(see Figure 4) of a type discussed by Clulow, [4, Section 2.3]. Here the key  $k_2$  is first wrapped under  $k_2$  itself, and then unwrapped, gaining a new handle  $h(n_3, k_2)$ . The intruder then wraps  $k_1$  under  $k_2$ , and sets the decrypt attribute on handle  $h(n_3, k_2)$ , allowing him to ob $tain k_1$ . **Initial state:** The intruder knows the handles  $h(n_1, k_1), h(n_2, k_2); n_1$  has the attributes sensitive, extract and whereas  $n_2$  has the attribute extract set. Trace: Set\_wrap:  $h(n_2, k_2)$  $wrap(n_2)$  $\longrightarrow$  $h(n_2, k_2), h(n_2, k_2)$ Wrap:  $senc(k_2, k_2)$  $unwrap(n_2)$ Set\_unwrap:  $h(n_2, k_2)$ new n<sub>4</sub> Unwrap:  $h(n_2, k_2), senc(k_2, k_2)$  $h(n_4, k_2)$ Wrap:  $h(n_2, k_2), h(n_1, k_1)$  $senc(k_1, k_2)$ Set\_decrypt:  $h(n_4, k_2)$  $decrypt(n_4)$ SDecrypt:  $h(n_4, k_2)$ , senc $(k_1, k_2)$  $k_1$ Figure 4. Attack discovered in Experiment 3

**Experiment 3.** To prevent the attack shown in Figure 3, we add encrypt and unwrap to the list of conflicting attribute pairs. Another new attack is discovered