Statistical inference of large-scale network structures

Tiago P. Peixoto

Department of Network and Data Science
Central European University, Vienna

~~Split~~ Vienna, September 2020

Introductory text:

"Bayesian stochastic blockmodeling", arXiv: 1705.10225

For code, see:

`https://graph-tool.skewed.de`

(See also HOWTO at: `https://graph-tool.skewed.de/static/doc/demos/inference/inference.html`)

More infos at: `https://skewed.de/tiago`

How to characterize large-scale structures?



(Flickr social network)



(PGP web of trust)



Find a meaningful network partition that provides:

- A division of nodes into groups that share similar properties.
- An understandable summary of the large-scale structure.
- An insight on function and evolution.

Structure vs. Randomness



Structure vs. Randomness





Structure vs. Randomness





Structure vs. Randomness





Structure vs. Randomness



Structure vs. Randomness



We need *well defined*, **principled** methodology, grounded on robust concepts of **statistical inference**.

Different methods, different results...



Betweenness



Modularity matrix



Infomap



Walk Trap



Label propagation



Modularity



Modularity
(Blondel)



Spin glass



Infomap
(overlapping)



Clique percolation

A principled alternative: Statistical inference

What we have: $\boldsymbol{A} = \{A_{ij}\} \rightarrow$ Network

What we want: $\boldsymbol{b} = \{b_i\}, b_i \in \{1, \ldots, B\} \rightarrow$ Partition into groups

Generative model

$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{b}) \rightarrow$ Model likelihood

$\boldsymbol{\theta} \rightarrow$ Extra model parameters

Bayesian posterior

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} \qquad \text{(Bayes' rule)}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{b})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \qquad \text{(Marginal likelihood)}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{b}) = P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{b}) \qquad \text{(Prior probability)}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{b}} P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{b}) \qquad \text{(Evidence)}$$

Why statistical inference?

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} \qquad \text{(Bayes' rule)}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{b})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Nonparametric

- Dimension of the model (i.e. number of groups B) can be inferred from data.
- Implements Occam's razor and prevents overfitting.

Model selection

Different model classes, $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_3, \ldots$

Posterior odds ratio:

$$\Lambda = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{b}_1, \mathcal{C}_1|\boldsymbol{A})}{P(\boldsymbol{b}_2, \mathcal{C}_2|\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}_1, \mathcal{C}_1)P(\boldsymbol{b}_1)P(\mathcal{C}_1)}{P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}_2, \mathcal{C}_2)P(\boldsymbol{b}_2)P(\mathcal{C}_2)}$$

If $\Lambda > 1$, $(\boldsymbol{b}_1, \mathcal{C}_1)$ should be preferred over $(\boldsymbol{b}_2, \mathcal{C}_2)$.

Overfitting, regularization and Occam's razor

$$P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{P(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})P(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{P(\mathcal{D})}$$

$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$ Data, $\boldsymbol{\theta} \rightarrow$ Parameters



Evidence:

$$P(\mathcal{D}) = \int P(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})P(\boldsymbol{\theta})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}$$



Two approaches: Parametric vs. non-parametric

Parametric

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\theta})P(\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}$$

$\boldsymbol{\theta} \rightarrow$ Remaining parameters are assumed to be known.

- Dimension of the model cannot be determined from data.
- Parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ are not typically known in practice.
- Problem has a simpler form, and becomes analytically tractable.
- Yields deeper understanding of the problem, and uncovers fundamental limits.

Non-parametric

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{b})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

$\boldsymbol{\theta} \rightarrow$ Remaining parameters are unknown.

- Dimension of the model can be determined from data.
- Does not require knowledge of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.
- Enables model selection, and prevents overfitting.
- Problem has a more complicated form, not amenable to the same tools used for the parametric case.

The stochastic block model (SBM)

Planted partition: N nodes divided into B groups (blocks).

Parameters: $b_i \to$ group membership of node i
$\lambda_{rs} \to$ edge probability from group r to s.



- Not restricted to assortative structures ("communities").
- Easily generalizable (edge direction, overlapping groups, etc.)

Bayesian statistical inference

Network probability: $P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{b})$

$\boldsymbol{A} \rightarrow$ Observed network

$\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{b} \rightarrow$ Model parameters



$\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$\overrightarrow{P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b})}$



$\boldsymbol{A}$

Bayesian statistical inference

Network probability: $P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{b})$

$\boldsymbol{A} \rightarrow$ Observed network

$\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{b} \rightarrow$ Model parameters



$\boldsymbol{A}$

Bayesian statistical inference

Network probability: $P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{b})$

$\boldsymbol{A} \rightarrow$ Observed network

$\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{b} \rightarrow$ Model parameters



$\boldsymbol{A}$

Bayesian statistical inference

Network probability: $P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{b})$

$\boldsymbol{A} \rightarrow$ Observed network

$\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{b} \rightarrow$ Model parameters

$\longleftarrow$
$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A})$



$\boldsymbol{A}$

Bayesian statistical inference

Network probability: $P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{b})$

$\boldsymbol{A} \rightarrow$ Observed network

$\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{b} \rightarrow$ Model parameters



$\boldsymbol{b}$

$\longleftarrow$
$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A})$



$\boldsymbol{A}$

Bayesian statistical inference

Network probability: $P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b})$

$\boldsymbol{A} \rightarrow$ Observed network

$\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b} \rightarrow$ Model parameters



$\boldsymbol{b}$

$\overleftarrow{P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A})}$



$\boldsymbol{A}$

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} \qquad \text{(Bayes' rule)}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}$$

The Poisson SBM

Model likelihood:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{i<j} \frac{\lambda_{b_i b_j}^{A_{ij}} e^{-\lambda_{b_i b_j}}}{A_{ij}!} \times \prod_i \frac{(\lambda_{b_i b_i}/2)^{A_{ii}/2} e^{-\lambda_{b_i b_i}/2}}{(A_{ii}/2)!}$$

The Poisson SBM

Model likelihood:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{i<j} \frac{\lambda_{b_i b_j}^{A_{ij}} e^{-\lambda_{b_i b_j}}}{A_{ij}!} \times \prod_i \frac{(\lambda_{b_i b_i}/2)^{A_{ii}/2} e^{-\lambda_{b_i b_i}/2}}{(A_{ii}/2)!}$$

Noninformative prior for λ:

$$P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{r<s} \frac{n_r n_s}{\bar{\lambda}} e^{-n_r n_s \lambda_{rs}/\bar{\lambda}} \times \prod_r \frac{n_r^2}{2\bar{\lambda}} e^{-n_r^2 \lambda_{rs}/2\bar{\lambda}}$$

The Poisson SBM

Model likelihood:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{i<j} \frac{\lambda_{b_i b_j}^{A_{ij}} e^{-\lambda_{b_i b_j}}}{A_{ij}!} \times \prod_i \frac{(\lambda_{b_i b_i}/2)^{A_{ii}/2} e^{-\lambda_{b_i b_i}/2}}{(A_{ii}/2)!}$$

Noninformative prior for λ:

$$P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{r<s} \frac{n_r n_s}{\bar{\lambda}} e^{-n_r n_s \lambda_{rs}/\bar{\lambda}} \times \prod_r \frac{n_r^2}{2\bar{\lambda}} e^{-n_r^2 \lambda_{rs}/2\bar{\lambda}}$$

Marginal likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) &= \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b}) P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{\bar{\lambda}^E}{(\bar{\lambda}+1)^{E+B(B+1)/2}} \times \frac{\prod_{r<s} e_{rs}! \prod_r e_{rr}!!}{\prod_r n_r^{e_r} \prod_{i<j} A_{ij}! \prod_i A_{ii}!!} \end{aligned}$$

The Poisson SBM

Model likelihood:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{i<j} \frac{\lambda_{b_i b_j}^{A_{ij}} e^{-\lambda_{b_i b_j}}}{A_{ij}!} \times \prod_i \frac{(\lambda_{b_i b_i}/2)^{A_{ii}/2} e^{-\lambda_{b_i b_i}/2}}{(A_{ii}/2)!}$$

Noninformative prior for λ:

$$P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{r<s} \frac{n_r n_s}{\bar{\lambda}} e^{-n_r n_s \lambda_{rs}/\bar{\lambda}} \times \prod_r \frac{n_r^2}{2\bar{\lambda}} e^{-n_r^2 \lambda_{rs}/2\bar{\lambda}}$$

Marginal likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) &= \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b}) P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b}) \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{\bar{\lambda}^E}{(\bar{\lambda}+1)^{E+B(B+1)/2}} \times \frac{\prod_{r<s} e_{rs}! \prod_r e_{rr}!!}{\prod_r n_r^{e_r} \prod_{i<j} A_{ij}! \prod_i A_{ii}!!} \end{aligned}$$

Prior for $\boldsymbol{b}$ (tentative):

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|B) = \frac{1}{B^N}$$

$$P(B) = 1/N$$

Posterior distribution:

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}) = \textstyle\sum_{\boldsymbol{b}} P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{b})$$

Example: American college football teams



Example: The Internet Movie Database (IMDB)

Bipartite network of actors and films.

Fairly large: $N = 372,787$, $E = 1,812,657$

Example: The Internet Movie Database (IMDB)

Bipartite network of actors and films.

Fairly large: $N = 372,787$, $E = 1,812,657$

MDL selects: $B = 332$

Example: The Internet Movie Database (IMDB)

Bipartite network of actors and films.

Fairly large: $N = 372,787$, $E = 1,812,657$

MDL selects: $B = 332$



Example: The Internet Movie Database (IMDB)

Bipartite network of actors and films.

Fairly large: $N = 372,787$, $E = 1,812,657$

MDL selects: $B = 332$



Bipartiteness is fully uncovered!



Example: The Internet Movie Database (IMDB)

Bipartite network of actors and films.

Fairly large: $N = 372,787$, $E = 1,812,657$

MDL selects: $B = 332$



Bipartiteness is fully uncovered!

Meaningful features:

- Temporal
- Spatial (Country)
- Type/Genre



Example: The Internet Movie Database (IMDB)

Bipartite network of actors and films.

Fairly large: $N = 372,787$, $E = 1,812,657$

MDL selects: $B = 332$



Bipartiteness is fully uncovered!

Meaningful features:

- Temporal
- Spatial (Country)
- Type/Genre



Example: The Internet Movie Database (IMDB)

Bipartite network of actors and films.

Fairly large: $N = 372,787$, $E = 1,812,657$

MDL selects: $B = 332$



Bipartiteness is fully uncovered!

Meaningful features:

- Temporal
- Spatial (Country)
- Type/Genre



Example: The Internet Movie Database (IMDB)

Bipartite network of actors and films.

Fairly large: $N = 372,787$, $E = 1,812,657$

MDL selects: $B = 332$



Bipartiteness is fully uncovered!

Meaningful features:

- Temporal
- Spatial (Country)
- Type/Genre



Example: The Internet Movie Database (IMDB)

Bipartite network of actors and films.

Fairly large: $N = 372,787$, $E = 1,812,657$

MDL selects: $B = 332$



Bipartiteness is fully uncovered!

Meaningful features:

- Temporal
- Spatial (Country)
- Type/Genre



Example: The Internet Movie Database (IMDB)

Bipartite network of actors and films.

Fairly large: $N = 372,787$, $E = 1,812,657$

MDL selects: $B = 332$



Bipartiteness is fully uncovered!

Meaningful features:

- Temporal
- Spatial (Country)
- Type/Genre



Example: The Internet Movie Database (IMDB)

Bipartite network of actors and films.

Fairly large: $N = 372,787$, $E = 1,812,657$

MDL selects: $B = 332$



Bipartiteness is fully uncovered!

Meaningful features:

- Temporal
- Spatial (Country)
- Type/Genre



Underfitting: The "resolution limit" problem

64 cliques of size 10

Underfitting: The "resolution limit" problem

64 cliques of size 10



Underfitting: The "resolution limit" problem





Minimum detectable block size $\sim \sqrt{N}$.

Why?

Microcanonical equivalence

Marginal likelihood:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{b}) P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{\bar{\lambda}^E}{(\bar{\lambda}+1)^{E+B(B+1)/2}} \times \frac{\prod_{r<s} e_{rs}! \prod_r e_{rr}!!}{\prod_r n_r^{e_r} \prod_{i<j} A_{ij}! \prod_i A_{ii}!!}$$

Microcanonical equivalence

Marginal likelihood:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{b}) P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b}) \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}$$
$$= \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{E}}{(\bar{\lambda}+1)^{E+B(B+1)/2}} \times \frac{\prod_{r<s} e_{rs}! \prod_{r} e_{rr}!!}{\prod_{r} n_{r}^{e_r} \prod_{i<j} A_{ij}! \prod_{i} A_{ii}!!}$$

Equal to the joint probability of a **microcanonical** SBM:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{b}) P(\boldsymbol{e}|\boldsymbol{b})$$

Microcanonical equivalence

Marginal likelihood:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}$$
$$= \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{E}}{(\bar{\lambda}+1)^{E+B(B+1)/2}} \times \frac{\prod_{r<s} e_{rs}! \prod_r e_{rr}!!}{\prod_r n_r^{e_r} \prod_{i<j} A_{ij}! \prod_i A_{ii}!!}$$

Equal to the joint probability of a **microcanonical** SBM:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{e}|\boldsymbol{b})$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b}) = \frac{\prod_{r<s} e_{rs}! \prod_r e_{rr}!!}{\prod_r n_r^{e_r} \prod_{i<j} A_{ij}! \prod_i A_{ii}!!}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{e}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{r<s} \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{e_{rs}}}{(\bar{\lambda}+1)^{e_{rs}+1}} \prod_r \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{e_{rs}/2}}{(\bar{\lambda}+1)^{e_{rs}/2+1}}$$

Microcanonical equivalence

Marginal likelihood:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}$$
$$= \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{E}}{(\bar{\lambda}+1)^{E+B(B+1)/2}} \times \frac{\prod_{r<s} e_{rs}! \prod_r e_{rr}!!}{\prod_r n_r^{e_r} \prod_{i<j} A_{ij}! \prod_i A_{ii}!!}$$

Equal to the joint probability of a **microcanonical** SBM:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{e}|\boldsymbol{b})$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b}) = \frac{\prod_{r<s} e_{rs}! \prod_r e_{rr}!!}{\prod_r n_r^{e_r} \prod_{i<j} A_{ij}! \prod_i A_{ii}!!}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{e}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{r<s} \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{e_{rs}}}{(\bar{\lambda}+1)^{e_{rs}+1}} \prod_r \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{e_{rs}/2}}{(\bar{\lambda}+1)^{e_{rs}/2+1}}$$



Edge counts, $\boldsymbol{e}$



Network, $\boldsymbol{A}$

Minimum description length (MDL)

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{2^{-\Sigma}}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

Description length:

$$\Sigma = -\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b}) = \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{data|model, } \mathcal{S}} \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{model, } \mathcal{L}}$$

Minimum description length (MDL)

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{2^{-\Sigma}}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

Description length:

$$\Sigma = -\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b}) = \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{data|model, } \mathcal{S}} \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{model, } \mathcal{L}}$$





$B = 2, \mathcal{S} \approx 1805.3$ bits

Model, $\mathcal{L} \approx 122.6$ bits

$\Sigma \approx 1927.9$ bits

Minimum description length (MDL)

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{2^{-\Sigma}}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

Description length:

$$\Sigma = -\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b}) = \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{data|model, } \mathcal{S}} \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{model, } \mathcal{L}}$$



$B = 3$, $\mathcal{S} \approx 1688.1$ bits



Model, $\mathcal{L} \approx 203.4$ bits

$\Sigma \approx 1891.5$ bits

Minimum description length (MDL)

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{2^{-\Sigma}}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

Description length:

$$\Sigma = -\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b}) = \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{data|model, } \mathcal{S}} \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{model, } \mathcal{L}}$$



$B = 4$, $\mathcal{S} \approx 1640.8$ bits



Model, $\mathcal{L} \approx 270.7$ bits

$\Sigma \approx 1911.5$ bits

Minimum description length (MDL)

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{2^{-\Sigma}}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

Description length:

$$\Sigma = -\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b}) = \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{data|model, } \mathcal{S}} \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{model, } \mathcal{L}}$$



$B = 5$, $\mathcal{S} \approx 1590.5$ bits



Model, $\mathcal{L} \approx 330.8$ bits

$\Sigma \approx 1921.3$ bits

Minimum description length (MDL)

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{2^{-\Sigma}}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

Description length:

$$\Sigma = -\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b}) = \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{data|model, } \mathcal{S}} \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{model, } \mathcal{L}}$$



$B = 6$, $\mathcal{S} \approx 1554.2$ bits



Model, $\mathcal{L} \approx 386.7$ bits

$\Sigma \approx 1940.9$ bits

Minimum description length (MDL)

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{2^{-\Sigma}}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

Description length:

$$\Sigma = -\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b}) = \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{data|model, } \mathcal{S}} \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{model, } \mathcal{L}}$$



$B = 10$, $\mathcal{S} \approx 1451.0$ bits



Model, $\mathcal{L} \approx 590.8$ bits

$\Sigma \approx 2041.8$ bits

Minimum description length (MDL)

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{2^{-\Sigma}}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

Description length:

$$\Sigma = -\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b}) = \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{data|model, } \mathcal{S}} \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{model, } \mathcal{L}}$$



$B = 20$, $\mathcal{S} \approx 1300.7$ bits



Model, $\mathcal{L} \approx 1037.8$ bits

$\Sigma \approx 2338.6$ bits

Minimum description length (MDL)

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{2^{-\Sigma}}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

Description length:

$$\Sigma = -\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b}) = \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{data|model, } \mathcal{S}} \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{model, } \mathcal{L}}$$



$B = 40$, $\mathcal{S} \approx 1092.8$ bits



Model, $\mathcal{L} \approx 1730.3$ bits

$\Sigma \approx 2823.1$ bits

Minimum description length (MDL)

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{2^{-\Sigma}}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

Description length:

$$\Sigma = -\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b}) = \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{data|model, } \mathcal{S}} \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{model, } \mathcal{L}}$$



$B = 70$, $\mathcal{S} \approx 881.3$ bits



Model, $\mathcal{L} \approx 2427.3$ bits

$\Sigma \approx 3308.6$ bits

Minimum description length (MDL)

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{2^{-\Sigma}}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

Description length:

$$\Sigma = -\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b}) = \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{data|model, } \mathcal{S}} \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{model, } \mathcal{L}}$$



$B = N$, $\mathcal{S} = 0$ bits



Model, $\mathcal{L} \approx 3714.9$ bits

$\Sigma \approx 3714.9$ bits

Minimum description length (MDL)

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}{P(\boldsymbol{A})} = \frac{2^{-\Sigma}}{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$$

Description length:

$$\Sigma = -\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{b}) = \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{data|model, } \mathcal{S}} \underbrace{-\log_2 P(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})}_{\text{model, } \mathcal{L}}$$



$B = 3$, $\mathcal{S} \approx 1688.1$ bits



Model, $\mathcal{L} \approx 203.4$ bits

$\Sigma \approx 1891.5$ bits

Noninformative priors and underfitting

$$\Sigma \approx -(E-N)\log_2 B + \frac{B(B+1)}{2}\log_2 E$$

Noninformative priors and underfitting

$$\Sigma \approx -(E-N)\log_2 B + \frac{B(B+1)}{2}\log_2 E$$

$$B_{\max} \propto \sqrt{N}$$

"resolution limit"

Noninformative priors and underfitting

$$\Sigma \approx -(E-N)\log_2 B + \frac{B(B+1)}{2}\log_2 E$$

$$B_{\max} \propto \sqrt{N}$$

"resolution limit"

Q: How to do better *without* prior information?

Noninformative priors and underfitting

$$\Sigma \approx -(E-N)\log_2 B + \frac{B(B+1)}{2}\log_2 E$$

$$B_{\max} \propto \sqrt{N}$$

"resolution limit"

Q: How to do better *without* prior information?
A: Construct a model for the model!

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{\phi})P(\boldsymbol{\phi})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\phi}$$

Noninformative priors and underfitting

$$\Sigma \approx -(E-N)\log_2 B + \frac{B(B+1)}{2}\log_2 E$$

$$B_{\max} \propto \sqrt{N}$$

"resolution limit"

Q: How to do better *without* prior information?
A: Construct a model for the model!

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{\phi})P(\boldsymbol{\phi})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\phi}$$

Microcanonical:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{e}|\boldsymbol{\psi})P(\boldsymbol{\psi})$$

Prior for the partition



Prior for the partition

Option 1: Noninformative

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{B=1}^{N} \left\{ {N \atop B} \right\} B!}$$



Prior for the partition



Option 1: Noninformative

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{B=1}^{N} \left\{ {N \atop B} \right\} B!}$$

Option 2: Slightly less noninformative

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|B) = \frac{1}{\left\{ {N \atop B} \right\} B!} \qquad P(B) = \frac{1}{N}$$

Prior for the partition



Option 1: Noninformative

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{B=1}^{N} \left\{ {N \atop B} \right\} B!}$$

Option 2: Slightly less noninformative

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|B) = \frac{1}{\left\{ {N \atop B} \right\} B!} \quad P(B) = \frac{1}{N}$$

Option 3: Conditioned on group sizes

$$\begin{aligned} P(\boldsymbol{b}|B) &= P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{n})P(\boldsymbol{n}) \\ &= \frac{N!}{\prod_r n_r!} \times \binom{N-1}{B-1}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Prior for the partition



Option 1: Noninformative

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{B=1}^{N} \left\{ {N \atop B} \right\} B!}$$

Option 2: Slightly less noninformative

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|B) = \frac{1}{\left\{ {N \atop B} \right\} B!} \qquad P(B) = \frac{1}{N}$$

Option 3: Conditioned on group sizes

$$\begin{aligned} P(\boldsymbol{b}|B) &= P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{n})P(\boldsymbol{n}) \\ &= \frac{N!}{\prod_r n_r!} \times \binom{N-1}{B-1}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

For $N \gg B$:

$$-\ln P(\boldsymbol{b}|B) \approx N H(\boldsymbol{n}) + O(B \ln N)$$

$$H(\boldsymbol{n}) = -\sum_r \frac{n_r}{N} \ln \frac{n_r}{N}$$

Prior for the edge counts



Option 1: Noninformative

$$P(\boldsymbol{e}) = \left(\left(\begin{matrix} \left\langle\!\left\langle \begin{matrix} B \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right\rangle\!\right\rangle \\ E \end{matrix} \right) \right)^{-1}$$

$$\left\langle\!\left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\rangle\!\right\rangle = \binom{n+m-1}{m}$$

Nested SBM: Group hierarchies

Condition $P(\boldsymbol{e})$ on... another SBM!



Nested SBM: Group hierarchies



Condition $P(\boldsymbol{e})$ on... another SBM!

Nested SBM: Group hierarchies



Condition $P(\boldsymbol{e})$ on... another SBM!

Nested SBM: Group hierarchies



Condition $P(\boldsymbol{e})$ on... another SBM!

Nested SBM: Group hierarchies



Condition $P(\boldsymbol{e})$ on... another SBM!

Nested SBM: Group hierarchies



Condition $P(\boldsymbol{e})$ on... another SBM!

Nested SBM: Group hierarchies



Condition $P(\boldsymbol{e})$ on... another SBM!



For planted partition:

$$B_{\max} = O\left(\frac{N}{\log N}\right) \quad \gg \sqrt{N}$$

Nested SBM prevents underfitting

64 cliques of size 10



Resolution problem in the wild



Hierarchical model: Built-in validation





Nested SBM: Modeling at multiple scales

Internet (AS)
($N = 52,104, E = 399,625$)



Nested SBM: Modeling at multiple scales

IMDB Film-Actor Network ($N = 372,447, E = 1,812,312, B = 717$)



Nested SBM: Modeling at multiple scales

Human connectome



Degree-correction

Traditional SBM



Degree-correction

Traditional SBM

Degree-corrected SBM



$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{i<j} \frac{(\theta_i\theta_j\lambda_{b_ib_j})^{A_{ij}}e^{-\theta_i\theta_j\lambda_{b_ib_j}}}{A_{ij}!} \times \prod_i \frac{(\theta_i^2\lambda_{b_ib_i}/2)^{A_{ii}/2}e^{-\theta_i^2\lambda_{b_ib_i}/2}}{(A_{ii}/2)!}$$

$\theta_i \rightarrow$ average degree of node i

(Karrer and Newman 2011)

Degree-correction

Traditional SBM



Degree-corrected SBM



$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{i<j} \frac{(\theta_i\theta_j\lambda_{b_ib_j})^{A_{ij}}e^{-\theta_i\theta_j\lambda_{b_ib_j}}}{A_{ij}!} \times \prod_i \frac{(\theta_i^2\lambda_{b_ib_i}/2)^{A_{ii}/2}e^{-\theta_i^2\lambda_{b_ib_i}/2}}{(A_{ii}/2)!}$$

$\theta_i \to$ average degree of node i

(Karrer and Newman 2011)

Degree-corrected microcanonical SBM

Noninformative priors:

$$P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{r\leq s} e^{-\lambda_{rs}/(1+\delta_{rs})\bar{\lambda}}/(1+\delta_{rs})\bar{\lambda}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{r}(n_r-1)!\delta(\textstyle\sum_i \theta_i\delta_{b_i,r}-1)$$

Degree-corrected microcanonical SBM

Noninformative priors:

$$P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{r\le s} e^{-\lambda_{rs}/(1+\delta_{rs})\bar{\lambda}}/(1+\delta_{rs})\bar{\lambda}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{r}(n_r-1)!\delta(\textstyle\sum_i \theta_i\delta_{b_i,r}-1)$$

Marginal likelihood:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{b})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

$$= \frac{\bar{\lambda}^E}{(\bar{\lambda}+1)^{E+B(B+1)/2}} \times \frac{\prod_{r<s} e_{rs}!\prod_r e_{rr}!!}{\prod_{i<j} A_{ij}!\prod_i A_{ii}!!} \times \prod_r \frac{(n_r-1)!}{(e_r+n_r-1)!} \times \prod_i k_i!$$

Degree-corrected microcanonical SBM

Noninformative priors:

$$P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{r\leq s} e^{-\lambda_{rs}/(1+\delta_{rs})\bar{\lambda}}/(1+\delta_{rs})\bar{\lambda}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_{r}(n_r-1)!\delta(\textstyle\sum_i \theta_i\delta_{b_i,r}-1)$$

Marginal likelihood:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = \int P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{b})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

$$= \frac{\bar{\lambda}^E}{(\bar{\lambda}+1)^{E+B(B+1)/2}} \times \frac{\prod_{r<s} e_{rs}!\prod_r e_{rr}!!}{\prod_{i<j} A_{ij}!\prod_i A_{ii}!!} \times \prod_r \frac{(n_r-1)!}{(e_r+n_r-1)!} \times \prod_i k_i!$$

Microcanonical equivalence:

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b}) = P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b})P(\boldsymbol{e})$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b}) = \frac{\prod_{r<s} e_{rs}!\prod_r e_{rr}!!\prod_i k_i!}{\prod_{i<j} A_{ij}!\prod_i A_{ii}!!\prod_r e_r!!}$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_r \left(\!\!\binom{n_r}{e_r}\!\!\right)^{-1}$$



Edge counts $\boldsymbol{e}$.



Degrees, $\boldsymbol{k}$.



Network, $\boldsymbol{A}$.

Prior for the degrees

Option 0: Random half-edges
(Non-degree-corrected)

$$P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_r \frac{e_r!}{n_r^{e_r} \prod_{i\in r} k_i!}$$







Prior for the degrees



Option 0: Random half-edges
(Non-degree-corrected)

$$P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_r \frac{e_r!}{n_r^{e_r}\prod_{i\in r} k_i!}$$

Option 1: Noninformative

$$P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b}) = \prod_r \left(\!\!\binom{n_r}{e_r}\!\!\right)^{-1}$$



Prior for the degrees

Option 2: Conditioned on degree distribution

$$P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{e}) = P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{\eta})P(\boldsymbol{\eta})$$
$$= \prod_r \frac{n_r!}{\prod_r \eta_k^r!} \times \prod_r q(e_r, n_r)^{-1}$$

Prior for the degrees

Option 2: Conditioned on degree distribution

$$P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{e}) = P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{\eta})P(\boldsymbol{\eta})$$

$$= \prod_r \frac{n_r!}{\prod_r \eta_k^r!} \times \prod_r q(e_r, n_r)^{-1}$$



Prior for the degrees

Option 2: Conditioned on degree distribution

$$P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{e}) = P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{\eta})P(\boldsymbol{\eta})$$

$$= \prod_r \frac{n_r!}{\prod_r \eta_k^r!} \times \prod_r q(e_r, n_r)^{-1}$$



Bose-Einstein statistics

N "bosons" (nodes)

$k_i \to$ "energy level" (degree)

$$\langle \eta_k \rangle \approx \frac{1}{\exp\left(k\sqrt{\zeta(2)/E}\right) - 1}.$$

$$\langle \eta_k \rangle \approx \begin{cases} \sqrt{E/\zeta(2)}/k & \text{for } k \ll \sqrt{E}, \\ \exp(-k\sqrt{\zeta(2)/E}) & \text{for } k \gg \sqrt{E}. \end{cases}$$

Prior for the degrees

Option 2: Conditioned on degree distribution

$$P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{e}) = P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{\eta})P(\boldsymbol{\eta})$$

$$= \prod_r \frac{n_r!}{\prod_r \eta_k^r!} \times \prod_r q(e_r, n_r)^{-1}$$



Bose-Einstein statistics

N "bosons" (nodes)

$k_i \rightarrow$ "energy level" (degree)

$$\langle \eta_k \rangle \approx \frac{1}{\exp\left(k\sqrt{\zeta(2)/E}\right) - 1}.$$

$$\langle \eta_k \rangle \approx \begin{cases} \sqrt{E/\zeta(2)}/k & \text{for } k \ll \sqrt{E}, \\ \exp(-k\sqrt{\zeta(2)/E}) & \text{for } k \gg \sqrt{E}. \end{cases}$$

$$-\ln P(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{b}) \approx \sum_r n_r H(\boldsymbol{\eta}_r) + O(\sqrt{n_r})$$

$$H(\boldsymbol{\eta}_r) = -\sum_k (\eta_k^r/n_r)\ln(\eta_k^r/n_r)$$

Prior for the degrees: Integer partitions

$q(m, n) \to$ number of partitions of integer m into at most n parts

Exact computation

$$q(m, n) = q(m, n-1) + q(m-n, n)$$

Full table for $n \leq m \leq M$ in time $O(M^2)$.

Prior for the degrees: Integer partitions

$q(m,n) \to$ number of partitions of integer m into at most n parts

Exact computation

$$q(m,n) = q(m,n-1) + q(m-n,n)$$

Full table for $n \le m \le M$ in time $O(M^2)$.

Approximation

$$q(m,n) \approx \frac{f(u)}{m} \exp(\sqrt{m} g(u)),$$

$$u = n/\sqrt{m}$$

$$f(u) = \frac{v(u)}{2^{3/2}\pi u} \left[1 - (1+u^2/2)e^{-v(u)}\right]^{-1/2}$$

$$g(u) = \frac{2v(u)}{u} - u\ln(1-e^{-v(u)})$$

$$v = u\sqrt{-v^2/2 - \mathrm{Li}_2(1-e^v)}$$

(Szekeres, 1951)

Prior for the degrees: Integer partitions

$q(m,n) \to$ number of partitions of integer m into at most n parts

Exact computation

$$q(m,n) = q(m,n-1) + q(m-n,n)$$

Full table for $n \le m \le M$ in time $O(M^2)$.



Approximation

$$q(m,n) \approx \frac{f(u)}{m} \exp(\sqrt{m} g(u)),$$

$$u = n/\sqrt{m}$$

$$f(u) = \frac{v(u)}{2^{3/2}\pi u} \left[1 - (1+u^2/2)e^{-v(u)}\right]^{-1/2}$$

$$g(u) = \frac{2v(u)}{u} - u\ln(1-e^{-v(u)})$$

$$v = u\sqrt{-v^2/2 - \mathrm{Li}_2(1-e^v)}$$

(Szekeres, 1951)

Example: Political blogs redux

$$N = 1,222, E = 19,027$$



(a) NDC-SBM, $B_1 = 42$, $\Sigma \approx 89938$ bits



(b) DC-SBM, uniform prior, $B_1 = 23$, $\Sigma \approx 87162$ bits



(c) DC-SBM, uniform hyperprior, $B_1 = 20$, $\Sigma \approx 84890$ bits

Model selection

$$\Lambda = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A}, \{\boldsymbol{b}_l\}|\mathcal{C}_1)P(\mathcal{C}_1)}{P(\boldsymbol{A}, \{\boldsymbol{b}'_l\}|\mathcal{C}_2)P(\mathcal{C}_2)} = 2^{-\Delta\Sigma}$$

Model selection

$$\Lambda = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A}, \{\boldsymbol{b}_l\}|\mathcal{C}_1)P(\mathcal{C}_1)}{P(\boldsymbol{A}, \{\boldsymbol{b}'_l\}|\mathcal{C}_2)P(\mathcal{C}_2)} = 2^{-\Delta\Sigma}$$



Inferring group assignments: Sampling vs. Maximization

$\hat{\boldsymbol{b}} \to$ estimator, $\boldsymbol{b}^* \to$ true partition

Maximum *a posteriori* (MAP)

Indicator loss function:

$$\Delta(\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}, \boldsymbol{b}^*) = \prod_i \delta_{\hat{b}_i, b_i^*}$$

Maximization over the posterior

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{b}} \Delta(\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}, \boldsymbol{b}) P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A})$$

yields the MAP estimator

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{b}} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{b}} P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A}).$$

(Identical to MDL.)

Node marginals

Overlap loss function:

$$d(\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}, \boldsymbol{b}^*) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \delta_{\hat{b}_i, b_i^*}$$

Maximization over the posterior

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{b}} d(\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}, \boldsymbol{b}) P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A})$$

yields the marginal estimator

$$\hat{b}_i = \operatorname*{argmax}_r \pi_i(r),$$

$$\pi_i(r) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{b} \setminus b_i} P(b_i = r, \boldsymbol{b} \setminus b_i | \boldsymbol{A}).$$

Sampling vs. maximization

Zachary's karate club



(a) $\boldsymbol{b}_0$, $\Sigma = 321.3$ bits



(b) $\boldsymbol{b}_1$, $\Sigma = 327.5$ bits

(c) $\boldsymbol{b}_2$, $\Sigma = 329.3$ bits



(d)



(e)

Sampling vs. Maximization

Bias-variance trade-off

Maximization (MDL)

$$\{\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}_l\} = \underset{\{\boldsymbol{b}_l\}}{\operatorname{argmax}}\, P(\{\boldsymbol{b}_l\}|\boldsymbol{A})$$

Finds the best partition, and number of groups $\{B_l\}$.

Lower variance, higher bias



*Tendency to **underfit**.*

Sampling

$$\{\boldsymbol{b}_l\} \sim P(\{\boldsymbol{b}_l\}|\boldsymbol{A})$$

Finds the best posterior ensemble; marginal posterior $P(B_l|\boldsymbol{A})$.

Higher variance, lower bias



*Tendency to **overfit**.*

Sampling vs. maximization

Collaborations between scientists ($N = 1,589$, $E = 2,742$)



Inference algorithm: Metropolis-Hastings

- Move proposal, $b_i = r \to b_i = s$
- Accept with probability

$$a = \min\left(1, \frac{P(\boldsymbol{b}'|\boldsymbol{A})P(\boldsymbol{b} \to \boldsymbol{b}')}{P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A})P(\boldsymbol{b}' \to \boldsymbol{b})}\right).$$

Move proposal of node i requires $O(k_i)$ operations. A whole MCMC sweep can be performed in $O(E)$ time, **independent of the number of groups,** B.

In contrast to:
1. EM + BP with Bernoulli SBM: $O(EB^2)$ (Semiparametric) [Decelle et al, 2011]
2. Variational Bayes with (overlapping) Bernoulli SBM: $O(EB)$ (Semiparametric) [Gopalan and Blei, 2011]
3. Bernoulli SBM with noninformative priors: $O(EB^2)$ (Greedy) [Côme and Latouche, 2015]
4. Poisson SBM with noninformative priors: $O(EB^2)$ (heat bath) [Newman and Reinert, 2016]

Efficient inference: other improvements

Smart move proposals

- Choose a random vertex i (happens to belong to group r).
- Move it to a random group $s \in [1, B]$, chosen with a probability $p(r \to s|t)$ proportional to $e_{ts} + \epsilon$, where t is the group membership of a randomly chosen neighbour of i.





Fast mixing times.

Merges-split MCMC

- Merge two groups
- Split a group into two.
- Re-distribute two groups (merge-split)



Avoids metastable states.

T.P.P, Phys. Rev. E 102 012305 (2020)

Efficient inference: other improvements



Greedy heuristic: $P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A})^{\beta}$, $\beta \to \infty$



Fundamental limits on community detection

Bayes-optimal case, with known parameters: $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

Partition prior:

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \prod_i \gamma_{b_i}.$$

Parametric posterior:

$$P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \frac{P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda})P(\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{\gamma})}{P(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\gamma})} = \frac{e^{-\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{b})}}{Z}$$

Potts-like Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{b}) = -\sum_{i<j}(A_{ij}\ln\lambda_{b_i,b_j} - \lambda_{b_i,b_j}) - \sum_i \ln\gamma_{b_i},$$

Partition function:

$$Z = \sum_{\boldsymbol{b}} e^{-\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{b})}$$

Aurelien Decelle, Florent Krzakala, Cristopher Moore, and Lenka Zdeborová, PRL 107, 065701 (2011).

Fundamental limits on community detection

If $\boldsymbol{A}$ is a *tree*:

$$\mathcal{F} = -\ln Z = -\sum_i \ln Z^i + \sum_{i<j} A_{ij} \ln Z^{ij} - E$$

with the auxiliary quantities given by

$$Z^{ij} = N \sum_{r<s} \lambda_{rs} (\psi_r^{i\to j} \psi_s^{j\to i} + \psi_s^{i\to j} \psi_r^{j\to i}) + N \sum_r \lambda_{rr} \psi_r^{i\to j} \psi_r^{j\to i}$$

$$Z^i = \sum_r n_r e^{-h_r} \prod_{j\in\partial i} \sum_r N \lambda_{r b_i} \psi_r^{j\to i},$$

$\psi_r^{i\to j} \to$ "messages", obeying the **Belief Propagation (BP)** equations:

$$\psi_r^{i\to j} = \frac{1}{Z^{i\to j}} \gamma_r e^{-h_r} \prod_{k\in\partial i\setminus j} \left(\sum_s N \lambda_{rs} \psi_s^{k\to i} \right) \qquad \to O(NB^2)$$

Marginal distribution:

$$P(b_i = r|\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \psi_r^i = \frac{1}{Z^i} \gamma_r \prod_{j\in\partial i} \left[\sum_s (N\lambda_{rs})^{A_{ij}} \, e^{-\lambda_{rs}} \psi_s^{j\to i} \right]$$

SBM graphs are asymptotically tree-like!

Aurelien Decelle, Florent Krzakala, Cristopher Moore, and Lenka Zdeborová, PRL 107, 065701 (2011).

Fundamental limits on community detection

Planted partition:

$$\lambda_{rs} = \lambda_{\text{in}}\delta_{rs} + \lambda_{\text{out}}(1 - \delta_{rs})$$

$$\epsilon = N(\lambda_{\text{in}} - \lambda_{\text{out}})$$







Detectability transition:

$$\epsilon^* = B\sqrt{\langle k \rangle}$$

(Algorithm-independent!)

Rigorously proven: e.g. E. Mossel, J. Neeman, and A. Sly (2015).; E. Mossel, J. Neeman, and A. Sly (2014); C. Bordenave, M. Lelarge, and L. Massoulié, (2015)

Aurelien Decelle, Florent Krzakala, Cristopher Moore, and Lenka Zdeborová, PRL 107, 065701 (2011).