

## CLASS 20, MONDAY APRIL 16TH: FUN WITH FROBENIUS

Today we will play around with  $F_*$  and see what can be drawn out. Some things fall out quite naturally from the definition.

**Proposition 0.1.** *The map  $F : R \rightarrow F_*R$  induces a bijection of prime ideals.*

*Proof.* I claim that the bijection is given by  $F^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \leftarrow F_*\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \sqrt{\mathfrak{p} \cdot F_*R}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$  be a prime ideal. Then if  $F_*a \cdot F_*b \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{p} \cdot F_*R}$ , this implies that  $F_*a \cdot F_*b = F_*c^n$  for some  $c \in \mathfrak{p}$ . But this implies that

$$F_*a^p \cdot F_*b^p = a \cdot b = c^{np} \in \mathfrak{p}$$

Therefore, either  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ , which implies  $F_*a \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{p} \cdot F_*R}$  or  $F_*b \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{p} \cdot F_*R}$ , as desired.

Finally, it goes to show that they are mutually inverse to one another. It is clear that  $F^{-1}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{p} \cdot F_*R}) = \mathfrak{p}$ . On the other hand, if  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq F_*R$  is prime,  $F^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{a \in R \mid a^p \in \mathfrak{p}\} = \mathfrak{p}$ . So this is in fact a bijection.  $\square$

This brings about a nice idea: studying how  $F_*$  behaves on modules. As a lemma, we can see how it behaves on ideals.

**Lemma 0.2.** *If  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ , then  $F(I) = I \cdot F_*R \cong F_*I^{[p]}$ , where  $I^{[p]}$  is the ideal consisting of  $p^{\text{th}}$  powers of elements of  $I$ . We can also characterize it in terms of generators: if  $I = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , then  $I^{[p]} = \langle a_1^p, \dots, a_n^p \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* The first claim is completely definitional. For the second part, if  $a \in I$ , then

$$a = r_1a_1 + \dots + r_na_n$$

$$a^p = r_1^pa_1^p + \dots + r_n^pa_n^p$$

Therefore,  $F_*a^p = r_1F_*a_1^p + \dots + r_nF_*a_n^p \in \langle a_1^p, \dots, a_n^p \rangle$ . So  $I^{[p]} \subseteq \langle a_1^p, \dots, a_n^p \rangle$ . On the other hand,  $I^{[p]}$  certainly contains  $a_i^p$ , so equality is achieved.  $\square$

Now, I make a very natural observation: If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module, we can form  $F_*M$  as the module  $M$  as an Abelian group, but having  $r \cdot m = r^pm$ . This makes  $F_*$  into a functor from  $R$ -modules to  $R$ -modules:  $F_*\varphi : F_*m \mapsto F_*\varphi(m)$ .

**Proposition 0.3.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  be a SES. Then so is*

$$0 \rightarrow F_*M' \rightarrow F_*M \rightarrow F_*M'' \rightarrow 0$$

*That is to say,  $F_*$  is an exact functor.*

Next up, I would like to get a handle on how complicated  $F_*R$  is as an  $R$ -module. On the surface, it seems quite docile, being isomorphic to  $R$  as Abelian groups. However, things can get quite complicated. As an issue, sometimes  $F_*R$  is not even finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, even when  $R$  is Noetherian or even a field (cf Homework 5). Therefore, we make the following definition:

**Definition 0.4.** A Noetherian ring  $R$  of positive characteristic is called  **$F$ -finite** if  $F_*R$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module.

**Notation 0.5.** For the rest of the course,  $R$  will be a Noetherian  $F$ -finite ring of positive characteristic  $p > 0$ . If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is written, we add the local condition. If  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is written,  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is local and  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ .

Because they make things somewhat *perfect* for our study, I introduce the following notion:

**Definition 0.6.** A field  $K$  is called **perfect** if (it is characteristic 0 or) the map  $F : K \rightarrow F_*K$  is surjective (it is always injective, thus an isomorphism). Otherwise,  $K$  is called **imperfect**.

This notion naturally extends to the notion of a **perfect ring**. We can also always take the perfection of a field (or ring), which is usually denoted  $k^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}$  or  $k_\infty$ . This exists as it can be identified with the union of  $F_*^e K$  for all  $e \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 0.7.** Any  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a perfect field for  $q = p^e$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that  $F$  is surjective. Take  $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_q$  ( $0 \mapsto 0$  of course). Since  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ , we know that the order of  $x$ , say  $d$ , divides  $p^e - 1$ . But  $p$  and  $p^e - 1$  are relatively prime, so  $\gcd(p, d) = 1$ . Therefore, there is an integer equation  $mp + nd = 1$ . Therefore

$$x = x^1 = x^{mp+nd} = x^{pm}x^{nd} = x^{pm} = (x^m)^p$$

So  $x = F(x^m) \in \text{im}(F)$ . But  $x$  was arbitrary, so we are done.  $\square$

**Example 0.8.** Consider the ring  $R = K[x]$  where  $K$  is a perfect field. If we consider the module  $F_*R$ , we can see that

$$F_*a = \sum_{n \geq 0} F_*a_i x^i$$

for  $a_i \in K$ . Doing a small bit of combinatorics, we note that by the division algorithm, there exists a unique  $m$  and  $r = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$  such that  $n = mp + r$  (say  $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor$  and  $r$  their difference, where  $\lfloor - \rfloor$  is the floor function, or the integer part). Therefore, we can rewrite

$$F_*a = \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} F_*a_{mp+r} x^{mp+r} = \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} a_{mp+r}^{\frac{1}{p}} x^m F_*x^r$$

where  $a_{mp+r}^{\frac{1}{p}}$  is the standard notation for the unique element  $b$  such that  $b^p = a_{mp+r}$ . This demonstrates  $F_*x^r$  for  $r = 0, \dots, p-1$  is a basis for  $F_*R$  as an  $R$ -module. Therefore  $F_*R$  is free:

$$F_*R \cong R^{\oplus p}$$

**Example 0.9.** Let  $R = K[x^2, x^3]$  be the CM ring which is not regular (from class 18), and  $K$  perfect. Let's examine  $F_*R$ . I specialize to the case of  $\text{char}(K) = 3$  and leave the general case to the ambitious student.

In this case, we see that a generating set of  $F_*R$  over  $R$  is

$$F_*1, F_*x^2, F_*x^3, F_*x^4, F_*x^5, F_*x^7$$

This can be demonstrated explicitly. Thus  $R$  is  $F$ -finite. Moreover, localizing at the origin, we can show that all of these generators are necessary by degree arguments. So if  $F_*R$  was projective,  $F_*R_{\langle x^2, x^3 \rangle} \cong R_{\langle x^2, x^3 \rangle}^6$ . However, we can further localize at 0 to conclude  $F_*K(x) \cong K(x)^6$ , which is certainly not true by Example 0.8.

We will explore this relationship via Kunz Theorem next time.