

HOMEWORK 6: HILBERT-NULLSTELLENSATZ

DUE: APRIL 8TH

- 1) Let K be an algebraically closed field and let X_1, X_2 be 2 proper varieties in K^n . Let $U_i = X_i^c = K^n \setminus X_i$ be its open complement. Show that $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$. This shows that K^n is irreducible and also demonstrates (and is stronger) that the Zariski Topology is not *Hausdorff*.

Solution: Note that the desired result is equivalent to saying that $X_1 \cup X_2 \neq K^n$. But if $X_1 = V(J_1)$ and $X_2 = V(J_2)$, then

$$K^n = X_1 \cup X_2 = V(J_1 \cap J_2)$$

The only way this is possible is if $J_1 \cap J_2 = 0$, as varieties are in 1-1 correspondence with radical ideals, and 0 is itself radical since $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is reduced (even a domain). But if $J_1 \cap J_2 = 0$, then either $J_1 = 0$ or $J_2 = 0$ (otherwise we could multiply two non-zero elements). This contradicts properness of X_1 and X_2 .

- 2) Given a field extension $K \subseteq L$, and a variety $X = V(J) \in K^n$, call $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in L^n$ an L -valued point of X if $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for every $f \in J$. Make and prove an analogue of Hilbert-Nullstellensatz using all L -valued points where L/K is algebraic.

Solution: Consider the algebraic closure of K . In the ring $\bar{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we have the traditional form of Hilbert-Nullstellensatz from problem 1. Thus if J is a proper ideal of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then we have that $J \cdot \bar{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a proper ideal of $\bar{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Therefore

$$\emptyset \neq V(J \cdot \bar{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \subseteq \bar{K}^n$$

Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V(J \cdot \bar{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$. Then the field $L = K[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ is a finite extension field of K , and (a_1, \dots, a_n) is an L -valued point of J .

Additionally,

$$I(V(J \cdot \bar{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n])) = \sqrt{J \cdot \bar{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \supseteq J} \mathfrak{m}$$

So as a result, we have

$$I(V(J)) = I(V(J \cdot \bar{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n])) \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \supseteq J} \mathfrak{m}$$

The last intersection is the intersection over all L -valued points of J ! So the statement should be as follows:

Theorem 0.1. *If $J \subsetneq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is an ideal, then J has an L -valued point for some finite extension L . Additionally,*

$$I(V(J)) = \left(\bigcap_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ L\text{-valued for } J}} \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle \right) \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

- 3) Suppose $R \subseteq S$ is an integral extension of Noetherian rings. Given $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, show that there are only finitely many prime ideals $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ lying over \mathfrak{p} .

Solution: Consider the extension of \mathfrak{p} to S , namely $\mathfrak{p} \cdot S$. Therefore, we can consider the integral extension $R/\mathfrak{p} \subseteq S/\mathfrak{p}S$. Additionally, we can localize at the set $W = R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Doing so produces an integral extension

$$\text{Frac}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq W^{-1}S/\mathfrak{p}S$$

$W^{-1}S/\mathfrak{p}S$ is a localization of a quotient of Noetherian rings, and therefore is Noetherian. Thus it contains only finitely many minimal primes $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n$. These minimal primes are actually also maximal, because if we mod out by them, we have an integral extension where $\text{Frac}(R/\mathfrak{p})$ is a field. Finally, examining what $\text{Spec}(W^{-1}S/\mathfrak{p}S)$ is, we see it is the primes of S containing $\mathfrak{p}S$ which do not intersect $R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, each \mathfrak{q}_i are exactly the primes with the property that $\mathfrak{q}_i \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$, as desired.

- 4) We know that $\text{Spec}(W^{-1}R)$ can be view as a subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$. Show that $\text{Spec}(R_f)$ is exactly the complement of $V(f)$ in $\text{Spec}(R)$.

Solution: $\text{Spec}(R_f)$ is the set of primes $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ which don't intersect $W = \{1, f, f^2, \dots\}$. This is equivalent to saying $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$, since $1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and $f^n \in \mathfrak{p}$ implies $f \in \mathfrak{p}$. But $V(f)$ is exactly the set of primes which contain f . This concludes the proof.

- 5) Consider the ring

$$R = K[x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}, x_{2,2}, x_{3,1}, \dots] = K[x_{i,j}]_{i \geq j}$$

This is a non-Noetherian ring, since it has very natural ascending chains of ideals that never stabilize. Consider now the multiplicative set W which is defined as the complement of

$$W^c = \langle x_{1,1} \rangle \cap \langle x_{2,1}, x_{2,2} \rangle \cap \langle x_{3,1}, x_{3,2}, x_{3,3} \rangle \cap \dots$$

Show that $W^{-1}R$ is a Noetherian ring. What does $\text{Spec}(W^{-1}R)$ look like? ¹

Solution: By our perspective on what Spec of a localization looks like, we know that primes are in bijection with $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap W = \emptyset$. This is to say that \mathfrak{p} lies in W^c . The maximal ideals with this property are

¹This yields an example of a Noetherian topological space of 'infinite dimension'.

$\langle x_{1,1} \rangle, \langle x_{2,1}, x_{2,2} \rangle, \langle x_{3,1}, x_{3,2}, x_{3,3} \rangle, \dots$. So every prime must be contained in one of these ideals. Therefore, we can identify

$$\operatorname{Spec}(W^{-1}R) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Spec}(K[x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,i}]) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{A}_K^i$$

Finally, we need to conclude $W^{-1}R$ is Noetherian. It suffices to check that every prime is finitely generated. But this follows from the above analysis; if $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{A}_K^i \cong \operatorname{Spec}(K[x_1, \dots, x_i])$, then it is necessarily finitely generated by Hilbert's Basis Theorem.

- 6) If R is a Noetherian ring, we know it has finitely many minimal primes. Can you describe how to find them geometrically?

What about algebraically? Show that minimal primes of R contain only zero divisors.

Solution: We can reduce to the reduced case (⊙) by considering the ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R/\operatorname{nil}(R) =: R_{\text{red}}$, and noting that this is a bijection on Spec .

There are many ways to argue from here, as this question is mostly philosophical. One way to find such a minimal prime is by considering $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Since R is Noetherian, $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is a Noetherian topological space. Thus there exists only finitely many irreducible components X_1, \dots, X_n . If we consider $X_i = V(\mathfrak{p}_i) = \operatorname{Spec}(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)$ (since X_i is irreducible, its ideal is prime!), then the \mathfrak{p}_i are the minimal primes of R .

On the algebraic front, first one should note that every minimal prime ideal contains only zero divisors. Indeed, if $x \in \mathfrak{q} \subseteq R$, then localizing R at \mathfrak{q} would yield a local Noetherian ring with a unique prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} = \operatorname{nil}(R_{\mathfrak{q}})$. Thus $x^n = 0$ for some minimal n . In the localization, this implies there exists $b \in R \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ such that $x^n b = 0$. But this means $x \cdot x^{n-1} b = 0$, implying x must be a zero divisor (by induction), since $b \neq 0$.

As a result, to find minimal primes not containing x , we could consider $\operatorname{Ann}(x)$. Modding out by this makes x a NZD in $R/\operatorname{Ann}(x)$. As a result, a minimal prime not containing x is simply any of the minimal primes of $R/\operatorname{Ann}(x)$.