

## CLASS 20, APRIL 8TH: Spec & ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

We will now transition to a bit of geometric reasoning. Recall that we left off with the following result before break:

**Corollary 1** (Weak Nullstellensatz). *If  $K/k$  is a field extension, and  $K$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra, then  $K/k$  is algebraic/integral, and thus is a finite field extension.*

We can view this as a statement about polynomial rings as follows: if  $\mathfrak{m} \subsetneq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a maximal ideal, then  $L = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{m}$  is a field extension of  $K$ . By the Weak Nullstellensatz, we can conclude that  $L$  is in fact a finite field extension. This gives us the following beautiful corollary (which simultaneously handles all of the cases we painstakingly dealt with previously).

**Theorem 20.1.** *If  $K$  is an algebraically closed field, then every maximal ideal of  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  has the form*

$$\mathfrak{m} = \langle x_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, x_n - \alpha_n \rangle$$

where  $\alpha_i \in K$ . Thus there is a natural bijection of  $\text{m-Spec}(R)$  with  $K^n$ .

*Proof.* First note that all of the ideals of that form are clearly maximal. Their quotient is  $K$ .

Notice that the generators of  $L = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{m}$  as a  $K$ -algebra are the residue classes  $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n$ . By the analysis above, we can conclude that  $L/K$  is a finite/algebraic extension. But we assume  $K$  is algebraically closed! I.e. there exist no non-trivial algebraic extensions of  $K$ . That is to say  $L = K$ . As a result, we note that  $\bar{x}_i \in K$ . I.e.  $\bar{x}_i - \alpha_i = 0$  for some  $\alpha_i \in K$  □

A nice corollary of this fact coming from one of the exam questions is as follows:

**Corollary 20.2.** *Given a polynomial  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we can view*

$$K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subseteq \bar{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

*This is an integral extension, so every maximal ideal has the form*

$$\mathfrak{m} = \langle x_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, x_n - \alpha_n \rangle \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

where  $\alpha_i \in \bar{K}$ .

This brings about the following nice geometric realization of ideals.

**Definition 20.3.** A  $K$ -variety is a set  $V \subseteq K^n$  such that

$$V = V(I) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K^n \mid f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \ \forall f \in I\}$$

where  $I$  is an ideal of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

**Example 20.4.** Consider the ideal  $J = \langle x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$ . The resulting variety  $V(J)$  is the sphere  $S^2$ . If we considered instead  $J = \langle x^2 + y^2 - z^2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$ , then  $V(J')$  is the cone!

Since  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a Noetherian ring, we get that  $I$  is a finitely generate ideal:

$$I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$$

Therefore,  $V(I)$  is the set of points for which  $f_1(a) = \dots = f_m(a) = 0$ .

**Proposition 20.5.** *If  $K$  is algebraically closed, and  $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$  is a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra. Then every maximal ideal has the form  $\mathfrak{m} = \langle x_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, x_n - \alpha_n \rangle$ , where  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in V(I)$ . Thus there is a natural bijection between  $V(I)$  and  $m\text{-Spec}(A)$ .*

*Proof.* This is a culmination of several results from the homeworks:

- The preimage of a prime ideal is a prime.
- The preimage of a maximal ideal under a surjection is maximal.
- $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(K[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$

□

The final piece of data to speak about today is the ideal/variety correspondence. This gives a map which provides something like an inverse for the map  $V$  described above. We will discuss how close it is to an inverse next time.

**Definition 20.6.** Given any subset  $X \subseteq K^n$ , we can define

$$I(X) = \{f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid f(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in X\}$$

This is an ideal of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  (it is an easy check).

**Proposition 20.7.** *Both  $V$  and  $I$  are inclusion reversing maps: If  $J' \subseteq J$ , then  $V(J') \supseteq V(J)$  and if  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $I(Y) \supseteq I(X)$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & V & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \{X \subseteq K^n\} & & \{I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \text{ an ideal.}\} \\ \searrow & & \swarrow \\ & I & \end{array}$$

*Proof.* For the first statement, if every polynomial  $f \in J$  vanishes at some point  $x$ , then so does every  $f \in J'$ ! Thus  $V(J') \supseteq V(J)$ . Similarly, for the second statement, the polynomials which vanish for all  $x \in X$  necessarily vanish for all  $y \in Y \subseteq X$ . □

As an immediate corollary of this fact, we have the following:

**Corollary 20.8.**  *$X \subseteq V(I(X))$  with equality if and only if  $X$  is a variety, i.e.  $X = V(I)$ . Similarly,  $J \subseteq I(V(J))$  for any ideal  $J$ .*

*Proof.*  $X \subseteq V(I(X))$  is demonstrating by the following;  $I(X)$  is the set of all polynomials which vanish on all of  $X$ . These functions may vanish elsewhere, but certainly vanish on  $X$ ! The equality statement follows by definition:  $X = V(J)$  is precisely the set of points for which every  $f \in J$  vanishes on. Rephrased:

$$V(J) = V(I(V(J)))$$

The other statement also follows via similar analysis;  $I(V(J))$  is the set of functions which vanish at all points for which every  $f \in J$  vanishes. More functions may exist! □