HOMEWORK 8: FOURIER TRANSFORMS DUE: WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 13TH

(1) We will prove the following: If f is continuous, of moderate descent, and $\hat{f}(\xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, then f = 0.

 \circ For each t, consider

$$A(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} f(x)e^{-2\pi i z(x-t)}dx$$

$$B(z) = -\int_{t}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-2\pi i z(x-t)}dx$$

Show $A(\xi) = B(\xi)$ for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

 \circ Show that F which is equal to A in the upper half plane and B in the lower half plane is entire and bounded. Deduce that F = 0.

• Show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} f(x)dx = 0$$

for all t, and thus f = 0 by continuity.

Solution: The first bullet is obvious, as B is simply the negatively oriented version of A. As a result, applying the symmetry principle to F, we get that F is entire. Furthermore, since f is of moderate descent, we have

$$|F(z)| \le \int_{-\infty}^t |f(x)| dx \le \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{A}{1+x^2} dx \le A\pi$$

As a result F is also bounded, and thus Liouville implies that F is constant. Finally, notice

$$|F(iR)| = |\int_{-\infty}^{t} f(x)e^{2\pi R(x-t)}dx| \le \int_{-\infty}^{t} Ae^{2\pi R(x-t)}dx = \frac{A}{2\pi R} \to 0$$

as $R \to \infty$. As a result F = 0.

For the last bullet, notice that this is F(0). But since f is continuous, if f were ever non-zero, say at t, then it would be non-zero in an $\epsilon > 0$ neighborhood. As a result,

$$0 = \int_{-\infty}^{t} f(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{t-\epsilon} f(x)dx + \int_{-\infty}^{t-\epsilon} f(x)dx = 0 + \epsilon \cdot m$$

where m bounds f away from 0. This is a contradiction.

(2) Show that if $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$, then $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}_b$ for any $0 \le b < a$.

Solution: Note that

$$f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{C_r} \frac{f(w)}{(w-z)^{n+1}} dw$$

So we can bound $f^{(n)}(z)$ by CIT:

$$|f^{(n)}(x+iy)| \le \frac{n! ||f||_C}{r^n}$$

where r < b - a. Now notice that

$$||f||_C = \sup_{a+ib \in C} |f(z)| \le \sup_{a+ib \in C} \left| \frac{A}{1+x^2} \right|$$

Now, it's just a matter of geometry. The second quantity is bounded above by A near x = 0 and homogeneously so away from 0. So the constant

$$A' = \frac{n!}{(b-a)^n} 2A$$

will do.

(3) If a > 0 and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, show using contour integration that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a}{a^2 + x^2} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx = e^{-2\pi a |\xi|}$$

Deduce that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi a|\xi|} e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{a}{a^2 + x^2}$$

Solution: For the first integral, if $\xi \geq 0$, we can study the lower semi-circle. This will as usual demonstrate that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a}{a^2 + x^2} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx = -2i \cdot \text{res}_{-ia}(f)$$

(negative because of orientation) and the residue is given by

$$\operatorname{res}_{-ia}(f) = \frac{a}{-ia - ia} e^{-2\pi i ia\xi} = \frac{-1}{2i} e^{-2\pi i ia\xi} = -\frac{1}{2i} e^{2\pi a\xi}$$

Similarly, if $\xi < 0$, we study the upper semicircle and derive the same result studying the residue at z = ia.

Finally, the deduction is done through the process of Fourier inversion.

(4) If P is a polynomial of degree ≥ 2 with simple non-real roots, calculate

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x \xi}}{P(x)} dx$$

for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ in terms of its roots. What if the roots are higher order?

(hint: The cases of positive, negative, and 0ξ should be treated separately.)

Solution: Let P have leading coefficient 1. If $\xi \geq 0$, we can consider the lower semicircle and the roots $z=a_i$ with negative imaginary part (This ensures that the circular integral goes to 0 since the imaginary part can be assumed negative and $|e^{-2\pi i x \xi}| = |e^{2\pi i \xi(-Im(x))}| < 1$. In this case,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x \xi}}{P(x)} dx = 2\pi i \sum_{i} res_{a_i}(f(z))$$

This can naturally be computed as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x \xi}}{P(x)} dx = 2\pi i \sum_{j \mid Im(a_j) < 0} e^{-2\pi i a_j \xi} \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{a_j - a_k}$$

A similar computation shows that if $\xi < 0$, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x \xi}}{P(x)} dx = -2\pi i \sum_{j \mid Im(a_j) > 0} e^{-2\pi i a_j \xi} \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{a_j - a_k}$$

- (5) Use the Poisson summation formula to establish the following identities:
 - Let $Im(\tau) > 0$. Using $f(z) = (\tau + z)^{-k}$ for $k \ge 2$, show

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(\tau + n)^k} = \frac{(-2\pi i)^k}{(k - 1)!} \sum_{m = 1}^{\infty} m^{k - 1} e^{2\pi i m \tau}$$

 \circ If $Im(\tau) > 0$, then show

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(\tau+n)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi\tau)}$$

 \circ Does the previous hold for any non-integer $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$?

Solution: For the first bullet, it goes to compute $\hat{f}(n)$ for each n. If $n \leq 0$,

$$\hat{f}(n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i n x}}{(\tau + x)^k} dx$$

which we can calculate using the path integral which is a upper semicircle. The overall integral is 0 by Cauchy-Goursat, and the lower half integral is bounded by

$$|\hat{f}(n)| \le \int_{C_R} \frac{1}{|\tau + z|^k} dz = O\left(\frac{1}{R^{k-1}}\right) \to 0$$

For n > 0, we consider the lower semi-circle and conclude

$$\hat{f}(n) = -2\pi i \cdot \text{res}_{-\tau} \left(\frac{e^{-2\pi i n x}}{(\tau + x)^k} \right) = -\frac{2\pi i}{(k-1)!} \frac{\partial^{k-1} e^{-2\pi i n z}}{\partial z^{k-1}} \to \frac{(-2\pi i)^k n^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{2\pi i n \tau}$$

Note the first negative sign is due to the clockwise orientation of the curve. Moving onto the second bullet point, if k = 2, we get

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(\tau+n)^2} = -4\pi^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} me^{2\pi i m\tau}$$

Since the π^2 is accounted for, it only goes to show

$$\frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi\tau)} = -4\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} me^{2\pi i m\tau}$$

If we write $x = e^{2\pi i\tau}$, then we can utilize the formula

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} mq^m = \frac{q}{(1-q)^2}$$

This shows that

$$-4\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} me^{2\pi im\tau} = -4\frac{e^{2\pi i\tau}}{(1 - e^{2\pi i\tau})^2} = \frac{-4}{(e^{-\pi i\tau} - e^{\pi i\tau})^2} = \frac{1}{(i\sin(\pi\tau))^2}$$

Finally, the same formula is not true for $Im(\tau) < 0$. This is because the taylor series used on the previous line does not converge if the absolute value of $e^{2\pi i\tau}$ is greater than or equal to 1.