

Math 245A

Fall 2015

Chapter 2

2.2 Groups

A group G is a 4-tuple $G = (|G|, \mu, \iota, e)$ with
underlying set $|G|$
law of composition μ
inverse function ι
neutral element e

(Exercise 2.2:1) A homomorphism from a group G to a group H is a function $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ satisfying the following for $a, b \in G$:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(e_G) &= e_H \\ \phi(\iota_G(a)) &= \iota_H(\phi(a)) \\ \phi(\mu_G(a, b)) &= \mu_H(\phi(a), \phi(b))\end{aligned}$$

A more common representation of a group uses symbols $G = (|G|, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e)$

(2.2.1) The conditions for a 4-tuple to be a group are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}(\forall x, y, z \in |G|) \quad & (x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) \\ (\forall x \in |G|) \quad & e \cdot x = x = x \cdot e \\ (\forall x \in |G|) \quad & x^{-1} \cdot x = e = x \cdot x^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

(2.2.2) We may also say that a set $|G|$ with a map $|G| \times |G| \rightarrow |G|$ constitutes a group if

$$(\forall x, y, z \in |G|) \quad (x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

there exists $e \in |G|$ such that $(\forall x) e \cdot x = x = x \cdot e$ and $(\forall x \in |G|)(\exists y \in |G|) y \cdot x = e = x \cdot y$

(2.2.1) consists of identities (universally quantified equations) and (2.2.2) does not

note: universal quantification is a "for all" quantification

(Exercise 2.2:2)

(i)

(ii)

(Exercise 2.2:3)

2.3 Indexed Sets

An I -tuple of elements of X , $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is formally defined as an $f : I \rightarrow X$

The set of all functions from I to X is denoted X^I

2.4 Arity

The *arity* of an operation is, e.g., 1 if unary, 2 if binary, etc.

An I -ary operation on S is a map $S^I \rightarrow S$

Group: a set, a binary operation, a unary operation, and a distinguished element

Can think of the identity as a 0-ary/zeroary operation of the structure

S^0 has exactly one map, $\emptyset \rightarrow S$, so a map $S^0 \rightarrow S$ is determined by one element

Note these are not strictly identical since one is a map and the other the element itself

But they are in 1-to-1 correspondence and give equivalent information

2.5 Group-theoretic terms

A *group-theoretic relation* in $(\eta_i)_I$ is an equation $p(\eta_i) = q(\eta_i)$ holding in G

p and q are *group-theoretic terms* which we formally define

The terms in the elements of X under the formal group operations μ, ι, e form a set T :

given with functions $\text{symb}_T : X \rightarrow T$, $\mu_T : T^2 \rightarrow T$, $\iota_T : T \rightarrow T$, and $e_T : T^0 \rightarrow T$

such that each map is one-to-one, its images disjoint, and T is the union of those images

and T is generated by $\text{symb}_T(X)$ under the aforementioned operations

that is, T has no proper subset containing $\text{symb}_T(X)$ and closed under those operations

We can represent these terms, for groups, using strings of symbols

We need full parentheses notating order of operations to ensure disjoint images

A set-theoretic approach dispenses with strings and allows for infinite arities

For the example of a group, we would have (using ordered pair, 3-tuple, etc.):

for $x \in X$, $\text{symb}_T(x) := (*, x)$

for $s, t \in T$, $\mu_T(s, t) := (\cdot, s, t)$

for $s \in T$, $\iota_T(s) := (-^1, s)$

and $e_T = (e)$

and by set theory, no element can be written as such an n -tuple in more than one way

2.6 Evaluation

Given a set map $f : X \rightarrow |G|$ for a group G

Recursive evaluation of $s_f \in |G|$ given an X -tuple of symbols $s \in T = T_{X, \cdot, -^1, e}$

if $s = \text{symb}_T(x)$ for some $x \in X$, then $s_f := f(x)$

$s = \mu_T(t, u) \rightarrow s_f = \mu_G(t_f, u_f)$, assuming that given $t, u \in T$ we know $t_f, u_f \in |G|$

similarly, $s = \iota_T(t) \rightarrow s_f = \iota_G(t_f)$, assuming we know t_f given t

finally $s = e_T \rightarrow s_f = e_G$

Varying f in addition to T gives an evaluation map $(T_{X, \cdot, -^1, e}) \times |G|^X \rightarrow |G|$

Alternatively, fixing $s \in T$ gives a map $s_G : |G|^X \rightarrow |G|$

these represent substitution into s

these s_G are the *derived n -ary operations* (aka *term operations*) of G

distinct terms can induce the same derived operation

e.g. $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ in general or others for certain groups

Examples of derived operations on groups

conjugation $\zeta^\eta = \eta^{-1} \zeta \eta$ (binary)

commutator $[\zeta, \eta] = \zeta^{-1}\eta^{-1}\zeta\eta$ (binary)
 squaring (unary)
 δ (Exercise 2.2:2)
 σ (Exercise 2.2:3)

Class Question #1

end of Section 2.6: Unimportant

The last example of a derived operation on groups cited the trivial “second component” function, $p_{3,2}(\zeta, \eta, \zeta) = \eta$ induced by $y \in T_{\{x,y,z\}, -1, \cdot, e}$. I wasn’t entirely sure how this derived operation would be represented as an element of $T_{\{x,y,z\}, -1, \cdot, e}$. Would $p_{3,2}$ be the element $(*, y)$ (in the set-theoretic notation)?

Terms in other families of operations

An Ω -algebra is a system $A = (|A|, (\alpha_A)_{\alpha \in |\Omega|})$

here $|A|$ is some set, and for each $\alpha \in |\Omega|$, $\alpha_A : |A|^{\text{ari}(\alpha)} \rightarrow |A|$

note that often people will use $n(\alpha)$ (rather than $\text{ari}(\alpha)$) for the arity of an operation α
 e.g. for a group, $|\Omega| = \{\mu, \iota, e\}$, $\text{ari}(\mu) = 2$, $\text{ari}(\iota) = 1$, and $\text{ari}(e) = 0$

Lecture 8/28

Operations, terms, algebra

revisit the difficulty with distinguished elements as a zeroary operation when dealing with the empty set

the idea behind distinguishing between terms is e.g. to have distinct objects that we can compare

$(x \cdot y) \cdot z \neq x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ as terms, allowing

$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ to be a useful statement about groups

set-theoretic approach, infinite arity

(μ, s, t)

$(\mu, (s, t))$

$\alpha_T : T^X \rightarrow T$ using $(\alpha, (S_X)_{x \in X})$

X here shall be some cardinal

Next reading: free groups

$x, y, z \in G$ and $\zeta, \eta, \zeta \in H$

when can we have a homomorphism $G \rightarrow H$

if and only if the relations that hold in G hold in H for the corresponding elements

Exercises in today's reading

2.7:3

can't have $s(,,,,,…) = s'(,,,,,…) = s''(,,,,,…) where the s'' term is the same as the s term$

2.2:2 and 2.2:3

$$\delta_G(x, y) = xy^{-1} \text{ and } \sigma_G(x, y) = xy^{-1}x$$

$G = \mathbb{Z}$ knowledge of the identity

$$x * + y = (x - 1) + (y - 1) + 1$$

Chapter 3

3.1 Motivation

3.2 The logician's approach: construction from terms

3.3 Free groups as subgroups of big enough direct products

3.4 The classical construction: groups of words

Class Question #2

near 3.3.1 Important

The question concerns the set of all groups G (I'll call it X) whose underlying sets $|G|$ are subsets of S , some countably infinite set. I wanted to clarify for myself why for any countable group H we can find an isomorphism from one of these groups to H . Is it sufficient to justify the statement by declaring that X contains all countable groups up to isomorphism and hence for some $G' \in X$, G' is isomorphic to H ? For some reason this feels like incomplete justification to me, and there may be some set-theoretic considerations that may need to be explicated more clearly.

Lecture 8/31

Free Groups: the motivation

factor-set: given a set and an equivalence relation, the set of equivalence classes

... as subgroups of big products

If G generated by an X -tuple of elements then has cardinality $\leq \max(\text{card}(X), \aleph_0)$

Chapter 4.1-4.5

4.1 The subgroup and normal subgroup of G generated by $S \subset |G|$

$\langle S \rangle$ contains S and is contained in every subgroup which contains S

$$\forall x \in \langle S \rangle \quad x = e \text{ or } x = \prod s_i, s_i \in S \text{ or } s_i^{-1} \in S$$

$\langle S \rangle$ is also the image of the map into G of the free group F on S induced by the inclusion map $S \rightarrow |G|$

There is additionally a least *normal* subgroup of G containing S .

4.2 Imposing relations on a group. Quotient groups

Quotient groups: homomorphisms causing certain elements to fall together.

$$\text{Satisfies e.g. } (\forall i \in I) f(x_i) = f(y_i) \leftrightarrow (\forall i \in I) f(x_i y_i^{-1}) = e$$

A set of elements annihilated by a group homomorphism form a normal subgroup.

Leads to $q : G \rightarrow G/N$, where N is this normal subgroup.

We have a quotient map and a quotient group.

This map has the universal property desired:

For every homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow K$ satisfying the above, $\exists! g : N \rightarrow K$, s.t. $h = g \circ q$.

This construction *imposes the relations* $x_i = y_i (i \in I)$ on G , forming $G/(x_i = y_i | i \in I)$.

For G a group, a G -set is a pair $S = (|S|, m)$, $|S|$ a set and $m : |G| \times |S| \rightarrow |S|$, satisfying

$$(\forall s \in |S|, g, g' \in |G|) \quad g(g's) = (gg')s$$

$$(\forall s \in |S|) \quad es = s$$

That is, a set on which G acts by permutations.

A homomorphism $S \rightarrow S'$ of G -sets (for G fixed) is a map $a : |S| \rightarrow |S'|$ satisfying

$$(\forall s \in |S|, g \in |G|) \quad a(gs) = ga(s)$$

The set of left cosets of H in G is $|G/H|$ and a typical coset $[g] = gH$.

Then $|G/H|$ is the underlying set of a left G -set G/H by $g[g'] = [gg']$

4.3 Groups presented by generators and relations

Let X be a set, T the set of all group-theoretic terms in X , and $R \subset T \times T$.

\exists a universal example of a group with X -tuples of elements satisfying the relations R .

That is, there is a pair (G, u) with G a group and $u : X \rightarrow |G|$ satisfying:

$$(\forall (s, t) \in R) \quad s_u = t_u$$

such that for any group H and X -tuple v of elements in H satisfying

$$(\forall (s, t) \in R) \quad s_v = t_v$$

$\exists! f : G \rightarrow H$ a homomorphism, such that $v = fu$

The pair (G, u) is determined up to canonical isomorphism by these properties

The group G is generated by $u(X)$

Proving the existence of such a universal construction.

Two ways: construction from terms and subgroups of direct products.

In these approaches, apply the additional conditions to the group axioms.

A proof that builds upon prior constructions:

Let (F, u_F) be the free group on X and N be a normal subgroup.

Define N such that it is generated by $\{s_{u_F} t_{u_F}^{-1} \mid (s, t) \in R\}$

Take a canonical map $q : F \rightarrow F/N$.

Then the pair $(F/N, q \circ u_F)$ has the desired universal properties.

As a consequence of the universal properties of free and quotient groups.

Class Question #3

3.3 proof of a universal group satisfying relations Important

I am unsure about the maps in the diagram describing the construction of the pair $(F/N, q \circ u_F)$ using the free group on X and an appropriate quotient group. The map $q : F \rightarrow G$ is a map between groups as indicated in the right-sided diagram, whereas it is composed to form a set map $u : X \rightarrow |G|$ as in the left-hand diagram. What sort of distinctions between q as set-map and q as group-map do I need to consider here? I feel that I might not be clearly expressing the source of my confusion, so I apologize for that, but maybe my question betrays some fundamental misunderstanding about the nature of free groups or quotient groups to be cleared up.

P.S. I do not yet see how to show X contains all countable groups up to isomorphism, but hope to spend some more time thinking about it.

4.4 Abelian groups, free abelian groups, and abelianizations

4.5 The Burnside Problem

Chapter 4.6-4.9

Class Question #4

3.6.5 Proposition, use of the van der Waerden stratagem Unimportant

What are the fundamental characteristics of groups of permutations on a set such as A that make them so useful for proofs that certain sets of reduced forms are composed of distinct elements?

9/4