J.-J. Men et al. Solar Energy 225 (2021) 591–607

Appendix B

Latitude/ o	Tracking mode N-S	NO.	Mirror shape Flat	W _m / m	D _m / m	H _R / m	r _a / m	r/m 0.20	θ _a /°	θ _{max} /°	MSF _i ,/ m ∞	η _{y,n} / %	δ _{q,n} / %	Recommend		
· ·	110	2	Cylindrical	0.48	0.89	13.50	0.04	0.14	42.22	227.78	33.29	54.60	7.62			
		3	Parabolic	0.67	1.27	14.10	0.04	0.12	50.70	219.30	22.62	46.49	6.07	V		
	E-W	4	Flat	0.39	0.76	12.34	0.06	0.16	37.73	232.27	∞	44.36	5.13		$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{$
	2	5	Cylindrical	0.64	0.94	11.93	0.05	0.10	46.64	223.36	36.37	43.92	7.05	V	v v	v v v
		6	Parabolic	0.50	0.68	8.36	0.07	0.15	49.13	220.87	29.48	46.64	7.65			
15	N-S	7	Flat	0.50	1.00	13.26	0.09	0.19	44.59	225.41	00	52.68	9.32			
		8	Cylindrical	0.56	0.98	13.26	0.04	0.11	44.79	225.21	33.12	49.43	6.86			
		9	Parabolic	0.66	1.20	16.44	0.05	0.11	46.75	223.25	23.83	50.53	8.12	v		
	E-W	10	Flat	0.53	0.92	11.83	0.09	0.20	45.08	224.92	00	44.04	5.49			
		11	Cylindrical	0.60	0.83	9.60	0.05	0.12	49.74	220.26	37.46	43.66	7.13			
		12	Parabolic	0.59	0.90	12.50	0.05	0.15	42.56	227.44	28.61	40.76	4.44		$\sqrt{}$	
30	N-S	13	Flat	0.53	1.05	8.94	0.09	0.17	57.17	212.83	00	46.79	9.26	•	* *	
		14	Cylindrical	0.59	1.00	13.99	0.04	0.12	44.69	225.31	39.97	44.30	5.99		$\sqrt{}$	
		15	Parabolic	0.71	1.28	14.96	0.05	0.11	52.81	217.19	23.57	44.66	8.89	•	* *	
	E-W	16	Flat	0.47	0.83	11.91	0.08	0.18	42.39	227.61	00	44.13	6.45			
		17	Cylindrical	0.63	1.01	15.29	0.05	0.14	41.14	228.86	37.39	42.55	7.24			
		18	Parabolic	0.61	1.04	13.02	0.06	0.13	46.80	223.20	28.84	39.86	5.51			
45	N-S	19	Flat	0.48	0.94	11.48	0.09	0.19	48.03	221.97	00	44.63	12.52	•		
		20	Cylindrical	0.47	0.87	13.09	0.04	0.12	40.93	229.07	35.53	41.51	7.70			
		21	Parabolic	0.64	1.23	17.35	0.05	0.13	45.52	224.48	24.96	38.94	7.78	·		
	E-W	22	Flat	0.50	0.97	12.01	0.08	0.16	47.24	222.76	00	38.49	7.12			
		23	Cylindrical	0.48	0.93	12.56	0.04	0.10	44.77	225.23	37.38	38.80	6.62			
		24	Parabolic	0.45	0.85	12.22	0.04	0.11	42.28	227.72	21.35	38.32	6.10		$\sqrt{}$	
60	N-S	25	Flat	0.58	1.15	14.45	0.09	0.15	46.57	223.43	00	36.27	13.17			
		26	Cylindrical	0.53	1.02	14.10	0.04	0.11	45.53	224.47	38.49	33.85	7.00		$\sqrt{}$	
		27	Parabolic	0.57	1.08	15.24	0.05	0.13	45.55	224.45	19.73	35.54	8.88			
	E-W	28	Flat	0.53	1.02	11.72	0.09	0.19	48.58	221.42	∞	36.70	8.98			
		29	Cylindrical	0.46	0.91	11.84	0.04	0.12	43.13	226.87	38.10	37.08	8.07			
		30	Parabolic	0.45	0.85	12.74	0.05	0.13	42.97	227.03	20.85	37.09	7.74			
75	N-S	31	Flat	0.42	0.83	7.97	0.07	0.15	59.94	210.06	00	29.83	11.55			
		32	Cylindrical	0.49	0.97	11.17	0.04	0.08	50.19	219.81	36.86	28.20	7.95			
		33	Parabolic	0.45	0.88	14.56	0.04	0.11	39.07	230.93	24.34	28.50	7.19			
	E-W	34	Flat	0.36	0.72	7.85	0.07	0.11	53.18	216.82	∞	32.08	14.60	·		
		35	Cylindrical	0.47	0.92	12.59	0.05	0.12	45.72	224.28	34.70	31.51	9.66			
		36	Parabolic	0.58	1.17	16.73	0.06	0.17	42.55	227.45	25.63	28.68	6.76		$\sqrt{}$	

References

- Abbas, R., Sebastián, A., Montes, M.J., Valdés, M., 2018. Optical features of linear Fresnel collectors with different secondary reflector technologies. Appl. Energy 232, 386–397.
- Ajdad, H., Filali Baba, Y., Al Mers, A., Merroun, O., Bouatem, A., Boutammachte, N., 2019. Particle swarm optimization algorithm for optical-geometric optimization of linear fresnel solar concentrators. Renew. Energy 130, 992–1001.
- Aldali, Y., Muneer, T., Henderson, D., 2011. Solar absorber tube analysis: thermal simulation using CFD. Int. J. Low-Carbon Technol. 8 (1), 14–19.
- Barbón, A., Sánchez-Rodríguez, J.A., Bayón, L., Bayón-Cueli, C., 2019. Cost estimation relationships of a small scale linear Fresnel reflector. Renew. Energy 134, 1273–1284.
- Bellos, E., Tzivanidis, C., 2018. Assessment of linear solar concentrating technologies for Greek climate. Energy Convers. Manage. 171, 1502–1513.
- Bellos, E., Tzivanidis, C., Papadopoulos, A., 2018. Secondary concentrator optimization of a linear Fresnel reflector using Bezier polynomial parametrization. Sol. Energy 171, 716–727.
- Bellos, E., 2019. Progress in the design and the applications of linear Fresnel reflectors A critical review. Therm. Sci. Eng. Prog. 10, 112–137.
- Boerema, N., Morrison, G., Taylor, R., Rosengarten, G., 2013. High temperature solar thermal central-receiver billboard design. Sol. Energy 97, 356–368.
- Castellani, F., Astolfi, D., Sdringola, P., Proietti, S., Terzi, L., 2017. Analyzing wind turbine directional behavior: SCADA data mining techniques for efficiency and power assessment. Appl. Energy 185, 1076–1086.
- Chaitanya Prasad, G.S., Reddy, K.S., Sundararajan, T., 2017. Optimization of solar linear Fresnel reflector system with secondary concentrator for uniform flux distribution over absorber tube. Sol. Energy 150, 1–12.
- Cheng, Z.D., He, Y.L., Cui, F.Q., 2012a. Numerical study of heat transfer enhancement by unilateral longitudinal vortex generators inside parabolic trough solar receivers. Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. 55 (21), 5631–5641.
- Cheng, Z.D., He, Y.L., Cui, F.Q., Xu, R.J., Tao, Y.B., 2012b. Numerical simulation of a parabolic trough solar collector with nonuniform solar flux conditions by coupling FVM and MCRT method. Sol. Energy 86 (6), 1770–1784.

- Cheng, Z.D., He, Y.L., Cui, F.Q., 2013. A new modelling method and unified code with MCRT for concentrating solar collectors and its applications. Appl. Energy 101, 686–698.
- Cheng, Z.D., He, Y.L., Cui, F.Q., Du, B.C., Zheng, Z.J., Xu, Y., 2014. Comparative and sensitive analysis for parabolic trough solar collectors with a detailed Monte Carlo ray-tracing optical model. Appl. Energy 115, 559–572.
- Cheng, Z.D., Zhao, X.R., He, Y.L., 2018a. Novel optical efficiency formulas for parabolic trough solar collectors: Computing method and applications. Appl. Energy 224, 682–697.
- Cheng, Z.D., Zhao, X.R., He, Y.L., Qiu, Y., 2018b. A novel optical optimization model for linear Fresnel reflector concentrators. Renew. Energy 129, 486–499.
- Cheng, Z.D., Men, J.J., Zhao, X.R., He, Y.L., Tao, Y.B., 2019. A comprehensive study on parabolic trough solar receiver-reactors of methanol-steam reforming reaction for hydrogen production. Energy Convers. Manage. 186, 278–292.
- Deb, K., Agrawal, S., Pratap, A., Meyarivan, T., 2002. A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II. 2002. IEEE Trans. Evol. Comput. 6 (2), 182–197.
- Dellicompagni, P., Franco, J., 2019. Potential uses of a prototype linear Fresnel concentration system. Renew. Energy 136, 1044–1054.
- Eck, M., Uhlig, R., Mertins, M., Häberle, A., Lerchenmüller, H., 2007. Thermal load of direct steam-generating absorber tubes with large diameter in horizontal linear Fresnel collectors. Heat Transfer Eng. 28 (1), 42–48.
- Flores, V., Almanza, R., 2004. Behavior of the compound wall copper–steel receiver with stratified two-phase flow regimen in transient states when solar irradiance is arriving on one side of receiver. Sol. Energy 76 (1–3), 195–198.
- Hack, M., Zhu, G.D., Wendelin, T., 2017. Evaluation and comparison of an adaptive method technique for improved performance of linear Fresnel secondary designs. Appl. Energy 208, 1441–1451.
- He, Y.L., Wang, K., Qiu, Y., Du, B.C., Liang, Q., Du, S., 2019. Review of the solar flux distribution in concentrated solar power: Non-uniform features, challenges, and solutions. Appl. Therm. Eng. 149, 448–474.
- Holland, J.H., 1992. Adaptation in natural and artificial systems: an introductory analysis with applications to biology, control, and artificial intelligence. MIT press. Hongn, M., Flores Larsen, S., 2018. Hydrothermal model for small-scale linear Fresnel
- absorbers with non-uniform stepwise solar distribution. Appl. Energy 223, 329–346. Hwang, C.L., Yoon, K., 1981. Methods for multiple attribute decision making, Multiple
- attribute decision making. Springer press.