h^{-1} = r \cdot s^{-1} \sim s \cdot s^{-1} = u$, implying $g \sim h$. This shows that equation (2) is satisfied. It remains to show that $N \subseteq G$ is a normal subgroup. Let $g \cdot h^{-1} \in N$ and $r \cdot s^{-1} \in N$ such that $g \sim h$ and $r \sim s$, and we get

$$g \cdot h^{-1} \sim h \cdot h^{-1} = u = r \cdot r^{-1} \sim s \cdot r^{-1}$$

Transitivity implies that $(g \cdot h^{-1}) \cdot (s \cdot r^{-1})^{-1} = (g \cdot h^{-1}) \cdot (r \cdot s^{-1}) \in N$ and since $u \in N$ by reflexivity and N is closed under inverse by symmetry, N is a subgroup. Now, let $r \cdot s^{-1} \in N$ and $g \in G$. It follows that $g \cdot r \sim (s^{-1} g^{-1})^{-1} = g \cdot s$ because $r \sim s$ and \sim is a congruence, hence $g \cdot (r \cdot s^{-1}) \cdot g^{-1} \in N$ and N is normal.

When I looked at the solution on page 288 I discovered that Awodey defines the normal subgroup as $\bar{N} := \{g \in G \mid g \sim u\}$. Luckily, it turns out that $\bar{N} = N$, as I will show. If $g \in \bar{N}$ then $g \sim u$, so $g = g \cdot u^{-1} \in N$. If $r \cdot s^{-1} \in N$ then $r \sim s$, so $r \cdot s^{-1} \sim u$ and $r \cdot s^{-1} \in \bar{N}$ as required.

I will continue with part (b). Let $N \subseteq G$ be a normal subgroup determining equivalence relation \sim_N . By part (a), $g \sim h := g \sim_N h$ is a categorical congruence, hence $G/\sim = G/\sim_N$ by definition on page 81 and 84 [1] respectively. In the other direction.

Let \sim be a categorical congruence, by part (a) there exists a normal subgroup $N \subseteq G$ with corresponding equivalence relation \sim_N satisfying equation (2). It follows that $G/N = G/\sim$ by definition.

Part (c). The homomorphism theorem for groups states that:

If $h : G \rightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism and $N \subseteq G$ a normal subgroup, then $N \subseteq \ker(h)$ iff there is a unique homomorphism $\bar{h} : G/N \rightarrow H$ with $\bar{h} \circ \pi = h$, where $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$ is the quotient.

The homomorphism theorem for categories states that:

Every functor $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ has a kernel category $\ker(F)$, determined by a congruence \sim_F on \mathbf{C} such that given any congruence \sim on \mathbf{C} one has $f \sim g \Rightarrow f \sim_F g$ iff there is a functor $\bar{F} : \mathbf{C}/\sim \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ with $\bar{F} \circ \pi = F$, where $\pi : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}/\sim$ is the quotient.

Assume that $h : G \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism between groups, which is the same thing as a functor $F := h$ if $\mathbf{C} := G$ and $\mathbf{D} := H$ are regarded as categories. Using the correspondence from part (a), we have from part (b) that G/N coincide with G/\sim , hence it suffices to show:

- (i) If $N \subseteq G$ is a normal subgroup then $N \subseteq \ker(h)$ implies $f \sim_N g \Rightarrow f \sim_h g$, where \sim_N is given by definition (1).
- (ii) If \sim is a categorical congruence on G then $f \sim g \Rightarrow f \sim_h g$ implies $N \subseteq \ker(h)$, where $N = \{g \cdot h^{-1} \mid g, h \in G \text{ and } g \sim h\}$.

In order to prove (i), assume $N \subseteq \ker(h)$ is a normal subgroup of G . If $f \sim_N g$ then $f \cdot g^{-1} \in N \subseteq \ker(h)$, hence $h(f) = h(g)$ and by definition on page 84 [1] we have $f \sim_h g$. To show (ii), assume \sim is categorical congruence on G and $f \sim g \Rightarrow f \sim_h g$. Let $r \cdot s^{-1} \in N$ such that $r \sim s$ and by assumption $r \sim_h s$, by definition of \sim_h we have $h(r) = h(s)$. This shows that $r \cdot s^{-1} \in \ker(h)$ and therefore $N \subseteq \ker(h)$. \square

Exercise 5.7.9 Suppose the category \mathbf{C} has limits of type \mathbf{J} , for some index category \mathbf{J} . For diagrams F and G of type \mathbf{J} in \mathbf{C} , a morphism of diagrams $\theta : F \rightarrow G$ consists of arrows $\theta_i : F_i \rightarrow G_i$ for each $i \in \mathbf{J}$ such that for each $\alpha : i \rightarrow j$ in \mathbf{J} , one has $\theta_j F(\alpha) = G(\alpha) \theta_i$ (a commutative square).

- (a) This makes **Diagrams**(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{C}) into a category (check this).
- (b) Show that taking the vertex-objects of limiting cones determines a functor:

$$\lim_{\leftarrow \mathbf{J}} : \mathbf{Diagrams}(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

- (c) Infer that for any set I , there is a product functor,

$$\prod_{i \in I} : \mathbf{Sets}^I \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$$

Solution. I begin with (a). If $\theta : F \rightarrow G$ and $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ are morphisms of diagrams of type \mathbf{J} , then define the composite $\phi \circ \theta$ to consist of arrows $\phi_i \theta_i : F_i \rightarrow H_i$ for each $i \in \mathbf{J}$. Define the identity morphism 1_F of F to consist of arrows $1_{F_i} : F_i \rightarrow F_i$ for each $i \in \mathbf{J}$. The associativity and unit laws are inherited from those of \mathbf{C} . For (b), let

$$\lim_{\leftarrow \mathbf{J}}(F) = \lim_{\leftarrow i} F_i \quad \text{where } F : \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \text{ is a diagram}$$

If there is a morphism $\theta : F \rightarrow G$ of diagrams of type \mathbf{J} , then $\lim_{\leftarrow i} F_i$ is a cone to G , hence there exists a unique arrow $\bar{\theta} : \lim_{\leftarrow i} F_i \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow i} G_i$ from $\lim_{\leftarrow \mathbf{J}}(F)$ to $\lim_{\leftarrow \mathbf{J}}(G)$ since $\lim_{\leftarrow \mathbf{J}}(G) = \lim_{\leftarrow i} G_i$ is terminal object in the category of cones to G . Define the operation of $\lim_{\leftarrow \mathbf{J}}$ on an arrow to be

$$\lim_{\leftarrow \mathbf{J}}(\theta : F \rightarrow G) = \bar{\theta} : \lim_{\leftarrow \mathbf{J}}(F) \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow \mathbf{J}}(G)$$

By uniqueness of $\bar{\theta}$, $\lim_{\leftarrow \mathbf{J}}$ is forced to preserve identity and composition. The product functor from (c) can be defined by

$$\prod_{i \in I}(X) = \prod_{i \in I} X_i \quad \text{where } X : I \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets} \text{ is a diagram}$$

This is a special case of the functor $\lim_{\leftarrow \mathbf{J}} : \mathbf{Diagrams}(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$ and $\mathbf{J} = I$, where I is regarded as a discrete category. \square

Exercise 5.7.11 Let $R \subseteq X \times X$ be an equivalence relation on a set X , with quotient $q : X \twoheadrightarrow Q$. Show that the following is an equaliser:

$$\mathcal{P}Q \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}q} \mathcal{P}X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}r_1} \\ \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}r_2} \end{array} \mathcal{P}R$$

where $r_1, r_2 R \rightrightarrows X$ are the two projections of R , and \mathcal{P} is the (contravariant) powerset functor. (Hint: $\mathcal{P}X \cong 2^X$).

Solution. Example 5.12 [1] shows that the contravariant powerset functor is representable by giving a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{P}(X) \cong 2^X$, and since contravariant representable functors map colimits to limits it suffices to show that the following diagram is a coequaliser

$$R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{r_1} \\ \xrightarrow{r_2} \end{array} X \xrightarrow{q} Q$$

But this has already been shown in example 3.20 [1]. \square

References

- [1] Steve Awodey (2010), *Category Theory, Second Edition*