HOMEWORK 6: SINGULARITIES DUE: WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 30TH

(1) Show that if $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(u+n)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin(\pi u)^2}.$$

This can be done by integrating $f(z) = \frac{\pi \cot(\pi z)}{(u+z)^2}$ on the circle of radius $N + \frac{1}{2}$ with $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, and sending $N \to \infty$. Show why.¹

Solution: Note that

$$f(z) = \frac{\pi \cot(\pi z)}{(u+z)^2} = \frac{\pi \cos(\pi z)}{(u+z)^2 \sin(\pi z)}$$

has a pole of order 1 at $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and of order 2 at z = -u. Therefore, by the residue theorem, we can calculate

$$\int_{C_N} f(z)dz = 2\pi i \sum_{n=-N}^{N} res_n(f(z)) + 2\pi i \cdot res_{-u}(f(z))$$

$$= 2\pi i \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \lim_{z \to n} \frac{\pi}{\pi (u+z)^2} + 2\pi i \cdot \lim_{z \to -u} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \pi \cot(\pi z)$$

$$= 2\pi i \left(\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \lim_{z \to n} \frac{1}{(n+z)^2} - \pi^2 \lim_{z \to -u} \csc^2(\pi z) \right)$$

Sending $N \to \infty$ produces

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{C_N} f(z)dz = 2\pi i \left(\sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(u+z)^2} - \pi^2 \lim_{z \to -u} \csc^2(\pi u) \right)$$

So it only suffices to check

$$0 = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{C_N} f(z) dz$$

Per usual, note that

$$\cot(\pi z) = -i\frac{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}} = -2i\left(1 + 2\frac{e^{-i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}}\right)$$

In absolute value, I claim that on C_N this function is bounded above by 3 (due especially to the $\frac{1}{2}$). One needs to note that

$$|\cot(z)|^2 = 1 + \frac{\cos(2x)}{\sin^2(x) + \sinh^2(y)}$$

¹This is a sort of shifted ζ -function at s=2.

If $|x| \ge N\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} = R\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}$, then $\sin^2(x) \ge \frac{1}{2}$. Similarly, if $|x| \le R\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}$, then $\sinh^2(y) \ge 1$. Thus in total $\cot(z)$ is bounded above by 3.

(2) Suppose f is holomorphic in $B_*(0,1)$ and that

$$|f(z)| \le A|z|^{-1+\epsilon}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$ and all z_0 near 0. Show that f has a removable singularity at 0.

Solution: Since $|f(z)| \leq A|z|^{-1+\epsilon}$, we have that $|zf(z)| \leq A|z|^{\epsilon}$. Thus $\lim_{z\to 0} zf(z) = 0$ has a removable singularity at z = 0. This is to say zf(z) is holomorphic at the origin. Therefore, we can consider its power series:

$$zf(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z + \dots$$

But since it takes value 0 at z = 0, we have $a_0 = 0$. Thus

$$zf(z) = a_1z + a_2z + \ldots = z(a_1 + a_2z + \ldots)$$

dividing by z implies f is itself holomorphic at the origin, or that the singularity at z = 0 is removable.

(3) Show that all entire functions which are also injective (f(z) = f(w)) if and only if z = w are linear:

$$f(z) = az + b a \neq 0$$

(hint: Use Casorati-Weirstrass on $f(\frac{1}{z})$, and apply the open mapping theorem).

Solution: Given f(z) is entire, $f(\frac{1}{z})$ is holomorphic everywhere except 0 and injective. Casorti-Weirstrass implies $f(\frac{1}{z})$ necessarily cannot have an essential singularity at 0 since the image is dense for any ϵ -neighborhood (it couldn't possibly be injective if this were the case). If $f(\frac{1}{z})$ had a removable singularity at 0, then it would be bounded in a neighborhood. But then it would be bounded everywhere, and thus constant. Again injectivity is contradicted.

Thus $f(\frac{1}{z})$ has a pole of some order at 0: $f(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{a_{-m}}{z^m} + \frac{a_{-m+1}}{z^{m-1}} + \ldots + \frac{a_{-1}}{z} + g(z)$, where g(z) is holomorphic. I claim first g(z) is constant. Indeed, if it weren't than it would be entire and thus unbounded. But

$$f(z) = a_{-m}z^{m} + \ldots + a_{-1}z + g\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$$

But this would imply $\lim_{z\to 0} (g(\frac{1}{z}))$ is either ∞ (by unboundedness) or doesn't exist. Both are impossible. As a result, f(z) is polynomial. The only injective non-constant polynomials are linear.

(4) Suppose f and g are holomorphic on $\bar{B}(0,1)$, and that f has only a simple zero at z=0. Show that

$$f_{\epsilon}(z) = f(z) + \epsilon g(z)$$

has exactly one zero on $\bar{B}(0,1)$, and if we call it z_{ϵ} , then z_{ϵ} varies continuously in ϵ .

Solution: We want to use the Rouche's Theorem. Since f is holomorphic and non-zero on the circle |z| = 1, we have that $|f(z)| > \delta$ for all z and some $\delta > 0$.

Additionally, |g(z)| < M for some M for all $z \in \bar{B}(0,1)$. Both of these observations follow from continuity and compactness.

As a result, we can choose $\epsilon \leq \delta \cdot \frac{1}{M}$, so that

$$|\epsilon g(z)| < \delta \cdot \frac{1}{M} |g(z)| < \delta < |f(z)|$$

Thus Rouche implies that f_{ϵ} and f have the same number of zeroes in $\bar{B}(0,1)$.

It remains to show that z_{ϵ} , the zero, varies continuously for ϵ near 0 Let $\epsilon \in [0, \frac{\delta}{2M}]$. I claim it is continuous here.

First, I demonstrate this fact at $\epsilon = 0$. Suppose it doesn't. That is to say there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $\delta > 0$

$$|z_{\delta'}| \ge \epsilon$$
 for some $\delta' < \delta$

Again, we can use compactness. f doesn't have a zero on $\epsilon \leq |z| \leq 1$, so it is bounded below by some $\epsilon' > 0$. Thus we can choose $\delta < \epsilon' \cdot \frac{1}{M}$ to force $f_{\delta'}(z) > 0$ for $\epsilon \leq |z| \leq 1$. This contradicts our assumption about z_{ϵ} .

The same logic applies for other ϵ in this range: We could consider

$$0 = f_{\epsilon'}(z_{\epsilon'}) = f_{\epsilon}(z_{\epsilon'}) + (\epsilon' - \epsilon)g(z)$$

This puts us in exactly the same framework as the case of 0, and we can conclude for $0 < \delta < \frac{\epsilon' - \epsilon}{M}, \ z_{\epsilon'}$ must be $(\epsilon - \epsilon')$ -close to z_{ϵ} .

(5) Let f be non-constant holomorphic in $\Omega \supseteq \bar{B}(0,1)$. Show that if |f(z)| = 1 whenever |z| = 1, then $\bar{B}(0,1) \subseteq f(\Omega)$.

If instead $|f(z)| \ge 1$ whenever |z| = 1 and there is some $z_0 \in \bar{B}(0,1)$ with $|f(z_0)| < 1$, then $\bar{B}(0,1) \subseteq f(\Omega)$.

(hint: for the first part, show that it suffices to check that f(z) has a root. Then apply the maximum modulus principle).

Solution: It suffices to check that $f(z) = w_0$ has a solution for every $w_0 \in \bar{B}(0,1)$. First note that we can find z such that f(z) = 0. If we couldn't, then one would have $g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z)}$ is a holomorphic function on the disc with |g(z)| > 1 inside the disc and = 1 on the disc. This is a violation of the maximum modulus principle.

Now we can consider the function

$$h(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f'(z)}{f(z) - w}$$

which counts the number of zeroes of f(z) - w in $C = \{|z| = 1\}$. This is a function continuous in w. Thus, since it is integer valued, we have that it is constant on B(0,1). Thus all values are attained.

The same proof holds for the second part, using instead z_0 as the constant number of zeroes.