**Plan**

1. **Classification maps Pavia & Pines for all the methods Done**
2. **Classification maps from CRFL - Pavia & Pines Done**
3. **Accuracy vs parameters plots Done**
4. **MRFL & CRFL 3D visualizations Done**
5. **Add: lambda vs. fusion accuracy (MRFL) for Pavia & Pines to show   
   that less sparse abundances are more useful for the overall fusion process**
6. **McNemar pairwise significance test should I include it????**
7. **Bar plots Pavia and Pines (should I include the classif on Morph. Prof)** **????**
8. **Classification on Morph Profiles on Pines and Pavia**
   1. **Pavia: 75.66%(3.84)  
      D:\Projects\Matlab\mrf\_crf\_fusion\results\pavia\morph\on\_reflectance\_data\mlr**
   2. **Pines: 71.69%(2.9) D:\Projects\Matlab\mrf\_crf\_fusion\results\pines\morph\on\_reflectance\_data\mlr**
9. **Apply MRF & CRF on probs from Morph Profiles on Pavia ????**

**(use probMorph from above experiments)**

1. **Apply MRF & CRF on probs from Morph Profiles on Pines ????   
   (use probMorph from above experiments)**
2. **Procitaj od crvenata tetratka za da se potsetish za MRF & CRF**
3. **Soberi reference materials**
4. **Organiziraj gi tabelite**
5. **KL or Chi sq. distance pomegju pairwise: p & p\_alpha, p & p\_morph, p\_alpha & p\_morph (vidi gi kombinaciite od beliot list) za da pokazam deka tie se epten bliski I zatoa CRF pairwise fusion ne raboti**
6. **Procitaj mejl od Przemek**
7. **KL or Chi distance pomegju: Done**
   1. **P & alpha** **(MRFL I CRFL 2 sources fusion), treba da se komplementarni**
   2. **P & alpha, P & P\_morph, alpha & P\_morph (MRFL I CRFL 3 sources fusion) -> tuka treba site ovie 3 para da mi se komplementarni zs dobiv 90%**