Building Services Engineering Research and Technology

The use of Monte Carlo simulation in evaluating the elevator round trip time under up-peak traffic conditions and conventional group control

Lutfi Al-Sharif, Husam M. Aldahiyat and Laith M. Alkurdi

The online version of this article can be found at:

Published by:

$SAGE

http://www.sagepublications.com

On behalf of:

CIBSE
Certification Ltd.

The Chartered Institution of Building Services Engineers

Additional services and information for *Building Services Engineering Research and Technology* can be found at:

Email Alerts: http://bse.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts

Subscriptions: http://bse.sagepub.com/subscriptions

Reprints: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav

Permissions: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav

>> OnlineFirst Version of Record - Oct 21, 2011

What is This?

The use of Monte Carlo simulation in evaluating the elevator round trip time under up-peak traffic conditions and conventional group control

Lutfi Al-Sharif Ph.D., C.Eng, M.I.E.T., D.B.A., **Husam M Aldahiyat** B.Sc. and **Laith M Alkurdi** B.Sc.
Mechatronics Engineering Department, University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan

The design of vertical transportation systems still relies on the evaluation of the round trip of the elevators during the up-peak (incoming) traffic conditions in a building. The evaluation of the round trip time for anything other than the most straightforward case becomes very complicated and requires the use of advanced special condition formulae. These formulae become even more complicated when a combination of the special conditions exist within the building being designed. The most four prominent examples of these special conditions are the case of multiple entrances to the building (rather than a single entrance), the case where the top speed is not attained within one floor jump (or even two or three floor jumps), unequal floor heights and unequal floor population. Moreover, no analytical formulae exist for some combinations of these special conditions.

The use of the Monte Carlo simulation is presented in this paper as a simple and practical means to calculate the round trip time for an elevator during the up-peak (incoming) traffic conditions, under a combination of any or all of the special conditions such as multiple entrances, top speed not attained within one or more floor journeys, unequal floor heights and unequal floor populations.

Analytical methods are used to show that the Monte Carlo simulation produces the same results for real-life cases of multiple entrances and where the top speed is not attained in a one floor jump. The same can be applied to the other two special conditions or any combination of the four special conditions. The structure and architecture of the Monte Carlo simulation tool used is discussed in detail. The practical details that are used to ensure the speed of the tool in producing an answer are also discussed.

The method developed here only applies to up-peak traffic conditions under a conventional group control system.

Practical Application: Evaluating the elevator round trip time under up-peak traffic conditions is possible using analytical methods by applying well-established formulae. The round trip time is necessary to decide on the number of elevators required for a building. Where special conditions exist in the building, the use of the analytical method becomes very complicated, and is not possible under the combinations of all special conditions. The only alternatives available are discrete time-slice simulation packages.

This piece of work provides the designer with a basis to use the Monte Carlo simulation as a software tool to calculate the round trip time, regardless of the special cases that exist in the building. It also offers a reference to allow the benchmarking and verification of calculation and simulation packages in research environments.

Address for correspondence: Lutfi Al-Sharif, Mechatronics Engineering Department, University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan.
E-mail: lal-sharif@theiet.org
Figure 5 appears in color online: http://bse.sagepub.com

1   Introduction

The design of vertical transportation systems in a building involves the selection of the suitable number, speed and capacity of the elevators that achieve the required performance parameter. The main two methods currently used are calculation and simulation.

Calculation is a deterministic method that works from first principles to calculate the time it would take one elevator to do a complete cycle within the building during up-peak (incoming) traffic conditions. The round trip time is the time taken by an elevator to pick up passengers from the ground floor (also referred to as the lobby or main terminal), delivering them to their destinations and then returning to the ground floor. By dividing the round trip time by the number of elevators in the group, the interval is obtained and this is used as the performance parameter for the design. The interval is the time between elevator arrivals at the ground floor. The interval is effectively an elevator-centric (rather than a passenger centric) measure of performance.

Simulation is a probabilistic method that carries out a discrete time-slice-based simulation in the building by generating passengers and 'processing' them within the elevator system until they are delivered to their destinations. The outcome of the simulation is generally the passenger waiting time, passenger travelling and overall journey time. These parameters are effectively passenger-centric parameters.

Nevertheless, the interval is still widely quoted as a performance parameter. It is still invariably used as the acceptance criterion for the performance for vertical transportation systems in office buildings between the developer and the client. For these reasons, there is still a need to calculate the interval. Calculating the interval relies on the accurate calculation of the round trip time.

The classical method for calculating the round trip time is simple for the basic straightforward case but tends to get very complicated as the building configuration becomes more complicated and as the elevator parameters deviate from the simple conditions. The classical method can deal with unequal populations, by amending the formula for the highest reversal floor (H) and the probable number of stops (S). However, the classical method cannot deal with combinations of the special conditions, such as the following special conditions:

1. The classical method assumes that the passenger arrivals occur from one entrance. Where multiple entrances exist, the basic formula needs to be adjusted.
2. The classical method assumes that the top elevator speed is attained within a one-floor jump. Where the speed is too high to be achieved in a one-floor jump, this introduces an error.
3. The classical method assumes equal floor heights.
4. The classical method assumes equal floor populations.

This paper presents an alternative method for calculating the round trip time when any or all of these special conditions exist. This alternative method is based on the use of the Monte Carlo simulation tool.

Monte Carlo simulation has been used in elevator traffic analysis and control as follows. It has been used in order to find the optimum parking policy[1] and in generating passenger traffic for the purposes of simulation.[2]

The following is the structure of the paper. The formula used in the classical method for calculating the round trip time is first examined. The use of the Monte Carlo simulation in the calculation of the round trip time is introduced. The method is then compared to the two most important special conditions: the case of multiple entrances (e.g. basements) and the case where the top speed is not

attained in a one-floor jump. It is shown how the Monte Carlo simulation method provides the same answer to these two special conditions when compared to the calculation methods required in these two special conditions. Numerical examples are given for both cases. More details are then given regarding the practical implementation of the Monte Carlo simulation method for calculating the round trip time within MATLAB and how the response time of the method can be kept to a minimum value to make it practical to be used as an everyday calculation method.

2 The classical method of calculating of the round trip time

The traditional method used in the design of vertical transportation systems is the calculation of the round trip time for an elevator during the up-peak traffic. This assumes that all traffic is entering the building (i.e., incoming) and that the elevator picks up the passengers from the main entrance and delivers them to the upper levels. The round trip time (τ) is the cycle time taken by the elevator to pick up the passengers from the main entrance, deliver them to the upper levels and then return to the main entrance.

The value of the round trip time (τ) for an elevator during up-peak conditions can be calculated as follows[3,4]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau = {} & 2 \cdot H \cdot \left(\frac{d_f}{v}\right) + (S+1) \\
& \cdot \left(t_f - \frac{d_f}{v} + t_{do} + t_{dc} + t_{sd} - t_{ao}\right) \\
& + P\left(t_{pi} + t_{po}\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

where

- τ is the round trip time in s
- H is the highest reversal floor (where floors are numbered 0, 1, 2. ...N

- S is the probable number of stops (not including the stop at the ground floor)
- d_f is the typical height of one floor in metres
- v is the rated speed in metres per second
- t_f is the time taken to complete a one-floor journey in s
- P is the number of passengers in the car when it leaves the ground floor
- t_{do} is the door opening time in s
- t_{dc} is the door closing time in s
- t_{sd} is the motor start delay in s
- t_{ao} is the door advance opening time in s (where the door starts opening before the car comes to a complete standstill)
- t_{pi} is the passenger boarding time in s
- t_{po} is the passenger alighting time in s.

The probable number of stops S for equal floor populations can be calculated as follows[1,2]:

$$
S = N \cdot \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^P\right)
\tag{2}
$$

...and as follow for unequal floor populations where U_i is the population of floor i and U is the total building population[3,4]:

$$
S = N - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(1 - \frac{U_i}{U}\right)^P
\tag{3}
$$

The highest reversal floor can be calculated as follows for equal floor populations[3,4]:

$$
H = N - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{i}{N}\right)^P
\tag{4}
$$

...and as follows for unequal populations where U_i is the population of floor i and U is the total building population[3,4]:

$$
H = N - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{U_i}{U}\right)^P
\tag{5}
$$

3   Why use Monte Carlo simulation instead of analytical methods

The formula (Equation (1)) for the round trip time implicitly makes a number of assumptions:

1. The floor heights are equal. There is currently no analytical formula that addresses the case where floor heights are unequal. Taking the average of all floor heights does not provide the correct value. The Monte Carlo simulation method proposed in this paper addresses this special condition.

2. The top speed is attained during a one-floor jump. Where the top speed is not attained during a one-floor jump, the error introduced can be significant especially at high-rated speeds (e.g. speeds above $2.5\,\mathrm{m\cdot s^{-1}}$ and typical floor heights of around $4\,\mathrm{m}$). The special condition where the top speed is not attained in one-floor jump has been addressed by the introduction of the analytical equations in ref. 5, where it amends the round trip time equation to account for the fact that the top speed is not attained in a one-floor jump. Nevertheless, the equations developed in ref. 5 assume equal floor heights and one single entrance. The Monte Carlo simulation method presented in this paper addresses the combination of the special conditions of unequal floor heights and the top speed not attained in a one-floor jump.

3. All passenger arrivals are from the main entrance. It is assumed that this is the only entrance (i.e., no basement or other entrances). In cases where this condition does not stand, this can have a significant effect on the final value of the round trip time, especially in buildings with large numbers of basements having a high percentage of the arrivals. Analytical equations have been developed for the case of multiple entrances in ref. 6, but assume equal floor heights and top speed attained in a one-floor jump. The equations cater for the case of different floor heights between entrance floors and destination floors, but not unequal between entrance floor or between destination floors.[6] A set of analytical equations have been developed for the values of H and S for the general case of multiple entrances and multiple destinations for a Poisson arrival in refs 7–10, but these equations do not cater for the case of unequal floor heights or top speed not attained in a one-floor jump.

As will be shown in this paper, the proposed Monte Carlo simulation method proves very useful in cases where all the special conditions are combined, as there are currently no analytical methods to tackle the combinations of these special conditions, listed below:

1. Unequal floor populations.
2. Multiple entrances (with equal or unequal entrance arrival rates).
3. Unequal floor heights.
4. Top speed not attained in a one-floor jump.

The reasons for using the Monte Carlo simulation method as opposed to the analytical method are discussed below:

1. Analytical equations do not exist for the combinations of the special conditions, whereas the Monte Carlo simulation method can deal with any of these combinations.
2. The Monte Carlo simulation method already covers all the special conditions and does not need any modification if any new special conditions would be introduced. Analytical methods will require modification for any new special condition.

Figure 1 Block diagram of the Monte Carlo Simulation round trip time tool.

3. The Monte Carlo simulation method can be easily developed in order to cover the case of different types of traffic as well as various control algorithms. Development of analytical equations in some cases requires radical re-structuring of the equations, or even starting from scratch.
4. It could be used as an alternative to simulation in order to provide deterministic answers to some problems in vertical transportation, such as the question of zoning medium and high-rise buildings (e.g. regeneration of the main zoning chart in ref. 11).

The fact that the Monte Carlo simulation method is a simulation method that provides an answer with some uncertainty need not be a drawback. The accuracy of the Monte Carlo simulation can be determined by the user by increasing the number of runs (e.g. by increasing the number of runs from 10 000 to 100 000).

It is important to note that the work in this paper is restricted to the special case of up-peak (incoming) traffic only under conventional group control.

## 4 Monte Carlo simulation as a calculation tool

The block diagram of the Monte Carlo simulation tool for the calculation of the round trip time of the elevator during up peak conditions is shown in Figure 1. It comprises the following main blocks:

1. The random scenario parameter generator (RSPG).
2. The kinematics calculator.
3. The round trip time calculator.

In addition a master module controls the three blocks above to run each block and produce the final result and interface with the user. These three blocks above are explained in more detail below.

Under up-peak (incoming traffic) conditions, it is assumed that passengers arrive at the arrival floors, denoted as *NB* to *B1* as well as *G* (the main terminal or the ground floor).

Figure 2 Demand and performance model of an elevator system.

They make their destination selections and then alight at their respective floors. This is shown in a diagrammatic format in Figure 2.

4.1   Random scenario parameter generator

The purpose of this block is to generate the stops that the elevator will stop at the arrival floors (e.g. ground and basement floors) and the stops at the destination floors (floors above the main terminal). These stops represent the stops for one round trip time scenario only. In order to generate these stops in the arrival floors and destination floors, the following five pieces of information are needed:

a. The number of passengers in the car, denoted as P. This is defined as the number of passengers in the car as it leaves the highest arrival floor heading for the destination floors. Alternatively, it can be defined as the total number of passengers arriving from the arrival floors or the total number of passengers heading for the destination floors. This number does not necessarily have to be a whole number (integer). When expressed as a non-integer (e.g. 8.4) it represents an average number over a number of journeys. As will be discussed later, the Monte Carlo simulation tool can deal with this case as well.

b. The number of arrival floors other than the main entrance (e.g. basements). This is usually denoted as NB.

c. The probability of passenger arrivals from the arrival floors. This is denoted as $P_{arr}(i)$, which stands for the probability of

passenger arrival from the arrival floor i where i runs from 1 to NB.

d. The number of destination floors (floors above the main terminal). This is usually denoted as N.

e. The probability of passengers heading to the destination floors. This is denoted as $P_{dest}(i)$, which stands for the probability of passenger arrival from the arrival floor i where i runs from 1 to N.

The probabilities of arrival and destination are then used to randomly generate the arrival and destination floor based on the number of passengers in the car. The number of stops in the arrival floors is denoted as S_{NB} and the number of stops in the destination floors is denoted as S_N. These stops will vary from one scenario to the next, but the average of the values from all scenarios will converge to the value that is given by the Equations (2) and (3) for the probable number of stops.

It is worth noting that for the destination floors the following holds true:

$$1 \leq S_N \leq N \quad (6)$$

where

S_N is the number of stops in one round trip at the destination floors
N is the number of destination floors.

In a similar way, the following applies to the arrival floors:

$$1 \leq S_{NB} \leq NB \quad (7)$$

where

S_{NB} is the number of stops in one round trip at the arrival floors
NB is the number of arrival floors other than the main entrance.

4.2 The kinematic calculator

The kinematic calculator (KC) calculates the time taken by the elevator to travel from any floor to another floor. This produces a two-dimensional matrix that shows the time required to travel from the floor i to the floor j. The starting floor i can be any of the arrival or destination floors (NB to N) and the destination floor j can be any of the arrival or destination floors (NB to N). A diagram of such a matrix is shown in Table 1.

The following can be noted from the matrix:

1. As expected, the diagonal values are all zero, where the time to go from a floor to the same floor is zero.

$$t_{ij} = 0 \quad (8)$$

where $i = j$.

2. The upper triangle of the matrix is identical to the lower triangle of the matrix. And this is expected as the time to travel from a floor i to floor j is equal to the time to travel from floor j to floor i.

$$t_{ij} = t_{ji} \quad (9)$$

for any value of i and j.

So, it is only necessary to calculate the upper triangle and equate it to the lower triangle.

The inputs to the KC are as follows:

a. The floor-to-floor distances for all floors.
b. The rated speed, the rated acceleration and the rated jerk (v, a and j).

The travel time between two floors separated by a distance d, with a rated speed of v, rated acceleration a and rated jerk j can be calculated as follows under the three different conditions[12]:

$$\text{If } d \geq \left(\frac{a^2 v + v^2 j}{aj}\right) \text{ then } t = \frac{d}{v} + \frac{v}{a} + \frac{a}{j} \quad (10)$$

Table 1 Travel times matrix

	NB	**NB-1**	**NB-2**	...	...	**N-2**	**N-1**	**N**
NB	0	$t_{NB\text{-}1\ NB}$	$t_{NB\text{-}2\ NB}$	...	...	$t_{N\text{-}2\ NB}$	$t_{N\text{-}1\ NB}$	$t_{N\ NB}$
NB-1	$t_{NB\ NB\text{-}1}$	0	$t_{NB\text{-}2\ NB\text{-}1}$	...	...	$t_{N\text{-}2\ NB\text{-}1}$	$t_{N\text{-}1\ NB\text{-}1}$	$t_{N\ NB\text{-}1}$
NB-2	$t_{NB\ NB\text{-}2}$	$t_{NB\text{-}1\ NB\text{-}2}$	0	...	...	$t_{N\text{-}2\ NB\text{-}2}$	$t_{N\text{-}1\ NB\text{-}2}$	$t_{N\ NB\text{-}2}$
...	...	...	...	0	t_{ij}	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	t_{ij}	0	...	...	...
N-2	$t_{NB\ N\text{-}2}$	$t_{NB\text{-}1\ N\text{-}2}$	$t_{NB\text{-}2\ N\text{-}2}$	...	...	0	$t_{N\text{-}1\ N\text{-}2}$	$t_{N\ N\text{-}2}$
N-1	$t_{NB\ N\text{-}1}$	$t_{NB\text{-}1\ N\text{-}1}$	$t_{NB\text{-}2\ N\text{-}1}$	...	...	$t_{N\text{-}2\ N\text{-}1}$	0	$t_{N\ N\text{-}1}$
N	$t_{NB\ N}$	$t_{NB\text{-}1\ N}$	$t_{NB\text{-}2\ N}$	...	...	$t_{N\text{-}2\ N}$	$t_{N\text{-}1\ N}$	0

If $\dfrac{2a^3}{j^2} \leq d\left(\dfrac{a^2v + v^2j}{aj}\right)$ then

$$t = \frac{a}{j} + \sqrt{\frac{4d}{a} + \left(\frac{a}{j}\right)^2} \qquad (11)$$

If $d\dfrac{2a^3}{j^2}$ then $t = \left(\dfrac{32d}{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ $\qquad (12)$

4.3   Round trip time calculator

The round trip time calculator uses the outputs of the RSPG and the KC to find the value of the round trip time. The RSPG produces the position of all stops in the arrival floors and the destination floors. The KC produces the travel matrix that gives the times required to travel between the various floors.

The round trip time is made up of the following items (Figure 3):

a. The time taken to travel upwards between all the stops (arrival stops and destination stops). This is taken from the travel matrix, where it is used as a lookup table.

b. The time taken to express back from the top-most stop to the lower-most stop in the next scenario. This time is also taken from the travel matrix, where it is used as a lookup table.

c. The time spent opening and closing the doors at each stop.

d. The time taken by each passenger to alight and board.

4.4   Scenario running module

This module is the overall controller that runs the scenarios.

It first runs the KC at the start in order to generate the travel matrix. The advantage of doing this is to save time during the running of the scenarios later. Once the travel matrix is populated, it takes much less time to find the time to travel between any two floors by looking up the value from the matrix rather than doing the calculation.

It then starts running the scenarios. A large number of scenarios is required (e.g. 10 000 or 100 000). The user can control the number of required scenarios. The higher the number of scenarios, the better the confidence of the final obtained value for the round trip time.

The running of the software is shown in the flowchart in Figure 4. The next two sections show how the results from the Monte Carlo simulation calculator are verified against classical advanced calculation methods for two special conditions: the case of multiple entrances (e.g. basements) and the case where the top elevator speed is not attained in a one-floor jump.

Figure 3 Round trip time timeline where the elevator goes down to the basement.

5   Multiple entrance arrivals

The first special condition that is dealt with is that of multiple entrances. This is encountered in buildings that have car parks beneath the main terminal. Passengers arrive in the car park entrances as well as the main terminal (ground floor). The mathematical analysis is introduced in the next sub-section. A numerical example is then given in the following sub-section that compares the results from the Monte Carlo simulation calculator with one of the classical calculation methods for multiple entrances.

5.1   Mathematical Analysis

Multiple entrance scenarios are encountered in practice where a number of car parks exist below the main terminal (the ground floor). Passengers arrive in the car parks (having parked their cars) and access the elevator system from their respective car park floors. A percentage of passengers arrive in the main terminal (ground floor). The basic round trip equation assumes one single entrance and thus cannot be used in this case, because the elevator will travel down to the basement floors, pick up passengers from there and then travel upwards and pick up passengers from the ground floor and then deliver them to the upper destination floors as usual.

The $H_B S_B$ method is one of the two methods developed in ref. 6 to deal with the case of multiple entrances in the calculation of the round trip time. It uses the concept of highest reversal floor (H) and the probable number of stops (S) that has been traditionally used in the upper destination floors in the

Figure 4 Flowchart for the Monte Carlo round trip time calculator.

classical round trip time equation and finds their equivalent in the arrival floors (H_B and S_B). The classical round trip time formula for the single arrival entrance case is then developed to cover the various entrance floors by including H_B and S_B in the equation.

5.2 Example Case Study

In order to illustrate the use of the Monte Carlo simulation method in the case of multiple entrances, a case study is shown below. It uses the so-called $H_B S_B$ methods mentioned in the last sub-section.

An example from an office building is used to illustrate the use of the Monte Carlo simulation in the case of a building with multiple entrances. The parameters for a typical office building are shown below.

a. Number of floors above ground is 14 floors.
b. Car capacity is 21 persons (1600 kg).
c. Floor height is 4.5 m (finished floor level to finished floor level).
d. Three basements, each with a 5% arrival percentage.
e. Basement floor height is 4.5 m.
f. Rated speed, v, is $1.6 \, \mathrm{m \cdot s^{-1}}$.
g. Rated acceleration, a, is $1 \, \mathrm{m \cdot s^{-2}}$.
h. Rated jerk, j, is $1 \, \mathrm{m \cdot s^-}3$.
i. Passenger transfer time out of the car is 1.2 s.
j. Passenger transfer time into the car is 1.2 s.
k. Door opening time is 2 s.
l. Door closing time is 3 s.
m. Advanced door opening is 0.5 s.
n. Start delay is 1 s.
o. Total building population of 1100 persons.
p. Equal floor populations.

The first step is to calculate the round trip time without the basement (τ_G). The equation for the round trip time where the only entrance is the ground floor (τ_G) can be written as follows (adapted from (1) with the subscript G added):

$$\tau_G = 2 \cdot H \cdot \left(\frac{d_f}{v}\right) + (S+1)$$
$$\cdot \left(t_f - \frac{d_f}{v} + t_{do} + t_{dc} + t_{sd} - t_{ao}\right) \quad (13)$$
$$+ P(t_{pi} + t_{po})$$

where

- τ_G is the round trip time in s
- H is the highest reversal floor (where floors are numbered 0, 1, 2....N
- S is the probably number of stops
- d_f is the typical height of one floor in m
- v is the rated speed in m/s
- t_f is the time taken to complete a one floor journey in s
- P is the number of passengers in the car when it leaves the ground floor
- t_{do} is the door opening time in s
- t_{dc} is the door closing time in s
- t_{sd} is the motor start delay in s
- t_{ao} is the door advance opening time in s (where the door starts opening before the car comes to a complete standstill)
- t_{pi} is the passenger boarding time in s
- t_{po} is the passenger alighting time in s

A check needs to be carried out to ensure that the elevator will attain top speed in a one floor jump, as follows[12]:

$$d_f = 4.5 \geq \left(\frac{a^2 v + v^2 j}{aj}\right) = \left(\frac{1 \cdot 1.6 + 1.6^2 \cdot 1}{1}\right)$$
$$= 4.16 \, m$$
$$(14)$$

So the top speed of 1.6 m/s will be attained in a one-floor jump. In this case, the time taken to complete a one-floor jump, t_f will be[12]:

$$t_f = \frac{d}{v} + \frac{v}{a} + \frac{a}{j} = \frac{4.5}{1.6} + \frac{1.6}{1} + \frac{1}{1} = 5.4 \, s \quad (15)$$

The value of P based on 80% car-rated capacity is 16.8 passengers (on average). Moving on to calculate H and S as follows (assuming equal floor population), using Equation (2) for S:

$$S = N \cdot \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^P\right)$$
$$(16)$$
$$= 14 \cdot \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{14}\right)^{16.8}\right) = 9.97$$

...and using Equation (4) for H:

$$H = N - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)^P = 14 - \sum_{i=1}^{13}\left(\frac{i}{14}\right)^{16.8}$$
$$= 14 - 0.288 - 0.075 - 0.0174\ldots\ldots$$
$$= 13.62$$
$$(17)$$

Substituting in the round trip time, Equation (13) gives:

$$\tau_G = 2 \cdot H \cdot \left(\frac{d_f}{v}\right) + (S+1)$$
$$\cdot \left(t_f - \frac{d_f}{v} + t_{do} + t_{dc} + t_{sd} - t_{ao}\right)$$
$$+ P(t_{pi} + t_{po})$$
$$= 2 \cdot 13.62 \cdot \left(\frac{4.5}{1.6}\right) + (9.97 + 1) \quad (18)$$
$$\cdot \left(5.4 - \frac{4.5}{1.6} + 2 + 3 + 1 - 0.5\right)$$
$$+ 16.8 \cdot (1.2 + 1.2)$$
$$= 76.5 + 89 + 40.3 = 205.77 \, s$$

The next step is to include the multiple entrances (basements). The $H_B S_B$ method will be used to amend the round trip time to account for the multiple entrances as follows.

There are three basements so NB is 3. P_B is the number of passengers arriving from the basement in each elevator round trip journey. It can be calculated as follows:

$$P_B = P \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{NB} P_{arr}(B_i) \qquad (19)$$
$$= 16.8 \cdot (0.05 + 0.05 + 0.05) = 2.52$$

So on average, the elevator will pick up 2.52 passengers from the basements, when it does go to the basement in a round trip journey. S_B and H_B can be found as follows:

$$S_B = N_B \cdot \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_B}\right)^{P_B}\right)$$
$$= 3 \cdot \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right)^{2.52}\right) = 1.92 \qquad (20)$$

$$H_B = N_B - \sum_{i=1}^{N_B-1} \left(\frac{i}{N_B}\right)^{P_B} = 3 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\frac{i}{3}\right)^{2.52}$$
$$= 3 - 0.063 - 0.36 = 2.577 \qquad (21)$$

Thus, the extra term (τ_{GB}) becomes:

$$\Delta\tau_{GB} = 2 \cdot H_B \cdot \left(\frac{d_{fB}}{v}\right) + (S_B)$$
$$\cdot \left(t_f - \frac{d_{fB}}{v} + t_{do} + t_{dc} + t_{sd} - t_{ao}\right) =$$
$$2 \times 2.577 \times \left(\frac{4.5}{1.6}\right) + (1.92) \qquad (22)$$
$$\cdot \left(5.4 - \frac{4.5}{1.6} + 2 + 3 + 1 - 0.5\right) =$$
$$14.5 + 15.53 = 30 \, s$$

The probability of the elevator going to the basement in any one round trip journey can be found as follows:

$$P_{stop}(B) = \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^{NB}(1 - P_{arr}(B_i))^P\right)$$
$$= 1 - \left((0.95)^{16.8}\right) \qquad (24)$$
$$\cdot \left((0.95)^{16.8}\right) \cdot \left((0.95)^{16.8}\right)$$
$$= 0.9246$$

Substituting the result for the difference gives the value of the round trip time where the elevator serves the ground and the basements as follows:

$$\tau_{GB} = \tau_G + P(\text{basement}) \cdot \Delta\tau_{GB}$$
$$= 205.77 + 0.9246 \cdot 30 = 233.5 \, s \qquad (25)$$

Using the Monte Carlo simulation for this building gives a value for the round trip time of $233.0974 \pm 0.308 \, s$ (with a certainty of 95.4%) based on 10 000 runs. This is an error of less than 0.25%.

6 Top speed not attained

The second main source of complication in the use of the classical round trip time calculation is the case where the top speed is not attained in one jump (and in many cases this could be two or three floor jump). This is now becoming more prevalent as elevator speeds are increased in order to improve performance. There are two main reasons why rated elevator speeds are increasing:

1. Higher performance is now expected and delivered from elevator systems. This can be clearly demonstrated by the following performance parameter. It was usually acceptable to find the rated speed required from an elevator in an office building by dividing the total travel of the elevator by 30 (a rough

method of stating that the elevator has to travel between terminal floors in 30 s). It is customary now to find the rated speed of the elevator by dividing the total travel by 20 s (a rough method of stating that the elevator has to travel between terminal floors in 20 s).

2. As building becomes higher, increasing in many cases beyond 20 floors above the main terminal, it becomes necessary to split them into two zones (and more zones where the number of floors exceeds 40 floors above the main terminal).[11] In these cases, the distance that has to be travelled by the upper zone elevators increases dramatically and their speed has to be increased accordingly. This point becomes more pronounced in cases where the elevator serves basement floor with a significant percentage arrivals and has a high top speed due to the fact that it is serving an upper zone in a high-rise building. For example, in a building with 40 floors and 3 basements, it would not be unusual for a group of elevators to have a rated speed of 5 m/s and serve the upper zone of the building (e.g. floors 23 to 40).

This fact makes it even more critical to address the case where the top elevator speed is not attained in a one-floor jump. This is one of the main sources of inaccuracy from the use of the classical round trip time equation (shown in Equation (1)).

For example, an elevator that has a rated speed of 4 m/s and serves 20 floors above ground at a typical floor-to-floor height of 4 m (assuming a rated acceleration of $1\,\mathrm{m\cdot s^{-2}}$ and a rated jerk of $1\,\mathrm{m\cdot s^{-3}}$) will only attain top speed in a 5-floor journey.

An overview is given of the mathematical analytical method for calculating the round trip time for the case where the top speed is not attained in a one-floor jump. A numerical example is then presented and the results compared between the analytical method and the output from the Monte Carlo simulation method.

6.1 Mathematical analysis

The comprehensive method for dealing with the fact that the elevator does not attain its top speed is to find the probability of each of the possible journeys of differing lengths. Thus the probable number (expected number) of one floor jump is calculated, the expected number of two floor journeys, as well as 3, 4, 5 and up to the number of floors N. This is done using the piece of work developed in ref. 5. The formula is reproduced below using different notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_r|_{1 \le r \le N} = {} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-(r-1)} \left(\left(1 - \sum_{k=i}^{i+(r-2)} P_k \right)^P \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \left(1 - \sum_{k=i}^{i+(r-1)} P_k \right)^P \right) \right) \\
& - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-r} \left(\left(1 - \sum_{k=i}^{i+(r-1)} P_k \right)^P \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \left(1 - \sum_{k=i}^{i+r} P_k \right)^P \right) \right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{25}
$$

where

J_r is the expected number of journeys of length r floors in any round trip

P_k is the percentage of the population on the kth floor

r is the journey length in the number of floors

N is the number of floors above the main terminal

For the special case of equal floor populations, the probability of stopping at any floor k becomes for any value of k:

$$
P_k = \frac{1}{N}
\tag{26}
$$

Hence the expression in (25) above reduces to:

$$J_r|_{1 \le r \le N} = (N - r + 1)$$
$$\cdot \left(\left(1 - \frac{r-1}{N} \right)^P - \left(1 - \frac{r}{N} \right)^P \right) - (N - r)$$
$$\cdot \left(\left(1 - \frac{r}{N} \right)^P - \left(1 - \frac{r+1}{N} \right)^P \right)$$

$$(27)$$

It must be noted that the probable number of journeys of length r is also equal to the probable number of stops from journeys of length r. This is expressed as shown below:

$$J_r = S_r \qquad (28)$$

where

> J_r is the expected number of journeys of length r floors in any round trip
>
> S_r is the expected number of stops from journeys of length r floors in any round trip

The round trip time can be taken as the sum of the time taken to travel in the up direction, the time taken to travel in the down direction as well as the time taken for passengers to board and alight (passenger transfer time):

$$\tau = \tau_U + \tau_D + \tau_P \qquad (29)$$

Each of these three components is detailed below. The time taken to travel in the up direction is shown below:

$$\tau_U = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (S_r \cdot T_r) + (S \cdot (t_{do} + t_{dc} + t_{sd} - t_{ao}))$$

$$(30)$$

where

> S_r is the expected number of stops from journeys of length r floors in any round trip
>
> S is the probable number of stops at the destination floors
>
> T_r is the time taken to travel a journey of length r floors in s
>
> t_{do} is the door opening time in s
>
> t_{dc} is the door closing time in s
>
> t_{sd} is the motor start delay in s
>
> t_{ao} is the advanced door opening time in s

The first part of the formula in Equation (30) above is the time taken travelling in the up direction. The second part is the time taken stopping at each floor. The sum of all stops from different journey length is equal to the probable number of stops:

$$S = \sum_{r=1}^{N} S_r \qquad (31)$$

The time taken travelling in the down direction is:

$$\tau_D = (t_{dH} + (t_{do} + t_{dc} + t_{sd} - t_{ao})) \qquad (32)$$

where

> t_{dH} is the time taken to travel between the main terminal and the highest reversal floor (H) in seconds
>
> t_{do} is the door opening time in s
>
> t_{dc} is the door closing time in s
>
> t_{sd} is the motor start delay in s
>
> t_{ao} is the advanced door opening time in s

The first part of the formula in Equation (32) is the time taken to travel from the highest reversal floor back to the main terminal. The second part of the formula in Equation (32) is the time for the door opening during the stop back at the main terminal as soon as the elevator arrives back at the main terminal.

The time taken to allow passengers to transfer is:

$$\tau_P = \left(P \cdot \left(t_{pi} + t_{po}\right)\right) \tag{33}$$

where

     P is the number of passengers in the car

     t_{pi} is the time taken by each passenger to board the elevator in s

     t_{po} is the time taken by each passenger to alight from the elevator in s

Substituting these three parts in the formula in Equation (29) above gives:

$$\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (S_r \cdot T_r) + (S \cdot (t_{do} + t_{dc} + t_{sd} - t_{ao}))$$
$$+ (t_{dH} + (t_{do} + t_{dc} + t_{sd} - t_{ao}))$$
$$+ \left(P \cdot \left(t_{pi} + t_{po}\right)\right)$$

Rearranging gives the round trip time formula for the case where the top speed is not attained in a one-floor jump:

$$\tau = t_{dH} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} (S_r \cdot T_r)\right) + (S+1)$$
$$\cdot (t_{do} + t_{dc} + t_{sd} - t_{ao}) + P \cdot \left(t_{pi} + t_{po}\right) \tag{35}$$

A numerical example is given next to show that the Monte Carlo simulator can deal with this case comparing its output with the result from the formula in Equation (35).

6.2 Approximate method

An approximate method for dealing with the cases where the top speed is not attained has been presented in refs. 4 and 13. It basically assumes that the average journey length is found by dividing the total distance travelled between the highest reversal floor and the main terminal by the number of stops.

$$d_{ave} = \frac{dH}{S} \tag{36}$$

This provides the average length of each journey denoted as d_{ave}. The time that this takes is then calculated using one of the relevant formulae from Equations (10), (11) and (12). For the purposes of calculating the stopping term, this average length d_{ave} is used to calculate the time of a stop that is multiplied by $S+1$ in the classical formula (1). All other variables in the equation remain the same.

6.3 Case study

Let us take a building with 20 floors above ground, with a floor-to-floor distance of 4 m (assuming equal floor to floor distances for all floors), a total population of 1000 persons and an expected arrival rate of 12% in the busiest 5 min. The total travel distance is 80 m. It would not be unreasonable to select a rated speed of 4 m·s⁻¹. The kinematic parameters are given as follows:

$v = 4\,\text{m·s}^{-1}$
$a = 1\,\text{m·s}^{-2}$
$j = 1\,\text{m·s}^{-3}$

The effective passenger number P is assumed to be 10.4 passengers (based on 80% of the car carrying capacity of 13 passengers).

The door times are given as follows:

     t_{do} is equal to 2 s

     t_{dc} is equal to 3 s

     t_{sd} is equal to 0.5 s

     t_{ao} is equal to 0 s

The passenger transfer time is assumed to be 1 s for boarding time and alighting time.

Calculating the round trip time using the classical equation requires the calculation of the highest reversal floor using formula (4) and the calculation of the probable number of stops (S) using Equation (2). These are shown

below:

$H = 18.7$

$S = 8.3$

Substituting into Equation (1) gives the value of the round trip time using the classical method as 147.39 s.

The approximate method is then used to calculate the round trip time.[4,13] As the floor-to-floor distances are equal, the value of dH is:

$$dH = H \cdot d_f = 18.3 \cdot 4 = 74.8 \text{ m} \qquad (37)$$

where

d_f is the floor-to-floor distance in m

The time taken to travel this distance is given by using the relevant formula from Equation (10), (11) or (12), as follows:

$$d \geq \left(\frac{a^2 v + v^2 j}{aj} \right) \qquad (38)$$

then:

$$t_{dH} = \frac{d}{v} + \frac{v}{a} + \frac{a}{j} = \frac{74.8}{4} + \frac{4}{1} + \frac{1}{1} = 23.7 \text{ s} \quad (39)$$

The average length of each stop journey is:

$$d_{ave} = \frac{dH}{S} = \frac{74.8}{8.3} = 9.012 \text{ m} \qquad (40)$$

The time taken to travel this distance can be found, which gives:

$$t_{df} = 7.086 \text{ s} \qquad (41)$$

Substituting the results above into the round trip time equation gives the value:

$$\tau = 153.98 \text{ s} \qquad (42)$$

In order to find the exact value of the round trip time using the method summarised in Equation (35), the expected number of journeys at each of the possible journey lengths (i.e., one-floor jump, two-floor jump...etc) is calculated. These are denoted as S_r, where the letter S is chosen to denote the number of stops associated with each journey length. Note that the subscript r denotes the length of the journey in floors. This calculation is shown in detail in Table 2. This gives the following value:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} (S_r \cdot T_r) = 56.505 \text{ s} \qquad (43)$$

The average round trip time is then calculated using the Equation (35), giving a value of 151.98 s.

Using the Monte Carlo simulation method to calculate the round trip time gives a value of 151.94 s, which is very near to the exact method shown above.

The values obtained from the different methods are summarised in Table 3:

The special conditions that have been analysed in the last two sub-sections dealt with each one on its own. It is important to note that when all of these special conditions are combined in one case study, using conventional calculation methods is not possible as no analytical set of formulae exist (e.g. unequal floor populations, unequal floor heights, speed not attained in a one-floor jump, multiple entrance arrivals). This further emphasises the power of the Monte Carlo simulation method, as it can deal with a combination of any or all of these special conditions easily and without any loss of accuracy.

7   Practical implementation of the Monte Carlo simulation method

The use of Monte Carlo simulation has become practical only with the availability of powerful computing facilities that are readily accessible within desktops and laptops. This makes it feasible to run tens of thousands and even hundreds of thousands of simulation runs in a fraction of a second. Although Monte Carlo is

Table 2 The calculation of the total upward travelling time for the case where the top speed is not attained.

r	$(n-r+1)$	$(1-((r-1)/N))^P$	$(1-((r)/N))^P$	$n-r$	$(1-r/N)^P$	$((1-(r+1/n))^P)$	S_r	T_r (s)	$S_r.T_r$ (s)
1	20	1.0000	0.5866	19	0.5866	0.3343	3.475	5.123	17.803
2	19	0.5866	0.3343	18	0.3343	0.1845	2.097	6.745	14.143
3	18	0.3343	0.1845	17	0.1845	0.0982	1.230	8.000	9.838
4	17	0.1845	0.0982	16	0.0982	0.0502	0.699	9.062	6.330
5	16	0.0982	0.0502	15	0.0502	0.0245	0.383	10.000	3.827
6	15	0.0502	0.0245	14	0.0245	0.0113	0.201	11.000	2.214
7	14	0.0245	0.0113	13	0.0113	0.0049	0.101	12.000	1.212
8	13	0.0113	0.0049	12	0.0049	0.0020	0.048	13.000	0.624
9	12	0.0049	0.0020	11	0.0020	0.0007	0.021	14.000	0.300
10	11	0.0020	0.0007	10	0.0007	0.0002	0.009	15.000	0.133
11	10	0.0007	0.0002	9	0.0002	0.0001	0.003	16.000	0.054
12	9	0.0002	0.0001	8	0.0001	0.0000	0.001	17.000	0.019
13	8	0.0001	0.0000	7	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	18.000	0.006
14	7	0.0000	0.0000	6	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	19.000	0.002
15	6	0.0000	0.0000	5	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	20.000	0.000
16	5	0.0000	0.0000	4	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	21.000	0.000
17	4	0.0000	0.0000	3	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	22.000	0.000
18	3	0.0000	0.0000	2	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	23.000	0.000
19	2	0.0000	0.0000	1	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	24.000	0.000
20	1	0.0000	0.0000	0	0.0000	$S=8.268$		25.000	**56.505**

Table 3 Comparison of the four methods of calculating the round trip time for the example case study

Basic method	Approximate method	Exact method	Monte Carlo simulation
147.39 s	153.98 s	151.98 s	151.94 s

run as a simulation, it is in fact used in most application as a means of calculation replacing what would otherwise be a complex and intractable analytical calculation method, especially in cases where a number of the inputs are random variables with known probability distribution functions.

In this section, the means of implementation of the Monte Carlo simulation for calculating the round trip time of an elevator during up-peak traffic conditions are discussed. An example of the graphical user interface used to allow the user to enter the building data and obtain the value of the round trip with a certain level of certainty is shown in Figure 5. The software was implemented in MATLAB, and many of the features within MATLAB allowed the software to run 10 000 simulation runs in a fraction of a second. Figure 5 shows an example of a calculation with 10 000 runs that were completed within 560 ms, on a laptop with the following specification:

- CPU: Intel i3 core M330 @ 2.13 GHz
- RAM: 4 GB
- Operating system: Windows 7, 64 bit operating system

As discussed earlier, the kinematics calculator creates the travel time matrix prior to starting the simulations, in order to save time during the simulation runs. This greatly reduces the run time.

The most important part of the algorithm is creating the simulation results. As a large number of runs are performed, creating a loop for the runs is too time-consuming. This is why the algorithm makes use of the

Figure 5 Graphical User Interface for the Monte Carlo Simulator in MATLAB.

powerful matrix capabilities of the MATLAB language to create one matrix that contains all of the runs data.

The aim is to create a P by m array, where P is the number of passengers and m the number of trials. Each column of this matrix corresponds to the chosen floors for one set of passengers (i.e. one simulated run). Each trial/run is effectively a journey. Each journey has P passengers who have to select their destinations. Once they have selected their destinations, these are entered into the matrix as a number (say floor 5). Every column in the matrix contains the destination floors of the P passenger during that trial (i.e. journey). For m trial/runs m columns are needed.

P is the number of passengers in the car (strictly speaking the total number of passengers arriving from the arrival floors is the same as the number of passenger alighting at the destination floors in one round trip time journey). Where P is not an integer number, the software can still be run. It splits the number of simulation runs in the same ratio as the distance between the upper integer and the lower integer is around P. As an example, where the number of passengers is 10.4 (indicating an average number of passengers in the car over a large number of journeys), and assuming that the number of simulation runs is 100 000 runs, then the software carries out 60 000 runs with P equal to 10 passengers and 40 000 runs with P equal to 11 passengers.

The use of time-saving matrix operations along with suitable indexing and mathematical operations greatly reduce the run time for the algorithm, especially when compared to a typical loop.

8 Conclusions

The design methodology for vertical transportation systems relies on the derivation of the round trip time during the up-peak traffic. The simplest case comprises equal floor heights, equal floor population, one entrance floor and a top speed that is attained in a one-floor jump. Any deviation from these simple conditions complicates the calculation methodology.

The Monte Carlo simulation is used as a tool to arrive at the value of the round trip time during up-peak (incoming) traffic conditions, for any or all of the special conditions above, with ease of programming and without loss of accuracy. A large number of simulations are completed in a fraction of a second by the use of the powerful processing power available from modern computing facilities and the use of advanced matrix operations in MATLAB.

The Monte Carlo simulation method has been compared with the exact analytical methods for the case of multiple entrances and for the case where the top speed is not attained in a one-floor jump, with excellent results of less than 0.5% inaccuracy. The method is also very powerful in dealing with all of the special conditions combined together in one building, something that becomes virtually impossible to calculate with analytical exact techniques.

Due to its practicality and speed, the Monte Carlo simulation method as presented here can be used as a viable alternative to classical analytical methods for calculating the round trip time for elevator under up-peak (incoming) traffic conditions. This is because analytical equations do not exist for some of the combinations of special conditions. It can thus be used as a tool to arrive at the value of the interval and thus to design the vertical transportation systems in buildings. It can be easily extended to cover all types of traffic and even to deal with different types of group control algorithms.

One drawback of the Monte Carlo simulation method is that the certainty in the answer depends on the number of trials and would only be completely eliminated by an infinite number of trials. The other main disadvantage is the inherent inability of the method to provide discrete time-slice simulation that can provide a better reflection of reality and real-time group control.

References

1 Tam CM, Chan APC. Determining free elevator parking policy using Monte Carlo simulation. *International. Journal of Elevator Engineering* 1996; 1: 24–34.
2 Powell BA. *The role of computer simulation in the development of a new elevator product*: *Proceedings of the 1984 Winter Simulation Conference*. Winter Simulation Conference Board of Directors; 1984: 445–450.
3 CIBSE. *CIBSE Guide D: transportation systems in buildings*, **3rd edn**. Chartered Institute of Building Services Engineers,: London, UK; 2005.
4 Barney GC. *Elevator traffic handbook: theory and practice*. Taylor & Francis,: London, UK; 2002.
5 Roschier NR, Kaakinen MJ. New formulae for elevator round trip time calculations. *Elevator World Supplement,* 1978.
6 Lutfi Al-Sharif. The effect of multiple entrances on the elevator round trip time under up-peak traffic. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*. 2010; 52(3–4): 545–55.
7 Peters RD. Lift traffic analysis: formulae for the general case. *Building Services Engineering Research and Technology* 1990; 11(2): 65–67.
8 Peters RD. *The theory and practice of general analysis lift calculations*: *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Elevator Technologies* (*Elevcon '92*), Amsterdam, May 1992.
9 Alexandris NA. Mean highest reversal floor and expected number of stops in lift-stairs service systems of multi-level buildings. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 1986; 10: 139–143.

10 Alexandris NA, Barney GC, Harris CJ. Derivation of the mean highest reversal floor and expected number of stops in lift systems. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 1979; 3: 275–279.

11 Al-Sharif L, Seeley C. The effect of the building population and the number of floors on the vertical transportation design of low and medium rise buildings. *Building Services Engineering Research & Technology* 2010; 31(3): 207–20.

12 Peters RD. Ideal lift kinematics. *Elevator Technology 6, IAEE Publications,* 1995.

13 Peters RD. Vertical transportation planning in buildings. PhD thesis. Brunel University, 1998.