

## CLASS 15, MARCH 11TH: INTEGRAL CLOSURES

Recall that last time we proved the following result:

**Proposition 15.1.** *The subset  $\tilde{R} \subseteq A$  given by*

$$\tilde{R} = \{a \in A \mid a \text{ is integral over } R\}$$

*forms a subring of  $A$ . If  $a \in A$  is integral over  $\tilde{R}$ , then it is integral over  $R$ , thus in  $\tilde{R}$ .*

This is an extremely excellent result, as it tells us that  $\tilde{\phantom{x}}$  is a **closure-operation**; applying it twice gives back the result of applying it once! Thus we give it a special name:

**Definition 15.2.** If  $R \subseteq A$ , then we call  $\tilde{R}$  obtained as in Proposition 15.1 the **integral closure** of  $R$  in  $A$ . If  $\tilde{\tilde{R}} = R$ , then  $R$  is said to be **integrally closed**. If  $R$  an integral domain is integrally closed inside of  $\text{Frac}(R)$ , then  $R$  is said to be **normal**.

**Example 15.3.**      $\circ$  If  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$  is a finite extension of fields, then we can consider the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  inside  $K$ . This is how one obtains the *ring of integers* of  $K$ , named  $\mathcal{O}_K = \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

$\circ$  In line with the previous example, if we consider  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$ , then

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{n}] & n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{Z}[\frac{\sqrt{n}+1}{2}] & n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

For a fairly accessible write up of this result I invite you to check out

<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/654202/determining-ring-of-integers-for-mathbbq-sqrt17>.

- $\circ$  Last time we showed that if  $R$  is a UFD, then  $R$  is integrally closed in its field of fractions. Thus we acquire the result all UFDs are normal!
- $\circ$  If  $R$  is an integral domain, another important closure is the *absolute integral closure*, often denoted by  $R^+$ . It is precisely the integral closure inside  $\text{Frac}(R)$ .

**Example 15.4.**  $R = K[x, y]/\langle y^2 - x^3 \rangle$ : You showed that this is an integral domain on Homework 3. Therefore we can consider its integral closure inside  $\text{Frac}(R)$ .

First, let's check that  $\text{Frac}(R) = K(\frac{y}{x})$ . Note that

$$x = \frac{x^3}{x^2} = \frac{y^2}{x^2} = \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^2$$

$$y = \frac{y \cdot x^3}{x^3} = \frac{y^3}{x^3} = \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^3$$

Set  $t = \frac{y}{x}$ , and consider the map  $\iota : R \hookrightarrow K(t)$  with  $\iota(x) = t^2$  and  $\iota(y) = t^3$ . Note  $t$  is integral in  $R$ , since it satisfies  $t^2 - x = 0$ . Additionally,  $K[t]$  is itself normal (since it is a PID, thus a UFD). Therefore the **normalization** of  $R$  (i.e. the integral closure of  $R$  in  $\text{Frac}(R)$ ) is  $K[t]$ . Thinking about this on  $\text{Spec}$  yields an interesting interpretation of normalizations of 'curves'.

Next, we move toward the Noether Normalization Theorem. This allows us to think of  $K$ -algebras as integral extensions of polynomial rings! Let  $A$  be a  $K$ -algebra throughout.

**Definition 15.5.** Elements  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in A$  are **algebraically independent** if the surjection

$$K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow K[z_1, \dots, z_n] : x_i \mapsto z_i$$

is an isomorphism.

The kernel being 0 is simply saying there exist no polynomial relations on the  $z_i$ ; i.e. if  $F \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then

$$F(z_1, \dots, z_n) = 0 \implies F = 0$$

**Theorem 15.6** (Noether Normalization). *If  $A \cong K[x_1, \dots, x_N]/I$  is a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra, then there exists  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in A$  algebraically independent over  $K$  such that  $A$  is a finite  $B = K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ -module.*

**Example 15.7.** In the case of Example 15.4, we can let  $z_1 = x$  (or  $y$ ). Then we can view

$$R = (K[x])[y]/\langle y^2 - x^3 \rangle$$

But  $y$  is integral over  $K[x]$  by the relation defining the ideal. Thus  $K[x]$  is a Noether Normalization of  $R$ .

We prove this theorem by a sort of descending induction argument, stating that if there is an algebraic relation on a finite set of generators, then we can cleverly reduce to a smaller collection:

**Lemma 15.8.** *Given the set up of Theorem 15.6, if  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in A = K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$  are not algebraically independent, then there exists  $z_1^*, \dots, z_{n-1}^*$  such that  $z_n$  is integral over  $A^* = K[z_1^*, \dots, z_{n-1}^*]$ . Moreover,  $A = A^*[z_n]$ .*

I will now prove Theorem 15.6 assuming Lemma 15.8. We will return to the proof of Lemma 15.8 next time.

*Proof.* (of Theorem 15.6): We proceed by induction on  $N$ . If  $N = 0$ , then there is nothing to do. Suppose the result is true for up to  $N - 1$  generated algebras. If there does not exist any polynomial relation on the  $x_i$ , i.e.  $I = 0$ , then we are also done; let  $z_i = x_i$  as  $A$  is already a polynomial ring. Let  $F$  be a non-zero algebraic relation on the generators of  $A$ :

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_N) = 0$$

Lemma 15.8 implies that there exist  $x_1^*, \dots, x_{N-1}^* \in A$  such that  $A = A^*[x_N] = K[x_1^*, \dots, x_{N-1}^*][x_N]$  and  $x_N$  is integral over  $A^*$ . By the inductive hypothesis, we can conclude the existence of elements  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  such that  $A^*$  is a finite extension of  $K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ . But by our tower laws, this further implies that

$$K[z_1, \dots, z_n] \subseteq A^* \subseteq A$$

are 2 finite extensions, thus so is their composition. This proves the result. □