## CLASS 8, MONDAY FEBRUARY 26TH: THE STRUCTURE OF A MODULE: GENERATION AND FREE MODULES

Last time we talked about the sum of 2 submodules  $N + N' \subseteq M$ . This can be used to establish the idea of generation for modules in an identical way to that of ideals.

**Definition 0.1.**  $\circ$  If  $N_{\lambda} \subseteq M$  is a submodule for each  $\lambda$  in an indexing set  $\Lambda$ , then

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_{\lambda} = \{ n_{\lambda_1} + \ldots + n_{\lambda_m} \mid n_{\lambda_i} \in N_{\lambda_i} \}$$

That is to say the **sum of modules** consists of a finite sum of elements from each. If  $\Lambda$  is a finite indexing set, it is often written as  $N_1 + \ldots + N_m$ 

 $\circ$  If  $n \in N$ , we let  $\langle n \rangle_N$  be the smallest submodule of N containing n. It consists precisely of elements  $r \cdot n$  for  $r \in R$ . We can further write

$$\langle S \rangle = \sum_{s \in S} \langle s \rangle_N$$

for any subset  $S \subseteq N$ .

- We say a module is **generated** by a subset  $S \subseteq M$  if  $M = \langle S \rangle$ .
- $\circ$  We say M is **finitely generated** if S can be assumed to be a finite set.
- $\circ$  We say M is **cyclic** if S can be assumed to be 1 element.
- If M is finitely generated, we call S a minimal generating set if there exists no generating set of smaller cardinality.

Finitely generated modules over Noetherian rings are one of the most well studied objects in commutative algebra.

**Example 0.2** (Non-finitely generated modules). Consider  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. It is fairly easy to see that this is a non-finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. In particular, if  $\mathbb{Q} = \langle \frac{a_1}{b_1}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{b_n} \rangle$ , we can choose a rational number smaller than  $|\frac{1}{b_1 \cdots b_n}|$ . This number cannot be represented as a sum with integer coefficients.

Additionally, K[x] as a K-module is an infinite dimensional vector space (with basis  $1, x, x^2, \ldots$ ). Therefore it cannot be finitely generated, or it would be a finite dimensional vector space.

**Example 0.3** (Many cyclic modules). R viewed as an R-module is a cyclic module, generated by 1. The same holds for R/I for an ideal I, so these are all examples of cyclic modules.

**Example 0.4** (Finitely generated modules). Let R = S = K[x]. Consider the map  $R \to S : x \mapsto x^n$  with K fixed. Then S is a non-cyclic but finitely generated R-module. It has a (minimal) generating set given by  $\langle 1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1} \rangle$ .

Next up, we can consider the operation of  $\oplus$ , called the **direct sum**.

**Definition 0.5.** For 2 modules M, N, we define

$$M \oplus N = \{(m, n) \mid m \in M, n \in N\}$$

where addition and multiplication are defined by r(m, n) = (rm, rn) and (m, n) + (m', n') = (m + m', n + n'). We can perform this operation inductively to produce a finite direct sum of modules  $M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_n$ .

There is also a notion of an infinite direct sum, where we consider infinite tuples of elements of each module, but require that all but finitely many of them are 0:

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda} = \{ (m_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mid m_{\lambda} = 0 \text{ for almost all } \lambda \in \Lambda \}$$

This differs from the notion of the **Direct Product**, for which no such restriction is put on almost all  $m_{\lambda}$ . They are however identical in the case of a finite indexing set.

**Definition 0.6.** A module F is said to be **free** if  $F \cong R^{\oplus \Lambda}$  for some indexing set  $\Lambda$ . If  $\Lambda$  is a finite set, we define the **rank** of F is  $rank(F) = |\Lambda|$ .

The rank of a free module is the same as the rank/dimension of a vector space.

One can view minimal generation in terms of free modules. Say M is a module generated minimally by the set  $S = \{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$ . We can then consider the homomorphism

$$g: F = \mathbb{R}^n \to M: (r_1, \dots, r_n) \mapsto r_1 m_1 + \dots + r_n m_n$$

This map is surjective by definition of generation! The kernel of this map can be thought of as an **obstruction** to being free. That is to say  $\ker(g) = 0$  if and only if M is free, and larger kernels can be thought of as 'less free' modules.

**Aside** (Homology). This produces the idea of the **Homology** of a module. Because we can surject onto any module M by a free module  $F_0$ , we can form a **free resolution** of M by surjecting onto the kernel of the map by a free module  $F_1$ , and continue in this fashion:

$$\ldots \to F_2 \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0$$

The propogation of kernels allows one to measure the complexity of the module. We may return to Homological Algebra later on.

On the opposite end of the spectrum, we have a notion of torsion modules:

**Definition 0.7.** A module M is said to be **torsion** if for each  $m \in M$  there exists a non-zero divisor  $r \in R$  (depending on m) such that  $r \cdot m = 0$ .

**Example 0.8** ( $\mathbb{Z}$ ). Any finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module M is a finite Abelian group (as discussed in Class 6). Therefore, if |M| = n, we know that  $n \cdot M = 0$ . Therefore, M is a torsion module! There also exist infinite torsion groups. Let  $p_i$  be the  $i^{th}$  prime number. Then

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p_i \mathbb{Z}$$

is an infinitely generated (thus infinite) torsion module.

This is part of a much larger theorem, that I will state without proof:

**Theorem 0.9** (Finitely Generated Modules over a PID). Let R be a principal ideal domain (every ideal is principal). Then if M is a finitely generated module,

$$M \cong F \oplus T$$

where F is a free module and T is a torsion module.

This is not the case if R is not a PID  $(R = K[x, y], M = \langle x^2 + y^3, x^4 - y^2 \rangle)$  or if M is infinitely generated  $(R = \mathbb{Z}, M = \mathbb{Q})$ .