

Markups and Mergers in the US Hospital Industry

Jan De Loecker, Sebastian Fleitas

Discussant: Andre Veiga

CEPR-JIE IO Conference, June 2023

Great Paper!

- ▶ Super-important topic!
- ▶ Great data
- ▶ Clever and transparent approach

Markup Estimation

- ▶ Hospital i , time t
- ▶ P_{it}^v is price of input v
- ▶ P_{it} is price of output
- ▶ K_{it} is capital, r_{it} is interest
- ▶ ω_{it} is a productivity shock
- ▶ Output is

$$Q_{it} = \mathcal{Q}_{it} \left(X_{it}^1, \dots, X_{it}^V, K_{it}, \omega_{it} \right)$$

- ▶ \mathcal{Q}_{it} emphasizes possible dependence on ω_{it}
- ▶ Hospitals minimize static cost & are input price-takers

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_v P_{it}^v X_{it}^v + r_{it} K_{it} + \lambda_{it} (Q_{it} - \mathcal{Q}_{it}(\cdot))$$

FOC

- ▶ FOC wrt X_{it}^v is

$$P_{it}^v = \lambda_{it} \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{it}(\cdot)}{\partial X_{it}^v}$$

where λ_{it} is marginal cost at level of output $\mathcal{Q}_{it}(\cdot)$. Then

$$\frac{P_{it}}{\lambda_{it}} = \frac{P_{it}}{P_{it}^v} \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{it}(\cdot)}{\partial X_{it}^v}$$

- ▶ Markup is $\mu_{it} = P_{it}/\lambda_{it}$, so

$$\mu_{it} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{it}(\cdot)}{\partial X_{it}^v} \frac{X_{it}^v}{Q_{it}}}_{\theta_{it}^v} \underbrace{\frac{P_{it} Q_{it}}{P_{it}^v X_{it}^v}}_{1/\alpha_{it}^v}$$

- ▶ α_{it}^v : expenditures on v as a share of total revenues (data)
- ▶ θ_{it}^v : output elasticity with respect to input v (must estimate)

- But also

$$P_{it}^v = \lambda_{it} \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{it}(\cdot)}{\partial X_{it}^v}$$

$$\frac{P_{it}^v}{\lambda_{it}} \frac{X_{it}^v}{Q_{it}} = \frac{X_{it}^v}{Q_{it}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{it}(\cdot)}{\partial X_{it}^v} = \theta_{it}^v$$

- With constant returns to scale, $\lambda_{it} = MC = AC$, so

$$\theta_{it}^v = \frac{\text{Expenditure}^v}{\text{Total Expenditure}}$$

Estimating θ

- ▶ A group is a combinations of ownership status, teaching status, urban status, and quintile of inpatients service
- ▶ θ_{gt}^v is median share of the input expenses over total costs across all hospitals in each group g , year t .

Advantages & Assumptions

- ▶ No need to estimate demand, specify competition, bargaining with insurers, etc
- ▶ For hospitals there is good output data, not just sales
- ▶ Very transparent

- ▶ Assumptions:
 - ▶ interior optimum
 - ▶ single output
 - ▶ θ^v same for all diagnostics within a hospital
 - ▶ constant returns to scale
 - ▶ All hospitals in a group use inputs in the same way
 - ▶ same DRG composition within each group
 - ▶ capital costs = 10% of total fixed assets
 - ▶ hospitals price takers in input markets (but generalizable)

Thoughts 1 (markup estimation)

- ▶ Increasing returns, especially in labor?
 - ▶ surgeons can specialize, etc
- ▶ Hospitals set wages?
 - ▶ median HSA has 1 hospital → monopsony power
- ▶ Labor adjustment costs? (eg, interviews for hiring a new doctor)
- ▶ Inference on μ ?
 - ▶ What is the residual? What are SE? To what do we attribute the unexplained variation?
- ▶ Hospital groups do not account for other things that could affect θ^v
 - ▶ competition, network size, insurer bargaining power
- ▶ Do hospitals only minimize static cost?
 - ▶ reduce turnover, improve teaching quality
- ▶ Estimate of μ differs by input v
 - ▶ Implies that at least some of them have frictions?

Thoughts 2 (mergers)

- ▶ Merger indicator = at least one hospital in market had a merger by time t
 - ▶ what if most mergers happened before the sample?
 - ▶ LHS is a level but merger indicator is effectively a change
- ▶ Measuring markups using labor yields coefficients on mergers that are 2x larger.
- ▶ Account for error in estimating μ
 - ▶ OLS biased if error in μ is correlated with mergers
- ▶ Selection bias?
 - ▶ maybe low-markup hospitals go into financial distress and get acquired

Suggestions

- ▶ Show variation in DRG composition of hospitals within each group.
 - ▶ Show variation in θ_{ht}^v within each group g
 - ▶ Show robustness to assumption about capital costs
-
- ▶ Use instruments for mergers (Dafny 2009)
 - ▶ Project markups on health outcomes
 - ▶ Look at the effect on markups of
 - ▶ entry/exit (endogenous)
 - ▶ organization of insurance market