

# NanoS integration into Monero

(Ledger SAS, [cedric@ledger.fr](mailto:cedric@ledger.fr), Draft Note v0.5)

## Table of Contents

I.Short introduction.....	2
II.Notations and definitions.....	2
III.Reminders.....	2
IV.Goals.....	3
V.Nanos Integration.....	3
V.1.Step 1: TX key.....	3
V.2.Step 2: spend key.....	4
V.3.Step 3: destination key.....	5
V.4.Step 4: range proof and blinding.....	5
V.5.Step 5: RCT.....	6
V.5.1.Raw explanation.....	6
V.5.1.1.Interaction Overview.....	6
V.5.1.2.Amount and destination validation.....	6
V.5.2.NanoS interaction.....	7
V.5.2.1.MLSAG- Prehash.....	7
V.5.2.2.MLSAG- signature.....	8
VI.Conclusion.....	10

## I. Short introduction

We want to enforce key protection, transaction confidentiality and transaction integrity against some potential malware on the Host. To achieve that we propose to use a Ledger NanoS as a 2<sup>nd</sup> factor trusted device. Such device has small amount of memory and it is not possible to get the full Monero transaction on it or to build the different proofs. So we need to split the process between Host and NanoS. This draft note explain how.

Remark: this note only speaks about RCT and more precisely full RCT. Old ring signature and simpleRCT can be modified in the same way. We also skip encryption of stealth payment.

## II. Notations and definitions

Upper case letter	point
Lower case letter	scalar
$(a,A)$	signer key pair view key
$(b,B)$	signer key pair spend key
$A_{out}$	receiver public viewing key
$B_{out}$	receiver public spend key
$v$	amount to send/spent
$k$	secret amount mask factor
$C$	commitment to $a$ with $x$ such $C = kG + vH$
$H$	2 <sup>nd</sup> group generator, such $G = h.H$ and $h$ is unknown
$H_p, H_s, H_{p \rightarrow s}$	hash function ( $H_p$ : point to point, $H_s$ : scalar to scalar, $H_{p \rightarrow s}$ : point to scalar)
sha256	sha56 hash function
low16B	lower 16 bytes function
high16B	higher 16 bytes function
$m$	message to sign
$\tilde{d}$	encrypted data $d$ , non decryptable by Host.
$AES[k](d)$	AES based encryption with integrity. ( $d$ data to encrypt and protect with $k$ key)
$AES^{-1}[k](\tilde{d})$	AES based decryption with integrity check. ( $\tilde{d}$ data to decrypt and to verify with $k$ key)

## III. Reminders

Here we shortly describe the process to build a Monero transaction in official client v0.10.3.1 (<https://github.com/monero-project/monero/tree/v0.10.3.1>).

The transaction is build in the `construct_tx_and_get_tx_key` function ([https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote\\_core/cryptonote\\_tx\\_utils.cpp#L159](https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote_core/cryptonote_tx_utils.cpp#L159))

- Step 1:  
Generate a TX key pair ( $r, R$ )
- Step 2:  
For each input  $T_{in}$  to spent:  
    retrieve the spend key  $(x_i, P_i)$  from  $R_{in}$  and  $b$   
    Compute the key image  $I$  of  $x_i$
- Step 3:  
For each output  $T_{out}$  :  
    compute the output public key from  $A_{out}$  and  $r$
- Step 4  
For each output  $T_{out}$  :  
    compute the range proof and blind the amount
- Step 5:  
compute the confidential ring signature with involved  $x_{in}$
- Step 6:  
Return  $r, R, TX$

## IV. Goals

Goals summary:

Secret Protection:

- secret key account ( $a, b$ )
- secret key transaction  $r$
- per transaction spend key  $x_i$

Integrity protection:

- amount
- destination

Support:

- Old ring transaction
- Ring Confidential Transaction (MoneroRCT)

Integration:

- Official Monero client (web clients later)

## V. Nanos Integration

### V.1. Step 1: TX key

The transaction key is generated here [https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote\\_core/cryptonote\\_tx\\_utils.cpp#L169](https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote_core/cryptonote_tx_utils.cpp#L169)

This generation is simply delegated to NanoS which keeps the secret key. During this step, the NanoS also computes a secret key to encrypt some confidential data for which the storage is delegated to the Host.

Host		Nanos
Data:		Data: (a,A), (b,B)
Request key		
	→	
		Generate TX key pair r,R compute $k_r = \text{low16B}(\text{sha256}(r A R B))$
	← R	
Data: R		Data: (a,A), (b,B) r,k <sub>r</sub>

## V.2. Step 2: spend key

Spend keys for each  $T_{in}$  are retrieved in the loop line #225 by calling `generate_key_image_helper` ([https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote\\_core/cryptonote\\_tx\\_utils.cpp#L239](https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote_core/cryptonote_tx_utils.cpp#L239)) . The following sequence is the equivalent of the one in `generate_key_image_helper` ([https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote\\_basic/cryptonote\\_format\\_utils.cpp#L132](https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote_basic/cryptonote_format_utils.cpp#L132)) . In order not to publish the  $T_{in}$  spend key  $x_{in}$  to the host, the key is returned encrypted by a session key

Host		Nanos
Data: R $T_{in}$		Data: (a,A), (b,B) r, k <sub>r</sub>
Request $T_{in}$ spend key		
	→ $R_{in}$	
		Check the $R_{in}$ order compute $D = a.R_{in}$ compute public key $P_{in} = H_{p \rightarrow s}(D).G + B$ compute private key $x_{in} = H_{p \rightarrow s}(D) + b$ compute key image $I_{in} = x_{in}.H_p(P)$ compute $\bar{x}_{in} = \text{AES}[k_r](x_{in})$
	← $P_{in}, \bar{x}_{in}, I_{in}$	
Data: R, $P_{in}, \bar{x}_{in}, I_{in}$		Data: (a,A), (b,B) r, k <sub>r</sub>

### V.3. Step 3: destination key

The computation destination key is performed by calling `crypto::generate_key_derivation` ([https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote\\_core/cryptonote\\_tx\\_utils.cpp#L278](https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote_core/cryptonote_tx_utils.cpp#L278)) and `crypto::derive_public_key` ([https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote\\_core/cryptonote\\_tx\\_utils.cpp#L287](https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote_core/cryptonote_tx_utils.cpp#L287)). The first one provide an intermediate value  $D$  used to blind mask and amount of the confidential commitment  $C$ . Those 2 calls can be delegated to NanoS in the following way:

Host		Nanos
Data: $R, P_{in}, \tilde{x}_{in}, I_{in}$		Data: $(a,A), (b,B)$ $r, k_r$
Request destination key		
	$\rightarrow A_{out}, B_{out}$	
		compute $D_{out} = r.A_{out}$ compute key $P_{out} = H_{p \rightarrow s}(D).G + B_{out}$ compute $\tilde{D}_{out} = AES[k_r](D)$
	$\leftarrow P_{out}, \tilde{D}_{out}$	
Data: $R, P_{in}, \tilde{x}_{in}, I_{in}, P_{out}, \tilde{D}_{out}$		Data: $(a,A), (b,B)$ $r, k_r$

### V.4. Step 4: range proof and blinding

Once  $T_{in}$  and  $T_{out}$  are set up, the `genRCT` function is called ([https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote\\_core/cryptonote\\_tx\\_utils.cpp#L450](https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/cryptonote_core/cryptonote_tx_utils.cpp#L450)) First a commitment  $C$  to each  $v_{out}$ , and associated range proof are computed to ensure the  $v$  amount confidentiality. The commitment and its range proof does not imply any secret and generate  $C, k$  such  $C = k.G + v.H$ , where  $v$  is the real amount. (<https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L589>)

Second,  $k$  and  $v$  are blinded by using the  $D_{out}$  which is only known in an encrypted form by the host. (<https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L597>)

This blinding can be delegated as follow.

Host		Nanos
Data: $R, P_{in}, \bar{x}_{in}, I_{in}, \bar{D}_{out}, k_{out}, v_{out}$		Data: $(a,A), (b,B)$ $r, k_r$
Request blinded mask and amount		
	$\rightarrow k, v, \bar{D}_{out}$	
		compute $D = AES^{-1}[k_r](\bar{D}_{out})$ compute $\bar{k} = k + H(D)$ compute $\bar{v} = k + H(H(D))$
	$\leftarrow \bar{k}, \bar{v}$	
Data: $R, P_{in}, \bar{x}_{in}, I_{in}, P_{out}, \bar{D}_{out},$ $k_{out}, v_{out}, \bar{k}_{out}, \bar{v}_{out}$		Data: $(a,A), (b,B)$ $r, k_r$

## V.5. Step 5: RCT

A little bit tricky part!

### V.5.1. Raw explanation

#### V.5.1.1. Interaction Overview

After all commitments have been setup, the ring signature operates. The ring confidential signature is performed by calling `proveRctMG` which call `MLSAG_Gen`

`ProveRctMG` : <https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L613>,

Call to `MLSAG_Gen` : <https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L362>

`MLSAG_Gen` : <https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L116>

At this point we need to validate amount and destination key on NanoS. Those information are embedded in the message to sign by calling `get_pre_mlsag_hash` line `rctSigs.cpp#L613`, prior to calling `ProveRctMG`. So the `get_pre_mlsag_hash` function will have to be modified to serialize the `rv` transaction to NanoS which will validate the tuple `<amount,dest>` and compute the pre-hash. The prehash will be kept inside NanoS to ensure its integrity. Any further access to the prehash will be delegated.

Once prehash is computed, the `proveRctMG` is called. This function only builds some matrix and vectors to prepare the signature which is performed by the final call `MLSAG_Gen`.

During this last step some ephemeral key pairs are generated :  $\alpha_i, aG_i$ . All  $\alpha_i$  must be kept secret to protect the  $x_{in}$  keys. Moreover we must avoid signing arbitrary values during the final loop

<https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L191>

#### V.5.1.2. Amount and destination validation

During `rv` serialisation, NanoS receives a list of tuple `<Pout,  $\bar{k}$ ,  $\bar{v}$ >`. In order to do that we need to approve the original destination address `Aout`, which is not recoverable from `Pout`. Here the only

solution is to pass the original destination with the  $rv$ . (Note this implies to add all  $A_{out}$  in the  $rv$  structure).

So with  $A_{out}$ , we are able to recompute associated  $D_{out}$  (see step 3), and recompute  $P_{out}$  and check it matches the one in  $rv$ . If user validate  $A_{out}$ , and  $P_{out}$  matches, then  $P_{out}$  is validated

Finally, as we now hold  $D_{out}$ , we can unblind  $\tilde{k}$  and  $\tilde{v}$  and validate that  $C = kG + vH$ , and ask the user to validate the amount  $v$ . If both are ok, this  $TX_{out}$  is validated.

## V.5.2. NanoS interaction

NanoS operates when manipulating the encrypted input secret key at step 2, the prehash, the  $\alpha_i$  secret key and the final  $c$  value (see step 5.1). So the last function to modify is the `MLSAG_Gen`.

The message (prehash `mslsag`) is held by the NanoS. So the vector initialization must be skipped and the two calls to `hash_to_scalar(toHash)` must be modified

init: <https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L139>

call 1: <https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L158>

call 2: <https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L182>

The  $\alpha_i$ ,  $aG_i$  generation is delegated to NanoS:

call 1: <https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L142>

call 2: <https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L153>

As consequence point computation line 144 is also delegated

Finally the key Image computation must be delegated to the NanoS:

<https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L148>

### V.5.2.1. MLSAG- Prehash

In the following delegation, the NanoS only needs to keep mask and amount of `rv.ecdhInfo` structure which takes 64 bytes per destination.

Host	Nanos	
Data: R, P <sub>in</sub> , $\tilde{x}_{in}$ , I <sub>in</sub> , $\tilde{D}_{out}$ , rv, k <sub>out</sub> , v <sub>out</sub> , $\tilde{k}_{out}$ , $\tilde{v}_{out}$		Data: (a,A), (b,B) r, k <sub>r</sub>
start rv serialisation		
	→ rv.type, rv.txnFee, rv.pseudoOut, rv.ecdhInfo	
		Init H update H with inputs Keep ecdhInfo
		←
for each ctkey in rv.outPk send ctkey, real destination address and request validation		
	→ ctkey <sub>i</sub> , (A <sub>i</sub> ,B <sub>i</sub> )	
		compute D <sub>out</sub> = r.A <sub>out</sub> compute P <sub>out</sub> = H <sub>p→s</sub> (D).G+B <sub>out</sub> compute <sup>(1)</sup> k = ecdhInfo.mask - H(D) compute <sup>(1)</sup> v = ecdhInfo.amount - H(H(D)) check <sup>(2)</sup> ctkey <sub>i</sub> .mask == kG+vH check P <sub>out</sub> == ctkey <sub>i</sub> .dest Request user to validate A <sub>out</sub> , B <sub>out</sub> , v If checks passed and user has validated : update H with ctkey <sub>i</sub> else reject the transaction
		←
end rv serialisation		
	→ rv.rangeSigs, rv.MGs	
		finalize H with inputs
	← ZERO_HASH	
Data: R, P <sub>in</sub> , $\tilde{x}_{in}$ , I <sub>in</sub> , P <sub>out</sub> , $\tilde{D}_{out}$ , k <sub>out</sub> , v <sub>out</sub> , $\tilde{k}_{out}$ , $\tilde{v}_{out}$		Data: (a,A), (b,B) r, k <sub>r</sub> , mlsag_prehash

Note 1: ecdhInfo.mask is  $\tilde{k}$ , ecdhInfo.amount is  $\tilde{v}$

Note 2: ctkey<sub>i</sub>.mask is commitment C

### V.5.2.2. MLSAG- signature

The last step is the signature of the matrix and prehash.

Remember that all private input keys are encrypted by the NanoS, so xx[i] contains  $\tilde{x}_i$  and alpha[i] will contain  $\tilde{\alpha}_i$



Host	Nanos	
Data: R, P <sub>in</sub> , $\bar{x}_{in}$ , I <sub>in</sub> , $\bar{D}_{out}$ , rv, k <sub>out</sub> , v <sub>out</sub> , $\bar{k}_{out}$ , $\bar{v}_{out}$		Data: (a,A), (b,B) r, k <sub>r</sub>
Request $\alpha H_i, \alpha G_i, \Pi_i$		
	$\rightarrow H_i, \bar{x}_i$	
		check the order of H <sub>i</sub> generate $\alpha_i, \alpha G_i$ compute $\bar{x}_{in} = \text{AES}^{-1}[k_r](\bar{x}_i)$ compute $\Pi_i = x_i * H_i$ compute $\alpha H_i = \alpha_i * H_i$ compute $\bar{\alpha}_i = \text{AES}[k_r](\alpha_i)$
	$\leftarrow \bar{\alpha}_i, \alpha H_i, \alpha G_i, \Pi_i$	
Request $\alpha G_i$		
	$\rightarrow$	
		generate $\alpha_i, \alpha G_i$
	$\leftarrow \bar{\alpha}_i, \alpha G_i$	
Data: R, P <sub>in</sub> , $\bar{x}_{in}$ , I <sub>in</sub> , P <sub>out</sub> , $\bar{D}_{out}$ , k <sub>out</sub> , v <sub>out</sub> , $\bar{k}_{out}$ , $\bar{v}_{out}$ , $\bar{\alpha}_i$		Data: (a,A), (b,B) r, k <sub>r</sub> , mlsag_prehash

Then the hash\_to\_scalar must be fully delegated

Host	Nanos	
Data: R, P <sub>in</sub> , $\bar{x}_{in}$ , I <sub>in</sub> , $\bar{D}_{out}$ , rv, k <sub>out</sub> , v <sub>out</sub> , $\bar{k}_{out}$ , $\bar{v}_{out}$ , $\bar{\alpha}_i$		Data: (a,A), (b,B) r, k <sub>r</sub>
Serialize toHash		
	$\rightarrow \text{tohash}_{\text{bytes}}[]$	
		Set $\text{tohash}_{\text{bytes}}[0:32] = \text{mlsag\_prehash}$ compute $c = H(\text{tohash}_{\text{bytes}}[])$ keep c
	$\leftarrow c$	
Data: R, P <sub>in</sub> , $\bar{x}_{in}$ , I <sub>in</sub> , P <sub>out</sub> , $\bar{D}_{out}$ , k <sub>out</sub> , v <sub>out</sub> , $\bar{k}_{out}$ , $\bar{v}_{out}$ , $\bar{\alpha}_i$		Data: (a,A), (b,B) r, k <sub>r</sub> , mlsag_prehash, c

Finally the last mixup <https://github.com/monero-project/monero/blob/v0.10.3.1/src/ringct/rctSigs.cpp#L191> is also delegated. Here it is important to use the last c value generated by the NanoS. Indeed the c value is a hash of data which contains the prehash as its first 32bytes. This enforces that the final c signed value cannot be forced by the Host and matches the previously user validated amount and destination.

Host		Nanos
Data: $R, P_{in}, \bar{x}_{in}, I_{in}, \bar{D}_{out}, rv,$ $k_{out}, v_{out}, \bar{k}_{out}, \bar{v}_{out}, \bar{\alpha}_i$		Data: $(a,A), (b,B)$ $r, k_r$
Request $ss[i]$		
	$\rightarrow \bar{x}_i, \bar{\alpha}_i$	
		compute $\alpha_j = AES^{-1}[k_r](\bar{\alpha}_i)$ compute $x_j = AES^{-1}[k_r](\bar{x}_i)$ compute $ss = (\alpha_i - c * x_j) \% l$
	$\leftarrow ss$	
Data: $R, P_{in}, \bar{x}_{in}, I_{in}, P_{out}, \bar{D}_{out},$ $k_{out}, v_{out}, \bar{k}_{out}, \bar{v}_{out}, \bar{\alpha}_i$		Data: $(a,A), (b,B)$ $r, k_r, mlsag\_prehash$

## VI. Conclusion

This draft note explains how to protect Monero transactions of the official client with a NanoS. According to the last SDK, the necessary RAM for global data is evaluated to around 0.8 Kilobytes for a transaction with one output and 1,7 Kilobytes for a transaction with ten outputs.

The proposed NanoS interaction should be enhanced with a strong state machine to avoid multiple requests for the same data and limit any potential cryptanalysis.