

Thesis Title

Institution Name

Author Name

Day Month Year



# Innhold

<b>1</b>	<b>Fluid Structure Interaction</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Litterature within FSI . . . . .	5
1.1.1	Coupled and decoupled approaches . . . . .	5
1.1.2	Fluid . . . . .	5
1.1.3	Structure . . . . .	5



# Kapittel 1

## Fluid Structure Interaction

### 1.1 Litterature within FSI

#### 1.1.1 Coupled and decoupled approaches

The concepts of Fluid-structure interaction are often introduced in several engineering fields, for example biomechanics and hydrodynamics. As we will see throughout this chapter, one of the main challenges of this field is that our governing equations describing fluid and solids are defined on different coordinate systems. Recall from chapter 2, that the solid equations are often described in the *Lagrangian coordinate system*, while the fluid equations are on the contrary described in the *Eulerian coordinate system*.

We define  $\Omega$  in the *reference configuration* to be partitioned into a fluid domain  $\Omega_f$  and a structure domain  $\Omega_s$  such that  $\Omega = \Omega_f \cup \Omega_s$ . Further we define the interface  $\Gamma$  as the intersection between these domains such that  $\Gamma = \partial\Omega_f \cap \partial\Omega_s$ . As the total system is exerted by external forces, the interface  $\Gamma$  must fulfill the physical equilibrium of forces given by the two domains. Therefore, it is critical that the transmission of forces from the two domains is fulfilled in a consistent way. Therefore a natural dilemma arises at the domain  $\Omega$  undergoes deformation over time. If the natural coordinate systems are used for  $\Omega_f$  and  $\Omega_s$ , the domains do not match and the interface  $\Gamma$  does not have a general description for both domains. As such only one of the domains can be described in its natural coordinate system, while the other domain needs to be defined in some transformed coordinate system.

As such, several approaches to handle this have been proposed throughout the last decade. (Kilde Fernandez).

#### 1.1.2 Fluid

We assume an incompressible Newtonian fluid, described by the usual Navier-Stokes equations. We define the fluid density as  $\rho_f$  and fluid viscosity  $\nu_f$  to be constant in time. Our physical unknowns fluid velocity  $v_f$  and pressure  $p_f$  both live in the time-dependent fluid domain  $\Omega_f(t)$ . Let any Dirichlet boundary conditions be defined as  $v_f^D, p_f^D$  on the boundaries of  $\Omega_f(t)$ , and let  $g_1$  denote the Neumann conditions of  $\sigma_f \cdot n$  defined on the boundaries of  $\Omega_f(t)$ .

#### 1.1.3 Structure

For the structure we use the Vornant-Kirchhoff (STVK) model of deformation of solids. We usually describe the material elasticity by two parameters, Lamé coefficients  $\lambda_s$  and  $\mu_s$  or the Poisson ratio  $\nu_s$  and the Young modulus  $E_s$  [1]. INSERT RELATIONS

As mentioned in the continuum chapter, describing deformation falls naturally in the category of the Lagrangian formulation. So we have in



# Bibliografi

- [1] Thomas Dunne and Rolf Rannacher. Adaptive Finite Element Approximation of Fluid-Structure Interaction Based on an Eulerian Variational Formulation. *Fluid-Structure Interaction*, 53:110–145, 2006.