Literature – Bachelor’s Thesis:

Domain Adapted Topic Modelling for Alt-tech Social Media

**Topic Modelling:**

* Neural topic models: Zhao, H., Phung, D., Huynh, V., Jin, Y., Du, L., & Buntine, W. (2021). Topic modelling meets deep neural networks: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.00498.Topic Modelling Meets Deep Neural Networks: A Survey

-> "We recommend transformer-based language models for the topic modelling, e.g., chapter 3.6"

-> evaluation metrics: perplexity, topic coherence, topic diversity (kick-off email BT BWL)

@article{zhao2021topic,  
 title={Topic modelling meets deep neural networks: A survey},  
 author={Zhao, He and Phung, Dinh and Huynh, Viet and Jin, Yuan and Du, Lan and Buntine, Wray},  
 journal={arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.00498},  
 year={2021}  
}

* Neural vs non-neural topic models on COVID-19 Twitter data: Bennett, A., Misra, D., & Than, N. (2021). Have you tried Neural Topic Models? Comparative Analysis of Neural and Non-Neural Topic Models with Application to COVID-19 Twitter Data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.10165.-> results: neural topic models outperform classical topic models on standard evaluation metrics: perplexity, topic coherence with NPMI, topic diversity with topic gab, human evaluation @article{bennett2021have,  
   title={Have you tried Neural Topic Models? Comparative Analysis of Neural and Non-Neural Topic Models with Application to COVID-19 Twitter Data},  
   author={Bennett, Andrew and Misra, Dipendra and Than, Nga},  
   journal={arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.10165},  
   year={2021}  
  }
* BERTopic vs LDA vs NMF on Arabic data: Abuzayed, A., & Al-Khalifa, H. (2021). BERT for Arabic topic modeling: an experimental study on BERTopic technique. Procedia Computer Science, 189, 191-194. (paper + GitHub code) -> evaluation measure: NPMI

@article{abuzayed2021bert,  
 title={BERT for Arabic topic modeling: an experimental study on BERTopic technique},  
 author={Abuzayed, Abeer and Al-Khalifa, Hend},  
 journal={Procedia Computer Science},  
 volume={189},  
 pages={191--194},  
 year={2021},  
 publisher={Elsevier}  
}

|  |
| --- |
| * LDA, NMF, Top2Vec vs. BERTopic on Twitter data: Egger, R., & Yu, J. (2022). A Topic Modeling Comparison Between LDA, NMF, Top2Vec, and BERTopic to Demystify Twitter Posts. Frontiers in Sociology, 7.   @article{egger2022topic,  title={A Topic Modeling Comparison Between LDA, NMF, Top2Vec, and BERTopic to Demystify Twitter Posts},  author={Egger, Roman and Yu, Joanne},  journal={Frontiers in Sociology},  volume={7},  year={2022},  publisher={Frontiers Media SA} } |

* LDA vs. BERTopic on Coronavirus Corpus: Mifrah, S., & Benlahmar, E. H. (2022). Topic Modeling with Transformers for Sentence-Level Using Coronavirus Corpus. International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies, 16(17). -> evaluation measure: CV + nice graphics

@article{mifrah2022topic,  
 title={Topic Modeling with Transformers for Sentence-Level Using Coronavirus Corpus.},  
 author={Mifrah, Sara and Benlahmar, El Habib},  
 journal={International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies},  
 volume={16},  
 number={17},  
 year={2022}  
}

* LDA, NMF vs neural topic models: Doan, T. N., & Hoang, T. A. (2021, August). Benchmarking neural topic models: An empirical study. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021 (pp. 4363-4368). -> evaluation measure: NPMI

@inproceedings{doan2021benchmarking,  
 title={Benchmarking neural topic models: An empirical study},  
 author={Doan, Thanh-Nam and Hoang, Tuan-Anh},  
 booktitle={Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021},  
 pages={4363--4368},  
 year={2021}  
}

**Traditional Topic Models:**

* LDA: Blei, D. M., Ng, A. Y., & Jordan, M. I. (2003). Latent dirichlet allocation. Machine Learning Research, 3, 993-1022.

@article{blei2003latent,  
 title={Latent dirichlet allocation},  
 author={Blei, David M and Ng, Andrew Y and Jordan, Michael I},  
 journal={Journal of machine Learning research},  
 volume={3},  
 pages={993--1022},  
 year={2003}  
}

* NMF: Lee, D., & Seung, H. S. (2000). Algorithms for non-negative matrix factorization. Advances in neural information processing systems, 13.

@article{lee2000algorithms,  
 title={Algorithms for non-negative matrix factorization},  
 author={Lee, Daniel and Seung, H Sebastian},  
 journal={Advances in neural information processing systems},  
 volume={13},  
 year={2000}  
}

**BERTopic:**

* BERTopic Paper: Grootendorst, M. (2022). BERTopic: Neural topic modeling with a class-based TF-IDF procedure. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.05794.

@article{grootendorst2022bertopic,  
 title={BERTopic: Neural topic modeling with a class-based TF-IDF procedure},  
 author={Grootendorst, Maarten},  
 journal={arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.05794},  
 year={2022}  
}

* BERTopic blog post: <https://maartengr.github.io/BERTopic/algorithm/algorithm.html>
* BERTopic GitHub repository: <https://github.com/MaartenGr/BERTopic>
* UMAP: McInnes, L., Healy, J., & Melville, J. (2018). Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03426.

@article{mcinnes2018umap,  
 title={Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction},  
 author={McInnes, Leland and Healy, John and Melville, James},  
 journal={arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03426},  
 year={2018}  
}

* HDBSCAN: McInnes, L., Healy, J., & Astels, S. (2017). hdbscan: Hierarchical density based clustering. J. Open Source Softw., 2(11), 205.

@article{mcinnes2017hdbscan,  
 title={hdbscan: Hierarchical density based clustering.},  
 author={McInnes, Leland and Healy, John and Astels, Steve},  
 journal={J. Open Source Softw.},  
 volume={2},  
 number={11},  
 pages={205},  
 year={2017}  
}

* Transformer: Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., ... & Polosukhin, I. (2017). Attention is all you need. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30.

@article{vaswani2017attention,  
 title={Attention is all you need},  
 author={Vaswani, Ashish and Shazeer, Noam and Parmar, Niki and Uszkoreit, Jakob and Jones, Llion and Gomez, Aidan N and Kaiser, {\L}ukasz and Polosukhin, Illia},  
 journal={Advances in neural information processing systems},  
 volume={30},  
 year={2017}  
}

* BERT: Devlin, J., Chang, M. W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2018). Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805.

@article{devlin2018bert,  
 title={Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding},  
 author={Devlin, Jacob and Chang, Ming-Wei and Lee, Kenton and Toutanova, Kristina},  
 journal={arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805},  
 year={2018}  
}

* Sentence-BERT: Reimers, N., & Gurevych, I. (2019). Sentence-bert: Sentence embeddings using siamese bert-networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.10084.

@article{reimers2019sentence,  
 title={Sentence-bert: Sentence embeddings using siamese bert-networks},  
 author={Reimers, Nils and Gurevych, Iryna},  
 journal={arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.10084},  
 year={2019}  
}

* TSDAE: Wang, K., Reimers, N., & Gurevych, I. (2021). Tsdae: Using transformer-based sequential denoising auto-encoder for unsupervised sentence embedding learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.06979.
* @article{wang2021tsdae,  
   title={Tsdae: Using transformer-based sequential denoising auto-encoder for unsupervised sentence embedding learning},  
   author={Wang, Kexin and Reimers, Nils and Gurevych, Iryna},  
   journal={arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.06979},  
   year={2021}  
  }
* SimCSE: Gao, T., Yao, X., & Chen, D. (2021). Simcse: Simple contrastive learning of sentence embeddings. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08821.

@article{gao2021simcse,  
 title={Simcse: Simple contrastive learning of sentence embeddings},  
 author={Gao, Tianyu and Yao, Xingcheng and Chen, Danqi},  
 journal={arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08821},  
 year={2021}  
}

**Evaluation of Topic Models:**

* Perplexity/held out likelihood: Wallach, H. M., Murray, I., Salakhutdinov, R., & Mimno, D. (2009, June). Evaluation methods for topic models. In Proceedings of the 26th annual international conference on machine learning (pp. 1105-1112).

@inproceedings{wallach2009evaluation,  
 title={Evaluation methods for topic models},  
 author={Wallach, Hanna M and Murray, Iain and Salakhutdinov, Ruslan and Mimno, David},  
 booktitle={Proceedings of the 26th annual international conference on machine learning},  
 pages={1105--1112},  
 year={2009}  
}

* Human judgement: Chang, J., Gerrish, S., Wang, C., Boyd-Graber, J., & Blei, D. (2009). Reading tea leaves: How humans interpret topic models. Advances in neural information processing systems, 22. -> perplexity negatively correlated with human judgement (kick-off email)

@article{chang2009reading,  
 title={Reading tea leaves: How humans interpret topic models},  
 author={Chang, Jonathan and Gerrish, Sean and Wang, Chong and Boyd-Graber, Jordan and Blei, David},  
 journal={Advances in neural information processing systems},  
 volume={22},  
 year={2009}  
}

* PMI: Newman, D., Lau, J. H., Grieser, K., & Baldwin, T. (2010, June). Automatic evaluation of topic coherence. In Human language technologies: The 2010 annual conference of the North American chapter of the association for computational linguistics (pp. 100-108). -> introduction of PMI as topic coherence measure

@inproceedings{newman2010automatic,  
 title={Automatic evaluation of topic coherence},  
 author={Newman, David and Lau, Jey Han and Grieser, Karl and Baldwin, Timothy},  
 booktitle={Human language technologies: The 2010 annual conference of the North American chapter of the association for computational linguistics},  
 pages={100--108},  
 year={2010}  
}

* PMI and NMPI vs Human judgement: Lau, J. H., Newman, D., & Baldwin, T. (2014, April). Machine reading tea leaves: Automatically evaluating topic coherence and topic model quality. In Proceedings of the 14th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (pp. 530-539). -> PMI and NMPI positively correlated with human judgement

@inproceedings{lau2014machine,  
 title={Machine reading tea leaves: Automatically evaluating topic coherence and topic model quality},  
 author={Lau, Jey Han and Newman, David and Baldwin, Timothy},  
 booktitle={Proceedings of the 14th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics},  
 pages={530--539},  
 year={2014}  
}

* CV: Röder, M., Both, A., & Hinneburg, A. (2015, February). Exploring the space of topic coherence measures. In Proceedings of the eighth ACM international conference on Web search and data mining (pp. 399-408). -> comprehensive examination of different coherency measures

@inproceedings{roder2015exploring,  
 title={Exploring the space of topic coherence measures},  
 author={R{\"o}der, Michael and Both, Andreas and Hinneburg, Alexander},  
 booktitle={Proceedings of the eighth ACM international conference on Web search and data mining},  
 pages={399--408},  
 year={2015}  
}

* Automated topic model evaluation for neural topic models: Hoyle, A., Goel, P., Hian-Cheong, A., Peskov, D., Boyd-Graber, J., & Resnik, P. (2021). Is automated topic model evaluation broken? the incoherence of coherence. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34, 2018-2033. -> Automted topic model evaluation needs reassement neural topic models -> PMI and NMPI may not be positively correlated with human judgement (Limitations section)

@article{hoyle2021automated,  
 title={Is automated topic model evaluation broken? the incoherence of coherence},  
 author={Hoyle, Alexander and Goel, Pranav and Hian-Cheong, Andrew and Peskov, Denis and Boyd-Graber, Jordan and Resnik, Philip},  
 journal={Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems},  
 volume={34},  
 pages={2018--2033},  
 year={2021}  
}

* Human judgement example: Toetzke, M., Banholzer, N., & Feuerriegel, S. (2022). Monitoring global development aid with machine learning. Nature Sustainability, 1-9. -> validation through user study (kick-off email)

@article{toetzke2022monitoring,  
 title={Monitoring global development aid with machine learning},  
 author={Toetzke, Malte and Banholzer, Nicolas and Feuerriegel, Stefan},  
 journal={Nature Sustainability},  
 pages={1--9},  
 year={2022},  
 publisher={Nature Publishing Group}  
}

**Parler:**

* Parler dataset + paper: A Large Open Dataset from the Parler Social Network - Aliapoulios Aliapoulios, M., Bevensee, E., Blackburn, J., Bradlyn, B., De Cristofaro, E., Stringhini, G., & Zannettou, S. (2021, May). A Large Open Dataset from the Parler Social Network. In ICWSM (pp. 943-951). (kick-off email BT BWL)

@inproceedings{aliapoulios2021large,  
 title={A Large Open Dataset from the Parler Social Network.},  
 author={Aliapoulios, Max and Bevensee, Emmi and Blackburn, Jeremy and Bradlyn, Barry and De Cristofaro, Emiliano and Stringhini, Gianluca and Zannettou, Savvas},  
 booktitle={ICWSM},  
 pages={943--951},  
 year={2021}  
}

* Parler vs Twitter Usage by USA Congress Members: M. Otala, J., Kurtic, G., Grasso, I., Liu, Y., Matthews, J., & Madraki, G. (2021, April). Political polarization and platform migration: a study of Parler and Twitter usage by United States of America Congress Members. In Companion Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021 (pp. 224-231). (kick-off email BT BWL)

@inproceedings{m2021political,  
 title={Political polarization and platform migration: a study of Parler and Twitter usage by United States of America Congress Members},  
 author={M. Otala, Jacqueline and Kurtic, Gillian and Grasso, Isabella and Liu, Yu and Matthews, Jeanna and Madraki, Golshan},  
 booktitle={Companion Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021},  
 pages={224--231},  
 year={2021}  
}

* Parler vs Twitter: Prabhu, A., Guhathakurta, D., Subramanian, M., Reddy, M., Sehgal, S., Karandikar, T., ... & Kumaraguru, P. (2021). Capitol (Pat) riots: A comparative study of Twitter and Parler. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.06914. (kick-off email BT BWL)

@article{prabhu2021capitol,  
 title={Capitol (Pat) riots: A comparative study of Twitter and Parler},  
 author={Prabhu, Avinash and Guhathakurta, Dipanwita and Subramanian, Mallika and Reddy, Manvith and Sehgal, Shradha and Karandikar, Tanvi and Gulati, Amogh and Arora, Udit and Shah, Rajiv Ratn and Kumaraguru, Ponnurangam and others},  
 journal={arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.06914},  
 year={2021}  
}

* Parler community guidelines 11/02/2021. (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.parler.com/documents/guidelines.pdf>
* Parler - where free speech thrives. (2022). Retrieved from <https://parler.com/>
* Parler - wikipedia. (2022). Retrieved from <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parler>

**Gab:**

* Gab dataset + paper: Zannettou, S., Bradlyn, B., De Cristofaro, E., Kwak, H., Sirivianos, M., Stringini, G., & Blackburn, J. (2018, April). What is gab: A bastion of free speech or an alt-right echo chamber. In Companion Proceedings of the The Web Conference 2018 (pp. 1007-1014).

@inproceedings{zannettou2018gab,  
 title={What is gab: A bastion of free speech or an alt-right echo chamber},  
 author={Zannettou, Savvas and Bradlyn, Barry and De Cristofaro, Emiliano and Kwak, Haewoon and Sirivianos, Michael and Stringini, Gianluca and Blackburn, Jeremy},  
 booktitle={Companion Proceedings of the The Web Conference 2018},  
 pages={1007--1014},  
 year={2018}  
}

* Gab Hate Speech: Mathew, B., Dutt, R., Goyal, P., & Mukherjee, A. (2019, June). Spread of hate speech in online social media. In Proceedings of the 10th ACM conference on web science (pp. 173-182).

-> We observe that the content generated by the hateful users tend to spread faster, farther and reach a much wider audience as compared to the content generated by normal users.

-> An important finding is that the hateful users are far more densely connected among themselves.

@inproceedings{mathew2019spread,

title={Spread of hate speech in online social media},

author={Mathew, Binny and Dutt, Ritam and Goyal, Pawan and Mukherjee, Animesh},

booktitle={Proceedings of the 10th ACM conference on web science},

pages={173--182},

year={2019}

}

* Pittsburgh Synagogue Shooting: McIlroy-Young, R., & Anderson, A. (2019, July). From “welcome new gabbers” to the pittsburgh synagogue shooting: The evolution of gab. In Proceedings of the international aaai conference on web and social media (Vol. 13, pp. 651-654).

@inproceedings{mcilroy2019welcome,  
 title={From “welcome new gabbers” to the pittsburgh synagogue shooting: The evolution of gab},  
 author={McIlroy-Young, Reid and Anderson, Ashton},  
 booktitle={Proceedings of the international aaai conference on web and social media},  
 volume={13},  
 pages={651--654},  
 year={2019}  
}

* Gab - wikipedia. (2022). Retrieved from <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gab_(social_network)>

**Pre-trainig/Domain adaptation:**

* Kawintiranon, K., & Singh, L. (2021, June). Knowledge enhanced masked language model for stance detection. In Proceedings of the 2021 conference of the north american chapter of the association for computational linguistics: human language technologies. (kick-off email)

@inproceedings{kawintiranon2021knowledge,  
 title={Knowledge enhanced masked language model for stance detection},  
 author={Kawintiranon, Kornraphop and Singh, Lisa},  
 booktitle={Proceedings of the 2021 conference of the north american chapter of the association for computational linguistics: human language technologies},  
 year={2021}  
}

* CLIMATEBERT: Webersinke, N., Kraus, M., Bingler, J. A., & Leippold, M. (2021). Climatebert: A pretrained language model for climate-related text. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.12010. (kick-off email)

@article{webersinke2021climatebert,  
 title={Climatebert: A pretrained language model for climate-related text},  
 author={Webersinke, Nicolas and Kraus, Mathias and Bingler, Julia Anna and Leippold, Markus},  
 journal={arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.12010},  
 year={2021}  
}