

## GENERAL TOPOLOGY: MIDTERM 2

- 1) [8 pts] What does it mean for a topological space  $X$  to be first-countable?

**Solution:** Given  $x \in X$ , there exists  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  open neighborhoods of  $x$  such that for any open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ ,  $U_n \subseteq U$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- 2) [7 pts] What does it mean for a space to be T3/regular?

**Solution:** Given a point  $x \in X$  and  $A \subseteq X$  a closed subset such that  $x \notin A$ , there exists open disjoint sets  $U, V$  separating them:  $x \in U$  and  $A \subseteq V$ .

- 3) [10 pts] Recall the statement of Urysohn's Lemma.

**Solution:** Given  $X$  a normal topological space, and  $A, B$  two disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ , there exists  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  continuous such that  $f(A) = 0$  and  $f(B) = 1$ .

- 4) [10 pts] Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$  with two topologies; let  $\tau$  be the Euclidean topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\tau'$  be the topology generated by  $\tau$  and  $\{\mathbb{Q}\}$ . That is to say that  $\tau'$  is the smallest topology containing  $\tau$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Show that  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau')$  is Hausdorff, but not T4/normal.

**Solution:** Consider the closed sets  $A = \{x\}$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $A = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . For each  $y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , a neighborhood base is given by  $\{y\} \cap ((y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon) \cap \mathbb{Q})$ . Therefore, if  $U$  is an open neighborhood of  $A$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Q} \subseteq U$ . This is a contradiction, showing that in fact  $X$  is not even T3.

- 5) [15 pts] State Urysohn's Metrization Theorem. Now use it to show the following: Suppose  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space which is **locally metrizable**, i.e. every point has a neighborhood which is metrizable. Show that  $X$  is metrizable.

**Solution:** UMT: If  $X$  is a T3 + T1 second-countable space, then  $X$  is metrizable.

Let  $U_x$  be an open neighborhood of  $x$  for which  $\overline{U_x}$  is metrizable. Note we may assume this since  $X$  is T3; if  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  which is metrizable, then there exists  $U_x$  such that

$$x \in U_x \subseteq \overline{U_x} \subseteq V_x^\circ \subseteq V_x$$

and a subset of a metric space is a metric space. Therefore,

$$X = \bigcup_x U_x$$

By compactness, we may assume that

$$X = U_{x_1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{x_n}$$

Now, since  $X$  is compact and Hausdorff, we know that  $\overline{U_{x_i}}$  is compact and Hausdorff. But Compact, Hausdorff, and Metrizable imply that they are second-countable.

Therefore,  $X$  is second countable, since it has a basis for which the elements come from the countable bases of  $U_{x_i}$ .

- 6) [15 pts] A space  $X$  is said to be **locally compact** if for every  $x \in X$ , and  $U$  a neighborhood of  $x$ , there exists a compact neighborhood  $K$  of  $x$  contained within  $U$ ; e.g.  $x \in K^\circ \subseteq K \subseteq U$ .

Given a *locally* compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , a compact subspace  $K$ , and an open neighborhood  $U \supseteq K$ , show that there exists  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(K) = 1$  and  $f(U^c) = 0$ .

**Solution:** I want to apply Urysohn's Lemma, but don't know that all of  $X$  is normal. Therefore, I restrict. Given  $x \in K$ , let  $K_x$  be a compact neighborhood of  $x$  inside  $U$ :

$$x \in K_x^\circ \subseteq K_x \subseteq U$$

Then  $K \subseteq \bigcup K_x^\circ$  is an open cover, so we may assume  $K \subseteq K_{x_1}^\circ \cup \dots \cup K_{x_n}^\circ$  by compactness. By Urysohn's Lemma, there exists a function  $f' : K_{x_1}^\circ \cup \dots \cup K_{x_n}^\circ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f'(K) = 1$  and  $f'((K_{x_1}^\circ \cup \dots \cup K_{x_n}^\circ)^c) = 0$ . Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f'(x) & x \in K_{x_1}^\circ \cup \dots \cup K_{x_n}^\circ \\ 0 & x \notin K_{x_1}^\circ \cup \dots \cup K_{x_n}^\circ \end{cases}$$

This is continuous by the pasting lemma and satisfies the desired properties.

- 7) [10 pts] Show that a product of an  $m$ -manifold  $X$  with an  $n$ -manifold  $Y$  is an  $m + n$ -manifold.

**Solution:** A finite product of second countable spaces is second-countable.

A product of T2 spaces is T2.

If  $x \in U \cong U' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $y \in V \cong V' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$(x, y) \in U \times V \cong U' \times V' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$$

So  $X \times Y$  is a  $(n + m)$ -manifold.

- 8) [20 pts] The **Axiom of Choice** is assumed throughout almost every branch of mathematics. It is stated as saying if  $S$  is a set of non-empty sets, then there is a way of choosing an element of each  $C \in S$  (called a choice function). It can be restated as follows:

**Axiom.** *If  $X_\alpha$  is a collection of non-empty sets, then  $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ .*

We used this in the proof of Tychonoff's Theorem. Here, we show that they are equivalent by demonstrating that Tychonoff implies the axiom of choice.

- State Tychonoff's Theorem.

**Solution:** If  $X_\alpha$  are compact sets, then  $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$  with the product topology is compact.

- Let  $X_\alpha$  be sets as in the axiom. Give each  $X_\alpha$  the indiscrete topology. Show that  $X_\alpha \cup \{\infty\}$ , obtained by adding 1 extra point and making  $X_\alpha$  a closed subset. Show the following space is compact:

$$Y = \prod_\alpha (X_\alpha \cup \{\infty\})$$

**Solution:** The topology of  $X_\alpha \cup \{\infty\}$  is exactly  $\{\emptyset, \{\infty\}, X_\alpha \cup \{\infty\}\}$ . Therefore any open cover must contain  $X_\alpha \cup \{\infty\}$ ! As a result, Tychonoff implies  $Y$  is compact.

- Recall the **finite intersection property**.

**Solution:** A collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of closed subsets has the finite intersection property if every  $C_1, \dots, C_n \in \mathcal{C}$  has the property that  $C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_n \neq \emptyset$ .

- Let  $\pi_\alpha : Y \rightarrow X_\alpha \cup \{\infty\}$  be the projection map. Show that  $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(X_\alpha)$  is a closed subset of  $Y$ . Show the collection has the finite intersection property.

**Solution:**  $\pi_\alpha$  is continuous, so  $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(X_\alpha) = X_\alpha \times \prod_{\alpha' \neq \alpha} X_{\alpha'} \cup \{\infty\}$  is closed. The collection of  $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(X_\alpha)$  has the finite intersection property, since

$$\pi_{\alpha_1}^{-1}(X_{\alpha_1}) \cap \dots \cap \pi_{\alpha_n}^{-1}(X_{\alpha_n}) = X_{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times X_{\alpha_n} \times \prod_{\alpha' \neq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} X_{\alpha'} \times \{\infty\}$$

contains  $(x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_n}, (\infty)_{\alpha'})$ .

- What is  $\bigcap_\alpha \pi_\alpha^{-1}(X_\alpha)$ ? Conclude that the axiom is true.

**Solution:** By virtue of the fact that  $X$  is compact, we know

$$\bigcap_\alpha \pi_\alpha^{-1}(X_\alpha) \neq \emptyset.$$

On the other hand,

$$\bigcap_\alpha \pi_\alpha^{-1}(X_\alpha) = \prod_\alpha X_\alpha$$

Therefore, the axiom of choice is true (in a universe in which Tychonoff holds, such as topology :).

- 9) [10 pts] Let  $X, Y, Z$  be two T3.5 spaces. Let  $\beta(X)$  be the Stone-Cech Compactification of  $X$ , and  $\beta(f) : \beta(X) \rightarrow \beta(Y)$  be the map associated to a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y \subseteq \beta(Y)$ . Verify the following two facts:

- $\beta(Id_X) = Id_{\beta(X)} : \beta(X) \rightarrow \beta(X)$ .
- If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  are two continuous maps, then

$$\beta(g \circ f) = \beta(g) \circ \beta(f) : \beta(X) \rightarrow \beta(Z)$$

**Solution:** Recall that  $\beta(f)$  is the *unique* extension of  $f : X \rightarrow Y \subseteq \beta(Y)$  to  $\beta(X)$ .

Note that  $Id_{\beta(X)}(x) = x$  for every  $x \in X$ , so it is an extension of  $Id_X$ . By uniqueness,  $\beta(Id_X) = Id_{\beta(X)}$ .

Similarly, I claim  $\beta(g) \circ \beta(f) : \beta(X) \rightarrow \beta(Z)$  is an extension of  $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ . Indeed, for  $x \in X$ ,  $\beta(f)(x) = f(x) \in Y$  and for  $y \in Y$ ,  $\beta(g)(y) = g(y)$ . Therefore, by uniqueness,

$$\beta(g \circ f) = \beta(g) \circ \beta(f) : \beta(X) \rightarrow \beta(Z).$$