Ch 2

```
A group G is a 4-tuple G = (|G|, \mu, \iota, e) with
    underlying set |G|
   law of composition \mu
   inverse function i
   neutral element e
A more common representation of a group uses symbols G = (|G|, \cdot, ^{-1}, e)
We may also say that a set |G| with a map |G| \times |G| \to |G| constitutes a group if
    (\forall x, y, z \in |G|) (x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)
    there exists e \in |G| such that (\forall x)e \cdot x = x = x \cdot e and (\forall x \in |G|)(\exists y \in |G|)y \cdot x = e = x \cdot y
However, unlike the first, does not consist of identities (universally quantified equations)
   note: universal quantification \leftrightarrow "for all"
An I-tuple of elements of X, (x_i)_{i \in I} is an f: I \to X
   The set of all such f is denoted X^{I}
The arity of an operation (e.g. 1 if unary, 2 if binary, etc.)
    An I-ary operation on S is a map S^I \rightarrow S
Can think of the identity as a 0-ary/zeroary operation of the structure
    S^0 has exactly one map, \emptyset \to S, so a map S^0 \to S is determined by one element
   Note that when S = \emptyset there is a unique n-ary operation for n > 0 but no 0-ary operation
A group-theoretic relation in (\eta_i)_I is an equation p(\eta_i) = q(\eta_i) holding in G
The terms in the elements of X under the formal group operations \mu, \iota, e form a set T:
   given with functions symb_T: X \to T, \mu_T: T^2 \to T, \iota_T: T \to T, and e_T: T^0 \to T
    such that each map is one-to-one, its images disjoint, and T is the union of those images
    and T is generated by symb_T(X) under the aforementioned operations
    that is, T has no proper subset containing symb_T(X) and closed under those operations
We can represent these terms, for groups, using strings of symbols
    We need full parentheses notating order of operations to ensure disjoint images
A set-theoretic approach dispenses with strings and allows for infinite arities
For the example of a group, we would have (using ordered pair, 3-tuple, etc.):
   for x \in X, symb_T(x) := (*,x)
   for s, t \in T, \mu_T(s, t) := (\cdot, s, t)
   for s \in T, \iota_T(s) := (^{-1}, s)
    and e_T = (e)
    and by set theory, no element can be written as such an n-tuple in more than one way
Given a set map f: X \to |G| for a group G
Recursive evaluation of s_f \in |G| given an X-tuple of symbols s \in T = T_{X_{t'}-1,e}
   if s = symb_T(x) for some x \in X, then s_f := f(x)
```

```
s = \mu_T(t, u) \rightarrow s_f = \mu_G(t_f, u_f), assuming that given t, u \in T we know t_f, u_f \in |G|
    similarly, s = \iota_T(t) \to s_f = \iota_G(t_f), assuming we know t_f given t
    finally s = e_T \rightarrow s_f = e_G
Varying f in addition to T gives an evaluation map (T_{X,\cdot,-1,e}) \times |G|^X \to |G|
Alternatively, fixing s \in T gives a map s_G : |G|^X \to |G|
    these represent substitution into s
    these s_G are the derived n-ary operations (aka term operations) of G
    distinct terms can induce the same derived operation
    e.g. (x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) in general or others for certain groups
Examples of derived operations on groups
    conjugation \xi^{\eta} = \eta^{-1} \xi \eta (binary) commutator [\xi, \eta] = \xi^{-1} \eta^{-1} \xi \eta (binary)
    squaring (unary)
An Ω-algebra is a system A = (|A|, (\alpha_A)_{\alpha \in |\Omega|})
    here |A| is some set, and for each \alpha \in |\Omega|, \alpha_A : |A|^{ari(\alpha)} \to |A|
    note that often people will use n(\alpha) (rather than ari(\alpha)) for the arity of an operation \alpha
    e.g. for a group, |\Omega| = \{\mu, \iota, e\}, ari(\mu) = 2, ari(\iota) = 1, and ari(e) = 0
```

Ch 3

Ch 4

the subgroup and normal subgroup of G generated by $S \subset |G|$ $\langle S \rangle$ contains S and is contained in every subgroup which contains S $\forall x \in \langle S \rangle \ x = e \ \text{or} \ x = \prod s_i, s_i \in S \ \text{or} \ s_i^{-1} \in S$ is the image of the map into G of the free group F on S induced by the inclusion $S \to |G|$ there is additionally a least normal subgroup of G containing S.

relations on a group/quotient groups

Quotient groups: homomorphisms causing certain elements to fall together.

Satisfies e.g. $(\forall i \in I) f(x_i) = f(y_i) \leftrightarrow (\forall i \in I) f(x_i y_i^{-1}) = e$

A set of elements annihilated by a group homomorphism form a normal subgroup.

Leads to $q: G \to G/N$, where N is this normal subgroup.

We have a quotient map and a quotient group.

This map has the universal property desired:

For every homomorphism $h: G \to K$ satisfying the above, $\exists !g: N \to K$, s.t. $h = g \circ q$. This construction *imposes the relations* $x_i = y_i (i \in I)$ on G, forming $G/(x_i = y_i | i \in I)$. For G a group, a G-set is a pair S = (|S|, m), |S| a set and $m: |G| \times |S| \to |S|$, satisfying $(\forall s \in |S|, g, g' \in |G|)$ g(g's) = (gg')s $(\forall s \in |S|)$ es = s

That is, a set on which G acts by permutations.

A homomorphism $S \to S'$ of G-sets (for G fixed) is a map $a : |S| \to |S'|$ satisfying $(\forall s \in |S|, g \in |G|) \ a(gs) = ga(s)$

The set of left cosets of H in G is |G/H| and a typical coset [g] = gH. Then |G/H| is the underlying set of a left G-set G/H by g[g'] = [gg']

groups presented by generators and relations

Let X be a set, T the set of all group-theoretic terms in X, and $R \subset T \times T$.

 \exists a universal example of a group with X-tuples of elements satisfying the relations R. That is, there is a pair (G,u) with G a group and $u:X\to |G|$ satisfying:

$$(\forall (s,t) \in R) \ s_u = t_u$$

such that for any group H and X-tuple v of elements in H satisfying

$$(\forall (s,t) \in R) s_u = t_u$$

 $\exists ! f : G \rightarrow H$ a homomorphism, such that v = fu

The pair (G, u) is determined up to canonical isomorphism by these properties

The group G is generated by u(X)

Proving the existence of such a universal construction.

Two ways: construction from terms and subgroups of direct products.

In these approaches, apply the additional conditions to the group axioms.

A proof that builds upon prior constructions:

Let (F, u_F) be the free group on X and N be a normal subgroup.

Define N such that it is generated by $\{s_{u_F}t_{u_F}^{-1}|(s,t)\in R\}$

Take a canonical map $q: F \to F/N$.

Then the pair $(F/N, q \circ u_F)$ has the desired universal properties.

As a consequence of the universal properties of free and quotient groups.

Class Question #9

Definition 5.5.13 Unimportant

Just to clarify my understanding of the definition of a cardinal, is it correct that each of the natural numbers is itself a cardinal, but ordinals such as $\omega + 1$ and $\omega 2$ are not, themselves, cardinals?

Class Question #11

Proposition 6.2.3 Unimportant

Sorry about the lateness of the question for today. In the proof, is the first paragraph intended to show that (i) is equivalent to (i^*) ?

-Andre

Class Question Section 7.5

Example, beneath definition 7.5.7 Pro forma

"You should verify that the behavior of $h_Z(G)$ on morphisms agrees with the underlying set functor."

Given a homomorphism $h: G \to H$, h_Z of that homomorphism takes a $\phi \in Group(Z,G)$ to $h\phi \in Group(Z,H)$. Each ϕ in Group(Z,G) is determined by its action on the generator x of Z, so there is a correspondence between the ϕ and the elements of |G|. The function $h\phi$ in Group(Z,H) shall be determined by its action on the generator, which, if $\phi(x) = g$ an element of G, equals h(g) so that the image functions $h\phi$ correspond to images of elements $g \in |G|$ under the map of underlying sets $h': |G| \to |H|$ induced by h. This shows that the behavior of $h_Z(G)$ on morphisms agrees with the underlying set functor.