cnn v8

October 14, 2022

```
[]: %env LD LIBRARY PATH=$LD LIBRARY PATH:$CONDA PREFIX/lib/
    env: LD_LIBRARY_PATH=$LD_LIBRARY_PATH:$CONDA_PREFIX/lib/
[]: import os
     print(os.environ["LD_LIBRARY_PATH"])
    :/home/nkspartan/miniconda3/envs/tf-gpu/lib/:/home/nkspartan/miniconda3/envs/tf-
    gpu/lib/
[]: import tensorflow as tf
     import numpy as np
     import pandas as pd
     import os
     import keras
     from keras import Sequential, models, Input
     from keras.layers import Dense, Flatten, Conv2D, MaxPooling2D, Dropout,
     →LeakyReLU, AveragePooling2D
     from keras.optimizers import SGD, Adam
[]: from tensorflow.python.client import device_lib
     #print(device_lib.list_local_devices())
     print('Default GPU Device: {}'.format(tf.test.gpu_device_name()))
    Default GPU Device: /device:GPU:0
    2022-10-14 11:39:11.186822: I tensorflow/core/platform/cpu_feature_guard.cc:193]
    This TensorFlow binary is optimized with oneAPI Deep Neural Network Library
    (oneDNN) to use the following CPU instructions in performance-critical
    operations: AVX2 FMA
    To enable them in other operations, rebuild TensorFlow with the appropriate
    compiler flags.
    2022-10-14 11:39:11.209616: I
    tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_gpu_executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node
    read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA
    node, so returning NUMA node zero
    2022-10-14 11:39:11.261664: I
```

```
tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_gpu_executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA node, so returning NUMA node zero
```

2022-10-14 11:39:11.261850: I

tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_gpu_executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA node, so returning NUMA node zero

2022-10-14 11:39:12.100458: I

tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_gpu_executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA node, so returning NUMA node zero

2022-10-14 11:39:12.101067: I

tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_gpu_executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA node, so returning NUMA node zero

2022-10-14 11:39:12.101224: I

tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_gpu_executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA node, so returning NUMA node zero

2022-10-14 11:39:12.101367: I

tensorflow/core/common_runtime/gpu/gpu_device.cc:1616] Created device
/device:GPU:0 with 4023 MB memory: -> device: 0, name: NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2060,
pci bus id: 0000:08:00.0, compute capability: 7.5

0.1 Read the csv dataset to get the values for stage and discharge of the images

```
[]:
       Unnamed: 0
                            SensorTime
                                                CaptureTime
                0 2012-06-09 13:15:00 2012-06-09T13:09:07
                   2012-06-09 13:15:00 2012-06-09T13:10:29
    1
    2
                2 2012-06-09 13:45:00 2012-06-09T13:44:01
                 3 2012-06-09 14:45:00 2012-06-09T14:44:30
    3
                4 2012-06-09 15:45:00 2012-06-09T15:44:59
                                     Filename Agency SiteNumber TimeZone Stage \
                                                                            2.99
    O StateLineWeir_20120609_Farrell_001.jpg
                                                USGS
                                                          6674500
                                                                      MDT
    1 StateLineWeir_20120609_Farrell_002.jpg
                                                USGS
                                                          6674500
                                                                      MDT
                                                                             2.99
    2 StateLineWeir_20120609_Farrell_003.jpg
                                                USGS
                                                          6674500
                                                                      MDT
                                                                             2.96
    3 StateLineWeir_20120609_Farrell_004.jpg
                                                USGS
                                                                      MDT
                                                                             2.94
                                                          6674500
    4 StateLineWeir_20120609_Farrell_005.jpg
                                                USGS
                                                         6674500
                                                                      MDT
                                                                            2.94
       Discharge
                         CalcTimestamp
                                          WeirPt2X WeirPt2Y WwRawLineMin \
    0
                                                          -1
                                                                       0.0
           916.0 2020-03-11T16:58:28 ...
                                                -1
                                                          -1
    1
           916.0 2020-03-11T16:58:33 ...
                                                -1
                                                                       0.0
```

```
3
                                                 -1
                                                            -1
                                                                         0.0
            846.0 2020-03-11T16:58:47
     4
                                                                         0.0
            846.0 2020-03-11T16:58:55 ...
                                                 -1
                                                           -1
       WwRawLineMax WwRawLineMean WwRawLineSigma
                                                     WwCurveLineMin
                 0.0
                                                                 0.0
     0
                                0.0
                                                0.0
                                                                0.0
                 0.0
                                0.0
                                                0.0
     1
     2
                 0.0
                                0.0
                                                                0.0
                                                0.0
     3
                 0.0
                                0.0
                                                0.0
                                                                 0.0
     4
                 0.0
                                0.0
                                                0.0
                                                                 0.0
        WwCurveLineMax WwCurveLineMean WwCurveLineSigma
     0
                   0.0
                                    0.0
                   0.0
                                                      0.0
     1
                                    0.0
     2
                   0.0
                                    0.0
                                                      0.0
     3
                   0.0
                                    0.0
                                                      0.0
     4
                   0.0
                                    0.0
                                                      0.0
     [5 rows x 60 columns]
[]: df = df[["Filename", "Stage", "Discharge"]]
    0.1.1 Scale the data
[]: from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
     scaler = StandardScaler()
[]: df[["Stage", "Discharge"]] = scaler.fit_transform(df[["Stage", "Discharge"]])
[]:
                                          Filename
                                                       Stage Discharge
            StateLineWeir 20120609 Farrell 001.jpg 0.138117
                                                              -0.046094
            StateLineWeir_20120609_Farrell_002.jpg 0.138117 -0.046094
     1
            StateLineWeir_20120609_Farrell_003.jpg 0.100875
     2
                                                              -0.082160
     3
            StateLineWeir_20120609_Farrell_004.jpg
                                                    0.076046
                                                              -0.104807
     4
            StateLineWeir_20120609_Farrell_005.jpg
                                                    0.076046
                                                              -0.104807
           StateLineWeir_20191011_Farrell_409.jpg -0.420526 -0.450369
     42054
           StateLineWeir_20191011_Farrell_410.jpg -0.420526
     42055
                                                              -0.450369
     42056
           StateLineWeir_20191011_Farrell_411.jpg -0.420526
                                                              -0.450369
           StateLineWeir_20191011_Farrell_412.jpg -0.420526
     42057
                                                              -0.450369
     42058
           StateLineWeir_20191011_Farrell_413.jpg -0.420526
                                                              -0.450369
     [42059 rows x 3 columns]
```

-1

-1

0.0

2

873.0 2020-03-11T16:58:40 ...

```
[]: from joblib import dump, load dump(scaler, 'std_scaler.joblib', compress=True)
```

[]: ['std_scaler.joblib']

0.2 Create the dataset pipeline

```
[]: IMG_SIZE = 512
BATCH_SIZE = 32
```

```
[]: from glob import glob
     def make_dataset(path, batch_size, df, seed=None):
       np.random.seed(seed)
       def parse_image(filename):
         image = tf.io.read_file(filename)
         image = tf.image.decode_jpeg(image, channels=3)
         #image = tf.image.resize(image, [IMG_SIZE, IMG_SIZE])
         image = tf.cast(image, tf.float32)
         image /= 255
         return image
       def configure_for_performance(ds):
         ds = ds.shuffle(buffer_size=100)
         ds = ds.batch(batch_size)
         ds = ds.repeat()
         ds = ds.prefetch(buffer_size=tf.data.experimental.AUTOTUNE)
         return ds
       filenames = glob(path + '/*')
       # make train, val and test splits of the dataset (70%, 10%, 20% split)
       split1 = int(0.7 * len(filenames))
       split2 = int(0.8 * len(filenames))
      np.random.shuffle(filenames)
       train_files = filenames[:split1] # up to split 1 (ex 70%)
       val_files = filenames[split1:split2] # from ex. 70% to 80%
       test_files = filenames[split2:] # from ex. 80% until the end
       # create stage values
       stage_train_values = [df[df.Filename == file.split('/')[-1]].Stage.values for

→file in train_files]
       stage_val_values = [df[df.Filename == file.split('/')[-1]].Stage.values for_

→file in val_files]
```

```
stage_test_values = [df[df.Filename == file.split('/')[-1]].Stage.values for
→file in test_files]
 # create discharge values
discharge_train_values = [df[df.Filename == file.split(
     '/')[-1]].Discharge.values for file in train files]
discharge val values = [df[df.Filename == file.split(
     '/')[-1]].Discharge.values for file in val_files]
 discharge_test_values = [df[df.Filename == file.split(
     '/')[-1]].Discharge.values for file in test_files]
 # join stage and discharge values
stage_discharge_train_values = [[np.squeeze(s), np.squeeze(d)] for s, d in_
→zip(stage_train_values, discharge_train_values)]
stage_discharge_val_values = [[np.squeeze(s), np.squeeze(d)] for s, d in_
→zip(stage_val_values, discharge_val_values)]
stage_discharge_test_values = [[np.squeeze(s), np.squeeze(
     d)] for s, d in zip(stage_test_values, discharge_test_values)]
 # create images dataset (train, val, test)
filenames_train_ds = tf.data.Dataset.from_tensor_slices(train_files)
filenames_val_ds = tf.data.Dataset.from_tensor_slices(val_files)
filenames_test_ds = tf.data.Dataset.from_tensor_slices(test_files)
images_train_ds = filenames_train_ds.map(parse_image, num_parallel_calls=5)
 images_val_ds = filenames_val_ds.map(parse_image, num_parallel_calls=5)
images_test_ds = filenames_test_ds.map(parse_image, num_parallel_calls=5)
 # create stage and discharge dataset (train, val, test)
stage_discharge_train_ds = tf.data.Dataset.
→from_tensor_slices(stage_discharge_train_values)
stage_discharge_val_ds = tf.data.Dataset.
→from_tensor_slices(stage_discharge_val_values)
stage_discharge_test_ds = tf.data.Dataset.from_tensor_slices(
     stage_discharge_test_values)
 # create tensorflow dataset of images and values (train, val, test)
train_ds = tf.data.Dataset.zip((images_train_ds, stage_discharge_train_ds))
train_ds = configure_for_performance(train_ds)
val_ds = tf.data.Dataset.zip((images_val_ds, stage_discharge_val_ds))
val_ds = configure_for_performance(val_ds)
test_ds = tf.data.Dataset.zip((images_test_ds, stage_discharge_test_ds))
test_ds = configure_for_performance(test_ds)
return train_ds, len(train_files), val_ds, len(val_files), test_ds, u
→len(test_files)
```

```
[ ]: path = "../../dataset/images"
     train_ds, train_size, val_ds, val_size, test_ds, test_size = make dataset(path,__
      →BATCH_SIZE, df, 0)
    2022-10-14 11:47:25.673029: I
    tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_gpu_executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node
    read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA
    node, so returning NUMA node zero
    2022-10-14 11:47:25.673317: I
    tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_gpu_executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node
    read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA
    node, so returning NUMA node zero
    2022-10-14 11:47:25.673566: I
    tensorflow/stream executor/cuda/cuda gpu executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node
    read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA
    node, so returning NUMA node zero
    2022-10-14 11:47:25.674117: I
    tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_gpu_executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node
    read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA
    node, so returning NUMA node zero
    2022-10-14 11:47:25.674268: I
    tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_gpu_executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node
    read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA
    node, so returning NUMA node zero
    2022-10-14 11:47:25.674407: I
    {\tt tensorflow/stream\_executor/cuda/cuda\_gpu\_executor.cc:980] \ successful \ {\tt NUMA \ node} }
    read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA
    node, so returning NUMA node zero
    2022-10-14 11:47:25.674587: I
    tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_gpu_executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node
    read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA
    node, so returning NUMA node zero
    2022-10-14 11:47:25.674729: I
    tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_gpu_executor.cc:980] successful NUMA node
    read from SysFS had negative value (-1), but there must be at least one NUMA
    node, so returning NUMA node zero
    2022-10-14 11:47:25.674844: I
    tensorflow/core/common_runtime/gpu/gpu_device.cc:1616] Created device
    /job:localhost/replica:0/task:0/device:GPU:0 with 4023 MB memory: -> device: 0,
    name: NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2060, pci bus id: 0000:08:00.0, compute capability: 7.5
[]: input_shape = 0
     output_shape = 0
     for image, stage_discharge in train_ds.take(1):
         print(image.numpy().shape)
```

```
print(stage_discharge.numpy().shape)
         input_shape = image.numpy().shape[1:]
         output_shape = stage_discharge.numpy().shape[1:]
    (32, 512, 512, 3)
    (32, 2)
[]: print(input_shape)
     print(output_shape)
    (512, 512, 3)
    (2,)
    0.3 Create model
[]: def create_model(input_shape, output_shape):
         model = Sequential()
         model.add(Input(shape=input_shape))
         model.add(Conv2D(64, kernel_size=(4, 4), strides=(2, 2), padding='same',_
     →activation=LeakyReLU()))
         model.add(MaxPooling2D(pool size=(4, 4)))
         model.add(Conv2D(64, kernel_size=(4, 4), activation=LeakyReLU(),
      →padding='same'))
         model.add(MaxPooling2D(pool_size=(2, 2)))
```

```
model.add(Input(shape=input_shape))

model.add(Conv2D(64, kernel_size=(4, 4), strides=(2, 2), padding='same', u cativation=LeakyReLU()))

model.add(MaxPooling2D(pool_size=(4, 4)))

model.add(Conv2D(64, kernel_size=(4, 4), activation=LeakyReLU(), u capadding='same'))

model.add(MaxPooling2D(pool_size=(2, 2)))

model.add(Conv2D(32, kernel_size=(3, 3), activation='relu', padding='same'))

#model.add(AveragePooling2D(pool_size=(2, 2)))

model.add(Conv2D(32, kernel_size=(3, 3), activation='relu'))

model.add(AveragePooling2D(pool_size=(2, 2)))

model.add(Platten())

model.add(Dense(128, activation='tanh'))

model.add(Dense(32, activation='tanh'))

model.add(Dense(32, activation='tanh'))

model.add(Dense(32, activation='tanh'))

model.add(Dense(output_shape, activation='linear')) # linear regression_u

coutput layer

return model
```

```
[ ]: model = create_model(input_shape, output_shape[0])
```

[]: model.summary()

Model: "sequential_1"

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
conv2d_4 (Conv2D)	(None, 256, 256, 64)	3136
<pre>max_pooling2d_2 (MaxPooling 2D)</pre>	(None, 64, 64, 64)	0
conv2d_5 (Conv2D)	(None, 64, 64, 64)	65600
<pre>max_pooling2d_3 (MaxPooling 2D)</pre>	(None, 32, 32, 64)	0
conv2d_6 (Conv2D)	(None, 32, 32, 32)	18464
conv2d_7 (Conv2D)	(None, 30, 30, 32)	9248
average_pooling2d_2 (AveragePooling2D)	(None, 15, 15, 32)	0
flatten_1 (Flatten)	(None, 7200)	0
dense_5 (Dense)	(None, 128)	921728
dense_6 (Dense)	(None, 64)	8256
dense_7 (Dense)	(None, 32)	2080
dense_8 (Dense)	(None, 32)	1056
dense_9 (Dense)	(None, 2)	66

Total params: 1,029,634 Trainable params: 1,029,634 Non-trainable params: 0

```
[]: def compile_model(loss_func, optimizer, metrics=["accuracy"]):
    model.compile(loss=loss_func, optimizer=optimizer, metrics=metrics)
```

```
[]: sgd = SGD(learning_rate=0.01, decay=1e-4, momentum=0.9, nesterov=True) adam = Adam(learning_rate=1e-3, decay=1e-3 / 100)
```

```
compile_model('mse', adam,
                'mse', tf.keras.metrics.RootMeanSquaredError(name='rmse'), 'mae', 
     []: def fit_model(training_values, validation_values=None, epochs=10, steps=32,__
    →val_steps=32, callbacks=[]):
       return model.fit(training_values, validation_data=validation_values, u
     →epochs=epochs, steps_per_epoch=steps, validation_steps=val_steps, u
     →callbacks=callbacks)
[]: import datetime
    date_actual = datetime.datetime.now().strftime("%Y%m%d-%H%M%S")
    log_dir = "logs/fit/" + date_actual
    tensorboard_callback = tf.keras.callbacks.TensorBoard(log_dir=log_dir,_
    →histogram_freq=1)
    checkpoint_callback = tf.keras.callbacks.
    →ModelCheckpoint(filepath=f"model_weights/{date_actual}_cnn_best_weights.
    \hookrightarrowhdf5",
                              monitor='val_mse',
                              verbose=1,
                              save_best_only=True)
[]: | # batch_size = 0 because we already have batch size in tf dataset
    history = fit_model(train_ds, val_ds, epochs=25, steps=np.ceil(train_size /u
     →BATCH_SIZE), val_steps=np.ceil(val_size / BATCH_SIZE),
     →callbacks=[tensorboard_callback, checkpoint_callback])
   Epoch 1/25
   921/921 [============= ] - ETA: Os - loss: 0.0987 - mse: 0.0987
   - rmse: 0.3142 - mae: 0.1597 - mape: 66.8773
   Epoch 1: val mse improved from inf to 0.01878, saving model to
   model_weights/20221014-123925_cnn_best_weights.hdf5
   0.0987 - rmse: 0.3142 - mae: 0.1597 - mape: 66.8773 - val_loss: 0.0188 -
   val mse: 0.0188 - val rmse: 0.1370 - val mae: 0.0888 - val mape: 32.6099
   Epoch 2/25
   - rmse: 0.1134 - mae: 0.0691 - mape: 35.6959
   Epoch 2: val mse improved from 0.01878 to 0.00667, saving model to
   model_weights/20221014-123925_cnn_best_weights.hdf5
   0.0129 - rmse: 0.1134 - mae: 0.0691 - mape: 35.6947 - val_loss: 0.0067 -
   val_mse: 0.0067 - val_rmse: 0.0817 - val_mae: 0.0544 - val_mape: 21.2948
   Epoch 3/25
```

```
- rmse: 0.0747 - mae: 0.0505 - mape: 23.9313
Epoch 3: val_mse improved from 0.00667 to 0.00385, saving model to
model_weights/20221014-123925_cnn_best_weights.hdf5
0.0056 - rmse: 0.0747 - mae: 0.0505 - mape: 23.9305 - val loss: 0.0039 -
val_mse: 0.0039 - val_rmse: 0.0621 - val_mae: 0.0414 - val_mape: 14.9443
Epoch 4/25
- rmse: 0.0665 - mae: 0.0452 - mape: 21.1921
Epoch 4: val_mse did not improve from 0.00385
0.0044 - rmse: 0.0665 - mae: 0.0452 - mape: 21.1915 - val_loss: 0.0044 -
val_mse: 0.0044 - val_rmse: 0.0664 - val_mae: 0.0528 - val_mape: 15.3347
Epoch 5/25
- rmse: 0.0591 - mae: 0.0401 - mape: 18.6906
Epoch 5: val_mse did not improve from 0.00385
0.0035 - rmse: 0.0591 - mae: 0.0401 - mape: 18.6901 - val_loss: 0.0065 -
val_mse: 0.0065 - val_rmse: 0.0804 - val_mae: 0.0568 - val_mape: 16.2642
Epoch 6/25
- rmse: 0.0568 - mae: 0.0377 - mape: 16.6648
Epoch 6: val_mse did not improve from 0.00385
0.0032 - rmse: 0.0568 - mae: 0.0377 - mape: 16.6654 - val_loss: 0.0048 -
val_mse: 0.0048 - val_rmse: 0.0696 - val_mae: 0.0557 - val_mape: 22.7504
Epoch 7/25
- rmse: 0.0536 - mae: 0.0355 - mape: 17.7932
Epoch 7: val_mse did not improve from 0.00385
0.0029 - rmse: 0.0536 - mae: 0.0355 - mape: 17.7930 - val_loss: 0.0071 -
val_mse: 0.0071 - val_rmse: 0.0843 - val_mae: 0.0613 - val_mape: 21.6124
Epoch 8/25
- rmse: 0.0547 - mae: 0.0360 - mape: 19.0689
Epoch 8: val_mse improved from 0.00385 to 0.00231, saving model to
model_weights/20221014-123925_cnn_best_weights.hdf5
0.0030 - rmse: 0.0547 - mae: 0.0360 - mape: 19.0684 - val_loss: 0.0023 -
val mse: 0.0023 - val rmse: 0.0480 - val mae: 0.0321 - val mape: 11.6860
- rmse: 0.0479 - mae: 0.0313 - mape: 15.5731
Epoch 9: val_mse improved from 0.00231 to 0.00201, saving model to
model_weights/20221014-123925_cnn_best_weights.hdf5
921/921 [============ ] - 110s 119ms/step - loss: 0.0023 - mse:
```

```
0.0023 - rmse: 0.0479 - mae: 0.0313 - mape: 15.5728 - val_loss: 0.0020 -
val_mse: 0.0020 - val_rmse: 0.0449 - val_mae: 0.0316 - val_mape: 14.6471
Epoch 10/25
- rmse: 0.0462 - mae: 0.0303 - mape: 16.4243
Epoch 10: val mse improved from 0.00201 to 0.00165, saving model to
model weights/20221014-123925 cnn best weights.hdf5
0.0021 - rmse: 0.0462 - mae: 0.0303 - mape: 16.4241 - val_loss: 0.0017 -
val_mse: 0.0017 - val_rmse: 0.0407 - val_mae: 0.0263 - val_mape: 10.4725
Epoch 11/25
- rmse: 0.0457 - mae: 0.0297 - mape: 16.0292
Epoch 11: val mse did not improve from 0.00165
0.0021 - rmse: 0.0457 - mae: 0.0297 - mape: 16.0287 - val_loss: 0.0021 -
val_mse: 0.0021 - val_rmse: 0.0457 - val_mae: 0.0323 - val_mape: 16.1571
Epoch 12/25
- rmse: 0.0446 - mae: 0.0284 - mape: 13.2536
Epoch 12: val mse did not improve from 0.00165
0.0020 - rmse: 0.0446 - mae: 0.0284 - mape: 13.2532 - val_loss: 0.0017 -
val_mse: 0.0017 - val_rmse: 0.0407 - val_mae: 0.0265 - val_mape: 10.0987
Epoch 13/25
- rmse: 0.0433 - mae: 0.0276 - mape: 13.7047
Epoch 13: val_mse improved from 0.00165 to 0.00164, saving model to
model_weights/20221014-123925_cnn_best_weights.hdf5
0.0019 - rmse: 0.0433 - mae: 0.0276 - mape: 13.7043 - val_loss: 0.0016 -
val_mse: 0.0016 - val_rmse: 0.0405 - val_mae: 0.0261 - val_mape: 10.0526
Epoch 14/25
- rmse: 0.0394 - mae: 0.0252 - mape: 14.1183
Epoch 14: val mse improved from 0.00164 to 0.00158, saving model to
model weights/20221014-123925 cnn best weights.hdf5
0.0016 - rmse: 0.0394 - mae: 0.0252 - mape: 14.1179 - val_loss: 0.0016 -
val_mse: 0.0016 - val_rmse: 0.0398 - val_mae: 0.0264 - val_mape: 9.7027
Epoch 15/25
- rmse: 0.0737 - mae: 0.0429 - mape: 20.7148
Epoch 15: val_mse did not improve from 0.00158
0.0054 - rmse: 0.0737 - mae: 0.0429 - mape: 20.7143 - val_loss: 0.0037 -
val_mse: 0.0037 - val_rmse: 0.0612 - val_mae: 0.0451 - val_mape: 15.5806
Epoch 16/25
```

```
- rmse: 0.0466 - mae: 0.0301 - mape: 17.8235
Epoch 16: val_mse did not improve from 0.00158
0.0022 - rmse: 0.0466 - mae: 0.0301 - mape: 17.8233 - val loss: 0.0017 -
val_mse: 0.0017 - val_rmse: 0.0411 - val_mae: 0.0280 - val_mape: 9.7793
Epoch 17/25
- rmse: 0.0373 - mae: 0.0233 - mape: 12.1485
Epoch 17: val_mse improved from 0.00158 to 0.00146, saving model to
model weights/20221014-123925_cnn_best_weights.hdf5
0.0014 - rmse: 0.0373 - mae: 0.0233 - mape: 12.1733 - val_loss: 0.0015 -
val mse: 0.0015 - val rmse: 0.0382 - val mae: 0.0271 - val mape: 10.0689
- rmse: 0.0344 - mae: 0.0215 - mape: 12.4680
Epoch 18: val_mse improved from 0.00146 to 0.00134, saving model to
model_weights/20221014-123925_cnn_best_weights.hdf5
0.0012 - rmse: 0.0344 - mae: 0.0215 - mape: 12.4682 - val_loss: 0.0013 -
val_mse: 0.0013 - val_mse: 0.0365 - val_mae: 0.0239 - val_mape: 8.8601
Epoch 19/25
- rmse: 0.0342 - mae: 0.0211 - mape: 10.3338
Epoch 19: val_mse did not improve from 0.00134
0.0012 - rmse: 0.0342 - mae: 0.0211 - mape: 10.3336 - val_loss: 0.0018 -
val_mse: 0.0018 - val_rmse: 0.0419 - val_mae: 0.0292 - val_mape: 13.8838
Epoch 20/25
- rmse: 0.0347 - mae: 0.0215 - mape: 12.0982
Epoch 20: val_mse did not improve from 0.00134
0.0012 - rmse: 0.0347 - mae: 0.0215 - mape: 12.0980 - val loss: 0.0024 -
val_mse: 0.0024 - val_rmse: 0.0489 - val_mae: 0.0341 - val_mape: 13.9538
Epoch 21/25
- rmse: 0.0366 - mae: 0.0225 - mape: 12.6725
Epoch 21: val_mse did not improve from 0.00134
0.0013 - rmse: 0.0366 - mae: 0.0225 - mape: 12.6723 - val_loss: 0.0049 -
val_mse: 0.0049 - val_rmse: 0.0700 - val_mae: 0.0554 - val_mape: 20.3059
Epoch 22/25
- rmse: 0.0565 - mae: 0.0338 - mape: 17.4778
Epoch 22: val_mse did not improve from 0.00134
```

```
val_mse: 0.0014 - val_rmse: 0.0376 - val_mae: 0.0244 - val_mape: 9.6925
   Epoch 23/25
   - rmse: 0.0331 - mae: 0.0201 - mape: 10.4921
   Epoch 23: val mse improved from 0.00134 to 0.00129, saving model to
   model weights/20221014-123925 cnn best weights.hdf5
   0.0011 - rmse: 0.0331 - mae: 0.0201 - mape: 10.4920 - val_loss: 0.0013 -
   val_mse: 0.0013 - val_rmse: 0.0359 - val_mae: 0.0228 - val_mape: 8.9852
   Epoch 24/25
   9.6015e-04 - rmse: 0.0310 - mae: 0.0186 - mape: 9.2455
   Epoch 24: val mse did not improve from 0.00129
   mse: 9.6012e-04 - rmse: 0.0310 - mae: 0.0186 - mape: 9.2453 - val loss: 0.0014 -
   val_mse: 0.0014 - val_rmse: 0.0370 - val_mae: 0.0239 - val_mape: 10.2687
   Epoch 25/25
   9.8383e-04 - rmse: 0.0314 - mae: 0.0191 - mape: 9.8359
   Epoch 25: val mse did not improve from 0.00129
   921/921 [============= ] - 107s 116ms/step - loss: 9.8380e-04 -
   mse: 9.8380e-04 - rmse: 0.0314 - mae: 0.0191 - mape: 9.8356 - val_loss: 0.0014 -
   val_mse: 0.0014 - val_rmse: 0.0372 - val_mae: 0.0243 - val_mape: 10.0188
   0.4 Evaluate model
[]: print(date_actual)
   20221014-123925
[]:|best_model = models.load_model(f'model_weights/{date_actual}_cnn_best_weights.
    →hdf5')
[]: def evaluate_model(model, test_values, steps):
     score = model.evaluate(test_values, steps=steps)
     return score
[]: test_loss, test_mse, test_rmse, test_mae, test_mape =_
    -evaluate_model(best_model, test_ds, steps=np.ceil(test_size / BATCH_SIZE))
   0.0042 - rmse: 0.0649 - mae: 0.0239 - mape: 18.2376
[]:|predictions = best_model.predict(test_ds, steps=np.ceil(test_size / BATCH_SIZE))
   263/263 [=========== ] - 17s 64ms/step
```

0.0032 - rmse: 0.0565 - mae: 0.0338 - mape: 17.4773 - val_loss: 0.0014 -

```
[]: for image, stage_discharge in test_ds.take(1):
            predictions = best_model.predict(x=image)
            stage_discharge_test_values = stage_discharge[:2].numpy()
            predictions_values = predictions[:2]
            diff = predictions_values.flatten() - stage_discharge_test_values.
     →flatten()
            percentDiff = (diff / stage_discharge_test_values.flatten()) * 100
             absPercentDiff = np.abs(percentDiff)
             # compute the mean and standard deviation of the absolute percentage
             # difference
            mean = np.mean(absPercentDiff)
            std = np.std(absPercentDiff)
             # finally, show some statistics on our model
            print(mean)
            print(std)
            stage_discharge_test_values = stage_discharge[:10]
            predictions_values = predictions[:10]
            for i in range(len(stage_discharge_test_values.numpy())):
                    print(f"pred stage: {scaler.
      →inverse_transform(predictions_values)[i][0]}, actual stage: {scaler.
      →inverse_transform(stage_discharge_test_values)[i][0]}")
                    print(f"pred discharge: {scaler.
     →inverse_transform(predictions_values)[i][1]}, actual discharge: {scaler.
      →inverse_transform(stage_discharge_test_values)[i][1]}")
    1/1 [======= ] - Os 144ms/step
    76.13851851998916
    70.14508968849664
    pred stage: 3.2092437744140625, actual stage: 3.24
    pred discharge: 1432.246337890625, actual discharge: 1450.0
    pred stage: 3.1332528591156006, actual stage: 2.98
    pred discharge: 1035.6925048828125, actual discharge: 813.0
    pred stage: 2.30507493019104, actual stage: 2.31
    pred discharge: 250.9044952392578, actual discharge: 261.0
    pred stage: 3.960446357727051, actual stage: 4.0
    pred discharge: 2270.589111328125, actual discharge: 2320.0
    pred stage: 1.5294480323791504, actual stage: 1.54
    pred discharge: 12.187579154968262, actual discharge: 14.8999999999977
    pred stage: 5.178676128387451, actual stage: 5.22
    pred discharge: 4734.4560546875, actual discharge: 4760.0
    pred stage: 2.1457924842834473, actual stage: 2.15
    pred discharge: 114.64216613769531, actual discharge: 151.0
    pred stage: 2.6019279956817627, actual stage: 2.58
```

```
pred discharge: 484.6307678222656, actual discharge: 460.0
    pred stage: 2.4353623390197754, actual stage: 2.46
    pred discharge: 334.6253967285156, actual discharge: 343.0
    pred stage: 4.1649675369262695, actual stage: 4.18
    pred discharge: 2854.3115234375, actual discharge: 2850.0
[]:
    0.5 Visualize layers
[]: layer_outputs = [layer.output for layer in best_model.layers[:12]]
     # Extracts the outputs of the top 12 layers
     activation_model = models.Model(inputs=best_model.input, outputs=layer_outputs)__
     →# Creates a model that will return these outputs, given the model input
[]: activations = activation_model.predict(test_ds.take(1))
    1/1 [======== ] - Os 140ms/step
[]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     layer_names = []
     for layer in best_model.layers[:12]:
         layer_names.append(layer.name) # Names of the layers, so you can have them_
     →as part of your plot
     images_per_row = 16
     for layer_name, layer_activation in zip(layer_names, activations): # Displays_
     \rightarrow the feature maps
         n_features = layer_activation.shape[-1] # Number of features in the feature_
         size = layer_activation.shape[1] #The feature map has shape (1, size, size, __
      \rightarrow n features).
         n_cols = n_features // images_per_row # Tiles the activation channels in_
      \rightarrow this matrix
         display_grid = np.zeros((size * n_cols, images_per_row * size))
         print(layer_name)
         if ("flatten" in layer_name): break
         for col in range(n_cols): # Tiles each filter into a big horizontal grid
             for row in range(images_per_row):
                 channel_image = layer_activation[0,
                                                  col * images_per_row + row]
```

conv2d_4
max_pooling2d_2
conv2d_5
max_pooling2d_3
conv2d_6
conv2d_7
average_pooling2d_2

```
MemoryError Traceback (most recent call last)

Cell In [60], line 13

11 size = layer_activation.shape[1] #The feature map has shape (1, size, u)

⇒size, n_features).

12 n_cols = n_features // images_per_row # Tiles the activation channels is this matrix

---> 13 display_grid = np.zeros((size * n_cols, images_per_row * size))

15 print(layer_name)

16 if ("flatten" in layer_name): break

MemoryError: Unable to allocate 2.72 TiB for an array with shape (3240000, u)

⇒115200) and data type float64
```













