



Department of Mathematics  
Chair of Mathematical Modeling of Biological Systems

# Predicting transcription rate from multiplexed protein maps using deep learning

**Master's Thesis by Andres Becker**

Examiner: Prof. Dr. Fabian J. Theis

Advisor: Dr. Hannah Spitzer

Submission Date: April 14, 2021



# **Declaration**

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own work and that no other sources have been used except those clearly indicated and referenced.

Andres Alberto Becker Sanabria, München, 15.05.2021

## **Abstract**

Here we give a short summary of the project or thesis of length at most a quarter of a page.

- What is the objective of this work
- How did we address it
- What did we obtain

Hannah's recommendations:

- 1 sentence to set the background: what is currently done
- 1 sentence to capture the issue: why is this an issue/why can we do better?
- 1 sentence to describe your solution: We propose to...
- 1 sentence to give an overview of your results
- 1 sentence to state the impact: what will this allow people to do

## **Acknowledgments**

To my father, my partner in my wildest adventures, best friend and who taught me what are the important things in life. He may never read this, but let the world known he is a loved and admired man.



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	2
1.2	Goal of this Thesis . . . . .	2
1.3	Literature review . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Basics</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Biology Background . . . . .	5
2.1.1	Transcription Process . . . . .	6
2.2	Machine Learning . . . . .	10
2.2.1	Artificial Neural Networks . . . . .	10
2.2.2	Convolutional Neural Networks . . . . .	18
2.3	Interpretability Methods . . . . .	22
2.3.1	Integrated Gradients . . . . .	23
2.3.2	VarGrad . . . . .	25
<b>3</b>	<b>The Dataset</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1	Multiplexed Protein Maps . . . . .	29
3.2	Data preprocessing . . . . .	33
3.2.1	Raw data processing . . . . .	34
3.2.2	Quality Control . . . . .	35
3.2.3	Dataset creation . . . . .	36
3.2.4	Image preprocessing . . . . .	38
3.3	Data augmentation . . . . .	41
3.3.1	Color shifting . . . . .	41
3.3.2	Image zoom-in/out . . . . .	42
3.3.3	Horizontal flips and 90 degree rotations . . . . .	43
3.4	Discussion . . . . .	44
<b>4</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>49</b>
4.1	Dataset Setup . . . . .	49
4.1.1	Multiplexed Protein Maps . . . . .	50
4.1.2	Data preprocessing . . . . .	50
4.1.3	Data augmentation . . . . .	52

4.2	Models . . . . .	53
4.2.1	Linear Model . . . . .	55
4.2.2	Simple CNN . . . . .	56
4.2.3	ResNet50V2 . . . . .	56
4.2.4	Xception . . . . .	57
4.2.5	Performance Metrics . . . . .	58
4.3	Interpretability Methods . . . . .	59
4.3.1	Discussion . . . . .	60
<b>5</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>63</b>
5.1	Model Performance . . . . .	63
5.1.1	Baseline values for performance metrics . . . . .	64
5.1.2	Model Performance Comparative . . . . .	65
5.1.3	Linear Model . . . . .	67
5.1.4	Simple CNN . . . . .	67
5.2	Model Interpretation . . . . .	69
5.2.1	Transcription rate level cell division . . . . .	70
5.2.2	Simple CNN model score maps . . . . .	72
5.2.3	Similarity between Score Maps and Cell image . . . . .	75
5.3	Discussion . . . . .	78
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Remarks on Implementation</b>	<b>81</b>
A.1	Raw data processing and QC implementation notes . . . . .	81
A.2	TensorFlow Dataset and image preprocessing implementation notes . . . . .	85
A.3	Model training implementation notes . . . . .	88
A.4	VarGrad IG implementation notes . . . . .	90
<b>B</b>	<b>General Remarks</b>	<b>91</b>
B.1	Indirect Immunofluorescence markers description . . . . .	91
<b>List of Figures</b>		<b>95</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>		<b>99</b>
<b>Index</b>		<b>100</b>
<b>Acronyms</b>		<b>101</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>		<b>103</b>

# **Chapter 1**

## **Introduction**

A small overview. Explain how CNN can be used to predict Transcription Rate and how we can use interpretability methods to learn from this models.

Hannah's recommendations about intro chapter:

- Problem statement and challenges
- Main objectives / research questions
- List contributions
- last section of intro is important; give summary of content + key impact

Hannah's general recommendations:

- Content: You do not need to include all models you trained and details of all the bugs you fixed, but the thesis should contain a consistent story (detailed in the contributions in the introduction) where every result you show adds something to the story. For every experiment/figure ask yourself: is this necessary to answer the research question? If the answer is no, don't include it
- Assume that some people will only read the introduction and summary, and look at the figures -> the main points should be understandable from this information alone
- The thesis should be written at a level where a masters student from your course of study can understand it. All concepts that are not known at this level, need to be introduced
- Prefer descriptive section and paragraph headings that ideally tell the reader what this section is about rather than the method that was used

Hannah's recommendations about figures:

- Give references for every figure you use

- Describe all figures in the main text, but also make sure that each figure has a descriptive caption.
- Captions should help describe what is being shown, i.e. what do the colors stand for etc. They should help the reader to understand the flow of the figure. They shouldn't contain too much methods stuff (i.e. how this was done -> belongs to the methods) neither should they contain results stuff (how do we interpret these results? what do they mean? -> belongs to the results). The figure and caption should stand alone and be interpretable without the rest of the text. Ideally you should be able to redraw the figure from the caption and recreate the caption from the figure (but that is a very high bar).

## **1.1 Motivation**

Understanding how RNA concentration in eukaryotes cells is regulated is very important to understand gene expression. Measuring the amount of RNA inside a cell, may not be enough to fully describe cellular function. Accordingly to Buxbaum et al. [BHS14] and Korolchuk et al. [Kor+11], cellular function can heavily depends on the specific intracellular location and interaction with other molecules and intracellular structures. Therefore, the use of models capable of focusing on the spatial information contained in cell images, can potentially help us to understand cell expression.

## **1.2 Goal of this Thesis**

The objective of this work is to predict the RNA concentration within the null of a cell using [Convolutional Neural Networks \(CNNs\)](#) and multiplexed images of the cell nucleus. These multiplexed images capture the distribution and location of several molecules and proteins, as well as the shape and location of some organelles within a cell nucleus.

Nevertheless, this work goes beyond just trying to predict RNA concentration. Through interpretation methods, we can observe the places in the cell nucleus image that were most relevant to the model when making its prediction (score maps). To do this, we implemented preprocessing and data augmentation techniques aimed to improve the model's training performance and prevent overfitting, but most importantly, to remove non-relevant information from images. This encouraged the model to focus mainly on spatial information and allowed us to obtain cleaner score maps, which can potentially help to understand cellular expression better.

Finally, we test the veracity of the information in the score maps, by confronting them with a validation method.

### **1.3 Literature review**

In recent years neural networks...

However, once we have successfully trained an artificial neural network to make predictions, one may also want to understand how the predictions was made. Several approaches have been used to tackle this. In this work we focus on the ones that highlight features in an input that are most responsible for the model's output. We use a composition of two explainable AI techniques, [Integrated Gradient \(IG\)](#) [STY17] to highlight relevant input components (saliency map) and [VarGrad \(VG\)](#) [Ade+20] to enhance the empirical quality the saliency map.

Hannah's recommendations:

- introduce most relevant previous work
- Set it in context: how does this relate to your work?



# Chapter 2

## Basics

In this chapter we will... A small overview. Explain how CNN can be used to predict Transcription Rate and how we can use interpretability methods to learn from this models.

Hannah's recommendations:

- explain biological background
- introduce all methods that are used later on
- Experts might skip reading this chapter so don't include any
- information on your dataset, specific evaluation etc here

### 2.1 Biology Background

Cells are considered the smallest unit of life. There are two types of cells, *prokaryotic* and *eukaryotic*. The main difference between these, is that prokaryotic cells do not contain nucleus and that that prokaryotes are considered single-celled organisms, while eukaryotes organisms can be either single-celled or multicellular. For multicellular organisms, like plants or mammals, eukaryotic cells are the *building-blocks* of life. This work focuses on a process of eukaryotic cells. Therefore, in the subsequent when we refer to cells, we will be referring to eukaryotic cells only.

Multicellular organisms (like us) have different cell types, where each one of them can have many or a specific function. For instance, red blood cells are responsible for carrying the oxygen in the body. In order to carry as much oxygen as possible they lack a nucleus, and therefore they are unable to undergo *mitosis*<sup>1</sup>.


However, there are also cells aimed to produce (*synthesize*) certain substances that regulate process in our body. For instance, *Alpha cells* are pancreatic cells responsible for synthesizing the *glucagon* hormone, which elevates the glucose levels in the blood [Ker99]. The process in which cells produce this substances is called *cellular expression*

---

<sup>1</sup>Mitosis is the process through which eukaryotic cells reproduce themselves and give rise to new organisms.

or *gene expression*. The reason why this process is also called gene expression, is because the instructions to synthesize every substance (or any functional product, like hormones or proteins) are encoded in a specific gene<sup>2</sup>.

There are two key steps involved in gene expression, *transcription* and *translation*. Roughly speaking, transcription is the process in which the instructions to synthesize a product (like proteins) are copied from a gene in the DNA, to a single strand molecule called **messenger RNA (mRNA)**. On the other hand, Translation is the process in which the instructions in the **mRNA** are interpreted to produce a functional product. Figure 2.1 shows a simple representation of this process.



**Figure 2.1:** Simple representation of the gene expression process. Image source [BJ].


The transcription process happens inside the cell nucleus, while translation happens in the *ribosome* (outside the nucleus). The reason why transcription is necessary, is because the instructions needed to build a product are encoded in the DNA, which is inside the nucleus. Since DNA is too big to pass the membrane that covers the nucleus (nuclear envelop) to travel to the ribosome (which is the organelle in charge of building the product), the necessary instructions in the DNA are copied into a smaller strand (**mRNA**), which is now able to escape the nucleus and travels to the ribosome to start the translation process. Figure 2.2 shows a diagram of an eukaryotic cell and some of its parts. This work focuses on the transcription process and the factors that speed up or slow down this process.

### 2.1.1 Transcription Process

Transcription is the process in which the instructions encoded in a gene are copied from the DNA inside the nucleus, to produce a RNA transcript called **messenger RNA**. The Transcription process has two broad 2 main steps; 1) The process in which the gene is copied from the DNA into a pre-processed version of the **messenger RNA (mRNA)** called **pre-messenger RNA (pre-mRNA)**; 2) The RNA Splicing, which is the process where the **pre-mRNA** is transformed into a mature **mRNA** strand. These two processes happen inside the cell nucleus.

---

<sup>2</sup>A *gene* is defined as a region of the *DNA* that encodes a function. DNA is contained in *chromosomes*, which are long DNA strands containing many genes.



**Figure 2.2:** Animal eukaryotic cell diagram. Image source [Rui].


### Step 1, Pre-messenger RNA synthesis

The pre-mRNA creation has 3 main processes[JD+13] and is illustrated in figure 2.3:

1. **Initiation.** This step initiates the transcription process. It happens when an *enzyme*<sup>3</sup> of RNA polymerase binds to a region of the target gene called *the promoter*. This causes the DNA to unwind, so the RNA polymerase can read the DNA bases in one of its strands and create a molecule of **pre-mRNA**.
2. **Elongation.** Elongation is the process in which *nucleotides*<sup>4</sup> are added to the **pre-mRNA** strand. The RNA polymerase enzyme reads the unwound DNA strand and synthesizes the **pre-mRNA** molecule.
3. **Termination.** Termination ends the **pre-mRNA** synthesis. It happens when the RNA polymerase enzyme identifies a termination sequence in the gene, and detaches from the unwound DNA.

<sup>3</sup>An enzyme is a protein that acts as biological catalysts to accelerate chemical reactions.

<sup>4</sup>Nucleotides are the building blocks of nucleic acids. A nucleotide consists of a sugar molecule bound to a phosphate group and a nitrogen-containing base. RNA and DNA are polymers made of long chains of nucleotides.



**Figure 2.3:** The three main steps of the pre-mRNA synthesis: initiation, elongation, and termination. Image source [[Vil](#)].

### Step 2, Pre-messenger RNA splicing

In this process the **pre-mRNA** is transformed into a mature **mRNA** strand by removing non-relevant (non-coding) sections of it. During Splicing, *introns*<sup>5</sup> are removed and *exons*<sup>6</sup> are joined together [Ber+15]. Besides this, a cap and a tail are added to the spliced **pre-mRNA** strand to turn it into a mature **mRNA**. The splicing process takes place within subnuclear structures called **Nuclear Speckles (NS)** (also known as *Splicing Speckles*) [SL11]. Figure 2.4 illustrates the **pre-mRNA** splicing process.


### Transcription Rate

NOTE: Solo define aqui el transcription rate en general, la parte de Methodology Dataset

Here I should state what is **transcription rate (TR)**. In this part I will not mention that we use the average of the channel 00\_EU, that will be stated in the methodology part. However, here I should state a definition of **TR** that agrees with what we have in

<sup>5</sup>Introns are nucleotide sequences within a gene that are non-coding regions of an RNA transcript, and that are removed by the splicing process before translation.

<sup>6</sup>Exons are coding sections of an RNA transcript that can be translated into proteins.



**Figure 2.4:** Pre-messenger RNA splicing process. A [pre-mRNA](#) strand (top) is turned into a mature [mRNA](#) strand (bottom). Image source [\[Wik21\]](#).

the dataset. Here are some ideas of what [TR](#) is. Since we have images of cell nucleus, this means that we have both [pre-mRNA](#) and mature [mRNA](#) molecules<sup>7</sup>. So, what is [00\\_EU](#) measuring? both molecules or only one? As far as I understood, [00\\_EU](#) captures the RNA molecules that were produced in the last 30 minutes. Therefore, for us [TR](#) would be the average concentration of [mRNA](#) per unit of time (in this case 30 mins). However if we measure the concentration of pre or mature [mRNA](#) in the nucleus, then in the [TR](#) definition we should consider either (or both) the rate that pre turns into mature (but if we measure both then we mesure the total and this is not necessary) or the rate at which mature [mRNA](#) escapes the nucleus.

Fixme Note!

Therefore, accordingly to [\[PO+13\]](#) we can define [TR](#) as the number of [mRNA](#) molecules being synthesized per unit time minus a degradation factor

$$\frac{d[mRNA]}{dt} = SR - k_d[mRNA] \quad (2.1)$$

where  $[mRNA]$  is the mature [mRNA](#) concentration in the cell nucleus,  $RN$  the [mRNA](#) synthesis rate and  $k_d$  the degradation rate (or in our case, the rate at which mature [mRNA](#) escapes the nucleus).

Fixme Note!

---

<sup>7</sup>Transcription rate encompasses two related, yet different, concepts: the nascent transcription rate, which measures the *in situ* mRNA production by RNA polymerase, and the rate of synthesis of mature mRNA, which measures the contribution of transcription to the mRNA concentration [\[PO+13\]](#).

## 2.2 Machine Learning

Artificial Neural Networks ([ANNs](#)) are universal approximators widely used in the field of Machine Learning ([ML](#)) and an important part of this work. This is a very broad subject and there are entire books that cover this in detail, like [[GBC16](#)] or [[Bis06](#)]. However, in this section we will give a small introduction to [ANNs](#) and [Convolutional Neural Networks \(CNNs\)](#), which are a type of [ANN](#) that were specifically designed to deal with data in the form of images.

Before defining what exactly is a [ANN](#), let's first recall the definition of machine learning. We refer to [ML](#) to the group of algorithms that automatically improve (learn) through experience. Among these algorithms, we could say that there are three main classes (which depend on the kind of experience we provide):

- **Supervised Learning:** The experience is given in the form of input and output examples, and the goal is to learn a general rule that maps inputs to outputs.
- **Unsupervised Learning:** The experience is given in the form of data (no outputs provided) and the goal is to discover hidden patterns in data.
- **Reinforcement Learning:** No experience (data) is given, instead a dynamic *environment* is provided and an *agent* must learn how to interact with it in order to achieve a goal.

An [ANN](#) can be used in any of the 3 kinds of learning algorithms listed above.

However, recall that the objective of this work is to approximate a function (in this case a [CNN](#)), such that when it is fed with images of a cell nucleus (input data), it predicts the corresponding [transcription rate \(TR\)](#) (output data). Therefore, we are dealing with a *supervised learning* task.

Before explaining what a [CNN](#) is, let us first introduce and explain [ANN](#) in general.

### 2.2.1 Artificial Neural Networks

Roughly speaking, an [Artificial Neural Network \(ANN\)](#) is a non-linear function  $f : \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^L$ , that maps an input  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$  with an output  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^L$ . Of course, to consider  $f$  as a [ANN](#),  $f$  must have a specific form that will be addressed later. However, for the sake of this explanation, let us start by defining a simple function as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) &:= h \left( w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} w_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) \right) \\ &= h(\mathbf{w}^T \phi(\mathbf{x})) \\ &:= h(z) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{D+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$  is an element-wise function, with  $\phi_0 := 1$ , known as *basis function*,  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function known as *activation function* and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^M$  is the parameter vector. The parameters  $w_j$ , with  $j \in \{1, \dots, M-1\}$  are known as *weights*, while the parameter  $w_0$  is known as *bias*.

Then, an **ANN** is composition of functions of the same form as 2.2, with non-linear *activation functions*, and where the basis functions are also of the same form as 2.2 [Bis06]

$$F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}) := h_K(\mathbf{w}_K^T h_{K-1}(\mathbf{w}_{K-1}^T \dots h_0(\mathbf{w}_0^T \mathbf{x}) \dots)) \quad (2.3)$$

The subscript in the parameter vectors  $\mathbf{w}_k$  and the activation functions  $h_k$ , with  $k \in \{0, \dots, K\}$ , of 2.3 represents the depth of the layers. Note that unlike the other layers, the base function of the *input layer* ( $k = 0$ ) is the identity function. Furthermore, the activation function of the *output layer*  $h_K$  does not necessarily have to be non-linear. Instead, it is chosen based on the type of function we want to approximate. In our case, since we have a regression problem (predicting **transcription rate (TR)**),  $h_K$  is chosen as the identity function.

There are different non-linear activation functions that can be chosen for the hidden units. However, all the models showed in this work use the **Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU)**

$$\text{ReLU} := \max\{0, x\} \quad (2.4)$$

Figure 2.5 shows the **ReLU** activation function.





Figure 2.5: **ReLU** activation function.

Figure 2.6 shows a graphical representation of a **ANN**. The circles represent the activation function applied to what is inside it. Black colored circles represent the

identity function, red colored circles the non-linear activation function for the hidden layers, while green any function for the output layer that suits the problem we want to solve. Note that values inside the circles of the hidden and output layers  $z_i^k$ , for  $k \in \{0, \dots, K\}$  and  $i$  representing one of the units of the  $k$  layer, are the output of a function of the same form as 2.2. The lines connecting the circles represent the weights and biases corresponding to each layer  $\mathbf{W}_k$ , for  $k \in \{0, \dots, K\}$ . The circles in the *hidden layers* are known as *hidden units*.



**Figure 2.6:** Graphical representation of an **ANN**. The color of the circles represents the type of activation function. Black means the identity, red a non-linear function for the hidden layers and green any function for the output layer.

Strictly speaking, equation 2.3 and figure 2.6 represent a *fully connected feedforward neural network*. However, in this work we will refer to it just as **ANN**, which in some literature is also known as **Multilayer Perceptron (MLP)**. Also, hidden layers are also known as *Dense layers*.

### Update rule

Sow far we have introduced the general form an **ANN** must have. Moreover, equation 2.3 shows that an **ANN** is simply a non-linear function controlled by a set of adjustable parameters  $\mathbf{W}$ . Therefor the question is, how can we approximate this parameters?

Recall that we are dealing with a supervised learning problem, which means that we can use both the input data (images of cell nucleus,  $\mathbf{X}$ ) and the output data (the **TRs**,

$\mathbf{Y}$ ) to approximate  $\mathbf{W}$ . Therefore, we can feed the [ANN](#) with  $\mathbf{X}$ , and then measure its performance by comparing its output  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$  against the true values  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

This comparison is made by means of a *loss function*  $\mathcal{L}$  that must be chosen beforehand. The choice of  $\mathcal{L}$  depends mainly on the type of problem you are solving (regression, classification, etc.). However, even for each type, there are many different options. For now, let us just say that  $\mathcal{L}$  should return high values when  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$  is far from the true values  $\mathbf{Y}$ , and low when they are close.

Then, we can fit the values of  $\mathbf{W}$ , by minimizing the loss function  $\mathcal{L}$  each time the model is fed with an input value  $\mathbf{x}$ . Since the gradient of  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{W}$  (i.e.,  $\nabla_{\mathbf{W}} \mathcal{L}$ ) returns the direction in which the loss function grows the fastest, then we choose  $-\nabla_{\mathbf{W}} \mathcal{L}$  as the direction of our update rule

$$\mathbf{W}_{new} = \mathbf{W}_{old} - \alpha \nabla_{\mathbf{W}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{W}_{old}) \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$  (known as *learning rate*) controls how much we move in the direction of  $-\nabla_{\mathbf{W}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{W}_{old})$  on every step.

The iterative method in which 2.5 is applied over elements of  $\mathbf{X}$  to optimize  $\mathbf{W}$  is known as [Gradient Descent \(GD\)](#) [Bis06]. However, in practice 2.5 is not applied for a single element of  $\mathbf{X}$  every time, but to a random subset of  $\mathbf{X}$  (known as a *Batch*) instead. The number of elements in batch is fixed over all the iteration (training), and is an hyperparameter known as *Batch Size*  $bs$ <sup>8</sup>. As a rule of thumb,  $bs$  should be no less than 30 (for the selected sample to be representative of  $\mathbf{X}$ ). In practice  $bs$  is usually chosen as a power of 2. This process is known as [Stochastic Gradient Descent \(SGD\)](#) and computationally is less expensive than [GD](#).

However, [GD \(SGD\)](#) has a downside, the choice of its hyperparameter  $\alpha$  (learning rate). In practice, it has been shown that the correct choice of  $\alpha$  is essential to train an [ANN](#) successfully. Therefore, other algorithms (*optimizers*) have been proposed to mitigate this problem. The revision of these optimizers is out of the scope to this work. However, all of them follow the same idea proposed by [GD](#). For example, instead of having a fixed learning rate  $\alpha$  as in [GD](#), the [Adaptive Moment Estimation \(Adam\)](#) optimizer adapts its learning rate dynamically during training depending on the mean and variance of the loss function [KB14].

## Back propagation

Nevertheless, there is still one question that needs to be answered, which is how to efficiently calculate the derivative of the loss function ( $\nabla_{\mathbf{W}} \mathcal{L}$ ) with respect to all the parameters of the [ANN](#). The answer to this is through an algorithm called *backpropagation*, which is performed during the *training process*. Again, there is a lot

---

<sup>8</sup>Normally the training data is separated in disjoint batches, which means that it could happen that last batch to be smaller than the selected  $bs$ .

of literature that explains this in depth (for instance [GBC16] or [Bis06]). Therefor, here we will just provide the intuition behind it.

Recall that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a function of the true values  $y$  and  $\hat{y}$  i.e.,  $\mathcal{L}(y, \hat{y})$ . Also from equation 2.3 and figure 2.6 note that

$$\begin{aligned} y &:= F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}) \\ &= h_K(\mathbf{z}^K) \\ &= h_K(\mathbf{W}_K^T h_{K-1}(\mathbf{z}^{K-1})) \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{W}_K} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}_K} \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^K} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^K}{\partial \mathbf{W}_K} \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

which is just the product of the derivative of the loss function w.r.t.  $\hat{y}$  (i.e.,  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{y}}$ ), the derivative of the activation function of the output layer w.r.t the argument of the last layer (i.e.,  $\frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^K}$ ) and the output of the layer  $K - 1$  (i.e.,  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^K}{\partial \mathbf{W}_K} = h_{K-1}(\mathbf{z}^{K-1})$ ).

Note that we can easily compute the gradient of  $\mathcal{L}$  w.r.t deeper parameters  $\mathbf{W}_k$  (for  $k \in \{0, \dots, K - 1\}$ ), just by extending 2.6 and 2.7.

This shows how by means of the *chain rule*<sup>9</sup>, the backpropagation algorithm can compute the gradient of the loss function w.r.t. a specific parameter, just by multiplying the derivative of the loss function, the derivative of the activation functions and some values computed during the evaluation of the ANN.

## Model development

The properties of ANNs have been studied extensively before ([Cyb89], [HSW89], [Fun89]) and established in the *Universal approximation theorem*

### Theorem 2.1 (Universal approximation theorem)

An MLP with a linear output layer and one hidden layer can approximate any continuous function defined over a closed and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^D$ , under mild assumptions on the activation function (squashing activation function) and given the number of hidden units is large enough.

---


<sup>9</sup> $(f \circ g)' = (f \circ g) \cdot g'$ , or equivalently  $h'(x) = f'(g(x))g'(x)$ , for  $h(x) := f(g(x))$ .

For this reason **ANN** are known as *universal approximators*, since they are able to approximate any continuous function on a compact<sup>10</sup> input domain with an arbitrary accuracy [Bis06].

These means that, as long as a **ANN** has a sufficiently large number of hidden units, the loss function can be reduced as much as desired. However, this nice property can also lead to an unwanted one known as *overfitting*. Intuitively this means that the **ANN** memorize the data used to train it (low error/bias), and therefore it is not able to perform (or *generalize*) well when it is fed with new data (high error/bias and variance). This happens mainly when the **ANN** is optimized/fed too many times with the same data.

On the other hand, *underfitting* means that the **ANN** performs poorly on both new data and data used to train the network (high bias and low variance). This usually happens when the training time is insufficient or the **ANN** is not complex enough (too few hidden units and/or layers).

Figure 2.7 shows synthetic data (blue circles), generated from a sine function (green line) and random noise sampled from a normal distribution. The red line in figure 2.7a represents a fitted model with high bias and low variance (underfitting), while in figure 2.7c a model with low bias and high variance (overfitting). The red line in figure 2.7b, represents a model with low bias and variance (good fit and good generalization).




**Figure 2.7:** Representation of a model (red line) with underfitting a), good fit b) and overfitting c), trained over synthetic data (blue small circles). The synthetic data was generating by adding random noise to a sine function (green line) on the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Image source [Bis06].

In practice, we seek to fit models that has low bias and low variance (i.e., good accuracy and good generalization). Therefore, to prevent overfitting we split the data into 3 different sets; *training*, *validation* and *test*, and train the model using only the first set. Then, during model training, we measure how well the model is generalizing


<sup>10</sup>A set  $A$  in a metric space is said to be *compact* if it is close (i.e., it contain all its limit points) and bounded (i.e., all its points lie within some fixed distance of each other) [BS00].

by comparing the value of the loss function when it is evaluated in the training and validation set <sup>11</sup>. During the model development, the *test* set is never evaluated and is only used at the end, to report the model performance. This methodology is shown in figure 2.9

Figure 2.8 shows this *bias–variance tradeoff* between training and validation set. In practice, multiple versions of the model are saved during training and then the one with the lowest validation error is chosen (red dot on figure 2.8).



**Figure 2.8:** Bias–variance tradeoff. In orange (respectively blue) the loss function curve when it is evaluated in the validation (respectively training) set. The red dot shows the lowest loss for the validation set.



**Figure 2.9:** Model development methodology.

<sup>11</sup>This is usually known as the *bias–variance tradeoff*.

The methodology shown in figure 2.9 is also used to optimize the hyperparameters of the model, like the number hidden units/layers or the activation function of the hidden layers.

### Batch Normalization

However, overfitting is not the only problem we may encounter when training an ANN. Training ANN with several layers can be complicated, since the distribution of the data can change from layer to layer. This means that the input and output distribution of a layer will not necessarily be the same. It has been empirically proven that this can affect the training performance, since it requires the use of lower learning rates [IS15]. This can also lead to *saturation*<sup>12</sup> of the activation functions, so a more careful initialization of the ANN parameters is required. To address this problem Ioffe et al. [IS15] proposed to normalize the layer inputs.

Roughly speaking, batch normalization consists of two main steps; 1) the standardization of the layer input and 2) the normalization of the standardized data. For the first step the layer input is standardized using parameters extracted from the *batch*

$$z'_k := \frac{z_k - \mu_k}{\sqrt{\sigma_k^2 - \epsilon}} \quad (2.8)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M z_k \\ \sigma_k^2 &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M (z_k - \mu_k)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where  $M$  is the *Batch size* and  $k$ , with  $k \in \{0 \dots K\}$ , denotes the layer.

Note that for each layer  $k$  we have different normalization parameters  $\mu_k$  and  $\sigma_k$ . Moreover, these normalization parameters are vectors of the same shape as the layer size (i.e., one pair of normalization parameters per unit/neuron).

The second step in batch normalization consists of normalizing the standardized data  $z'_k$  using parameters  $\gamma_k$  and  $\beta_k$  learned during training

$$\tilde{z}_k := \gamma_k \odot z'_k + \beta_k \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\odot$  denotes *element-wise* multiplication. At the beginning of the training  $\gamma_k = 1$  and  $\beta_k = 0$  are used for all the layers and units.

---

<sup>12</sup>Saturation is a commonly used term to refer to the situation when the evaluation of a "squashing" function returns values close to some of its horizontal asymptotes most of the time. Remember that these "squash" functions (like *Sigmoid* or *tanh*) compress the real line  $(-\infty, \infty)$  into an interval of finite length  $(a, b)$ .

During training, the normalization parameters of each epoch are stored, so the average ( $\bar{\gamma}_k$  and  $\beta_k$ ) can be used during evaluation (when the model is not training).

### Residual Block V2

As already mentioned, the *Universal approximation theorem* guarantees that the training error can be reduced by adding more layer to an **ANN**. However, in practice it is not that simple. As we add layers to an **ANN**, the training becomes more unstable and difficult as we can face vanishing or exploding gradients (when the value of the gradients become very close to 0 or inf respectively during back propagation). To overcome this problem, He et al. ([He+15] and [He+16]) proposed the *residual blocks*, which have been empirically shown to make deep **ANN** training more stable. The core idea of residual blocks is to reformulate the layers as *learning residual functions* with reference to the layer inputs, by adding an *identity connection*. Then, if a layer is no longer beneficial to the **ANN** (e.g. in case of gradient vanishing), the **ANN** can just "skip" it. Figure 2.10 shows a diagram of the second version of a residual block [He+16].




Figure 2.10: Residual block V2.

### 2.2.2 Convolutional Neural Networks


So far we have explained how **Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)** works assuming that we feed them with vectors of fixed length. Even though we could take a multichannel image and transform it into a vector, in practice this would be computationally very expensive. For instance, assuming that we have a 3 channel image of size 224 by 224, this would result into an input vector of length  $3 \cdot 224 \cdot 224 = 150'528$ . Then, if the first layer of our network has 100 units, this would mean more than 15 millions of parameters only for the first layer. Furthermore, the transformation of our image into a vector would mean a loss of spatial information. This means that the **ANN** would not be able to capture or use the spatial relationship between pixels and shapes within the image.

A **Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)** is a type of **ANN** widely used to analyze data in the form of images. The intuition behind a **CNN** is that instead of just looking at an image and trying to predict the target value directly, first learn some *features* within the image, and then make the prediction base on this features. To achieve this, **CNNs** mainly use *convolution* and *pooling* layers.

## Convolution layer

The only difference a

A convolution layer is very similar to a regular layer described in section 2.2.1. Basically, they only differ in the way the layer input is multiplied by the the layer weights. Recall that in a regular layer, the input of a unit is the dot product between the layer input and its corresponding weight vector (i.e.,  $z = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$ ). This means that for each element in the input vector  $\mathbf{x}$ , there is a corresponding element in the weight vector  $\mathbf{w}$ . However, for a convolution layer this is not the case. Convolution layers are based on the shared-weight architecture of the convolution *kernels* or *filters* that slide along the input and returns a translation known as *feature maps* [Zha+88]. This means that the *kernels* weights will be used for multiple elements of the layer input. Figure 2.11 shows the convolution process with a 2 by 2 kernel over a RGB image (3 channels) of size 4 by 4. Each entrance of the returned feature map  $z_i$  is the dot product between the kernel weights  $\mathbf{w}$  and the  $\mathbf{x}_i - th$  chunk of the image.



**Figure 2.11:** Convolution process steps. In red, green and blue the input image, in orange the convolution kernel (size 2 by 2 and stride of 1) and in gray the convolution output (feature map).

Mathematically this looks as follow


$$z_i = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + b \quad (2.11)$$

where  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2 \times 3}$ ,  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2 \times 3}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  is the bias (not shown in the images).

Like the kernel size, the number of pixels we shift the kernel each time along side the input (*Stride*) is also a hyperparameter of convolution layers. IN figure 2.11, the stride size is 1.

Figure 2.11 also shows that size (width and height) of the returned feature map is smaller than the input image. If we want to keep the input and output size the same (*Same convolution*), then we must add zeros at the edges of the input features (zero-padding). This is shown on figure 2.12.

So far we have seen that a convolution projects a multi-channel input feature (image)



**Figure 2.12:** Convolution with padding. In blue a single-channel input features, in orange the convolution kernel (size 3 by 3 and stride of 1) and in gray the convolution output (feature map).

into a single-channel feature map. Therefore, if we want our output feature map to have  $n$  channels, then our convolution must have  $n$  different kernels.

Normally, a non-linear activation function is applied to the output of convolution layers (and normally also after batch normalization) to enable the [CNN](#) to learn non-linear relations.


### Pooling layer

Unlike convolution layers, the goal of Pooling layers is to reduce the feature image (height and width, but not depth) rather than learn features. However, Pooling layers work in a similar way to convolution layers in the way that they also slide a kernel along the input. However, in this case the kernel works independently on each feature map (that is, each channel) and has no weights to learn. This means that the pooling layers maintain the same number of input and output channels. There are several ways to do this downsampling, but the most common are Max Polling and Average Polling. As the name suggests, Average pooling shrinks the feature image by averaging sections of it, while Max pooling takes the maximum value. Figure 2.13 shows an example of a max and average pooling layer on a single-channel feature image using a 2 by 2 kernel and a stride of 2.

Normally, Pooling layers are applied over the output of the activation functions.


### Global Average Pooling layer

As we mentioned at the beginning of this section, the idea of a [CNN](#) is to first learn the features within the input images and then make a prediction based on these features. To do this, the *Global Average Pooling layer* transforms the channels of the last feature



**Figure 2.13:** Max and average pooling with a 2 by 2 kernel and stride 2. The color denotes the kernel position.

map into a vector (by averaging each of its channels), so that this can be used as input in a regular ANN to make the final prediction. Figure 2.14 shows an example of this, when it is applied into a feature map with 7 channels.




**Figure 2.14:** Global Average Pooling layer.

### Inception module

Recall that a convolution layer is meant to learn features from a 3D object with 2 spatial dimensions (width and height) and a channel dimension. This means that each kernel in the convolution needs to learn simultaneously cross-channel and spatial correlations. The intuition behind the *Inception module* is to improve this process

by separating this two tasks, so that the cross-channel correlations and the spatial correlations can be learned separately and independently [Cho17].

A normal inception model looks at the cross-channel correlations first through a set of 3 or 4 *pointwise convolutions*<sup>13</sup>, and then learns the spacial information in the downsampled feature image (in depth, not height and width), by means of regular convolution (usually with 3 by 3 or 5 by 5 kernels). Figure 2.15 shows a diagram of an Inception V3 module.



**Figure 2.15:** A regular Inception module (Inception V3). Image source [Cho17].

François Chollet [Cho17], used the inception module as reference to propose the *depthwise separable convolution*, which is something between a normal convolution and a normal convolution combined/followed by a pointwise convolution. Figure 2.16 shows an *extreme* version of the inception module shown in figure 2.15. The *depthwise separable convolution* is very similar to the one shown in figure 2.16, the only difference is that the pointwise convolution is applied before the 3 by 3 convolutions instead of after.


Even though the *depthwise separable convolution* is a simplified version of the inception module, the idea and motivation behind it is the same. The *depthwise separable convolution*, and the residual block, are the main components of the *Xception* architecture [Cho17].

## 2.3 Interpretability Methods

In recent years, Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) have been used to solve a wide variety of problems and gained popularity. Amazing results such as those achieved by Deep Mind's Alpha Fold team, have shown the great potential DNN has to solve complex problems. However, the difficulty to interpret DNNs has become one of the main

---

<sup>13</sup>A *pointwise convolution* is a convolution with 1 by 1 kernels and stride 1.



**Figure 2.16:** An extreme version of our Inception module. Image source [Cho17].

obstacles to their acceptance in applications where the interpretability of the model is necessary.

To understand how the DNNs predict the transcription rate (TR) of a cell, we use *Attribution Methods*. These methods are meant to measure how much each component of the input image contributes to the model's prediction by creating a *Score Map* (also known as *Importance Map*, *Sensitivity Map* or *Saliency Map*) of the same shape as the model's input. In particular, in this work we use a combination between **Integrated Gradient (IG)** [STY17] and **VarGrad (VG)** [Ade+20] as attribution method. In general we will denote attribution method as  $\phi$ .

Attribution methods are not only used to interpret black-box models like DNN, they can also be used to debug models or as a sanity check to validate that the model bases its prediction on the relevant features of the input.

In our case, this interpretability techniques will show us which parts of the cell image are relevant for the prediction of the TR. However, this will not just help us to interpret the results of the model, this also have the potential to help us understand unknown cellular processes.

### 2.3.1 Integrated Gradients

**Integrated Gradient (IG)** is an interpretability technique (attribution method) proposed by Sundararajan et al. [STY17], aimed to assign an importance to the input features (in our case pixels from a cell image) with respect to the model prediction. The attribution problem have been studied before in other papers [Bae+10], [SVZ13], [Shr+16], [Bin+16] and [Spr+14].

In our case, we seek to predict transcription rate (TR) given a cell image  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times c}$ , where  $d$  is the height and width of the image and  $c$  is the number of channels. Therefore, our Deep Neural Network (DNN) would be a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times c} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and an attribution method should be a function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times c} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times c}$  having an input and

output of the same shape as the model's input image.

Early interpretability methods only use gradients to assign importance to each input feature

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(f, x) &:= \nabla f(x) \\ &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

Mathematically speaking,  $\phi_i(f, x)$  assign an importance score to the pixel  $i$  (out of the  $d \times d \times c$  there are), representing how much it adds or subtract from the model output. However, this score maps have some drawback when they are used to interpret deep neural networks [SLL20]. Recall that the gradient with respect to the input indicate us the pixels that have the steepest local slope with respect to the model's output. This means that it only describes local changes in the input, and not the whole prediction model. Another mayor problem is saturation<sup>14</sup>. As the model learns the relationship between an input image and its TR, the gradient of the most important pixels will approximate to 0, i.e. the pixel's gradient saturates.

To overcome this problems, Sundararajan et al. proposed **Integrated Gradient (IG)** as an attribution method, where the importance of the input feature  $i$  is defined as follow

$$\phi_i^{IG}(f, x, x') := (x_i - x'_i) \int_{\alpha=0}^1 \frac{\partial f(x' + \alpha(x - x'))}{\partial x_i} d\alpha\tag{2.13}$$


Intuitively speaking, **IG** accumulates the input gradient when it goes from a baseline  $x'$ , which should represents *absence* of information, to the actual input image  $x$ . With this, we avoid losing information about relevant pixels for the model's prediction in the importance map, even if they saturate eventually. Figure 2.17 shows an example of the image progression fed into IG. Note that the amount of information in the images is parameterized by  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , and that the *absence* of information is interpreted as a black image.

For a better understanding, we can divide the **IG** definition as follow

$$\phi_i^{IG}(f, x, x') := \underbrace{(x_i - x'_i)}_{\text{Difference from baseline}} \underbrace{\int_{\alpha=0}^1}_{\text{From baseline to input...}} \underbrace{\frac{\partial f(x' + \alpha(x - x'))}{\partial x_i} d\alpha}_{\dots \text{accumulate local gradients}}\tag{2.14}$$

The integral in equation 2.14 accumulate the gradients for the interpolated images  $x' + \alpha(x - x')$  between the baseline  $x'$  and the image  $x$ . On the other hand, the

<sup>14</sup>In the context of artificial neural networks, a neuron is said to be saturated when the predominant output value of a neuron is close to the asymptotic ends of the bounded activation function. This behavior can potentially damage the learning capacity of a neural network.



**Figure 2.17:** Progression from an image with no information (back image) to a normal one parameterized by  $\alpha$ .

difference  $(x_i - x'_i)$  outside the integral comes from the chain rule and the fact that we are interested in integrating over the path between the baseline and the image.

**IG** is very simple and easy to implement, since it does not require any modification to the model and it only requires some calls to the gradient operator.

The **IG** satisfy several properties and axioms that are addressed in detail in the paper. However, there is one axiom satisfied by **IG** that is of special importance for us, *completeness*. Completeness means that the value of the summed attributes will be equal to difference between the model's output when it is evaluated at the image and the model's output when it is evaluated at the baseline

$$\sum_i \phi(f, x, x')^{IG} = f(x) - f(x') \quad (2.15)$$

In practice, computing the analytic expression for the integral in equation 2.13 would be complicated, and in some cases unfeasible. However, luckily we can numerically approximate  $\phi(f, x, x')^{IG}$  using a Riemann sum

$$\phi_i^{Approx\ IG}(f, x, x', m) := (x_i - x'_i) \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial f(x' + \frac{k}{m}(x - x'))}{\partial x_i} \frac{1}{m} \quad (2.16)$$

where  $m$  is number of steps for the Riemann sum approximation.

This is when the completeness axiom comes into scene, which is a good value for the parameter  $m$ ? 10, 100, 500? To answer this question, we can simply apply the completeness axiom as a sanity check for the election of  $m$ . If  $m$  is good enough, then the value of  $\sum_i \phi_i^{Approx\ IG}(f, x, x', m)$  should be close to  $f(x) - f(x')$ , or equivalently, the value of  $|\sum_i \phi_i^{Approx\ IG}(f, x, x', m) - (f(x) - f(x'))|$  should be close to 0.

Figures 2.18b and 2.18c show a comparative between the gradient of a model output with respect to a cell image, and the **IG**. One can see that either for score maps computed using **IG** or vanilla gradients, the output is noisy and diffuse.

### 2.3.2 VarGrad

As we can see in figure 2.18c, **Integrated Gradient (IG)** attribution maps can be noisy and diffuse. To improve their empirical quality, Smilkov et al. [Smi+17] proposed

**SmoothGrad (SG)**, which tends to reduce noise in practice and can be combined with other attribution map algorithms (like **IG**). The idea behind **SG** is pretty simple, given an input image  $x$ , you create a sample of similar images by adding noise, then compute the attribution map for each one of them using the algorithm you prefer (in our case **IG**), and take the average of the attribution maps. Although Smilkov et al. do not provide a mathematical proof of why **SG** reduce noise in score maps, they provide a conjecture and empirical evidence. For this work we use a slightly difference version called **VarGrad (VG)**, proposed by Adebayo et al. [Ade+18] but inspired by **SG**, which takes the variance of the attribution maps instead of the mean. The reason for this choice is that Seo et al. [Seo+18] analyzed theoretically **VG**, and concluded that it is independent to the gradient and capture higher order partial derivatives.

In general, **VG** is defined as follow

$$\phi^{SG}(f, x) := \text{Var}(\phi(f, x + z_j)) \quad (2.17)$$


where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times c}$  is the input image,  $f : \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times c} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a model,  $\phi$  an attribution method to get preliminary score maps and  $z_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , with  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , are i.i.d. noise images of same shape as the input image.

Since we use **IG** to get preliminary score maps, in our case **VG** (in the subsequent defined as **VarGrad Integrated Gradient (VFIG)**) looks as follow

$$\phi^{SG}(f, x) := \text{Var}(\phi^{IG}(f, x + z_j, x')) \quad (2.18)$$

where  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times c}$  is a given baseline needed to compute the **IG** score maps.

Figures 2.18c and 2.18d show a comparative between **IG** and **VFIG** score maps. One can see that **VFIG** produces less noisy score maps than vanilla **IG**.



**Figure 2.18:** Comparative between a cell image and the different attribution methods. All the figures show the same 3 channels taken from a cell image. **a)** cell image, i.e. no attribution method. **b)** score map using only the gradient of the model with respect to the input image. **c)** [Integrated Gradient](#) score map. **d)** [VarGrad Integrated Gradient](#) score map.



# Chapter 3

## The Dataset

We can interpret [transcription rate \(TR\)](#) as the amount of new RNA molecules inside a cell nucleus in a given period of time. By means of a fluorescent marker, it is possible to identify these new RNA molecules and thus approximate [TR](#). But, what about the morphology of other molecules and organelles within the cell nucleus? The distribution, shape and location of molecules, proteins and organelles within the nucleus could potentially encode relevant information for cellular expression. This has been the main motivation for this work. By means of a [Convolutional Neural Network \(CNN\)](#), we seek to predict [TR](#) base mainly in spacial information encoded on images of cell nucleus.

In this section we introduce the process used to generate the data for this work, the [multiplexed protein map \(MPM\)](#) protocol. In addition to this, we introduce the preprocessing and data augmentation techniques used. These techniques aim to improve the model's training performance, prevent overfitting and remove non-relevant information from the images. With this, we seek to encourage the model to base its prediction mainly on the spatial information encoded in the images of cell nucleus.

### 3.1 Multiplexed Protein Maps

The amount of protein or [messenger RNA \(mRNA\)](#) inside a cell may not be enough to fully describe cellular function. Accordingly to Buxbaum et al. [[BHS14](#)] and Korolchuk et al. [[Kor+11](#)], cellular function can heavily depends on the specific intracellular location and interaction with other molecules and intracellular structures. Therefore, cellular expression is determined by the functional state, abundance, morphology, and turnover of its intracellular organelles and cytoskeletal structures. This means that having the ability to look at the concentration and distribution of different molecules within a cell, is an important technological achievement that can significantly leverage scientific discoveries in biomedicine. This is exactly what [multiplexed protein map \(MPM\)](#) allows us to do ([[GHP18](#)]). [MPM](#) are protein readouts from cell cultures, that simultaneously captures different properties of the cell, like its shape, cycle state, detailed morphology of organelles, nuclear subcompartments, etc. It also captures highly multiplexed subcellular protein maps, which can be used to identify functionally relevant single-cell states, like [transcription rate \(TR\)](#). These maps can also identify new

cellular states and allow quantitative comparisons of intracellular organization between single cells in different cell cycle states, microenvironments, and drug treatments [GHP18].

So, let us explain more in depth what are these **MPM**. Accordingly to Gabriele Gut et al. [GHP18], **MPM** is a nondegrading protocol that allows to capture efficiently thousands of single cell multichannel images, where each channel contains the distribution and concentration of a protein of interest inside each cell. To achieve this, the protocol is made up of different steps that will be briefly explained here.

### Iterative indirect immunofluorescence imaging

The **MPM** protocol starts with a process called **iterative indirect immunofluorescence imaging (4i)** developed by the same group. The **4i** is a complete protocol by itself, and it allows to capture the concentration and distribution of individual proteins in thousands of different cells in a tissue<sup>1</sup>. Before applying the **4i** protocol, the *plate* where the cell culture is must be divided into squared sections called *wells*. Then, the **4i** protocol is applied over each well and photographed in sections called *sites*.

Roughly speaking, **4i** works as follow


1. The selected well is prepared for the staining-elution process.
2. The well is saturated with a liquid containing *antibodies*<sup>2</sup> stained with a fluorescent ink (**Indirect immunofluorescence (IF)**), which binds to a target protein.
3. The well is exposed to a high-energy light and photographed using a light microscopy (which produces a single channel image).
4. The antibodies inside the tissue are washed-out using a chemical elution substrate.
5. Steps 2 to 4 are repeated 20 times to get 20 images of the same protein.
6. To improve the protein readouts, the 20 single channel images are projected into one by *maximum intensity projection*.

Figure 3.1a illustrates the steps of the **4i** protocol that capture the saturation and distribution of a specific protein. Keep in mind that even though the **4i** protocol captures sever images of the tissue, it returns an uni-channel image (step 6). Figure 3.1b shows the **4i** protocol applied 40 times with different **IF** and over a 384-well plate, which captures the concentration and distribution of 40 different specific proteins.

---

<sup>1</sup>The tissues were made from cell cultures using the *HeLa Kyoto 184A1* cell line. HeLa is the oldest and most commonly used immortal human cell line in scientific research. The story behind it is quite interesting, so it's worth checking out.

<sup>2</sup>An antibody is a Y-shaped protein that can recognize and bind to a unique molecule (its antigen, e.g. another protein).



**Figure 3.1:** Schematic representation of the **4i** protocol for a single well and for 40 different fluorescent antibodies. Figure **b** also shows the image analysis to identify single cells and its components (nucleus and cytoplasm). Images source [GHP18].


By the time [GHP18] was published, the **4i** protocol was able to capture cell culture images with up to 40 channels without degrading the tissue, which is why **MPM** is called a *nondegrading* protocol.

### Multiplexed single cell analysis

Once the multichannel images were generated using the **4i** protocol, a series of image preprocessing and image analysis methods ([Car+06] and [Sni+12]) are applied to generate segmentation masks to identify individual cells, as well as their cytoplasm and nucleus. Figure 3.1b shows this segmentation at a cellular level, while figure 3.2 shows it also at a subcellular level. In both cases the boundaries are marked with a white contour. This single cell analysis is also used to identify cells that do not satisfy certain quality controls (like cells in the border of the image or in mitosis stage). However, this will be addressed in detail on section 3.2.

### Multiplexed single-pixel analysis framework

Even though the cell cultures are now segmented into individual cells and nucleus, there is still one missing part that must be considered, and that is that cells are 3-dimensional objects. Recall that the **4i** protocol saturates the cell culture with a liquid containing fluorescent antibodies. This means that the antibody can either bind to its corresponding protein inside or outside the cell nucleus. Therefore, even though that we segmented a cell into nucleus and cytoplasm, a readout assigned to the nucleus could come from a protein in the cytoplasm under or above the nucleus, and not from inside it. Fortunately, intensity readouts from proteins inside the nucleus are much higher than those in the cytoplasm. Therefore, by means of a two steps clustering



**Figure 3.2:** Visualization of the subcellular segmentation of a 4i protocol for 18 IF stains. The image was created by combining the readouts of 3 of this IF stains: PCNA (cyan), FBL (magenta) and TFRC (yellow). The number next to each staining label indicates their corresponding 4i acquisition cycle (4i protocol step 5). The orange rectangle and the tile at its right shows a section of the nucleus and cytoplasm of a single cell. The other 3 tiles shows the 4i readout of each of the 3 proteins. Images source [GHP18].

approach<sup>3</sup>, pixels can be classified accordingly to their intensity profile (figures 3.3a and 3.3b), so the source of their readout can be identified. This pixel type classification is called **Multiplexed cell unit (MCU)** and is illustrated in figure 3.3c. After pixels clusters (intensity profiles) where identified, the pixels whose measurement comes from the cytoplasm and not from the nucleus are removed.

**FiXme Note!**

Finally, the nucleus of each cell is stored separately and identified with a unique id.


#### Cell cycle phase classification: $G_1$ , $S$ , $G_2$ and $M$ phase

The **MPM** protocol is not only capable to capture the concentration and distribution of molecules inside thousands of cells. It can also identify the phase each cell is in, which is tightly related with the abundances and distribution of molecules inside a cell [GHP18].

Roughly speaking, cell cycle phase was determined by means of a **Support Vector Machine (SVM)** classifier and k-means clustering. First, a **SVM** classifier is trained to identify  $M$  phase cells based on the nuclear information in one of the image channels (*DAPI*<sup>4</sup>). Then, based on the nuclear information of channel *PCNA*, a second **SVM** classifier is trained to identify cells in phase  $S$ . Finally, cells in phase  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are classified using a k-means algorithm, using the pixel intensity profiles of the DAPI channels excluding the cells in  $S$  and  $M$  phase. A more detailed explanation of the cell cycle classification process can be found on the dataset paper [GHP18].

<sup>3</sup>To identify clusters in an unsupervised manner, *Self Organizing Maps* algorithm and *Phenograph* analysis were used over a very large number of pixels sampled from a large number of single cells [GHP18].

<sup>4</sup>A brief description of this marker can be found on section B.1.



**Figure 3.3:** Figure a shows the pixel intensity extraction for a single cell. The pixel intensity is a vector containing the readout of that 2D location for each protein, one specific protein readout per entrance. Figure b shows the clusters found by Self Organizing Maps algorithm and Phenograph analysis over the pixel intensities. Figure c shows a cell masked with the clusters found by the **MCU** analysis. Images source [GHP18].

### Pharmacological and metabolic perturbations

To further explore the capabilities of the **MPM** protocol, the creators of the dataset (Gabriele Gut et al. [GHP18]) applied the **MPM** protocol to a cell populations that were to nine pharmacological and metabolic perturbations. The analysis reveled expected and unexpected changes in the concentration and distribution of molecules inside the cell. However, this work focused on cells without pharmacological and metabolic perturbations. This means that only cells marked as *normal* (no perturbed cells) and *DMSO*<sup>5</sup> (control cells) were used.

## 3.2 Data preprocessing

The data preprocessing consist of 4 main steps

1. The raw data processing, where raw files are converted into images.
2. The quality control, where cells that are not useful for analysis are discarded.
3. The creation of the dataset, where data is spitted into *Train*, *validation* and *Test* sets and stored in a way that can be used for model training efficiently.

<sup>5</sup>Dimethyl sulfoxide, or DMSO, is an organic compound used to dissolve test compounds in drug discovery and design [Cus+20].

4. The image preprocessing, where the images are prepared before training the model (clipping and standardization).

In this section we explain these 4 steps. However, the implementation is discussed in the sections [A.1](#) (for steps 1 and 2) and [A.2](#) (for steps 3 and 4).

### 3.2.1 Raw data processing


As we mentioned in section [3.1](#), the [multiplexed protein map \(MPM\)](#) protocol is applied over section of cell cultures called *wells*. The [MPM](#) protocol will return several files for each well, containing the nuclear protein readouts of single cells, information from the subsequent analysis made to the intensities of the protein readouts, as well as information about the [MPM](#) protocol experimental setup. We do not go into details about this files and how to transform them into multichannel images of single cell nucleus. However, a brief explanation of this can be found in the appendix [A.1](#). Appendix [A.1](#) also show how to run the Python script that transforms the raw data into images, along with an explanation of the required parameters.

The Python script introduced on appendix [A.1](#) extract the protein readouts from the raw data files, and use them to build multichannel images containing the nucleus of a single cell (see figure [3.4a](#)). This means that during the reconstruction of the images, it is necessary to add black pixels (zeros) in the places where no measures were taken (like in the low corner of figure [3.4a](#)). However, as we saw on section [2.2.2](#), in order to train a [Convolutional Neural Network \(CNN\)](#) model, all the cell images need to have a fixed size, which is denoted as  $I_s$ . For this reason, after the image is reconstructed, it is necessary to add zeros to the images borders (zero-padding) in order to make it squared and of a fixed size (see figure [3.4b](#)). Finally, for each single cell nucleus, a *cell mask* is created to keep track of the measured and non-measured pixels (see figure [3.4c](#)). As we can see in figure [3.4](#), the cell nucleus is always located in the center of the image.

The raw data processing script saves in a specified directory files containing 3 compressed NumPy arrays; 1) the multichannel image (figure [3.4b](#)), a 3D array contains the protein readouts of the nucleus of a single cell 2) the cell mask (figure [3.4c](#)), a 2D array that indicates the measured pixels by the [MPM](#) protocol (ones on the measured  $x$  and  $y$  coordinates and zeros otherwise) and 3) the channels average, a 1D array containing the average of the measured pixels per channel/protein. Each file is named using the unique id assigned to each single cell nucleus (`mapobject_id_cell`). The script also returns a `csv` file<sup>6</sup> containing the metadata of each single cell from every processed well (one row per cell and one column per cell feature). Table [3.1](#) shows the metadata columns that were relevant for this work.

---

<sup>6</sup>This `csv` file can be easily opened as a *Pandas DataFrame*. For more information, please refer to the [official documentation](#).



**Figure 3.4:** Figure a shows channels 10, 11 and 15 of the nucleus of a single cell multichannel image reconstructed from the raw data. Figure b shows image a after adding zero to the borders (zero-padding) to make it of size 224 by 224 pixels. Figure c shows the cell mask, i.e. measured pixels (in white) during the MPM protocol.

Column name	Description
mapobject_id_cell	ID to uniquely identify each cell among all wells
mapobject_id	ID to uniquely identify each cell on its well
is_border_cell	Binary flag, 1 if the cell is on the plate, well or site border; 0 if not
cell_cycle	String, G1 if cell is in $G_1$ phase, S if cell is in synthesis phase, G2 if cell is in $G_2$ phase. If NaN, then the cell is in mitosis phase
is_polynuclei_184A1	Binary flag for 184A1 cells, 1 if the cell was identified to have more than one nucleus (i.e. it is in mitosis phase); 0 if not
is_polynuclei_HeLa	Binary flag for HeLa cells, 1 if the cell was identified to have more than one nucleus (i.e. it is in mitosis phase); 0 if not
perturbation	String indicating the pharmacological/metabolic perturbation

**Table 3.1:** Relevant metadata columns.

### 3.2.2 Quality Control

During the transformation from raw data into images, cells that do not pass a quality control are discriminated. This quality control consists on avoiding cells that hold at least one of the following conditions

1. The cell is in mitotic phase (i.e. on metadata, either `is_polynuclei_HeLa` or `is_polynuclei_184A1` is equal to 1 or `cell_cycle` is NaN).
2. The cell is in the border of the plate, well or site (i.e. on metadata, `is_border_cell` is equal to 1).

The quality control is performed by the same script that transforms the raw data into multichannel images. Its implementation and execution, as well as an explanation of the required parameters, can be found on appendix A.1.

### 3.2.3 Dataset creation

After the raw data from all wells were processed, and mitotic and/or border cells were eliminated (quality control), we are able to build a dataset<sup>7</sup> that can be used efficiently to train models. We will not explain here how to create this dataset. However, a brief explanation of this can be found in the appendix A.2. Appendix A.2 also show how to run the Python script that builds this dataset, along with an explanation of the required parameters.

Even though this script can be used to build a dataset containing all available single cell images, for this work we created a dataset containing cells without pharmacological or metabolic perturbations (i.e. cells such that in the metadata `perturbation` is either equal to *normal* or *DMSO*). Further more, during the creation of the dataset, it is possible to filter the image channels and select the target value from the channels average vector (which is stored along with each single cell image). In this case we kept all the input channels<sup>8</sup>, except for the channel used to calculate the target value. This means that channel 35 was excluded (00\_EU<sup>9</sup>), and entrance 35 from the channel average vector (interpreted as `transcription rate (TR)`) was selected as target value.

Last but not least, for each cell, its mask is added at the end as an extra channel to keep track of the measured pixels. The reason why the cell mask is stored as a channel, is because it will be needed by other process latter in the pipeline (some of the data augmentation techniques, see section 3.3). However, this (and other channels) are removed before the image is used to feed the model (during and after the training process, see section 4.2).

---

<sup>7</sup>For this work we decided to use (and build) a custom `TensorFlow Dataset (TFDS)`, which is a subclass of `tensorflow_datasets.core.DatasetBuilder` and allows to create a pipeline that can easily feed data into a machine learning model built using TensorFlow. For more information, please refer to the [official documentation](#).

<sup>8</sup>The unnecessary/unwanted channels are removed during the model training/evaluation (see section 4.2). The reason why this filtering is not made during the dataset creation, is to make the dataset set more robust (i.e. to avoid the need to create a new dataset each time the input channels of the image changed).

<sup>9</sup>A brief description of this marker can be found on section B.1.

Table A.3 (on appendix A.2) shows the image channels in the [TensorFlow Dataset \(TFDS\)](#), including the name (column *Channel name*) and identifier of each immunofluorescence markers (column *Marker identifier*). Table A.3 also shows the ids corresponding to the markers in the raw data (column *Raw data id*) and in the [TFDS](#) (column *TFDS id*). *NA* means that the channel is not used/available either on the raw data or the [TFDS](#).

Set	Size	Percentage
Train	2962	80%
Validation	371	10%
Test	370	10%
Total	3703	100%

**Table 3.2:** Distribution of the dataset partitions.

During the creation of the dataset, the images are also spitted into 3 sets, *Train*, *Validation* and *Test*, using the proportions 80%, 10% and 10% respectively. Table 3.2 shows the size of these 3 sets.

Set	Cell Cycle	Size	Percentage
Train	$G_1$	1652	55.77%
	$S$	864	29.17%
	$G_2$	446	15.06%
Validation	$G_1$	205	55.41%
	$S$	103	27.84%
	$G_2$	62	16.76%
Test	$G_1$	213	57.41%
	$S$	103	27.76%
	$G_2$	55	14.82%
Total	$G_1$	2070	55.90%
	$S$	1070	28.90%
	$G_2$	563	15.20%

**Table 3.3:** Distribution of the dataset partitions by cell phase (cell cycle).

Since we are dealing with cells in different phases (cell cycles), it is important that the distribution of the 3 phases is kept in the train, validation and test sets . The same must happen with the proportion of cells without pharmacological/metabolic perturbation (*Normal* cells) and control cells (*DMSO* cells). Tables 3.3 and 3.4 show respectively that these proportions are hold across the 3 sets.

Fixme Note!

Set	Perturbation	Size	Percentage
Train	Normal	2040	68.87%
	DMSO	922	31.13%
Validation	Normal	257	69.46%
	DMSO	113	30.54%
Test	Normal	260	70.08%
	DMSO	111	29.92%
Total	Normal	2557	69.05%
	DMSO	1146	30.95%

**Table 3.4:** Distribution of the dataset partitions by perturbation.


### 3.2.4 Image preprocessing

In this work we use [CNNs](#) and images of cell nucleus to predict [TR](#). This means that there are two main features of the images that came into account when the model learns and predicts the [TR](#), the spatial distribution of the elements in the image and the intensity of the colors. However, this work aims to explain and predict transcription based on the information encoded in the spatial distribution of proteins and organelles within the nucleus. Therefore, the image preprocessing techniques applied here should help mitigate the influence of color during training and prediction, so that the model can focus only on spatial information. For this reason, two preprocessing techniques are applied to each cell image, clipping and standardization. The clipping, as well as the standardization, are performed during the construction of the [TFDS](#), which can be consulted in appendix [A.2](#).

#### Clipping

The idea of clipping is to avoid extreme outliers to influence or leverage the model parameters during training. Figure [3.5](#) gives an example of this. The blue line shows a model fitted including the outliers (the two dots on the right upper corner), while the orange line a model fitted without them.

To prevent high intense pixels to influence the model, we truncate/limit the value of pixels that are above a certain threshold. This threshold is different for each image channel and is determined using the cell images belonging to the training set. For each channel, the train images are loaded and the threshold is set as the 98% percentile of the measured pixel intensities belonging to the channel. Then, using this threshold vector (one entrance per channel) all the images in the dataset (train, validation and test) are clipped. This is done before the data standardization. Finally, the clipping parameter (threshold) of each channel is stored in a metadata file, provided along with the [TFDS](#). Figures [3.6a](#) and [3.6b](#) show the pixel intensity distribution of channel



**Figure 3.5:** Comparison between two linear regression models, fitted with (blue line) and without (orange line) outliers.

HDAC3 before and after clipping respectively.

### Standardization


As we mentioned at the beginning of this section, to predict cell **TR** we seek the model to rely on spatial information, rather than the intensity of the pixels. Therefore, to reduce pixel intensity influence, we apply per-channel standardization, which is just a shift and rescaling (a linear transformation) of the original data. Standardization is also called *Z-score*, since the data is transformed using the mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$  (normal distribution parameters) of a sample, as a shift and rescaling parameters respectively. As it is done in clipping, the standardization parameters are different for each channel and are computed using the images belonging to the training set. For all the measured pixels intensities in the **TFDS** (i.e. for train, validation and test sets), the standardization of pixel  $i$  belonging to channel  $c$  (i.e.  $z_{i,c}$ ), is done as follow

$$z_{i,c} = \frac{x_{i,c} - \mu_c}{\sigma_c} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $x_{i,c}$  is the corresponding readout  $i$  from channel  $c$ , and  $\mu_c$ ,  $\sigma_c$  are the mean and standard deviation (respectively) of channel  $c$  computed using the training images.


The standardization centers the measured pixels of each channel around 0 (see figures 3.6b and 3.6c), reducing the color correlation between channels, which also reduce pixel

intensity influence over the model.



**Figure 3.6:** Intensity distribution of measured pixels for channel HDAC3. The channel readouts were taken from the training set. Figure a) shows the distribution without any modification. Figure b) shows the distribution after applying 98% percentile clipping, while figure c) shows the distribution after applying same clipping and standardization.

Figure 3.7 shows 3 different cell nucleus sampled from the resulting TFDS. Each nucleus is in a different cell phase ( $G_1$ ,  $S$  and  $G_2$  respectively), and shows a different group of 3 markers (channels).



**Figure 3.7:** Cell nucleus in phases  $G_1$ ,  $S$  and  $G_2$  respectively. Each nucleus shows a different group of 3 markers.

### 3.3 Data augmentation

Data augmentation techniques are widely used to improve the results of [Convolutional Neural Network \(CNN\)](#) by reducing overfitting ([KSH17], [SSP+03]). This techniques improve generalization by creating new date from the existing one.

However, data augmentation techniques can not only help us to prevent overfitting, they can also be used to remove characteristics of the data that are not of interest to us. In our case, we want the model to rely on spatial information, rather than pixel intensity (color). Therefore, we implement the following data augmentation techniques, which help us to achieve this

- To remove non-relevant data features
  - Color shifting
  - Image zoom in/out
- To improve model generalization
  - Horizontal flipping
  - 90 degree rotations

This techniques are applied during training time<sup>10</sup> and in the same order as shown in the list above.

Nevertheless, data augmentation techniques are not only limited to the training set. As we shown above, we can divide them into two groups; 1) to remove non-relevant data features and 2) to improve model generalization. So it makes sense to apply the second group to the validation set, so we can get a better idea of how well the model is generalizing during training<sup>11</sup>. This means that the size of the validation set is increased by a factor of 8, by rotating each element 0, 90, 180, 270 degrees and applying (or not) a horizontal flipping. Note that the transformations performed on the validation set do not introduce any randomness to it.

#### 3.3.1 Color shifting

The data preprocessing techniques introduced on section 3.2 (clipping and standardization), helped to reduce the influence that the intensity of the pixels, as well as the correlation between channels, have on the prediction of the model. By doing this, we encourage the model to rely more on the spatial information encoded in the images.

---

<sup>10</sup>This means that instead of generating new data and then adding it to the dataset before training, new data is generated *on the fly* during training, by applying random predefined transformations to the existing data.

<sup>11</sup>As always in statistics, more data equals more accurate approximations.

However, we can go a little further by shifting the pixel intensities by a random number, which would reduce even more the influence of color on the model prediction. If we sample a different random number for each channel, then the correlation between channels is also reduced. We must be careful here, since during raw data processing (see section 3.2.1) zero pixels were added to reconstruct the images. Therefore, if we add a different random number to each channel, then the non-relevant (unmeasured) pixels will have different values. Fortunately, during the creation of the [TensorFlow Dataset \(TFDS\)](#), for each cell we included its *cell mask* to its image as another channel (the last one). Therefore, we can use this information to randomly shift only the measured pixels. Mathematically, this means that for the channel  $c$  its  $i$ -th measured pixel  $x_{i,c}$ , the shifted pixel  $x'_{i,c}$  is defined as

$$x'_{i,c} = x_{i,c} + \eta_c \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\eta_c \sim U(-a, a)$ , with  $c \in \{0, \dots, C\}$ , are i.i.d. random variables.

Figures 3.11a and 3.11b show a cell nucleus image before and after applying per-channel random color shifting respectively.


### 3.3.2 Image zoom-in/out

Size is another characteristic of the cell nucleus that could influence the output of the model. Figure 3.8 shows three cell nucleus with different sizes. However, as we already mentioned, we seek the model to predict [transcription rate \(TR\)](#) based on the distribution of organelles and proteins inside the nucleus (spatial information). For this reason, we randomly zoom-in/out the image to either increase or decrease (upsample or downsample respectively) the size of the cell nucleus inside it. This zoom is always applied over the center of the image. After that, the image either must be cropped in the center, or add zeros in the borders (padding), so the size of the zoomed image match the original size, i.e.  $I_s$ . Since this randomizes the cell nucleus size, the model can no longer rely on it to make a prediction. Figures 3.11a and 3.11c show an example of this.

However, there are two things to have in mind when the size of the cell nucleus is changed, the maximum zoom-in (to avoid cutting the cell nucleus borders) and the cell nucleus size distribution.

To avoid zooming-in over a cell nucleus image too much and cut its edges, we need to determine the maximum zoom-in ratio  $U_{max}$  (which is different for every image of a cell nucleus). This can be computed as follows


$$\begin{aligned} U_{max} &:= 1 - S_{ratio} \\ &:= 1 - \frac{2d_{min}}{I_s} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$



**Figure 3.8:** Cell nucleus with different sizes.

where  $d_{min} := \min\{a, b, c, d\}$  is the minimum distance between the cell nucleus and the image borders. Figure 3.9 illustrates this distances.

Intuitively,  $S_{ratio} := 2d_{min}/I_s$  (cell nucleus size ratio) denotes the proportion that the cell nucleus is occupying in the image (transberzally).




**Figure 3.9:** Distances needed to determine the cell size ratio. The red lines show the distance between the measured pixels of the cell nucleus (border pixels) to the 4 edges of the cell image. The white dashed lines indicates the center of the image.

The last thing that has to be considered, is the distribution of the cell nucleus sizes. Since we are randomizing them, the distribution of the new sizes must be similar to the original distribution. Fortunately, during the raw data processing (see section 3.2), the cell nucleus size ratio  $S_{ratio}$  of each cell was computed and saved in the metadata. Figure 3.10 shows the distribution of  $S_{ratio}$ . During model training, the zoom-in/out proportion is sampled considering this distribution.

### 3.3.3 Horizontal flips and 90 degree rotations

Since there is no sense of orientation in a cell (there is no top, bottom, left, or right), flips and rotations will not change the distribution of the data at all. For this reason,



**Figure 3.10:** Cell nucleus size ratio  $S_{ratio}$  distribution.

we can use these transformations to simply increase the amount of data and alleviate overfitting.

For this work we used random horizontal flips and  $k \times 90$  (degree) rotations, for  $k \in 0, 1, 2, 3$ . As we already mentioned, these transformations are applied in both the training and the validation sets.<sup>12</sup>. Figures 3.11a and 3.11d shows an example of a cell nucleus image after being flipped and rotated 180 degrees.

## 3.4 Discussion

To identify nascent RNA inside a cell nucleus, the [multiplexed protein map \(MPM\)](#) protocol use the 5-ethynyl uridine (EU) marker (which is then interpreted as [transcription rate \(TR\)](#)). However, it has been observed that this marker also binds to DNA molecules after some incubation time [JS08], [Bao+18]. As future work, another [MPM](#) dataset could be analyzed, either with a shorter or longer incubation time for the UE marker. Then, it would be interesting to validate if the results obtained with both datasets are consistent.

Besides the preprocessing techniques introduced in section 3.2 (clipping and standardization), the following approaches were also tried

- Linear scaling using the 98% percentile with and without clipping.
- Mean extraction and linear scaling using the 98% percentile with clipping (like standardization, but with the 98% percentile instead of the standard deviation).
- 49% percentile extraction and linear scaling using the 98% percentile (no clipping).

This approaches were tried at a per-channel level. However, clipping plus standardization where the prprocessing techniques that showed the best performance. Since we seek

---


<sup>12</sup>The only difference is that for the validation set, the flips and rotations are applied deterministically, while for the training set they are applied randomly.

the model to predict **TR** base on spacial information, rather than pixel intensity/color, good performance means low **Mean Absolute Error (MAE)** for the **Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)** models, but high **MAE** for the linear model (since the linear model is unable to use the spatial information). This indicates that the spatial information encoded in the images of the data set has more influence on the prediction of the model than the information encoded in the colors.


Another aspect of the dataset that is worth to mention, is that more than half cells are in phase  $G_1$  (see table 3.3), while cells in  $S$  phase are less than 30% and around 15% for  $G_2$  cells. This causes the model to focus more on correctly predicting the **TR** of  $G_1$  cells, than for cells in the other two phases. This happens because  $G_1$  cells have more influence on the minimization of the objective function, since it is more likely that the model is fed with  $G_1$  cells during training.

As it is shown on figure 3.12, the **TR** of  $G_1$  cells is significantly lower than the **TR** of  $S$  and  $G_2$  cells. This, and that cells in different phases are not in the same proportion in the dataset, could cause the model to make a biased prediction when it is fed with a  $S$  or  $G_2$  cell. Two possible solutions to this problem are, either to add more cells in phases  $S$  and  $G_2$  to the dataset, or to sample with replacement over the available cells, so the proportion of cells in the three different phases is the same in the dataset. Another possible solution would be to make a weight loss function based on the proportions of the cell phases, such that every phase has the same influence on it during training.

In [Smi+17], Smilkov et al. mention that the addition of random noise to the images during model training, can improve the quality of the score maps (less noisy score maps). For this reason, beside the data augmentation techniques introduced in this section, the addition of random noise was also implemented and tried. However, in practice this did not show any apparent improvement.



**Figure 3.11:** Data augmentation techniques. Figure a) shows channels 10, 11 and 15 of a multichannel image without augmentation techniques. Figure b) shows image a) after applying per-channel random color shifting. Figure c) shows image a) after applying central cropping (in this case, downsampling). Figure d) shows image a) after applying horizontal flipping and 180 degree rotation (counter-clockwise).




**Figure 3.12:** TR distribution separated by cell phase.



# Chapter 4

## Methodology

This chapter provides the experimental setups for each element of the workflow shown in figure 4.1. This includes the raw data processing (selected raw data and the quality control), the [TensorFlow Dataset \(TFDS\)](#) parameters, the data augmentation techniques (as well as their hyperparameters), the used models (architecture, loss function, optimizer and hyperparameters), the metrics used to evaluate the performance of the models and the hyperparameters corresponding to the interpretability methods.



**Figure 4.1:** Workflow.

### 4.1 Dataset Setup

In this section we specify all the hyperparameters needed to execute the process explained on chapter 3. This contemplates the raw data processing, the quality control, the [TensorFlow Dataset \(TFDS\)](#) creation, the image preprocessing, as well as data augmentation. Here we also specify some of the experimental setups used in the [multiplexed protein map \(MPM\)](#) protocol.

### 4.1.1 Multiplexed Protein Maps

As we explained in section 3.1, the **MPM** protocol is capable of capturing up to 40 different proteins and molecules within a cell nucleus using fluorescent markers. The **MPM** data provided for this work contains 38 channels, .i.e., readouts of 38 different proteins and molecules. Table A.3 in appendix A.2, shows the marker used for each channel. Table B.1 (appendix B.1), shows an explanation of some of these markers.

The **transcription rate (TR)** (target variable) was not provided directly with the **MPM** data. For each cell, its **TR** was calculated by averaging the measured pixels of channel 35 (marker 00\_EU). For this reason, this channel is not used during model training/prediction. Furthermore, channel 35 contains nuclear readouts of nascent RNA in a given period of time. For the data provided, this time period was the same for each cell (30 minutes) and is specified in the *duration* columns of the metadata.

### 4.1.2 Data preprocessing

As we explained in section 3.2, the data preprocessing consist of 4 main steps; 1) the raw data processing, 2) the quality control, 3) the creation of the dataset and 4) the image preprocessing.

A complementary explanation of the data preprocessing parameters, as well as implementation references, can be found in the appendices A.1 and A.2.

#### Raw data processing

As we explained in section 3.2.3, to build the **TFDS** it is necessary to specify the perturbations that will be included in the dataset. For this reason, all the available wells were processed and transformed into images. This included wells exposed to pharmacological and metabolic perturbations, control wells and unperturbed wells. This allows the user to easily create new datasets without having to run the raw data processing first. Table 4.1 shows the processed wells separated by perturbation.

Perturbation type	Perturbation name	Well names
pharmacological/metabolic	CX5461	I18, J22, J09
	AZD4573	I13, J21, J14, I17, J18
	meayamycin	I12, I20
	triptolide	I10, J15
	TSA	J20, I16, J13
control	DMSO	J16, I14
unperturbed	normal	J10, I09, I11, J18, J12

**Table 4.1:** Well names divided by perturbation name and type.

Another hyperparameter that needs to be specified during the raw data processing, is the size of the output images  $I_s$ . This size applies to both, the width and height of the image (square images). Since some prebuilt architectures use a standard image size of 224 by 224, we define  $I_s$  as 224.

### Quality Control

As it is mentioned in section 3.2.2, the quality control is meant to exclude cells with undesirable features. In our case we discriminate mitotic and border cells. The information used by the quality control is contained in the metadata of each well. Table 4.2 shows the metadata columns and the discriminated values. If a cell has any of these values, then it is excluded.

Feature	Metadata column name	Discriminated value
Cell in mitosis phase	<code>is_polynuclei_HeLa</code>	1
	<code>is_polynuclei_184A1</code>	1
	<code>cell_cycle</code>	NaN
Border cell	<code>is_border_cell</code>	1

**Table 4.2:** Discrimination characteristics for quality control.

### Dataset creation and image preprocessing

As it is explained in section 3.2.3, in this work we decided to use a custom TFDS. Table 4.3 shows the parameters used to build the dataset employed in this work, together with the image preprocessing parameters.

Parameter	Description
Perturbations to be included in the dataset	<i>normal</i> and <i>DMSO</i>
Cell phases to be included in the dataset	$G_1$ , $S$ , $G_2$
Training set split fraction	0.8
Validation set split fraction	0.1
Seed	123 (for reproducibility of the train, val and test split)

Percentile	98 (for clipping / linear scaling / standardization)
Clipping flag	1
Mean extraction flag	0
Linear scaling flag	0
Standardization (z-score) flag	1
Model input channels	All of them except for channel 00_EU (see table A.3)
Channel used to compute target variable (output channel)	00_EU (channel id 35, see table A.3)

**Table 4.3:** Parameters used to build `TFDS` and image preprocessing.

The custom `TFDS` created with the parameters specified in table 4.3 is called `mpp_ds_normal_dms0_z_score`.

The Python script that builds the custom `TFDS`, also returns a file with the image preprocessing parameters (`channels_df.csv`) (as this is applied at a per-channel level) and information about the channels (channel name, id, etc.). It also returns another file with the metadata of each cell included in the `TFDS` (`metadata_df.csv`). These files are stored in the same directory as the `TFDS` files.

#### 4.1.3 Data augmentation

In this section we specify the data augmentation techniques (see section 3.3) and its hyperparameters used to train all the models of this work. Recall that the techniques are either aimed to remove non-relevant characteristics of the data (color shifting, central zoom in/out) or to improve model generalization (horizontal flipping, 90 degree rotations). Table 4.4 shows this techniques and its hyperparameters grouped by objective and technique. In practice, the augmentation techniques are applied as shown in table 4.4 from top to bottom.

Objective	Technique	Hyperparameter	Description
Remove non-relevant features	random color shifting	distribution	$U(-3, 3)$
	random central zoom in/out	distribution <sup>1</sup>	$N(\mu = 0.6, \sigma = 0.1)$

Improve generalization	random horizontal flipping	NA	NA
	random 90 degrees rotations	NA	NA

**Table 4.4:** Parameters used for data augmentation techniques. The NA means that there are no hyperparameters for this technique or that there is no further description.

Even though we specify the data augmentation hyperparameters here, in practice these are selected for each model and applied during training. However, all the models showed in this work were trained using the techniques and values shown in table 4.4. A complementary explanation can be found in appendix A.3.

In section 3.3 we mentioned that data augmentation techniques can be applied to both the training set and the validation set. However, we also mentioned that only horizontal flips and 90 degree rotations are applied for the validation set. Furthermore, for the training set these techniques are applied randomly, while for the validation set they are applied deterministically. Therefore, table 4.4 only applies to the training set.

## 4.2 Models

In this section we introduce the models, and its architecture, used in this work. All the models were implemented in TensorFlow 2.2.0. We also specify all the used hyperparameters. Besides this, the appendix A.3 provides a brief explanation of how to train and evaluate all the models introduced here.

In general, all the models where trained using *ReLU* as activation function for the hidden layers. Also, the identity was used as activation function for the last layer. Table 4.5, shows the other general hyperparameters.

Parameter	Description
Number of epochs	800
Early stopping patience	100
Batch size	64

<sup>1</sup>This distribution is used to sample the *cell nucleus size ratio*  $S_{ratio}$  (see section 3.3.2) of each cell. However, the parameters for this distribution (mean and standard deviation) were not provided by us. Instead, they were estimated using the information in column `cell_size_ratio` of the TFDS metadata file. Therefore, the `return_cell_size_ratio` flag must be set to 1 (True) during raw data processing, so this column is created (see section 3.2.1 and appendix A.1).

TFDS name	<code>mpp_ds_normal_dmso_z_score</code>
Random seed	123
Input Channels	all channels such that its TFDS id is in $\{0, \dots, 32\}$ (see appendix A.2, table A.3)

**Table 4.5:** Hyperparameters used in the training of all the models.

Even though that the number of epochs is specified in table 4.5, if the loss function does not improve (decrease) for more than 100 (i.e. *Early stopping patience*) epochs during training, then the training stops.

Table 4.5 also indicate the input channels to be used by the model as predictors. In section 3.2.3 we mentioned that all the image channels (with the exception of channel 00\_EU) were kept during the creation of the [TensorFlow Dataset \(TFDS\)](#). Moreover, since the data augmentation techniques are only applied to the measured pixels of the cell images, the cell mask was added to the image as the last channel. For this reason the channel filtering process is made inside the model. This means that after the input layer, the models have a *channel filtering layer*, which basically remove the non-selected channels, by projecting the input image from a space of shape  $(bs, 224, 224, 38)$  into a lower one of shape  $(bs, 224, 224, 33)$ . This is done just by performing a matrix multiplication between the input batch  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{bs \times 224 \times 224 \times 38}$  and a projection matrix  $P \in \{0, 1\}^{38 \times 33}$  (a zero matrix with ones on the diagonal elements corresponding with to the input channels), i.e.  $B_{filtered} = BP$ .

All the model in this work were trained using the *Huber* loss function

$$\mathcal{L}_\delta(y, f(x)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(y - f(x))^2, & \text{for } |y - f(x)| \leq \delta \\ \delta|y - f(x)| - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$


where  $\delta > 0$  is the value where the Huber loss function changes from a quadratic to linear. The hyperparameter  $\delta$  was set to 1 for all the models.

Huber loss function is quadratic when the error  $a = |y - f(x)|$  is below the threshold  $\delta$  (like the [Mean Squared Error \(MSE\)](#)), but linear when it is above it. This makes Huber loss less susceptible to outliers. Figure 4.2 shows a comparison between the Huber (in green) and the [MSE](#) (in blue) loss functions.

In section 2.2.1 we mentioned that we choose the [Adaptive Moment Estimation \(Adam\)](#) optimizer to fit the model parameters. With the exception of the *learning rate*, the used parameters were  $\beta_1 = 0.9$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.999$  and  $\epsilon = 1e - 07$ , which are the default TensorFlow hyperparameters<sup>2</sup>. The learning rate is specified in the section corresponding to each model.

---

<sup>2</sup>For more information pleases refer to the TensorFlow [official documentation](#).



**Figure 4.2:** Huber (green) and the MSE (blue) loss functions. Image source [Hub].

### 4.2.1 Linear Model

As we already mentioned, the objective of this work is to explain cell expression using spatial information in multichannel images of cell nucleus. To compare the performance of the [Convolutional Neural Networks \(CNNs\)](#), and have an idea of how much pixel intensity information was still contained in the data, we also fitted a *linear model*

$$y = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + \cdots + w_{33} x_{33} \quad (4.2)$$

where  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , for  $i \in \{1, \dots, 33\}$ , is the average pixel intensity corresponding to channel  $i$  and  $w_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , for  $i \in \{0, \dots, 33\}$  are the model coefficients.

The linear model architecture is specified in table 4.6. The rows of the table represent each layer of the model, which are evaluated from top to bottom. This model has only 34 free (learnable) parameters in total and was trained with a learning rate of 0.1.

Layer	Output Shape	Number of parameters
Input	( $bs, 224, 224, 38$ )	0
Channel filtering	( $bs, 224, 224, 33$ )	0
Global Average Pooling	( $bs, 33$ )	0
Dense	( $bs, 1$ )	34

**Table 4.6:** Linear model architecture. The rows represent each layer of the model. The flow of the model is from top to bottom. The  $bs$  on the *Output Shape* column stands for *Batch size*.

### 4.2.2 Simple CNN

The [Simple CNN](#) architecture is specified in table 4.7. The rows of the table represent each layer of the model, which are evaluated from top to bottom. This model has 160,129 free (learnable) parameters in total and it was trained with a learning rate of 0.0005.

Layer	Output Shape	Number of parameters
Input	( $bs$ , 224, 224, 38)	0
Channel filtering	( $bs$ , 224, 224, 33)	0
Convolution	( $bs$ , 224, 224, 64)	19072
Batch Normalization	( $bs$ , 224, 224, 64)	256
ReLU	( $bs$ , 224, 224, 64)	0
Max Pooling	( $bs$ , 112, 112, 64)	0
Convolution	( $bs$ , 112, 112, 128)	73856
Batch Normalization	( $bs$ , 112, 112, 128)	512
ReLU	( $bs$ , 112, 112, 128)	0
Max Pooling	( $bs$ , 56, 56, 128)	0
Global Average Pooling	( $bs$ , 128)	0
Dense	( $bs$ , 256)	33024
Batch Normalization	( $bs$ , 256)	1024
ReLU	( $bs$ , 256)	0
Dense	( $bs$ , 128)	32896
Batch Normalization	( $bs$ , 128)	512
ReLU	( $bs$ , 128)	0
Dense	( $bs$ , 1)	129

**Table 4.7:** Simple CNN architecture. The rows represent each layer of the model. The flow of the model is from top to bottom. The  $bs$  on the *Output Shape* column stands for *Batch size*.

All convolution layers specified in table 4.7 used kernels of size 3 by 3 and stride of 1. Besides that, all pooling layers used a kernel of size 2 by 2 and stride of 2.

### 4.2.3 ResNet50V2

Besides the [Simple CNN](#), we also tried the [ResNet50V2 CNN](#), which is a more complex (deeper) architecture. The ResNet50V2 consist basically of several residual blocks (see section 2.2.1), composed with convolution and pooling layers (see section 2.2.2), stacked one after another. There is a lot of literature on the ResNet50V2 architecture ([He+15], [He+16]), so we will not dive into details here. However, the model architecture is

shown in table 4.8. The raw *ResNet50V2 feature extraction*, represent the feature extraction layers (i.e., all the layers containing convolution and/or pooling layers) of the ResNet50V2<sup>3</sup>, while the remaining rows represent the layers intended to make the final prediction. The layers are evaluated from top to bottom. This model has 24,171,777 free (learnable) parameters in total and it was trained with a learning rate of 0.0005.

Layer	Output Shape	Number of parameters
Input	( <i>bs</i> , 224, 224, 38)	0
Channel filtering	( <i>bs</i> , 224, 224, 33)	0
ResNet50V2 feature extraction	( <i>bs</i> , 7, 7, 2048)	23,612,672
Global Average Pooling	( <i>bs</i> , 2048)	0
Dense	( <i>bs</i> , 256)	524544
Batch Normalization	( <i>bs</i> , 256)	1024
ReLU	( <i>bs</i> , 256)	0
Dense	( <i>bs</i> , 128)	32896
Batch Normalization	( <i>bs</i> , 128)	512
ReLU	( <i>bs</i> , 128)	0
Dense	( <i>bs</i> , 1)	129

**Table 4.8:** ResNet50V2 CNN architecture. The rows represent each layer of the model. The flow of the model is from top to bottom. The *bs* on the *Output Shape* column stands for *Batch size*.

#### 4.2.4 Xception

As we saw in section 2.2.1, each kernel in a regular convolution layer needs to simultaneously learn spatial and cross-channel correlations. For this reason, we also tested an architecture capable of separating these two tasks, the *Xception* [Cho17].

The Xception architecture combines the idea behind the Inception module and the residual blocks (see section 2.2.1). We will not dive into details about the Xception here. However, the model architecture is shown in table 4.9. The raw *Xception feature extraction*, represent the feature extraction layers (i.e., all the layers containing convolution and/or pooling layers) of the Xception<sup>4</sup>, while the remaining rows represent

<sup>3</sup>For this work, we did not implement the ResNet50V2 architecture from scratch, instead we used the pre-built model that is provided in the Keras library. For more information please refer to the [official documentation](#).

<sup>4</sup>For this work, we did not implement the Xception architecture from scratch, instead we used the pre-built model that is provided in the Keras library. For more information please refer to the [official documentation](#).

the layers intended to make the final prediction. The layers are evaluated from top to bottom. This model has 21,373,929 free (learnable) parameters in total and it was trained with a learning rate of 0.0005.

Layer	Output Shape	Number of parameters
Input	(bs, 224, 224, 38)	0
Channel filtering	(bs, 224, 224, 33)	0
Xception feature extraction	(bs, 7, 7, 2048)	20,814,824
Global Average Pooling	(bs, 2048)	0
Dense	(bs, 256)	524544
Batch Normalization	(bs, 256)	1024
ReLU	(bs, 256)	0
Dense	(bs, 128)	32896
Batch Normalization	(bs, 128)	512
ReLU	(bs, 128)	0
Dense	(bs, 1)	129

**Table 4.9:** Xception CNN architecture. The rows represent each layer of the model. The flow of the model is from top to bottom. The *bs* on the *Output Shape* column stands for *Batch size*.

#### 4.2.5 Performance Metrics

To evaluate and compare the performance of the models, besides the loss function (*Huber loss*), we also used 2 other error measures

- The Mean Squared Error (MSE)

$$E_{MSE}(Y, \hat{Y}) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \quad (4.3)$$

- The Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

$$E_{MAE}(Y, \hat{Y}) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |y_i - \hat{y}_i| \quad (4.4)$$

where  $Y, \hat{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  are the true and predicted transcription rate (TR) values respectively.

Besides the error measures, we also used the *Coefficient of determination*<sup>5</sup>  $R^2$ , as well as the mean and standard deviation of the model error ( $\bar{e}$  and  $s(e)$  respectively), as a performance measures

<sup>5</sup>Intuitively, the *Coefficient of determination*  $R^2$  represents how much of the variance in the target variable  $y$  is explained by the model when it is compared to  $\bar{y}$  [SJ+60].

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^2 &:= 1 - \frac{SS_{res}}{SS_{tot}} \\
 &:= 1 - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum_{n=1}^N (y_i - \bar{y})^2} \\
 \bar{e} &:= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (y_i - \hat{y}_i) \\
 &:= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e_i \\
 s(e) &:= \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (e_i - \bar{e})^2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where  $SS_{res}$  and  $SS_{tot}$  are the *Residual sum of squares* and the *Total sum of squares* respectively.

### 4.3 Interpretability Methods

There are several hyper-parameters that need to be chosen in order to compute the score map for each cell image.

For the **Integrated Gradient (IG)** attribution map, recall that in practice computing  $\phi^{IG}$  could be unfeasible or computationally very expensive. However, we can approximate  $\phi^{IG}$  by means of  $\phi^{Approx\ IG}$  (see equation 2.16). Therefore, we need to define the number of steps  $m$  for the Riemann sum approximation. In section 2.3.1 we also mentioned the necessity to set a baseline image  $x'$ , which should contain no information about the image, in order to compute the **IG**. There are several options that can be used, each one of them with different advantages and disadvantages. However, for this work we only implemented two of them: 1) a simple black image (image containing only zeros) and 2) an image filled with Gaussian noise ( $\mu = 0$ ,  $\sigma = 1$ ). A very good analysis on the choice of the baseline can be found in this reference [SLL20].

In section 2.3.2 we saw that for **VarGrad (VG)** we need to define 2 parameters, the number of noisy images  $n$  (sample size) and the standard deviation  $\sigma$  for the the noise distribution.

As a rule of thumbs, a sample should not be smaller than 30, so this could be a feasible option. However, since Smilkov et al. [Smi+17] showed empirically that no further improvemnt (less noise) in score maps is observed for sample sizes greater than 50, we chose this bound as sample size.


Table 4.10 shows a summary of the parameters chosen to calculate the VarGrad Integrated Gradient (VGIG) score maps.

Method	Hyperparameter	Value
IG	$m$	70
	$x'$	black image
VG	$n$	50
	$\sigma$	1

**Table 4.10:** Parameters to compute score maps.

In section 2.3.1, we mentioned that the IG algorithm holds the *Completeness Axiom*, which means that the sum of all the components of the IG attribution map must be equal to the difference between the model’s output evaluated at the image and the model’s output evaluated at the baseline (see equation 2.15). This property allow us to check empirically if the number of steps  $m$  selected for the Riemann sum approximation is sufficiently large. Figure 4.3 shows that for our baseline model, a random image and  $m = 70$ , the completeness axiom is satisfied sufficiently well.

**Fixme Note!**



**Figure 4.3:** Sanity check for the number of steps  $m$  in the Riemann sum to approximate  $\phi^{IG}$ . The red dotted line represent the difference  $f(x) - f(x')$ . The blue line represents the value of  $\sum_i \phi_i^{Approx\;IG}(f, x, x', m)$  over  $\alpha$ .

### 4.3.1 Discussion

For all the implemented architectures,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  regularization was tried for both dense and convolution layers. However, in practice this did not significantly improve the generalization of the models, but it did increase the number of hyperparameters that need to be tuned (which means more models to train). For this reason the use of regularization was discarded for all the models shown on this work ( $L_1$  and  $L_2$  regularization strength was set to 0).

### *4.3 Interpretability Methods*

---

For the *ResNet50V2* and *Xception* architectures, the use of pre-trained weights and biases to initialize the model parameters (transfer learning) was also tried<sup>6</sup>. However, since this did not improve significantly the performance of the models, its use was discarded.

---

<sup>6</sup>This pre-trained parameters were obtained from the Keras library (which were fitted using the ImageNet dataset [Rus+15]).



# Chapter 5

## Results

A small overview. Explain roughly the pipeline: Preprocessing -> TDFS -> CNN -> VatGrad IG -> ROAR

Hannah's recommendations:

- Results for each method/model in subsection
- Discuss results; what does this mean? Does this prove your hypothesis? Disprove it?
- Ideally final paragraph connecting different results

here you also need to specify the early stopping epoch of each model.

In this chapter the results of the models will be presented and analyzed. The main objective is to answer the question

El principal objetivo de este capitulo es intentar contestar la pregunta de si es posible predecir el TR de una celula basandonos principalmente en informacion espacial del nucleo de la celula.

Este capitulo tambien muestra los resultados obtenidos de los interpretability methods VG and IG (score maps). Estos score maps permiten apreciar los pixeles de alta relevancia para la prediccion del TR. Al ser analizados, descubrimos que la CNN es releying en lugares muy especificos para hacer su prediccion, especificamente en la zona correspondiente al nucleolus y a las zonas donde se lleva a cabo el proceso de splicing (splicing speckles).

Este capitulo es concluido con analisis que muestra la relacion entre los diferentes score maps channel y entre score maps y los canales originales.

### 5.1 Model Performance

In this section we show the results of each model in terms of transcription rate (TR) prediction. In addition, we provide reference values that validate that the models are capable of learning something meaningful from the data. At the end of the section we compare the validation errors between the models and reference values.

The models were trained in the high performance computing cluster of the Helmholtz Zentrum München. The training jobs were allocated in the cluster by means of a job scheduling system (*Slurm*<sup>1</sup>), which assigned the job to a node with a NVIDIA Tesla V100 GPU (either with 16 or 32 GB of dedicated ram memory.).

### 5.1.1 Baseline values for performance metrics

If we assume that there is no information in the training data  $\mathbf{X}_{train}$  that can be used to explain the independent variable  $y$  (i.e. the **TR**), then a model  $f$  should not be able to make (learn) a better predictions than the average of the target values in the training set, i.e,

$$f(\mathbf{x}_i) = \bar{y}_{train} \quad (5.1)$$

for all  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{X}_{train}$  and where  $\bar{y}_{train} = \frac{1}{N_{train}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{train}} y_i$ .

This idea is similar to the *Coefficient of determination*  $R^2$ , which represents how much of the variance in the target variable  $y$  is explained by the model when compared to  $\bar{y}$ . Thus, a model that always returns  $\bar{y}$ , will have a  $R^2 = 0$ . On the other hand, if the model gives better predictions than  $\bar{y}$ , then  $0 < R^2 \leq 1$ , otherwise  $R^2 < 0$ .

Table 5.1 shows the value of the performance measures (baseline values) (see section 4.2.5), when they are evaluated in the test set assuming that equation 5.1 holds.

$\bar{e}$	$s(e)$	$R^2$	MAE	MSE	Huber
4.86	59.99	-0.01	45.56	3622	45.07

**Table 5.1:** Baseline values for performance metrics evaluated in the test set.

As we already mentioned, the objective of this work is to explain cell expression using spatial information in multichannel images of cell nucleus. Therefore, it is important to somehow estimate how much information related with the pixel intensities (color information) remains in the data after preprocessing and augmentation techniques. Since the linear model cannot take advantage of spatial information, we can use the performance of the linear model and the information in table 5.1 to estimate this. Then, if the linear model can reach a lower value in the loss function than the one shown in the table 5.1, this means that there is still color information in the data that can be used to predict the **TR**.

On the other hand, we can also use the information provided in table 5.1 to validate that the **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)** are actually capable of predicting the **TR** based only on spatial information.

---

<sup>1</sup>Slurm is an open source, fault-tolerant, and highly scalable cluster management and job scheduling system for Linux clusters. For more information, please refer to the [official documentation](#).

### 5.1.2 Model Performance Comparative

Table 5.2 shows a comparison of the performance of each model in the test set. Column *Dataset Properties* specifies the information contained in the training data; *structure* indicates only spatial information (which means that per-channel random color shifting was applied as augmentation technique to reduce pixel intensity information), while *color and structure* indicate spatial and pixel intensity information (which means that no random color shifting was applied). The row  $\bar{y}$  (in the *Model* column) contains the baseline values for the performance metrics (see section 5.1.1). The numbers in bold indicate the models with the best overall performance (i.e., trained using data with and without pixel intensity information) per metric, while the shaded cells indicate the models with the best performance using only spatial data (structure).


Model	Dataset Properties	$\bar{e}$	$s(e)$	R2	MAE	MSE	Huber
$\bar{y}$ (baseline)	targets avg	4.86	59.99	-0.01	45.56	3622	45.07
Linear	color-structure	4.06	46.83	0.38	35.26	2203	34.77
	structure	4.03	54.15	0.18	40.52	2941	40.02
Simple CNN	color-structure	3.00	<b>41.27</b>	<b>0.52</b>	<b>30.68</b>	<b>1708</b>	<b>30.18</b>
	structure	0.77	43.94	0.46	33.08	1926	32.59
ResNet50V2	color-structure	-1.49	42.81	0.49	32.73	1830	32.24
	structure	<b>0.45</b>	43.38	0.47	31.83	1877	31.33
Xception	color-structure	6.69	41.57	0.50	31.66	1768	31.16
	structure	7.23	45.50	0.41	33.92	2117	33.42

**Table 5.2:** Model performance comparison. Performance measures where taken from the test set, with and without pixel intensity information (color-structure and structure respectively). Bold cells indicate the model-metric with best general performance. Shaded cells indicate the model-metric with best performance using only spatial (structure) data.


Table 5.2 shows that, as expected, for both types of training data (color-structure and structure) all the [Convolutional Neural Network \(CNN\)](#) models performed better than the linear model in all the performance measures, except for average error  $\bar{e}$ . Also, for all the error measures and the  $R^2$  coefficient, both the linear model and the [CNN](#) models performed better than  $\bar{y}$  (baseline values), which means that the models were able to learn something meaningful from both types of data. Surprisingly, the [ResNet50V2](#) model was the only one that had a better performance in the structure data.

In general the *simple CNN* was the model with the best performance, while the [ResNet50V2](#) was the model with the best performance in the structure data. However, it is worth mentioning that the *simple CNN* model stayed behind the [ResNet50V2](#) model

in the structure data, surpassing the *Xception* mode. Nevertheless, the performance of the *simple CNN* model was similar to that of the more complex models during training. This can be seen in figures 5.1a and 5.1b, which show the validation **Mean Absolute Error (MAE)** of each model during training. In these figures we can see that the simple model has visibly less variance than the other two **CNN** models, especially in figure 5.1a.



(a) Validation MAE using data with color and structure.



(b) Validation MAE using data only with structure.

**Figure 5.1:** Validation MAE during training using data with (figure 5.1a) and without (figure 5.1b) pixel intensity information (color-structure and structure respectively). Each color represent a different model. The dot indicates the epoch in which the model reached its lowest validation MAE. The gray line indicates the baseline MAE in the validation set.

The dots in figure 5.1 indicate the epochs with the best performance with respect to the validation **MAE** of each model. The gray horizontal line corresponds to the **MAE** of the baseline evaluated in the validation set (see section 5.1.1). Due to early stopping, the number of epochs is not the same for all the models.

Figure 5.1b shows that the **MAE** of the linear model was generally higher than the **MAEs** of the **CNN** models, which reinforces our hypothesis that to some extent it is possible to describe cell expression, using only spatial information within the cell nucleus.

The *ResNet50V2* and *Xception* models have more than 24 and 21 million of parameters respectively, while the *simple CNN* model has only around 160 thousand. Therefore, the training of these two models require way more computational resources and time than the *simple CNN* model. However, table 5.2 and figure 5.1 show that the performance of the *simple CNN* model is similar to the *ResNet50V2* and *Xception*. Moreover, we observe that the importance maps of the *simple CNN* model (shown in section 5.2), were less noisy and informative than those obtained with the more complex models. For this reason, in subsequent sections we will focus on the *simple CNN* model only.

### 5.1.3 Linear Model


Figure 5.2 provides a more in-depth look at what was mentioned in section 5.1, with respect to the linear model. All the subfigures in 5.2 correspond to the test set and are divided/colored by cell cycle.

Subfigures 5.2b and 5.2d show that after removing the pixel intensity information from the training data (by applying per-channel random color shifting, see section 3.3), the linear model is unable to use the remaining spatial information, so it practically learns a constant function (similar to the average **TR** of the training set, see section 5.1.1). This can also be seen in subfigures 5.2a and 5.2c, which show a comparison between the true and predicted **TR** distributions. However, subfigures 5.2a and 5.2c also show that even after reducing the pixel intensity information, the model was still able to learn slightly different average **TRs** for each cell cycle, which explains why the prediction of the linear model trained only with structure data is still slightly better than the baseline value (see table 5.2).


### 5.1.4 Simple CNN

Figure 5.3 provides a more in-depth look at what was mentioned in section 5.1, with respect to the *simple CNN* model. All the subfigures in 5.3 correspond to the test set and are divided/colored by cell cycle.

Unlike the linear model, subfigures 5.3b and 5.3d show that the simple CNN model training with structure data was able to approximate a function almost as good as that



(a) Distribution of  $y$  and  $\hat{y}$  (boxplots) for data with color and structure. (b)  $y$  vs.  $\hat{y}$  for data with color and structure.




(c) Distribution of  $y$  and  $\hat{y}$  (boxplots) for data with spatial information (structure only). (d)  $y$  vs.  $\hat{y}$  for data with spatial information (structure only).


**Figure 5.2:** Comparative between the true and predicted transcription rate (TR) ( $y$  and  $\hat{y}$  respectively) for the linear model on the test set, divided by cell cycle. The first row of figures corresponds to the linear model trained with data containing pixel intensity and spatial information (color and structure), while the second row to the linear model trained with spatial data only (structure). The boxes in figures a and c show the first and third quartiles of the data (25% and 75% respectively), while the whiskers extend to show the rest of the distribution, except for points that are determined to be outliers using a function of the inter-quartile range. The line inside the boxes shows the second quartile (median) of the data. Figures b and d shows the true vs. predicted TR.

of the model trained with color and structure data. This can also be seen in subfigures 5.3a and 5.3c, which show that even after removing the pixel intensity information from the data, the model was still able to approximate fairly well the TR distribution.

Again, this reinforces our hypothesis that it is possible to describe cell expression to some extent, based solely on spatial information from the cell nucleus.



(a) Distribution of  $y$  and  $\hat{y}$  (boxplots) for data with color and structure. (b)  $y$  vs.  $\hat{y}$  for data with color and structure.



(c) Distribution of  $y$  and  $\hat{y}$  (boxplots) for data with spatial information (structure only). (d)  $y$  vs.  $\hat{y}$  for data with spatial information (structure only).

**Figure 5.3:** Comparative between the true and predicted transcription rate (TR) ( $y$  and  $\hat{y}$  respectively) for the *simple CNN* model on the test set, divided by cell cycle. The first row of figures corresponds to the linear model trained with data containing pixel intensity and spatial information (color and structure), while the second row to the linear model trained with spatial data only (structure). The boxes in figures a and c show the first and third quartiles of the data (25% and 75% respectively), while the whiskers extend to show the rest of the distribution, except for points that are determined to be *outliers* using a function of the inter-quartile range. The line inside the boxes shows the second quartile (median) of the data. Figures b and d shows the true vs. predicted TR.

## 5.2 Model Interpretation

Introduce, describe, interpret and conclude.

Primero selecciona las imágenes que vas a poner, luego escribe y al final deside si las separas por archivo!

luego ve con lo de la importancia di que es muestra el plot primero del simple, luego di que puedes ver como esto cambia dependiendo de los datos (con y sin colors) luego muestra el dividido por cell level luego ve con lo de similitud!

Road map:

1. Agrega lo de la division de celulas por TR level
2. Pon unos score maps que vallan con las observaciones (i.e. que tengan los canales en los que nos vamos a centrar) y con porcentaje
3. Pon el importance channel plot dividido por Tr level
4. introduce la similitud entre sm y canales
5. pon el plot de los mas parecidos dividido por TR level
6. has las observacion y linkea algunas con el importaqnce map
7. termina con el clustering sobre las similitudes y menciona 3 clusters que se centran en los splicing speakles y el nucleolus (como sugiere el plot de la importance)

In section 2.3 and 4.3 we explained the interpretability methods [Integrated Gradient \(IG\)](#) and [VarGrad \(VG\)](#) and how we can combine them to generate *score maps*, which can be used to identify important pixels for the model prediction for a given input image. These score maps not only depend on the input image, but also on the model. However, in this section we will only show the score maps corresponding to the *simple CNN* model, since this was the CNN model that produced the most interesting score maps.

This section also explore the dynamics of the score maps with respect to the level of TR i.e., how the score maps cells change when they have a low or high TR.


### 5.2.1 Transcription rate level cell division

In this section we will not only investigate the areas of the input image that the model looks at when predicting [transcription rate \(TR\)](#), we will also analyze how this areas changes as a function of the [TR](#). In order to do this, we use one standard deviation away from the mean [TR](#) the classify the cells as low, medium or high transcription level. This is shown in table 5.3.

Group	Grouping criterion	Criterion values	Group size	Group percentage
Low TR	$y \leq \bar{y} - s(y)$	$y \leq 316.56$	532	14.3%
Medium TR	$\bar{y} - s(y) < y < \bar{y} + s(y)$	$316.56 < y < 438$	2627	71%
High TR	$\bar{y} + s(y) \leq y$	$438 \leq y$	544	14.7%


**Table 5.3:** Cell grouping criteria with respect to their [TR](#). The [TR](#) of each cell is denoted by  $y$ , while the mean [TR](#) and standard deviation by  $\bar{y}$  and  $s(y)$  respectively.

Table 5.3 also shows the number of cells and the percentage of data belonging to each group. Figure 5.4 shows the distribution of the TRs, as well as the division lines of each group (in red) and the average TR (in gray).



**Figure 5.4:** TR distribution. The red lines show the division between TR level groups. The gray line shows the mean TR.

Figure 5.5 shows 3 cell nucleus images from the test set, randomly sampled from each of the 3 transcription groups. Each of the cells also corresponds to one of the 3 cell phases ( $G_1$ ,  $S$ ,  $G_2$ ). The cells are shown from lowest to highest TR (from left to right). The images are the composition of channels *RB1\_pS807\_S811*, *PABPN1* and *PCNA* (for more information about this markers, please see tables A.3 and B.1).



- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <p>(a) Sample cell 1 (cell id 277417), <math>y = 133.04</math>, TR group: Low TR, cell phase: <math>G_1</math>.</p> | <p>(b) Sample cell 2 (cell id 321001), <math>y = 378.19</math>, TR group: Medium TR, cell phase: <math>S</math>.</p> | <p>(c) Sample cell 3 (cell id 195536), <math>y = 540.09</math>, TR group: High TR, cell phase: <math>G_2</math>.</p> |
|---|--|--|

**Figure 5.5:** Cell nucleus images sample. The images are the composition of channels *RB1\_pS807\_S811*, *PABPN1* and *PCNA*. For each image, the TR is denoted by  $y$ .

### 5.2.2 Simple CNN model score maps

In this section we analyze the score maps corresponding to the *simple CNN* model trained using the data with spatial information (structure) only.

Figure 5.6 show the score maps corresponding to the cells in figure 5.5. This figure shows the channels *POL2RA\_ps2*, *GTF2B*, *SRRM2*, *NCL*, *PABPN1*, *SETD1A*, *SON* and *SP100* (see tables A.3 and B.1) corresponding to the the score maps and the original cell nucleus images. Figure 5.6 also shows the overlap between the original image and its score map.

**FiXme Note!**

As we explained in section 2.3, a score map shows how important a pixel is to the output of the model with respect to the input image. Since the score map has the same shape as the input, we can see how important is a pixel at a per-channel level. We can generalize this idea of importance from a per-pixel-channel level to a per-channel level only, by summing all the pixel values corresponding to each channel.

To make the channel scores comparable between images, we normalize the scores by dividing them by the sum of all the pixels corresponding to the image score map. Therefore, the score of each channel will be a number between 0 and 1, and their sum will be always equal to 1. For the score maps shown in figure 5.6, the importance of each channel is indicated as a percentage at the top of the second row.

Figure 5.7 shows the average scores of the channels divided by level of transcription. The data correspond to the images belonging to the test set and the *simple CNN* model trained with spatial data only.

In figure 5.7 we can see that the most important channels are the *POL2RA\_ps2*, *GTF2B*, *SRRM2*, *NCL*, *PABPN1*, *COIL* and *SETD1A*, which accumulate more than 30% of the per-channel importance. Accordingly to table B.1 (in appendix B.1), the markers corresponding to these channels are aimed to


1. *POL2RA\_ps2*: is an antibody that binds to the largest subunit of the RNA polymerase II (which is the enzyme responsible for transcribing DNA into **pre-messenger RNA (pre-mRNA)**) [Nov].
2. *GTF2B*: is an antibody that binds to the general transcription factor involved in the formation of the RNA polymerase II preinitiation complex [Lew04].
3. *SRRM2*: is an antibody that binds to a protein that in humans is required for pre-mRNA splicing as component of the *spliceosome*<sup>2</sup>. Along with the protein *SON*, *SRRM2* is essential for **Nuclear Speckles (NS)**<sup>3</sup> formation [Ili+20].

---


<sup>2</sup>A spliceosome is a large ribonucleoprotein complex found primarily within the nucleus of eukaryotic cells. The spliceosome removes introns from a transcribed **pre-mRNA** (see figure 2.4 on section 2.1.1) [WL11].

<sup>3</sup>The **NS** (also known as *Splicing speckles*) are structures inside the cell nucleus in which the **pre-mRNA** is transformed into a mature **messenger RNA (mRNA)** (see section 2.1.1) [SL11].


## 5.2 Model Interpretation



(a) Separate channels of the cell nucleus image and their score map corresponding to 5.5a.




(b) Separate channels of the cell nucleus image and their score map corresponding to 5.5b.



(c) Separate channels of the cell nucleus image and their score map corresponding to 5.5c.

**Figure 5.6:** Channels 25, 4, 7, 18, 11, 5, 13, 17 and 32 of score maps (corresponding to the *simple CNN model*) and cell images (shown in figure 5.5). First row (in blue) shows the cell image, second row (in red) the score map (with the per-channel importance scores) and third row (blue and red) the overlap of the previous rows



**Figure 5.7:** Mean channel importance divided by transcription level corresponding to the *simple CNN model* trained with spatial data only. The data of the plot correspond to the images belonging to the test set.

4. NCL: is an antibody that binds to a protein that in humans is involved in the synthesis and maturation of ribosomes. It is located mainly in dense fibrillar regions of the nucleolus [ERA+88].
5. PABPN1: is an antibody that binds to a protein involved in the addition of a Poly-A tail to the [pre-mRNA](#) during the splicing process (see figure 2.4 on section 2.1.1) [MDW15].
6. COIL: is an antibody that binds to a protein that is an integral component of *Cajal bodies*, which are nuclear suborganelles involved in the post-transcriptional modification of small nuclear and small nucleolar RNAs[Gena].
7. SETD1A: is an antibody that binds to a protein which is a component of a *histone*<sup>4</sup> methyltransferase (HMT) complex that produces mono-, di-, and trimethylated histone H3 at Lys4. Trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 4 (H3K4me3) is a

<sup>4</sup>A histone is a protein that provides structural support to a chromosome, so very long DNA molecules can fit into the cell nucleus. DNA molecules wrap around complexes of histone proteins, giving the chromosome a more compact shape [You06]. For a nice visualization of histone proteins, take a look at [this link](#).

chromatin modification known to generally **marks the transcription start sites** of active genes [Genb].

This means that these top channels are directly, or indirectly, related to the transcription process. Particularly, the channels *POL2RA\_ps2* and *GTF2B* are related to an early stage of the transcription process (i.e. the enzyme *RNA polymerase II*, which is essential to start the transcription process), while the channels *SRRM2* and *PABPN1* with the transcription process itself (the *splicing* process or maturation of *pre-mRNA*). The channel *SETD1A* is related to both pre-transcription and transcription processes, as this marks the transcription start sites of active genes. The channels *NCL* and *COIL* are not directly related with the transcription process. However, in figure 5.5 we can see that channel *NCL* indicates the nucleolus areas.

However, in figure 5.7 we can also see that as the **TR** grows, the top channels related to an early stage of the transcription process (*POL2RA\_ps2* and *GTF2B*) lose relevance<sup>5</sup>, while the top channels related to the splicing process (*SRRM2* and *PABPN1*) gain relevance. This suggests that the model relies on information with biological significance when predicting **TR**. Moreover, this suggests that as **TR** grows, the **Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)** goes from focusing on the synthesis of *pre-mRNA* to the synthesis of mature *mRNA*.

This statement is reinforced by looking at the score maps in figure 5.6. There we can see that as the **TR** increases (from figure 5.6a to 5.6c), the score maps corresponding to channels *POL2RA\_ps2*, *SRRM2*, *PABPN1*, *SETD1A* and *SON* become more similar to the original *SRRM2* channel (corresponding to the areas where the **NS** are).

### 5.2.3 Similarity between Score Maps and Cell image

As we can see in figure 5.6, there are similarities between the score maps and the cell image channels. As we already mentioned, this suggest that the **CNN** is looking for specific information within the different image channels. Therefore, it is natural to ask ourselves which are the most *popular* (similar) cell image channels among the score maps.

To answer this question, for each cell image and its respective score map in the test set ( $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s} \in X_{test} \subset \mathbb{R}^{D \times D \times C}$ , respectively), we measure the similarity between the score map and the cell image channels. Then, for each score map channel we take its most similar cell image channel ( $\mathbf{s}^i, \mathbf{x}^j \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$ , for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, C\}$ , respectively). Mathematically speaking, the cell image channel most similar to the score map channel  $i \in \{1, \dots, C\}$  (denoted by  $S_{min}(\mathbf{s}^i, \mathbf{x})$ ) is computed as follow

---


<sup>5</sup>The loss of relevance of channel *POL2RA\_ps2* is not very clear in the plot 5.7 (corresponding to the test set). However, this trend is more noticeable in the plots corresponding to the training and validation sets.

$$S_{min}(\mathbf{s}^i, \mathbf{x}) := \arg \min_{j \in \{1 \dots, C\}} \{MAE(\mathbf{s}^i, \mathbf{x}^j)\} \quad (5.2)$$

where  $MAE(\mathbf{s}^i, \mathbf{x}^j) := \frac{1}{D^2} \sum_{d_1=1}^D \sum_{d_2=1}^D |s_{d_1, d_2}^i - x_{d_1, d_2}^j|$  is the per-pixel mean absolute error between the score map channel  $i$  and a cell image channel  $j$ , with  $i, j \in \{1 \dots, C\}$ . Note that  $S_{min}$  always returns an index in  $\{1 \dots, C\}$ .

Figure 5.8 shows the channels of the original images, divided by transcription level, which were selected as the most similar to the channels of the score maps.

The label above each bar represent the cumulative percentage of time (from left to right), the channels were selected as the most similar to one of the score maps channels. This cumulative percentage is also divided by transcription level.




**Figure 5.8:** Top 10 most similar cell image channels to the score map channels divided by transcription level. The label above each bar represent the cumulative percentage of time that the channels were selected as the most similar.

In figure 5.8 we can see that around 98% of the times, only 6 (*SON*, *SP100*, *SRRM2*, *NCL*, *PABPC1* and *PML*) channels from the cell images are the most similar to the score maps channels, and half of this channels (*SRRM2*, *NCL* and *PABPC1*) are also in the top most active channels (see figure 5.7). Furthermore, figure 5.8 shows the same trend as that observed in figure 5.7, i.e. as the TR increases, the channels related to the splicing process gain importance (*SON* and *SRRM2*), while the others lose it.

However, figure 5.8 only tell us the image channels that were the most similar to the score map channels in general. But, what if we would like to know this information at a per-channel level? Image 5.9 shows the cell images channels (columns) most similar to each score map channel (rows).

As it was expected, figure 5.9 shows that most of the score map channels are similar to 6 cell image channels only (*SON*, *SP100*, *SRRM2*, *NCL*, *PABPC1* and *PML*). However, this figure also shows that there are notable differences between the score



**Figure 5.9:** Most similar cell image channels to score map channels divided by transcription level.

map channels. Furthermore, it can be observed that as the **TR** increases, the score map channels become more similar to the *SON* and *SRRM2* channels of the cell images (which are similar in shape, see figure 5.6), which indicates the areas where **NS** are. For example, the score map channel *POL2RA\_ps2*, which is the most active during the **TR** prediction (see figure 5.7), goes from being similar to the image channel *SP100* 22% of the time when the **TR** is low, to only 3.5% when the **TR** is high.

But what about the cell image channel  $SP100$ , which is very popular among score maps channels when the **TR** is low, but loses relevance when the **TR** is high? In figure 5.9 it is observed that the score map channel  $SP100$  is similar to its equivalent in cell image 90% of the time when the **TR** is low. However, as the **TR** increases, the cell image channel  $SP100$  becomes the most similar 100% of the time. This agrees with what is observed in image 5.7, which shows that this channel gains relevance in the prediction of **TR** as it increases.

Similarly, in figure 5.6 it can be seen that as the **TR** increases, the *SP100* channel shows more defined subnuclear organelles. Furthermore, it can be observed that these organelles are formed in the regions where the **NSs** are (indicated by channels *SRRM2* and *SON*). This is very interesting as it suggests that the *SP100* channel (which is closely related to the *PML* channel, see table B.1) has a high influence on the **TR**. It also suggests that cells with a high number of these structures in the cell nucleus are indicative of a high level of transcription.

Again, figures 5.8 and 5.9 reinforce our hypothesis that as the TR increases, the CNN goes from focusing on the synthesis of pre-mRNA to the synthesis of mature mRNA. Furthermore, figure 5.9 indicates that as the TR grows, the channels of the score maps tend to be more similar to the *SRRM2* and *SON* cell image channel, which

means that the [CNN](#) is simply looking for splicing signals in all the channels.

### **5.3 Discussion**

## **Chapter 6**

### **Conclusion**

Nothing new here, only a short recap of the project, it's results, as well as possible future work.

As future work, it would be interesting to explore other interpretability methods or go more in-depth with the current one by, for instance, exploring different baseline for [Integrated Gradient](#) and analyzing their impact on the importance maps. It also would be interesting to extend this work to the perturbed dataset and to see how the pharmacological and metabolic perturbations change score maps. To see if instead of relying on the shape of the nucleolus and/or splicing speckles, the [Convolutional Neural Network \(CNN\)](#) looks at other subnuclear structures. It also would be interesting to see how the similarities between score map channels (or score maps channels and cell image channels) change.

Run the analysis with a dataset that has the same number of cells in phase  $G_1$ ,  $S$ ,  $G_2$ , and see if the model accuracy improve. Also validate if the results of the score maps change.

Because it has been observed that the EU marker also binds to DNA molecules after some incubation time [JS08], [Bao+18], as a future work another [multiplexed protein map \(MPM\)](#) dataset could be analyzed, either with a shorter or longer incubation time for the UE marker. Then, it would be interesting to validate if the results obtained with both datasets are consistent.

Hannah's recommendations:

- Summarise previous chapters and list main results
- Depending on which suits your project better, you can also do the discussion in this chapter instead of in the results chapter
- Last paragraph of the discussion should include important outlook with future impact.

# Appendix A

## Remarks on Implementation

This appendix contains notes about how to execute all the scripts and notebooks used in this work. It also contains information about the parameters that need to be specified for each program. All the scripts and notebooks were written in Python and executed over Anaconda. You can find information about the environment setup, packages version, etc. [here](#).

The logic to execute any Python script is always the same

```
python python_script_name.py -p ./Parameters_file_name.json
```

For the Jupyter Notebooks you just have to open it and set the variable PARAMETERS\_FILE with the absolute path and name of the input parameters file

```
PARAMETERS_FILE = "/path_to_file_dir/Parameters_file_name.json"
```

For each script/notebook all the needed parameters have to be specified inside its parameter file only. The format for the parameters file is always JSON and the parameters values are specified in a python-dictionary format. All Binary parameters need to be specified as 1 or 0 (True or False respectively).

### A.1 Raw data processing and QC implementation notes

This appendix contains implementation and technical notes about the data preprocessing process that needs to be performed before the construction of the dataset used to train the models. This process is performed by a single Python script (Jupyter Notebook) and contemplate two main steps

1. The reconstruction of single cell images from the raw data (text files).
2. The discrimination of single cell images accordingly to a quality control.

As it is explained in section 3.2, the protein readout of each well are contained in several files. Here we introduce those that are relevant for this work

- `mpp.npy`: 2D NumPy array. Each row contains the protein readouts (intensities) of each pixel of the well (one column per protein). The values of this array vary from 0 to 65535 i.e.  $2^{16}$  i.e. 2 bytes or 16 bits.
- `x.npy/y.npy`: 1D NumPy array. Each entrance contains the  $x/y$  coordinate of a pixel of the well protein readouts (i.e. `x.npy` and `y.npy` map the protein readouts in `mpp.npy` with a 2D plane). Accordingly with [GHP18], the size of a single channel well image is 2560x2160. Therefore, the values in `x.npy` vary between 1 and 2560 and form 1 to 2160 for `y.npy`
- `mapobject_ids.npy`: 1D NumPy array. Each entrance contains an id that maps the protein readouts in `mpp.npy` with the nucleus of a cell in the well. Each cell nucleus in the well is identified by a unique id.

Since files `mpp.npy`, `x.npy`, `y.npy` and `mapobject_ids.npy` contains different parts of the well protein readouts, the first dimension of the arrays contained in the files always has the same size.

Beside the files with protein readouts (`npy` files), each well also comes with two additional `csv` files<sup>1</sup> containing further information about each cell in the well

- `metadata.csv`. Contains one raw per single cell nucleus in the well. The mapping between the metadata file and the protein readouts (`npy` files), is made through the column `mapobject_id`, which uniquely identify cells (but only within the well). On the other hand, column `mapobject_id_cell` uniquely identify cells across all wells. Columns `is_polynuclei_HeLa` and `is_polynuclei_184A1` indicate if a cell is in mitosis phase. This metadata file also contains information about the experimental setup, like plate name, well name, site position, etc..
- `channels.csv`. Contains only two columns that maps the immunofluorescence marker name (column `channel_name`) and the channel id (column `id`) of the protein readouts.

The files introduced so far are specific to each well. However, we still need to introduce other 3 files which contains information about all the wells

- `secondary_only_relative_normalisation.csv`. Contains the experimental setup information related to the image capturing process. Among other information, it contains the *background value* of each channel that has to be subtracted from the protein readouts during the reconstruction of the images.
- `cell_cycle_classification.csv`. Contains the phase of each cell.

---

<sup>1</sup>These `csv` files can be easily opened as a *Pandas DataFrame*. For more information, please refer to the [official documentation](#).

- `wells_metadata.csv`. Contains more information about the experimental setup. Among other information, it contains the pharmacological/metabolic perturbation applied to each well.

To execute the raw data processing, one has to open the Python Jupyter Notebook `MPPData_into_images_no_split.ipynb` and replace the variable `PARAMETERS_FILE` with the absolute path and name of the input parameters file before running the notebook. A sample parameter file (`MppData_to_imgs_no_split_sample.json`) is provided along with this work. It contains the parameters used for the experiments shown in this work. Table A.1 provides an explanation of some of this parameters.

JSON variable name	Description
<code>raw_data_dir</code>	Path where the directories that contain the raw data files of each well are
<code>perturbations_and_wells</code>	Dictionary. The dictionary keys must be the directories for each perturbation, while the elements (a list) must contain the directory name of each well (one list entrance per well)
<code>output_pp_data_path</code>	Path where the output folder of the notebook must be located
<code>output_pp_data_dir_name</code>	Folder name where the notebook output will be saved
<code>img_saving_mode</code>	Indicate the shape of the output images. To replicate the experiments of this work, this variable must be set to <code>original_img_and_fixed_size</code> , which means squared images of fixed size
<code>img_size</code>	Integer. High and width of the output image (squared)
<code>return_cell_size_ratio</code>	Binary. Indicate if cell size ratio (percentage of the image that is occupied by the cell nucleus measurements) must be added to the output metadata file. During the data augmentation, this information can be used to approximate the parameters of the distribution used to randomly vary the size of the cell nucleus
<code>background_value</code>	Path and name (normally <code>secondary_only_relative_normalisation.csv</code> ) of the metadata file containing the per-channel background values
<code>subtract_background</code>	Binary. Indicate if background color need to be subtracted from each channel

<code>cell_cycle_file</code>	Path and name (normally <code>cell_cycle_classification.csv</code> ) of the metadata file containing the phase of each cell
<code>add_cell_cycle_to_metadata</code>	Binary. Indicate if cell phase must be add to the output metadata file
<code>well_info_file</code>	Path and name (normally <code>wells_metadata.csv</code> ) of the metadata file containing the information about well perturbation
<code>add_well_info_to_metadata</code>	Binary. Indicate if columns of <code>well_info_file</code> must be add to the output metadata file
<code>filter_criteria</code>	List containing the metadata columns names that will be used in the quality control. For this work <code>["is_border_cell", "is_polynuclei_184A1", "is_polynuclei_HeLa", "cell_cycle"]</code> was used
<code>filter_values</code>	List containing the filtered values for the columns indicated in <code>filter_criteria</code> . For this work <code>[1, 1, 1, "NaN"]</code> was used
<code>aggregate_output</code>	Indicate how to project each image channel into a number. Must be equal to "avg" (average)
<code>project_into_scalar</code>	Binary. Indicate if the channel scalar projection must be add to the output metadata file

**Table A.1:** Parameters to perform the raw data processing.

Roughly speaking, the notebook iterates over the specified wells sequentially. This means that for each well the notebook

1. Reads the well metadata file `metadata.csv` and merge it with the general metadata files, `cell_cycle_classification.csv` and `wells_metadata.csv`.
2. Performs the quality control and select the ids (`mapobject_id_cell`) that were approved.
3. Converts<sup>2</sup> and saves the selected ids using the well protein readouts files `mpp.npy`, `x.npy`, `y.npy`, `mapobject_ids.npy` and the general file `secondary_only_relative_normalisation.csv`.

The notebook also saves at the end a general metadata file (`csv` file), containing the metadata of all the processed wells.

---

<sup>2</sup>The library `mpp_data_V2.py` used to perform the raw data transformation, is almost entirely based on Dr. Hannah Spitzer library `mpp_data.py`. I thank the Dr. Spitzer for providing me with her library for this work.

## A.2 TensorFlow Dataset and image preprocessing implementation notes

After the raw data was processed and converted into images of single cell nucleus (see section 3 and appendix A.1), it is possible to build a **TensorFlow Dataset (TFDS)** data can easily and efficiently feed data into a model built in TensorFlow. A **TensorFlow Dataset** is build by writing a subclass of the `tensorflow_datasets.core.DatasetBuilder` class (for more information, please refer to the [official documentation](#)), and there are some steps that need to be followed to do so. The easiest way to build a **TFDS**, is by running the bash script `Create_tf_dataset.sh`, which executes this steps. The script needs to be executed (and located) in the same directory where the folder containing the Python code to build the dataset is

```
./Create_tf_dataset.sh -o /Path_to_save_TFDS -n ↵
    ↪ Folder_name_containing_the_TFDS_builder_code -p ↵
    ↪ /Path_to_parameters_files/parameters_file.json -e ↵
    ↪ my_conda_env_name
```

where the flag `-o` indicates the path where **TFDS** will be located after it is built, `-n` the name of the directory (not the path, the folder name in the same directory as the script) containing the python (builder) code for required dataset, `-p` the absolute path and name of the input parameters file<sup>3</sup> and `-e` the name of the Anaconda environment used to build the **TFDS**. The specified Anaconda environment is necessary not just to build the **TFDS**, but also to register it in the environment. If a **TFDS** is not registered in an Anaconda environment, the `tensorflow_datasets`<sup>4</sup> library will not find it, and the user will not be able to call it and use it. Therefore, to register a custom **TFDS** in another environment, one just have to execute the `Create_tf_dataset.sh` script specifying the new environment using the `-e` flag. If the **TFDS** was already built by another environment, python will just register the dataset under the new environment and it will not build it again.

Table A.2 provides an explanation of the variables contained in the parameters file.

JSON variable name	Description
<code>data_source_parameters</code>	Path where the parameters file used in the raw data processing is (see appendix A.1). Several parameters from this file are used to build the <b>TFDS</b>
<code>perturbations</code>	A list containing the names of the perturbations to be included in the <b>TFDS</b> . For instance, ["normal", "DMSO"]

<sup>3</sup>This file needs to be JSON format and located in a directory named `Parameters`, which needs to be located inside the directory specified by the `-n` flag.

<sup>4</sup>See the documentation [here](#).

<code>cell_cycles</code>	A list containing the names of the cell phases to be included in the <a href="#">TFDS</a> . For instance, ["G1", "S", "G2"]
<code>train_frac</code>	Scalar between 0 and 1. Proportion of the data to include in the train set
<code>val_frac</code>	Scalar between 0 and 1. Proportion of the data to include in the validation set. Proportion of the data to include in the test set is $1 - \text{train\_frac} - \text{val\_frac}$
<code>seed</code>	Scalar. For reproducibility of the train, val and test split
<code>percentile</code>	Scalar between 0 and 100. Percentile used in clipping and/or linear scaling and/or standardization
<code>apply_clipping</code>	Binary. If 1, per-channel clipping is applied using the channel percentile
<code>apply_mean_extraction</code>	Binary. If 1, per-channel mean shift is applied using the channel mean
<code>apply_linear_scaling</code>	Binary. If 1, per-channel scaling is applied using the channel percentile
<code>apply_z_score</code>	Binary. If 1, per-channel standardization is applied using the channel parameters
<code>input_channels</code>	List containing the name of the channels (elements of the column <i>Marker identifier</i> of table <a href="#">A.3</a> ) to be included in the images contained in the <a href="#">TFDS</a>
<code>output_channels</code>	List of only ONE element containing the name of the channel to be used as the target variable (its protection, i.e. the channel average)

**Table A.2:** Parameters to perform the raw data processing.

As it is shown in table [A.2](#), the parameter `input_channels` specifies the channels that will be included in the [TFDS](#) images (see table [A.3](#)). However, to avoid building a new dataset every time we change the input channels, all the channels are included here and then filtered in the model (see section [3.2.3](#) for a more detailed explanation).

A sample parameter file (`tf_dataset_parameters_sample.json`) is provided along with this work. It contains the parameters used in the Python script `MPP_DS_normal_DMSO_z_score.py`, to build the dataset `mpp_ds_normal_dmso_z_score` used to train the models in this work.

## A.2 TensorFlow Dataset and image preprocessing implementation notes

---

Channel name	Marker identifier	Raw data id	TFDS id
DAPI	00_DAPI	0	0
H2B	07_H2B	1	1
CDK9_pT186	01_CDK9_pT186	2	2
CDK9	03_CDK9	3	3
GTF2B	05_GTF2B	4	4
SETD1A	07_SETD1A	5	5
H3K4me3	08_H3K4me3	6	6
SRRM2	09_SRRM2	7	7
H3K27ac	10_H3K27ac	8	8
KPNA2_MAX	11_KPNA2_MAX	9	9
RB1_pS807_S811	12_RB1_pS807_S811	10	10
PABPN1	13_PABPN1	11	11
PCNA	14_PCNA	12	12
SON	15 SON	13	13
H3	16_H3	14	14
HDAC3	17_HDAC3	15	15
KPNA1_MAX	19_KPNA1_MAX	16	16
SP100	20_SP100	17	17
NCL	21_NCL	18	18
PABPC1	01_PABPC1	19	19
CDK7	02_CDK7	20	20
RPS6	03_RPS6	21	21
Sm	05_Sm	22	22
POLR2A	07_POLR2A	23	23
CCNT1	09_CCNT1	24	24
POL2RA_pS2	10_POL2RA_pS2	25	25
PML	11_PML	26	26
YAP1	12_YAP1	27	27
POL2RA_pS5	13_POL2RA_pS5	28	28
U2SNRNPB	15_U2SNRNPB	29	29
NONO	18_NONO	30	30
ALYREF	20_ALYREF	31	31
COIL	21_COIL	32	32
BG488	00_BG488	33	33
BG568	00_BG568	34	34
EU	00_EU	35	NA
SRRM2_ILASTIK	09_SRRM2_ILASTIK	36	35
SON_ILASTIK	15 SON_ILASTIK	37	36
Cell mask	NA	NA	37

**Table A.3:** Image channels. Column *Raw data id* shows the channel id used in the raw data, while column *TFDS id* shows the channel id used in the TensorFlow dataset.

### A.3 Model training implementation notes

This appendix is intended to provide a brief explanation of how to run the Python script (Jupyter Notebook) responsible for training the models used in this work. In addition, here we also provide a short explanation of the parameter file that must be specified to train any model.

Since data augmentation techniques can be selected independently for each trained model, their corresponding hyperparameters are also explained here.

The Jupyter Notebook responsible for training the models is the one that requires the largest number of parameters. However, the function `set_model_default_parameters` (in the `Utils.py` library) provides default values for all the parameters. Therefore, if some hyperparameter is not specified here or in section 4.2, then the value used was the one specified in that function.

To train a model, one has to open the Python Jupyter Notebook `Model_training_class.ipynb` and replace the variable `PARAMETERS_FILE` with the absolute path and name of the input parameters file before running the notebook. A sample parameter file (`Train_model_sample.json`) is provided along with this work. It contains the parameters used to train the *Simple Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)* (see section 4.2.2), using the data augmentation techniques specified in section 4.1.3. Table A.4 provides an explanation of some of the model training parameters, while table A.5 an explanation of some of the data augmentation parameters. Although the training and data augmentation parameters are specified in separate tables, they must be in the same JSON parameter file (and also as items in the same dictionary).

JSON variable name	Description
<code>model_name</code>	Name of the architecture to be trained. Available: <code>simple_CNN</code> , <code>ResNet50V2</code> , <code>Xception</code> , <code>Linear_Regression</code>
<code>pre_training</code>	Binary, whether or not use pretrained weights and biases as initial parameters. Only available for <code>ResNet50V2</code> or <code>Xception</code> architectures
<code>dense_reg</code>	$[L_1, L_2]$ , where $L_1$ and $L_2$ are the regularization strengths for the dense layers weights
<code>conv_reg</code>	$[L_1, L_2]$ , where $L_1$ and $L_2$ are the regularization strengths for the convolution layers weights
<code>bias_12_reg</code>	$L_2$ regularization strengths for convolution and dense layers biases
<code>number_of_epochs</code>	Maximum number of epochs to train
<code>early_stop_patience</code>	For early stopping. Specify how many epochs at most the model can train without decreasing the loss function before stopping the training

<code>loss</code>	Loss function name. Available: <code>mse</code> , <code>huber</code> , <code>mean_absolute_error</code>
<code>learning_rate</code>	Learning rate for Adam optimizer
<code>BATCH_SIZE</code>	Batch size
<code>model_path</code>	Path to save the models and checkpoints
<code>clean_model_dir</code>	Binary, whether or not to delete the content of the directory specified by <code>model_path</code>
<code>tf_ds_name</code>	Name of the TFDS to be used during training
<code>local_tf_datasets</code>	Local path where the TFDSs are stored
<code>input_channels</code>	List containing the name of the channels (elements of the column <i>Marker identifier</i> of table A.3) to be included in the images contained in the <a href="#">TensorFlow Dataset (TFDS)</a>
<code>shuffle_files</code>	Binary, whether or not to shuffle the dataset at the beginning of each epoch
<code>seed</code>	Random seed to reproduce the shuffling of the TFDS

**Table A.4:** Model training parameters.

JSON variable name	Description
<code>random_horizontal_flipping</code>	Binary, whether or not to perform random horizontal flips on the training set
<code>random_90deg_rotations</code>	Binary, whether or not to perform random 90deg rotations on the training set
<code>CenterZoom</code>	Binary, whether or not to perform random center zoom-in/out on the training set
<code>CenterZoom_mode</code>	Zoom proportion R.V. distribution. Available: <code>random_normal</code> , <code>random_uniform</code>
<code>Random_channel_intencity</code>	Binary, whether or not to perform per-channel random color shifting on the training set
<code>RCI_dist</code>	Distribution of random color shifts. Available: <code>uniform</code> , <code>normal</code> . If <code>uniform</code> distribution selected ( $U(-a, a)$ ), then $a = \mu + 3\sigma$
<code>RCI_mean</code>	Mean $\mu$ for the distribution specified by <code>RCI_dist</code>
<code>RCI_stddev</code>	Standard deviation $\sigma$ for the distribution specified by <code>RCI_dist</code>
<code>Random_noise</code>	Binary, whether or not to add random normal noise ( $N(0, \sigma)$ ) on the training set
<code>Random_noise_stddev</code>	Standard deviation corresponding to the normal distribution of random noise

**Table A.5:** Data augmentation parameters.

#### A.4 VarGrad IG implementation notes

In order to generate the [VarGrad Integrated Gradient](#) score maps, you must execute the python script `get_VarGradIG_from_TFDS.py` specifying the parameters file

```
python get_VarGradIG_from_TFDS.py -p ./Parameters_file_name.json
```

Table A.6 show all the parameters that need to be specified to execute `get_VarGradIG_from_TFDS.py` successfully.

Hyperparam	JSON variable name	Notes
$m$	<code>IG_m_steps</code>	Number of steps to approximate <a href="#">Integrated Gradient (IG)</a>
$x'$	<code>IG_baseline</code>	Baseline image for <a href="#">IG</a> . Available: "black" for a simple black image and "noise" for an image filled with Gaussian noise ( $\mu = 0$ , $\sigma = 1$ )
$n$	<code>VarGrad_n_samples</code>	Number of noisy images to compute <a href="#">VarGrad (VG)</a>

**Table A.6:** Parameters to compute score maps.

# Appendix B

## General Remarks

This appendix contains general remarks relevant for this work, like a small explanation of the fluorescent markers used for the [multiplexed protein map \(MPM\)](#) protocol.

### B.1 Indirect Immunofluorescence markers description

In order to capture the distribution and amount of proteins inside a cell nucleus, the [multiplexed protein map \(MPM\)](#) protocol use a set of fluorescent markers called [Indirect immunofluorescence \(IF\)](#). Table B.1 shows a description of the most relevant markers. The identifiers and ids corresponding to the markers described in table B.1 can be consulted in table A.3.

Some of the markers used in the [MPM](#) protocol are strongly related with the *RNA polymerase* enzyme<sup>1</sup>. As it was explained in section 2.1 (see figure 2.3), the RNA polymerase is the enzyme responsible for starting the transcription process of genes (i.e., copying a sequence from a section of the DNA into a [pre-messenger RNA \(pre-mRNA\)](#) strand).

Marker name	Description
DAPI	<i>4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole</i> , or DAPI, is a fluorescent stain that binds strongly to adenine–thymine-rich regions in DNA [ <a href="#">Kap95</a> ]
GTF2B	<i>Transcription factor II B</i> , or TFIIB (also known as GTF2B), is an antibody that binds to the general transcription factor involved in the formation of the RNA polymerase II preinitiation complex [ <a href="#">Lew04</a> ]

---

<sup>1</sup>An enzyme is a proteins that act as biological catalysts to accelerate chemical reactions.

## Appendix B General Remarks

---

SRRM2	<i>Serine/arginine repetitive matrix protein 2</i> , or SRRM2, is an antibody that binds to the protein that in humans is encoded by the SRRM2 gene and which is required for pre-mRNA splicing as component of the spliceosome. Along with the protein SON, SRRM2 is essential for <a href="#">Nuclear Speckles (NS)</a> <sup>2</sup> formation [ <a href="#">Ili+20</a> ]
SON	SON is protein that in humans is encoded by the SON gene. The protein binds to RNA and promotes pre-mRNA splicing, particularly of transcripts with poor splice sites. Along with the protein SRRM2, SON is essential for <a href="#">NS</a> formation [ <a href="#">Ili+20</a> ]
SP100	<i>SP100 nuclear antigen</i> <sup>3</sup> , or SP100, is a gene that encodes a subnuclear organelle and major component of the PML (promyelocytic leukemia)-SP100 nuclear bodies [ <a href="#">NCB</a> ]
PML	<i>Promyelocytic Leukemia</i> , or PML, is a protein encoded by the PML gene. PML is a nuclear body involved in oncogenesis (tumor suppressor) and viral infection. This subnuclear domain has been reported to be rich in RNA and a site of nascent RNA synthesis, implicating its direct involvement in the regulation of gene expression [ <a href="#">BHBJ00</a> ]
PCNA	<i>Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen</i> , or PCNA, is a DNA clamp that acts as a processivity factor for <i>DNA polymerase δ</i> <sup>4</sup> in eukaryotic cells and is essential for replication [ <a href="#">KLW05</a> ]
NCL	<i>Nucleolin</i> , or NCL, is an antibody that binds to a protein that in humans is encoded by the NCL gene. The protein is involved in the synthesis and maturation of ribosomes. It is located mainly in dense fibrillar regions of the nucleolus [ <a href="#">ERA+88</a> ]
POL2RA_pS2	<i>RNA Polymerase II Phosphospecific (Ser2)</i> , or POL2RA_pS2, is an antibody that binds to the largest subunit of the RNA polymerase II (which is the enzyme responsible for transcribing DNA into <a href="#">pre-mRNA</a> ) [ <a href="#">Nov</a> ]
CDK9	<i>Cyclin-dependent kinase 9</i> , or CDK9, is a protein encoded by the CDK9 gene and is involved in the regulation of transcription. CDK9 is a member of the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) family, which includes two main subgroups of kinases, those that mainly regulate cell cycle progression (including CDK1, CDK2, and CDK4/6) and those that control transcriptional processes (including CDK7, CDK8, CDK9, CDK12, and CDK13) [ <a href="#">Cas+20</a> ]

### B.1 Indirect Immunofluorescence markers description

CDK9_pT186	<i>Cyclin Dependent Kinase 9 Phospho-Thr186 Antibody</i> , or CDK9_pT186, is a molecule derived from human CDK9 around the phosphorylation site of T186 [BIO]
RB1_pS807_S811	<i>Retinoblastoma Protein pS807/pS811 Antibody</i> , or RB1_pS807_S811. Retinoblastoma Protein (RB1 or just RB) is a tumor suppressor protein, which prevents excessive cell growth by inhibiting cell cycle progression until the cell is ready to divide. [MB84]
PABPN1	<i>Polyadenylate-Binding Nuclear Protein 1</i> , or PABPN1 (also known as PABP-2), is a protein encoded by the PABPN1 gene, which is involved in the addition of a Poly-A tail to the <a href="#">pre-mRNA</a> during the splicing process (see figure 2.4 on section 2.1.1) [MDW15]
SETD1A	<i>SET Domain Containing 1A, Histone Lysine Methyltransferase</i> , or SETD1A. The protein encoded by this gene is a component of a histone methyltransferase (HMT) complex that produces mono-, di-, and trimethylated histone H3 at Lys4. Trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 4 (H3K4me3) is a chromatin modification known to generally mark the transcription start sites of active genes [Genb]
COIL	<i>Coilin</i> , or COIL. The protein encoded by this gene is an integral component of Cajal bodies, which are nuclear suborganelles involved in the post-transcriptional modification of small nuclear and small nucleolar RNAs [Gena]
EU	<i>5-Ethyryl Uridine</i> , or EU, is a molecule that binds to newly transcribed RNA [JS08]. This means that EU can be used to detect RNA synthesis in cells and/or predict <a href="#">transcription rate (TR)</a>

**Table B.1:** Indirect immunofluorescence markers description. The first column shows the markers name, the second the identifier used on the implementation (parameters file) and the third a brief description of it.

<sup>2</sup>The NS (also known as *Splicing speckles*) are structures inside the cell nucleus in which the pre-mRNA is transformed into a mature messenger RNA (mRNA) (see section 2.1.1) [SL11].

<sup>3</sup>An antigen is a molecule that triggers the formation of antibodies (by binding to its specific antibody or B-cell antigen receptor) and can cause an immune response.

<sup>4</sup>DNA polymerase delta, or DNA Pol  $\delta$ , is an enzyme complex found in eukaryotes that is involved in DNA replication and repair.



# List of Figures

2.1	Simple representation of the gene expression process. Image source [BJ]. . . . .	6
2.2	Animal eukaryotic cell diagram. Image source [Rui]. . . . .	7
2.3	The three main steps of the pre-mRNA synthesis: initiation, elongation, and termination. Image source [Vil]. . . . .	8
2.4	Pre-messenger RNA splicing process. A pre-mRNA strand (top) is turned into a mature mRNA strand (bottom). Image source [Wik21]. . . . .	9
2.5	Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) activation function. . . . .	11
2.6	Graphical representation of an Artificial Neural Network (ANN). The color of the circles represents the type of activation function. Black means the identity, red a non-linear function for the hidden layers and green any function for the output layer. . . . .	12
2.7	Representation of a model (red line) with underfitting a), good fit b) and overfitting c), trained over synthetic data (blue small circles). The synthetic data was generating by adding random noise to a sine function (green line) on the interval [0, 1]. Image source [Bis06]. . . . .	15
2.8	Bias-variance tradeoff. In orange (respectively blue) the loss function curve when it is evaluated in the validation (respectively training) set. The red dot shows the lowest loss for the validation set. . . . .	16
2.9	Model development methodology. . . . .	16
2.10	Residual block V2. . . . .	18
2.11	Convolution process steps. In red, green and blue the input image, in orange the convolution kernel (size 2 by 2 and stride of 1) and in gray the convolution output (feature map). . . . .	19
2.12	Convolution with padding. In blue a single-channel input features, in orange the convolution kernel (size 3 by 3 and stride of 1) and in gray the convolution output (feature map). . . . .	20
2.13	Max and average pooling with a 2 by 2 kernel and stride 2. The color denotes the kernel position. . . . .	21
2.14	Global Average Pooling layer. . . . .	21
2.15	A regular Inception module (Inception V3). Image source [Cho17]. . .	22
2.16	An extreme version of our Inception module. Image source [Cho17]. . .	23
2.17	Progression from an image with no information (back image) to a normal one parameterized by $\alpha$ . . . . .	25

## List of Figures

---

2.18 Comparative between a cell image and the different attribution methods. All the figures show the same 3 channels taken from a cell image. a) cell image, i.e. no attribution method. b) score map using only the gradient of the model with respect to the input image. c) Integrated Gradient score map. d) VarGrad Integrated Gradient score map. . . . .	27
3.1 Schematic representation of the iterative indirect immunofluorescence imaging (4i) protocol for a single well and for 40 different fluorescent antibodies. Figure b also shows the image analysis to identify single cells and its components (nucleus and cytoplasm). Images source [GHP18].	31
3.2 Visualization of the subcellular segmentation of a 4i protocol for 18 IF stains. The image was created by combining the readouts of 3 of this IF stains: PCNA (cyan), FBL (magenta) and TFRC (yellow). The number next to each staining label indicates their corresponding 4i acquisition cycle (4i protocol step 5). The orange rectangle and the tile at its right shows a section of the nucleus and cytoplasm of a single cell. The other 3 tiles shows the 4i readout of each of the 3 proteins. Images source [GHP18]. . . . .	32
3.3 Figure a shows the pixel intensity extraction for a single cell. The pixel intensity is a vector containing the readout of that 2D location for each protein, one specific protein readout per entrance. Figure b shows the clusters found by Self Organizing Maps algorithm and Phenograph analysis over the pixel intensities. Figure c shows a cell masked with the clusters found by the multiplexed cell unit (MCU) analysis. Images source [GHP18]. . . . .	33
3.4 Figure a shows channels 10, 11 and 15 of the nucleus of a single cell multichannel image reconstructed form the raw data. Figure b shows image a after adding zero to the borders (zero-padding) to make it of size 224 by 224 pixels. Figure c shows the cell mask, i.e. measured pixels (in white) during the MPM protocol. . . . .	35
3.5 Comparison between two linear regression models, fitted with (blue line) and without (orange line) outliers. . . . .	39
3.6 Intensity distribution of measured pixels for channel HDAC3. The chan- nel readouts were taken from the training set. Figure a) shows the distribution without any modification. Figure b) shows the distribu- tion after applying 98% percentile clipping, while figure c) shows the distribution after applying same clipping and standardization. . . . .	40
3.7 Cell nucleus in phases $G_1$ , $S$ and $G_2$ respectively. Each nucleus shows a different group of 3 markers. . . . .	40
3.8 Cell nucleus with different sizes. . . . .	43

3.9	Distances needed to determine the cell size ratio. The red lines show the distance between the measured pixels of the cell nucleus (border pixels) to the 4 edges of the cell image. The white dashed lines indicates the center of the image. . . . .	43
3.10	Cell nucleus size ratio $S_{ratio}$ distribution. . . . .	44
3.11	Data augmentation techniques. Figure a) shows channels 10, 11 and 15 of a multichannel image without augmentation techniques. Figure b) shows image a) after applying per-channel random color shifting. Figure c) shows image a) after applying central cropping (in this case, downsampling). Figure d) shows image a) after applying horizontal flipping and 180 degree rotation (counter-clockwise). . . . .	46
3.12	TR distribution separated by cell phase. . . . .	47
4.1	Workflow. . . . .	49
4.2	Huber (green) and the Mean Squared Error (MSE) (blue) loss functions. Image source [Hub]. . . . .	55
4.3	Sanity check for the number of steps $m$ in the Riemann sum to approximate $\phi^{IG}$ . The red dotted line represent the difference $f(x) - f(x')$ . The blue line represents the value of $\sum_i \phi_i^{Approx\ IG}(f, x, x', m)$ over $\alpha$ . . . . .	60
5.1	Validation Mean Absolute Error (MAE) during training using data with (figure 5.1a) and without (figure 5.1b) pixel intensity information (color-structure and structure respectively). Each color represent a different model. The dot indicates the epoch in which the model reached its lowest validation MAE. The gray line indicates the baseline MAE in the validation set. . . . .	66
5.2	Comparative between the true and predicted TR ( $y$ and $\hat{y}$ respectively) for the linear model on the test set, divided by cell cycle. The first row of figures corresponds to the linear model trained with data containing pixel intensity and spatial information (color and structure), while the second row to the linear model trained with spatial data only (structure). The boxes in figures a and c show the first and third quartiles of the data (25% and 75% respectively), while the whiskers extend to show the rest of the distribution, except for points that are determined to be <i>outliers</i> using a function of the inter-quartile range. The line inside the boxes shows the second quartile (median) of the data. Figures b and d shows the true vs. predicted TR. . . . .	68
		97

## List of Figures

---

5.3	Comparative between the true and predicted TR ( $y$ and $\hat{y}$ respectively) for the <i>simple CNN</i> model on the test set, divided by cell cycle. The first row of figures corresponds to the linear model trained with data containing pixel intensity and spatial information (color and structure), while the second row to the linear model trained with spatial data only (structure). The boxes in figures a and c show the first and third quartiles of the data (25% and 75% respectively), while the whiskers extend to show the rest of the distribution, except for points that are determined to be <i>outliers</i> using a function of the inter-quartile range. The line inside the boxes shows the second quartile (median) of the data. Figures b and d shows the true vs. predicted TR. . . . .	69
5.4	TR distribution. The red lines show the division between TR level groups. The gray line shows the mean TR. . . . .	71
5.5	Cell nucleus images sample. The images are the composition of channels <i>RB1_pS807_S811</i> , <i>PABPN1</i> and <i>PCNA</i> . For each image, the TR is denoted by $y$ . . . . .	71
5.6	Channels 25, 4, 7, 18, 11, 5, 13, 17and 32 of score maps (corresponding to the <i>simple CNN model</i> ) and cell images (shown in figure 5.5). First row (in blue) shows the cell image, second row (in red) the score map (with the per-channel importance scores) and third row (blue and red) the overlap of the previous rows. . . . .	73
5.7	Mean channel importance divided by transcription level corresponding to the <i>simple CNN model</i> trained with spatial data only. The data of the plot correspond to the images belonging to the test set. . . . .	74
5.8	Top 10 most similar cell image channels to the score map channels divided by transcription level. The label above each bar represent the cumulative percentage of time that the channels were selected as the most similar. . . . .	76
5.9	Most similar cell image channels to score map channels divided by transcription level. . . . .	77

# List of Tables

3.1	Relevant metadata columns . . . . .	35
3.2	Distribution of the dataset partitions. . . . .	37
3.3	Distribution of the dataset partitions by cell phase (cell cycle). . . . .	37
3.4	Distribution of the dataset partitions by perturbation. . . . .	38
4.1	Well names divided by perturbation name and type. . . . .	50
4.2	Discrimination characteristics for quality control. . . . .	51
4.3	Parameters used to build TensorFlow Dataset (TFDS) and image pre-processing. . . . .	52
4.4	Parameters used for data augmentation techniques. The NA means that there are no hyperparameters for this technique or that there is no further description. . . . .	53
4.5	Hyperparameters used in the training of all the models. . . . .	54
4.6	Linear model architecture. The rows represent each layer of the model. The flow of the model is from top to bottom. The <i>bs</i> on the <i>Output Shape</i> column stands for <i>Batch size</i> . . . . .	55
4.7	Simple Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) architecture. The rows represent each layer of the model. The flow of the model is from top to bottom. The <i>bs</i> on the <i>Output Shape</i> column stands for <i>Batch size</i> . . . . .	56
4.8	ResNet50V2 CNN architecture. The rows represent each layer of the model. The flow of the model is from top to bottom. The <i>bs</i> on the <i>Output Shape</i> column stands for <i>Batch size</i> . . . . .	57
4.9	Xception CNN architecture. The rows represent each layer of the model. The flow of the model is from top to bottom. The <i>bs</i> on the <i>Output Shape</i> column stands for <i>Batch size</i> . . . . .	58
4.10	Parameters to compute score maps. . . . .	60
5.1	Baseline values for performance metrics evaluated in the test set. . . . .	64
5.2	Model performance comparison. Performance measures were taken from the test set, with and without pixel intensity information (color-structure and structure respectively). Bold cells indicate the model-metric with best general performance. Shaded cells indicate the model-metric with best performance using only spatial (structure) data. . . . .	65

*List of Tables*

---

5.3 Cell grouping criteria with respect to their TR. The TR of each cell is denoted by $y$ , while the mean TR and standard deviation by $\bar{y}$ and $s(y)$ respectively. . . . .	70
A.1 Parameters to perform the raw data processing. . . . .	84
A.2 Parameters to perform the raw data processing. . . . .	86
A.3 Image channels. Column <i>Raw data id</i> shows the channel id used in the raw data, while column <i>TFDS id</i> shows the channel id used in the TensorFlow dataset. . . . .	87
A.4 Model training parameters. . . . .	89
A.5 Data augmentation parameters. . . . .	90
A.6 Parameters to compute score maps. . . . .	90
B.1 Indirect immunofluorescence markers description. The first column shows the markers name, the second the identifier used on the implementation (parameters file) and the third a brief description of it. . . . .	93

# Acronyms

**4i** iterative indirect immunofluorescence imaging. 30–32, 96

**Adam** Adaptive Moment Estimation. 13, 54

**ANN** Artificial Neural Network. 10–15, 17, 18, 21, 95

**CNN** Convolutional Neural Network. 2, 10, 18, 20, 29, 34, 38, 41, 45, 55–58, 64–67, 75, 77, 78, 80, 88, 99

**DNN** Deep Neural Network. 22, 23

**GD** Gradient Descent. 13

**IF** Indirect immunofluorescence. 30–32, 91, 93, 96, 100

**IG** Integrated Gradient. 3, 23–27, 59, 60, 70, 80, 90, 96

**MAE** Mean Absolute Error. 45, 58, 66, 67, 97

**MCU** multiplexed cell unit. 32, 33, 96

**ML** Machine Learning. 10

**MLP** Multilayer Perceptron. 12, 14

**MPM** multiplexed protein map. 29–35, 44, 49, 50, 80, 91, 96

**mRNA** messenger RNA. 6, 8, 9, 29, 72, 75, 77, 93, 95

**MSE** Mean Squared Error. 54, 55, 58, 97

**NS** Nuclear Speckles. 8, 72, 75, 77, 92, 93

**pre-mRNA** pre-messenger RNA. 6–9, 72, 74, 75, 77, 91–93, 95

**ReLU** Rectified Linear Unit. 11, 95

*Acronyms*

---

**SG** SmoothGrad. 26

**SGD** Stochastic Gradient Descent. 13

**SVM** Support Vector Machine. 32

**TFDS** TensorFlow Dataset. 36–40, 42, 49–54, 85, 86, 89, 99

**TR** transcription rate. 8–12, 23, 24, 29, 36, 38, 39, 42, 44, 45, 47, 50, 58, 63, 64, 67–71, 75–77, 93, 97, 98, 100

**VG** VarGrad. 3, 23, 26, 59, 60, 70, 90

**VGIG** VarGrad Integrated Gradient. 26, 27, 60, 96

# Bibliography

- [Ade+18] J. Adebayo, J. Gilmer, I. Goodfellow, and B. Kim. *Local Explanation Methods for Deep Neural Networks Lack Sensitivity to Parameter Values*. 2018. arXiv: [1810.03307 \[cs.CV\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.03307).
- [Ade+20] J. Adebayo, J. Gilmer, M. Muelly, I. Goodfellow, M. Hardt, and B. Kim. *Sanity Checks for Saliency Maps*. 2020. arXiv: [1810.03292 \[cs.CV\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.03292).
- [Bae+10] D. Baehrens, T. Schroeter, S. Harmeling, M. Kawanabe, K. Hansen, and K.-R. Müller. “How to Explain Individual Classification Decisions”. In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 11.61 (2010), pp. 1803–1831.
- [Bao+18] X. Bao, X. Guo, M. Yin, M. Tariq, Y. Lai, S. Kanwal, J. Zhou, N. Li, Y. Lv, C. Pulido-Quetglas, et al. “Capturing the interactome of newly transcribed RNA”. In: *Nature methods* 15.3 (2018), pp. 213–220.
- [BS00] R. G. Bartle and D. R. Sherbert. *Introduction to real analysis*. Vol. 2. Wiley New York, 2000.
- [Ber+15] J. M. Berg, J. L. Tymoczko, G. J. G. Jr., and L. Stryer. *Biochemistry*. English. W. H. Freeman, 2015. ISBN: 1464126100.
- [Bin+16] A. Binder, G. Montavon, S. Bach, K. Müller, and W. Samek. “Layer-wise Relevance Propagation for Neural Networks with Local Renormalization Layers”. In: *CoRR* abs/1604.00825 (2016). arXiv: [1604.00825](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.00825).
- [BIO] BIOZOL. *CDK9 pT186 antibody, marker description*. <https://www.biozol.de/en/product/ABX-ABX327917>. Online; accessed 2021-05-03.
- [Bis06] C. M. Bishop. *Pattern recognition and machine learning*. Springer, 2006.
- [BHB-J00] F.-M. Boisvert, M. J. Hendzel, and D. P. Bazett-Jones. “Promyelocytic leukemia (PML) nuclear bodies are protein structures that do not accumulate RNA”. In: *The Journal of cell biology* 148.2 (2000), pp. 283–292.
- [BJ] T. Brown and T. B. (Jnr). *Simple representation of the gene expression process*. <https://www.atdbio.com/content/14/Transcription-Translation-and-Replication>. Online; accessed 2021-03-29.
- [BHS14] A. R. Buxbaum, G. Haimovich, and R. H. Singer. “In the right place at the right time: visualizing and understanding mRNA localization”. In: *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 16.2 (Dec. 2014), pp. 95–109.

## Bibliography

---

- [Car+06] A. E. Carpenter, T. R. Jones, M. R. Lamprecht, C. Clarke, I. H. Kang, O. Friman, D. A. Guertin, J. H. Chang, R. A. Lindquist, J. Moffat, P. Golland, and D. M. Sabatini. “CellProfiler: image analysis software for identifying and quantifying cell phenotypes”. In: *Genome Biology* 7.10 (Oct. 2006), R100. ISSN: 1474-760X.
- [Cas+20] M. Cassandri, R. Fioravanti, S. Pomella, S. Valente, D. Rotili, G. Del Baldo, B. De Angelis, R. Rota, and A. Mai. “CDK9 as a Valuable Target in Cancer: From Natural Compounds Inhibitors to Current Treatment in Pediatric Soft Tissue Sarcomas”. In: *Frontiers in Pharmacology* 11 (2020), p. 1230.
- [Cho17] F. Chollet. *Xception: Deep Learning with Depthwise Separable Convolutions*. 2017. arXiv: [1610.02357 \[cs.CV\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.02357).
- [Cus+20] T. T. Cushnie, B. Cushnie, J. Echeverría, W. Fowsantear, S. Thammawat, J. L. Dodgson, S. Law, and S. M. Clow. “Bioprospecting for antibacterial drugs: A multidisciplinary perspective on natural product source material, bioassay selection and avoidable pitfalls”. In: *Pharmaceutical Research* 37.7 (2020), pp. 1–24.
- [Cyb89] G. Cybenko. “Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function”. In: *Mathematics of control, signals and systems* 2.4 (1989), pp. 303–314.
- [ERA+88] M. S. ERARD, P Belenguer, M Caizergues-Ferrer, A Pantaloni, and F Amalric. “A major nucleolar protein, nucleolin, induces chromatin decondensation by binding to histone H1”. In: *European journal of biochemistry* 175.3 (1988), pp. 525–530.
- [Fun89] K.-I. Funahashi. “On the approximate realization of continuous mappings by neural networks”. In: *Neural networks* 2.3 (1989), pp. 183–192.
- [Gena] Genecards. *COIL marker description*. <https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=COIL>. Online; accessed 2021-05-04.
- [Genb] Genecards. *SETD1A marker description*. <https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=SETD1A>. Online; accessed 2021-05-04.
- [GBC16] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville. *Deep Learning*. <http://www.deeplearningbook.org>. MIT Press, 2016.
- [GHP18] G. Gut, M. D. Herrmann, and L. Pelkmans. “Multiplexed protein maps link subcellular organization to cellular states”. In: *Science* 361.6401 (2018). ISSN: 0036-8075. eprint: <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/361/6401/eaar7042.full.pdf>.
- [He+15] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun. *Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition*. 2015. arXiv: [1512.03385 \[cs.CV\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385).

- 
- [He+16] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun. *Identity Mappings in Deep Residual Networks*. 2016. arXiv: [1603.05027 \[cs.CV\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.05027).
- [HSW89] K. Hornik, M. Stinchcombe, and H. White. “Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximators”. In: *Neural networks* 2.5 (1989), pp. 359–366.
- [Hub] *Huber and MSE loss plot*. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Huber\\_loss](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Huber_loss). Online; accessed 2021-04-26.
- [Ili+20] bibinitperiodI. A. Ilik, M. Malszycki, A. K. Lübke, C. Schade, D. Meierhofer, and T. Aktaş. “SON and SRRM2 are essential for nuclear speckle formation”. In: *ELife* 9 (2020), e60579.
- [IS15] S. Ioffe and C. Szegedy. “Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift”. In: *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR. 2015, pp. 448–456.
- [JS08] C. Y. Jao and A. Salic. “Exploring RNA transcription and turnover in vivo by using click chemistry”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105.41 (2008), pp. 15779–15784.
- [JD+13] W. JD, B. TA, B. SP, G. AA, L. M, and L. RM. *Molecular Biology of the Gene*. English. Pearson, 2013. ISBN: 9780321762436.
- [Kap95] J. Kapuscinski. “DAPI: a DNA-specific fluorescent probe”. In: *Biotechnic & Histochemistry* 70.5 (1995), pp. 220–233.
- [Ker99] J. Kerr. *Atlas of functional histology*. English. Mosby International, 1999. ISBN: 0723430721.
- [KB14] D. P. Kingma and J. Ba. “Adam: A method for stochastic optimization”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980* (2014).
- [KLW05] J. Kisielewska, P. Lu, and M. Whitaker. “GFP—PCNA as an S-phase marker in embryos during the first and subsequent cell cycles”. In: *Biology of the Cell* 97.3 (2005), pp. 221–229.
- [Kor+11] V. I. Korolchuk, S. Saiki, M. Lichtenberg, F. H. Siddiqi, E. A. Roberts, S. Imarisio, L. Jahreiss, S. Sarkar, M. Futter, F. M. Menzies, C. J. O’Kane, V. Deretic, and D. C. Rubinsztein. “Lysosomal positioning coordinates cellular nutrient responses”. In: *Nature Cell Biology* 13 (Mar. 2011), pp. 453–460.
- [KSH17] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton. “ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks”. In: *Communications of the ACM* 60.6 (2017), pp. 84–90.
- [Lew04] B. Lewin. *Genes* 8. Pearson Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, 2004.

## Bibliography

---

- [MDW15] L. Muniz, L. Davidson, and S. West. “Poly (A) polymerase and the nuclear poly (A) binding protein, PABPN1, coordinate the splicing and degradation of a subset of human pre-mRNAs”. In: *Molecular and cellular biology* 35.13 (2015), pp. 2218–2230.
- [MB84] A. L. Murphree and W. F. Benedict. “Retinoblastoma: clues to human oncogenesis”. In: *Science* 223.4640 (1984), pp. 1028–1033.
- [NCB] NCBI. *SP100 SP100 nuclear antigen, marker description*. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/6672>. Online; accessed 2021-05-03.
- [Nov] Novusbio. *RNA Polymerase 2 Phosphospecific (Ser2), marker description*. [https://www.novusbio.com/products/rna-polymerase-ii-polr2a-antibody\\_nb100-1805](https://www.novusbio.com/products/rna-polymerase-ii-polr2a-antibody_nb100-1805). Online; accessed 2021-05-03.
- [PO+13] J. E. Pérez-Ortín, D. A. Medina, S. Chávez, and J. Moreno. “What do you mean by transcription rate?” In: *BioEssays* 35.12 (2013), pp. 1056–1062. eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/bies.201300057>.
- [Rui] M. Ruiz. *Animal eukaryotic cell diagram*. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cell\\_\(biology\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cell_(biology)). Online; accessed 2021-03-29.
- [Rus+15] O. Russakovsky, J. Deng, H. Su, J. Krause, S. Satheesh, S. Ma, Z. Huang, A. Karpathy, A. Khosla, M. Bernstein, A. C. Berg, and L. Fei-Fei. “ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge”. In: *International Journal of Computer Vision (IJCV)* 115.3 (2015), pp. 211–252.
- [Seo+18] J. Seo, J. Choe, J. Koo, S. Jeon, B. Kim, and T. Jeon. “Noise-adding Methods of Saliency Map as Series of Higher Order Partial Derivative”. In: *CoRR* abs/1806.03000 (2018). arXiv: [1806.03000](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.03000).
- [Shr+16] A. Shrikumar, P. Greenside, A. Shcherbina, and A. Kundaje. “Not Just a Black Box: Learning Important Features Through Propagating Activation Differences”. In: *CoRR* abs/1605.01713 (2016). arXiv: [1605.01713](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.01713).
- [SSP+03] P. Y. Simard, D. Steinkraus, J. C. Platt, et al. “Best practices for convolutional neural networks applied to visual document analysis.” In: *Icdar*. Vol. 3. 2003. Citeseer. 2003.
- [SVZ13] K. Simonyan, A. Vedaldi, and A. Zisserman. “Deep Inside Convolutional Networks: Visualising Image Classification Models and Saliency Maps.” In: *CoRR* abs/1312.6034 (2013).
- [Smi+17] D. Smilkov, N. Thorat, B. Kim, F. B. Viégas, and M. Wattenberg. “Smooth-Grad: removing noise by adding noise”. In: *CoRR* abs/1706.03825 (2017). arXiv: [1706.03825](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03825).

- 
- [Sni+12] B. Snijder, R. Sacher, P. Rämö, P. Liberali, K. Mench, N. Wolfrum, L. Burleigh, C. C. Scott, M. H. Verheije, J. Mercer, et al. “Single-cell analysis of population context advances RNAi screening at multiple levels”. In: *Molecular systems biology* 8.1 (2012), p. 579.
- [SL11] D. L. Spector and A. I. Lamond. “Nuclear speckles”. In: *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* 3.2 (2011), a000646.
- [Spr+14] J. Springenberg, A. Dosovitskiy, T. Brox, and M. Riedmiller. “Striving for Simplicity: The All Convolutional Net”. In: (Dec. 2014).
- [SJ+60] R. G. Steel, H James, et al. *Principles and procedures of statistics: with special reference to the biological sciences*. Tech. rep. 1960.
- [SLL20] P. Sturmfels, S. Lundberg, and S.-I. Lee. “Visualizing the Impact of Feature Attribution Baselines”. In: *Distill* (2020). <https://distill.pub/2020/attribution-baselines>.
- [STY17] M. Sundararajan, A. Taly, and Q. Yan. *Axiomatic Attribution for Deep Networks*. 2017. arXiv: [1703.01365 \[cs.LG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.01365).
- [Vil] M. R. Villarreal. *Transcription steps*. <https://www.ck12.org/biology/transcription/lesson/Transcription-of-DNA-to-RNA-BIO/>. Online; accessed 2021-03-29.
- [Wik21] Wikipedia. *Primary transcript — Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. <http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Primary%20transcript&oldid=1005412823>. [Online; accessed 29-March-2021]. 2021.
- [WL11] C. L. Will and R. Lührmann. “Spliceosome structure and function”. In: *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* 3.7 (2011), a003707.
- [You06] R. M. Youngson. *Collins dictionary of human biology*. Collins Publishers, 2006.
- [Zha+88] W. Zhang et al. “Shift-invariant pattern recognition neural network and its optical architecture”. In: *Proceedings of annual conference of the Japan Society of Applied Physics*. 1988.



# List of Corrections

Note: Discuss this with Hannah! . . . . .	9
Note: If there is time, add here a subsubsection briefly explaining the cell cycle phases. . . . .	9
Note: After you finish writing the dataset section review if this sentence is accurate. . . . .	32
Note: Should I mention than half of the cells are in $G_1$ phase, which means that cell in $G_1$ phase apply more pressure on the optimization of the model parameters during training, while the cells in the $G_2$ phase will not? And/Or should I mention this in the conclusions as a future work? . . . . .	37
Note: after finishing, check that the baseline model is still called baseline . . . . .	60
Note: After finishing move this image to fit correctly in the document. . . . .	72