Mapping Your AI Alarm

Who alerts us to the failures of AI algorithms? This notebook will show you how to create your own alarm for the misbehaving of ensembles of noisy AI judges - binary classifiers.

The alarm will be based on the theorem that showed how you could evaluate error independent trios of binary classifiers. We call it "the independent trio evaluator". It only works correctly near error independence. This notebook is about treating that "bug" as a "feature".

The notebook is organized as follows:

- 1. Alarms: we briefly talk about how all alarms have flaws. Proper safety engineering requires that they be evaluated in their application context.
- 2. Designing an alarm using the failures of the independent trio evaluator: Since algebraic evaluators can return out of bounds or imaginary numbers, we know they must be wrong in their assumptions. We can use this to design an AI alarm.
- 3. Mapping an AI alarm: We use the UCI Adult dataset to construct maps of the amount of error correlation that triggers the failure of the independent trio evaluator.

Another Mathematica notebook in the submission - "An Enabling Technology" - shows how you can use these maps to create different applications such as AutoML, error-correction, fairness testing on unlabeled data, etc.

Alarms will fail, good engineers know when

There is no perfect alarm. There cannot be one. No one will ever submit to competitions like Stanford HAI's AI Audit Challenge the perfect tool to carry out its intended auditing task. Good safety engineering requires that we understand the failure properties of any proposed alarm.

We take it as a given that the only safe AI systems of the future will be based on the use of ensemble methods in one way or another. Our independent trio evaluator is one such ensemble technique that can contribute to that future safety. But it fails. This notebook is about finding out where that happens.

This is an exercise that cannot be carried out with probabilistic evaluators of noisy judges. By construction they will always return sensible answers - always real numbers. They never fail! We think that is a flaw for AI safety.

Algebraic numbers make AI alarms possible

Initial look at the code and confirming it works

Getting the dataset and preparing it for training and testing

Mathematica has powerful built-in functions to help us retrieve public datasets. Public datasets are an important part of the scientific/research/development eco-systems. They help promote transparency and reproducibility of research claims. The running example used throughout this submission is the UCI Adult Dataset. There are various reasons this dataset was chosen:

- 1. It is public.
- 2. It is used to train and test binary classifiers.
- 3. It is used in the AI fairness research literature since it contains two sensitive attributes of concern to society - gender and age.

Mathematica curates an extensive data repository. Perhaps we can find UCI Adult in it.

In[1966]:= ResourceSearch["UCI"]

Out[1966

	Name	ResourceType
	Sample Data: UCI Letter	DataResource
6]=	Gliders in 2D Cellular Automata	DataResource
	Sample Data: Satellite	DataResource

In[1967]:= ResourceSearch["Adult"]

	Name	ResourceT
	Sample Data: Time to AIDS Induction	DataResou
Out[1967]=	Sample Data: Paracou Kimboto Trees	DataResou
	Sample Data: Fisher's Cats	DataResou
	NYC After–School Jobs and Internships	DataResou

The UPenn Dataset Repository is one place we can find the UCI Adult dataset and other ones like it. Let's use that.

In[2146]:= {tsvHeader, benchmarkData} = ImportPennMLBenchmarksDataset["adult"];

```
In[2147]:= tsvHeader
Out[2147]= {age, workclass, fnlwgt, education, education-num,
       marital-status, occupation, relationship, race, sex, capital-gain,
       capital-loss, hours-per-week, native-country, target}
```

Choosing features for the ensemble of classifiers.

If we could always have classifiers independent on their testing sample errors, then AI safety would be easy to solve by the main theorem in TheCoreConcept.nb. The practical reality is that error correlated classifiers are a reality and should be planned for in any evaluator that claims to successfully monitor them.

The engineering approach taken in this notebook is that we can increase their error independence by training them on different features of the data. Here is a specific partition of the UCI feature set. We will use it to test some initial code.

```
In[2148]:= featurePartition = {{{2, "Nominal"}, {10, "Nominal"}, {14, "Nominal"}},
         {{4, "Nominal"}, {5, "Nominal"}, {7, "Nominal"}},
         {{6, "Nominal"}, {8, "Nominal"}, {9, "Nominal"}},
         {{1, "Numerical"}, {3, "Numerical"}, {11, "Numerical"}, {12, "Numerical"}}};
```

Preparing the benchmark data for training and tests

Our choices for training and testing sizes. We train on 10K items, test on 18K

```
In[2149]:= trainTestSplit = Association[
            0 \rightarrow (RandomSample[benchmarkData[0]] // \{Take[#, 5000], Take[#, -6000]\} \&)
            1 → (RandomSample[benchmarkData[1]] // {Take[#, 5000], Take[#, -12000]} &)];
       Let's check our data sizes came out correctly.
In[2150]:= Map[Length, trainTestSplit, {2}]
Out[2150]= \langle | 0 \rightarrow \{5000, 6000\}, 1 \rightarrow \{5000, 12000\} | \rangle
```

Looks good. Let's put it all together with our 1st purely algebraic manipulation.

A quick evaluation of four Nearest Neighbours classifiers.

Our choices for the NearestNeighbors are illustrative.

```
4 MappingYourAIAlarm.nb
```

```
in[2151]:= searchSet = benchmarkData;
      classifierTypes =
        Table[{"NearestNeighbors", "NeighborsNumber" → RandomChoice[{2, 3, 4}],
           "DistributionSmoothing" → 0.1, "NearestMethod" → "KDtree"}, {4}];
      classifiersFeatures = featurePartition;
      trainTestSplit =
        Association[0 → (RandomSample[searchSet[0]] // {Take[#, 5000], Take[#, -6000]} &),
          1 → (RandomSample[searchSet[1]] // {Take[#, 6000], Take[#, -12000]} &)];
      trainingIndices = Transpose@{
           RandomSample[Range@5000] // Partition[#, 1250] &,
           RandomSample[Range@6000] // Partition[#, 1500] &};
      classifiersData = Table[Map[#[First@Transpose@features] &, trainTestSplit, {3}],
          {features, classifiersFeatures}];
      classifiers = TrainClassifiersDisjoint[classifiersData, classifierTypes,
          trainingIndices, Map[(Last@Transpose@#) &, classifiersFeatures]];
      voteCountsByLabel = LabelCounts[classifiers, classifiersData]
\{0, 1, 1, 0\} \rightarrow 145, \{1, 0, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 188, \{1, 1, 1, 0\} \rightarrow 834, \{1, 1, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 168,
          \{1,\,0,\,0,\,1\} \rightarrow 194,\,\{1,\,1,\,0,\,0\} \rightarrow 154,\,\{0,\,0,\,1,\,1\} \rightarrow 356,\,\{0,\,0,\,1,\,0\} \rightarrow 375,
          \{0, 0, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 20, \{0, 1, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 15, \{0, 0, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 25, \{0, 1, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 20\},\
       \{1, 1, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 705, \{0, 0, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 29, \{0, 1, 1, 1\} \rightarrow 480, \{1, 0, 1, 0\} \rightarrow 493,
          \{1, 1, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 253, \{0, 1, 1, 0\} \rightarrow 111, \{1, 0, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 78, \{1, 0, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 262,
```

The voteCountsByLabel data structure is used throughout this notebook. It allows us to benchmark the evaluation on unlabeled data. To do so, we need to have their voting frequencies broken down by true label.

In[2159]:= AlgebraicallyEvaluateClassifiers[classifiers, classifiersData]

```
Out[2159]= \{ \langle | P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.333333, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.190833, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.5765, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.130667, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.13067, P_{3,\alpha}
                                                                                                           P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.4855, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.9115, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.73275, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.884083, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.812167,
                                                                                                           \Gamma_{1,2,\alpha} \to 0.0193179, \Gamma_{1,3,\alpha} \to -0.0116022, \Gamma_{1,4,\alpha} \to 0.00068375, \Gamma_{2,3,\alpha} \to -0.00416267,
                                                                                                           \Gamma_{2,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0142759, \Gamma_{3,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.000228, \Gamma_{1,2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.011015, \Gamma_{1,3,\beta} \rightarrow -0.00250863,
                                                                                                           \Gamma_{1,4,\beta} 	o 0.00121008, \Gamma_{2,3,\beta} 	o 0.000521271, \Gamma_{2,4,\beta} 	o 0.00480154,
                                                                                                           \Gamma_{3,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00772699, \Gamma_{1,2,3,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.0019165, \Gamma_{1,2,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.000756831,
                                                                                                         \Gamma_{1,3,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.00006048, \Gamma_{2,3,4,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.00104825, \Gamma_{1,2,3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00022748,
                                                                                                           \Gamma_{1,2,4,\beta} \rightarrow -0.00015678, \Gamma_{1,3,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.000363149, \Gamma_{2,3,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00128837 \mid \rangle,
                                                                                                \big\{ \big\{ \big\{ \mathsf{P}_{\alpha} \to \texttt{0.00133549}, \, \mathsf{P}_{\texttt{1},\alpha} \to \texttt{55.5284}, \, \mathsf{P}_{\texttt{1},\beta} \to \texttt{0.951482}, \, \mathsf{P}_{\texttt{2},\alpha} \to \texttt{0.651268}, \, \mathsf{P}_{\texttt{2},\beta} \to \texttt{0.630042}, \, \mathsf{P}_{\texttt{2},\beta} \to \texttt{0.6300
                                                                                                                                        P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0505916, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.879073, \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.998665, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0485184,
                                                                                                                                       P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow -54.5284, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.369958, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.348732, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.120927, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.949408 \}
                                                                                                            \{ \{ P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.30836, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.235844, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.927873, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.782649, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.813493, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.814140, P_{
                                                                                                                                        P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.441636, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.781862, \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.69164, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0721274, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.764156,
                                                                                                                                        P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.186507, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.217351, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.218138, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.558364 \},
                                                                                                              \left\{ \left\{ \mathsf{P}_{\alpha} \to \mathsf{0.5} - \mathsf{1.68639} \ \dot{\mathsf{i}} \ , \ \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{1},\alpha} \to \mathsf{0.0450327} + \mathsf{0.0230014} \ \dot{\mathsf{i}} \ , \ \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{1},\beta} \to \mathsf{0.954967} + \mathsf{0.0230014} \ \dot{\mathsf{i}} \ , \right. \right\}
                                                                                                                                        P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0591685 + 0.0182831 i, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.940831 + 0.0182831 i,
                                                                                                                                       P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.37952 - 0.0274148 i, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.62048 - 0.0274148 i,
                                                                                                                          \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.5 + 1.68639 \text{ i}, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0450327 - 0.0230014 \text{ i}, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.954967 - 0.0230014 \text{ i}, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.0450327 - 0.0230014 \text{ i}, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.954967 - 0.0230014 \text{ i}, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.0450327 - 0.0230014 \text{ i}, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.0250327 - 0.0250327 - 0.0250327 + 0.0250327 + 0.0250327 + 0.02502
                                                                                                                                       P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0591685 - 0.0182831 i, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.940831 - 0.0182831 i,
                                                                                                                                       P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.37952 + 0.0274148 i, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.62048 + 0.0274148 i \},
                                                                                                              \{ P_{\alpha} \rightarrow -0.00129093, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.064123, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.630061, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0539898, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0539898, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.064123, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.630061, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0539898, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.064123, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.630061, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0539898, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.064123, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.630061, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0539898, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.064123, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.630061, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0539898, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.064123, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.064123, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.630061, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0539898, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.064123, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.06
                                                                                                                                        P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.879253, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 72.2653, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.620146, \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 1.00129, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.369939, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.879253, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.879253, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.620146\}
                                                                                                                                        P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.935877, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.120747, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.94601, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.379854, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow -71.2653\}\}\}
```

We immediately see that the algebraic evaluator is emitting non-sense answers. It has failed. This means that these classifiers are not independent in their error. How correlated are they?

In[2162]:= GTClassifiers[voteCountsByLabel] // N

```
\text{Out}[2162] = \langle \mid P_{\alpha} \rightarrow \textbf{0.3333333}, \; P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow \textbf{0.190833}, \; P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow \textbf{0.5765}, \; P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow \textbf{0.130667}, \; P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow \textbf{0.4855}, \; P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow \textbf{0.130667}, \; P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow \textbf{0.4855}, \; P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow \textbf{0.130667}, \; P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow \textbf{0.4855}, \; P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow \textbf{0.130667}, \; P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow
                                                                   P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.9115, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.73275, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.884083, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.812167, \Gamma_{1,2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0193179,
                                                                   \Gamma_{1,3,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.0116022, \Gamma_{1,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.00068375, \Gamma_{2,3,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.00416267, \Gamma_{2,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0142759,
                                                                   \Gamma_{3,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.000228, \Gamma_{1,2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.011015, \Gamma_{1,3,\beta} \rightarrow -0.00250863, \Gamma_{1,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00121008,
                                                                   \Gamma_{2,3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.000521271, \Gamma_{2,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00480154, \Gamma_{3,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00772699, \Gamma_{1,2,3,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.0019165,
                                                                   \Gamma_{1,2,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.000756831, \ \Gamma_{1,3,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.00006048, \ \Gamma_{2,3,4,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.00104825, \ \Gamma_{1,2,3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00022748,
                                                                 \Gamma_{1,2,4,\beta} \rightarrow -0.00015678, \Gamma_{1,3,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.000363149, \Gamma_{2,3,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00128837
```

The Γ expressions are our notation for the sample error correlation of the classifiers. Here we see that these NNs classifiers were at most 1.9% pair correlated but the evaluation failed. Will more testing data fix this?

```
In[2171]:= searchSet = benchmarkData;
                              classifierTypes =
                                          Table[{"NearestNeighbors", "NeighborsNumber" → RandomChoice[{2, 3, 4}],
                                                      "DistributionSmoothing" → 0.1, "NearestMethod" → "KDtree"}, {4}];
                               classifiersFeatures = featurePartition;
                               trainTestSplit =
                                          Association[0 → (RandomSample[searchSet[0]] // {Take[#, 5000], Take[#, -8000]} &),
                                                1 → (RandomSample[searchSet[1]] // {Take[#, 6000], Take[#, -12000]} &)];
                              trainingIndices = Transpose@{
                                                      RandomSample[Range@5000] // Partition[#, 1250] &,
                                                     RandomSample[Range@6000] // Partition[#, 1500] &};
                               classifiersData = Table[Map[#[First@Transpose@features] &, trainTestSplit, {3}],
                                                 {features, classifiersFeatures}];
                              classifiers = TrainClassifiersDisjoint[classifiersData, classifierTypes,
                                                 trainingIndices, Map[(Last@Transpose@#) &, classifiersFeatures]];
                              voteCountsByLabel = LabelCounts[classifiers, classifiersData]
\{0, 0, 1, 1\} \rightarrow 473, \{1, 1, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 233, \{1, 0, 1, 0\} \rightarrow 1023, \{0, 1, 1, 1\} \rightarrow 535,
                                                \{\textbf{0},\,\textbf{1},\,\textbf{1},\,\textbf{0}\}\rightarrow \textbf{444},\,\{\textbf{1},\,\textbf{1},\,\textbf{1},\,\textbf{0}\}\rightarrow \textbf{1163},\,\{\textbf{0},\,\textbf{0},\,\textbf{1},\,\textbf{0}\}\rightarrow \textbf{493},\,\{\textbf{0},\,\textbf{1},\,\textbf{0},\,\textbf{1}\}\rightarrow \textbf{38},
                                                \{1, 0, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 212, \{0, 1, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 23, \{0, 0, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 26, \{0, 0, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 26\},
                                    1 \rightarrow \langle \{1, 1, 1, 1\} \rightarrow 6259, \{0, 1, 1, 1\} \rightarrow 834, \{1, 1, 1, 0\} \rightarrow 1059, \{1, 1, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 813, \{1, 1, 1, 1\} \rightarrow 1059, \{1,
                                                \{0, 1, 1, 0\} \rightarrow 239, \{0, 0, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 54, \{0, 1, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 26, \{1, 0, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 66,
                                                \{0,\,0,\,1,\,1\}\rightarrow 292,\,\{1,\,0,\,1,\,0\}\rightarrow 288,\,\{0,\,0,\,1,\,0\}\rightarrow 93,\,\{0,\,0,\,0,\,0\}\rightarrow 13\,|>|>13\,|>|>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|>>13\,|
```

In[2179]:= AlgebraicallyEvaluateClassifiers[classifiers, classifiersData]

```
\text{Out}[2179] = \left\{ \langle \left( \mathsf{P}_{\alpha} \to 0.4, \mathsf{P}_{1,\alpha} \to 0.25725, \mathsf{P}_{2,\alpha} \to 0.446375, \mathsf{P}_{3,\alpha} \to 0.131, \mathsf{P}_{4,\alpha} \to 0.447, \mathsf{P}_{1,\beta} \to 0.863083, \mathsf{P}_{3,\alpha} \to 0.447, \mathsf{P}_{3,\alpha} \to 0.44
                                                                                                                                      P_{2,\beta} \to 0.797, P_{3,\beta} \to 0.875167, P_{4,\beta} \to 0.831167, \Gamma_{1,2,\alpha} \to 0.01242, \Gamma_{1,3,\alpha} \to -0.0195748,
                                                                                                                                      \Gamma_{1,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.00825925, \Gamma_{2,3,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.00410013, \Gamma_{2,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0145954, \Gamma_{3,4,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.001932,
                                                                                                                                      \Gamma_{1,2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00987258, \Gamma_{1,3,\beta} \rightarrow -0.0016751, \Gamma_{1,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00780057, \Gamma_{2,3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00174217,
                                                                                                                                      \Gamma_{2,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00406017, \Gamma_{3,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00784064, \Gamma_{1,2,3,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.000377314, \Gamma_{1,2,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.000552867,
                                                                                                                                      \Gamma_{1,3,4,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.00077383, \Gamma_{2,3,4,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.000730223, \Gamma_{1,2,3,\beta} \rightarrow -0.000982791,
                                                                                                                                   \Gamma_{1,2,4,\beta} \rightarrow -0.000334524, \Gamma_{1,3,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00140013, \Gamma_{2,3,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.0000877299 \mid \rangle,
                                                                                                                        \left\{\left\{P_{\alpha} \to 0.0527819, P_{1,\alpha} \to 3.63023, P_{1,\beta} \to 1.00693, P_{2,\alpha} \to 0.393696, P_{2,\beta} \to 0.704852, P_{2,\beta} \to 0.0527819, P_{2,\beta} \to 0.00882, P_{2,\beta} \to 0.0082, P_{2,\beta} \to 0.00
                                                                                                                                                                           P_{3,\alpha} \to 0.0822065, P_{3,\beta} \to 0.870187, \{P_{\alpha} \to 0.947218, P_{1,\alpha} \to -0.0069261,
                                                                                                                                                                           P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow -2.63023, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.295148, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.606304, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.129813, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.917793 \}
                                                                                                                                          \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.379811, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.323302, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.899617, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.512006, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.82927, P_{1,\beta} 
                                                                                                                                                                           P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.469283, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.835758, \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.620189, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.100383, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.676698, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.469283, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.835758\}
                                                                                                                                                                           P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.17073, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.487994, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.164242, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.530717 \} \}
                                                                                                                                          \{ P_{\alpha} \rightarrow -0.121105, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.358591, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.873675, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.0201125, P_
                                                                                                                                                                           P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.888624, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.552852, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.690437, \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 1.1211, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.126325, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.888624, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.552852, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.690437
                                                                                                                                                                         P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 1.35859, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.111376, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 1.02011, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.309563, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.447148 \},
                                                                                                                                          \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow -0.0162489, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0125573, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.704252, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0763269, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0162489, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.016489, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.01649, P_{3
                                                                                                                                                                           P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.873515, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 5.60918, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.634693, \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 1.01625, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.295748, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.873515, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.873515, P_{4,
                                                                                                                                                                           P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.987443, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.126485, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.923673, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.365307, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow -4.60918 \} \} \}
```

Using separate algorithms for training

Increasing the test data made the evaluator get closer to returning sensible answers. Would using different algorithms improve the algebraic estimates?

```
In[2188]:= searchSet = benchmarkData;
                   classifierTypes = {"NearestNeighbors",
                               "NeuralNetwork", "SupportVectorMachine", "LogisticRegression"};
                   classifiersFeatures = featurePartition;
                   trainTestSplit =
                           Association[0 → (RandomSample[searchSet[0]] // {Take[#, 5000], Take[#, -8000]} &),
                               1 → (RandomSample[searchSet[1]] // {Take[#, 6000], Take[#, -12000]} &)];
                   trainingIndices = Transpose@{
                                  RandomSample[Range@5000] // Partition[#, 1250] &,
                                  RandomSample[Range@6000] // Partition[#, 1500] &};
                   classifiersData = Table[Map[#[First@Transpose@features] &, trainTestSplit, {3}],
                               {features, classifiersFeatures}];
                   classifiers = TrainClassifiersDisjoint[classifiersData, classifierTypes,
                               trainingIndices, Map[(Last@Transpose@#) &, classifiersFeatures]];
                   voteCountsByLabel = LabelCounts[classifiers, classifiersData]
\{1, 1, 1, 0\} \rightarrow 98, \{1, 0, 1, 0\} \rightarrow 182, \{0, 1, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 796, \{1, 1, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 339, \{1, 1, 1, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 182, \{1, 1, 1, 
                               \{1, 1, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 440, \{1, 1, 1, 1\} \rightarrow 103, \{0, 0, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 1683, \{1, 0, 0, 1\} \rightarrow 534,
                               \{1,\,0,\,0,\,0\} \rightarrow 418,\,\{0,\,1,\,1,\,0\} \rightarrow 93,\,\{0,\,0,\,1,\,1\} \rightarrow 190,\,\{0,\,1,\,1,\,1\} \rightarrow 108\,|\,\rangle,
                       \{0, 1, 1, 1\} \rightarrow 2077, \{0, 0, 1, 1\} \rightarrow 528, \{0, 1, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 447, \{0, 0, 0, 0\} \rightarrow 105,
                               \{1,\,0,\,1,\,0\} \rightarrow 187,\,\{0,\,0,\,0,\,1\} \rightarrow 426,\,\{1,\,1,\,1,\,0\} \rightarrow 581,\,\{1,\,1,\,0,\,0\} \rightarrow 338,
```

In[2196]:= AlgebraicallyEvaluateClassifiers[classifiers, classifiersData]

```
\mathsf{Out}[2196] = \left\{ \left\langle \left( \mathsf{P}_{\alpha} \to 0.4, \mathsf{P}_{1,\alpha} \to 0.70725, \mathsf{P}_{2,\alpha} \to 0.567625, \mathsf{P}_{3,\alpha} \to 0.854375, \mathsf{P}_{4,\alpha} \to 0.404, \mathsf{P}_{1,\beta} \to 0.542917, \mathsf{P}_{3,\alpha} \to 0.404, \mathsf{P}_{3,\beta} \to 0.404, \mathsf{P}_{3,\beta} \to 0.542917, \mathsf{P}_{3,\alpha} \to 0.404, \mathsf{P}_{3,\beta} \to 0.404, \mathsf{P}_{3,\beta} \to 0.542917, \mathsf{P}_{3,\beta} \to 0.404, \mathsf{P}_{3
                                                                                               P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.784, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.664333, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.832417, \Gamma_{1,2,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.00407778, \Gamma_{1,3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0337433,
                                                                                               \Gamma_{1,4,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.011354, \Gamma_{2,3,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.0127146, \Gamma_{2,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0089295, \Gamma_{3,4,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.0081675,
                                                                                               \Gamma_{1.2.\beta} \to -0.00448 \text{, } \Gamma_{1.3.\beta} \to 0.0645724 \text{, } \Gamma_{1,4,\beta} \to -0.00859955 \text{, } \Gamma_{2,3,\beta} \to -0.00358733 \text{, } \Gamma_{1,2,\beta} \to -0.00358733 \text{, } \Gamma_{1,2,\beta}
                                                                                               \Gamma_{2,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.001552, \Gamma_{3,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.0253312, \Gamma_{1,2,3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.00358161, \Gamma_{1,2,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.00283993,
                                                                                               \Gamma_{1,3,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.000100038, \Gamma_{2,3,4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.00134294, \Gamma_{1,2,3,\beta} \rightarrow -0.000305497,
                                                                                              \Gamma_{1,2,4,\beta} \rightarrow -0.00010338, \Gamma_{1,3,4,\beta} \rightarrow -0.000774948, \Gamma_{2,3,4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00137511 \mid \rangle,
                                                                                      \{\{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.475336, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.762825, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.629187, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.446768, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.724996, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.762825, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.629187, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.446768, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.724996, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.475336, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.762825, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.629187, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.446768, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.724996, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.446768, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.446768, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.724996, P_{2
                                                                                                                          P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.990639, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.862267, \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.524664, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.370813, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.237175, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.862267\}
                                                                                                                          P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.275004, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.553232, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.137733, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.00936095 \} 
                                                                                                   \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.166692, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.681344, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.467693, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 1.03259, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.778561,
                                                                                                                          P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.443009, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.774028, \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.833308, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.532307, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.318656, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.443009, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.774028
                                                                                                                          P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.221439, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow -0.0325856, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.225972, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.556991 \}
                                                                                                   \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.369662, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.432872, P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.369967, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow -0.600936,
                                                                                                                          P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow -0.214099, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.200501, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.701696, \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.630338, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.630033, P_{1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.630033\}
                                                                                                                         P_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0.567128, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 1.2141, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 1.60094, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.298304, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.799499 \} \}
                                                                                                   \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.473531, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.201683, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.503965, P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.28103, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.221087, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.28103, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.221087, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.28103, P_{3,\beta}
                                                                                                                          P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0867062, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.580047, \{P_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0.526469, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.496035, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.798317, P_{2,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.0867062, P_{2,\beta} \rightarrow 0.580047\}
                                                                                                                          P_{3,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.778913, P_{3,\beta} \rightarrow 0.71897, P_{4,\alpha} \rightarrow 0.419953, P_{4,\beta} \rightarrow 0.913294 \} \} \}
```

We now have two of the four trios returning sensible answers. This is for classifiers that can be up to 3% error correlated as seen it the top of the output. This is now an operating point at the borderline of failure for the algebraic evaluator based on them being error independent. The rest of this notebook is about automating this exploration to make a map of what correlation values make the evaluator fail.