

## CLASS 23, NOVEMBER 6TH: FOURIER TRANSFORMS INTRO

Recall previously that we have discussed the idea of the Fourier transform of a function  $f$ :

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx$$

An important variation on this formula is the **Fourier Inversion Formula**:

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi$$

Since we are performing an integral over the whole real line, there is a question of convergence. We will work to establish a sufficient condition, called moderate descent. But since we work in the complex world, we will attempt to analytically continue a real valued function with this property to a strip around the real line.

**Definition 23.1.** A real valued function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has **moderate descent** if

$$|f(x)|, |\hat{f}(x)| \leq \frac{A}{1+x^2}$$

for some constant  $A$ .

This makes it so that the integrals in question in the above formulas are well define, since

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi}| dx \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{A}{1+x^2} dx = A\pi$$

Using the fact that the last integral has primitive  $A \tan^{-1}(x)$ . The same goes for the inversion formula.

Now, since we work in the complex plane, we will work to define a class of functions  $\mathcal{F}$  for which the same results hold (inversion formula, Poisson summation, etc). We intend to define it analogously to that of moderate descent. But our class will be larger, opening a wider swath of applications.

For each  $a > 0$ , define  $\mathcal{F}_a \subseteq \{f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $f$  is holomorphic in the horizontal strip  $S_a = \{z = x + iy \mid |y| < a\}$ .
- (2) There exists  $A > 0$  such that for  $z = x + iy \in S_a$ ,

$$|f(x + iy)| \leq \frac{A}{1+x^2}$$

This is to say  $\mathcal{F}_a$  is the set of functions satisfying moderate descent on fixed real lines of  $S_a$  uniformly.

**Example 23.2.**  $f(z) = e^{-\pi z^2}$  has  $f \in S_a$  for each  $a > 0$ . This is because

$$|f(z)| = e^{-\pi x^2 + \pi y^2}$$

and since  $y$  is bounded,  $f$  decays exponentially with  $f$ . Note that previously we showed that this function is its own Fourier Transform!

Similarly,  $f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{c}{c^2 + z^2}$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_a$  for each  $a < c$  (to avoid the poles at  $z = \pm ic$ ). Away from these poles, we get

$$|f(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{c}{c^2 + z^2} \right| = \frac{c}{\pi} \left| \frac{1}{c^2 + z^2} \right|$$

For  $x > 2c$ , this function experiences the desired rate of decay.

Lastly, we have also shown  $\frac{1}{\cosh(\pi z)}$  is its own Fourier transform. It can be shown to be in  $\mathcal{F}_a$  for each  $a < \frac{1}{2}$ .

**NOTE:** We can allow more functions into  $\mathcal{F}_a$  without change if we define moderate decrease by  $|f(z)| \leq \frac{C}{1+|x|^{1+\epsilon}}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Definition 23.3.** We define  $\mathcal{F}$  to be the set of functions  $f$  that are in some  $\mathcal{F}_a$ :

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{a>0} \mathcal{F}_a$$

**Theorem 23.4.** If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , then  $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq B e^{-2\pi b|\xi|}$  for all  $0 \leq b < a$ .

This result says that if  $f$  has moderate decay, then  $\hat{f}$  has exponential decay. At the very least, this allows us to say that  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{F}$  given  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . But it is a far stronger result than this.

*Proof.* For  $b = 0$ , we have that  $\hat{f}$  is bounded. This is immediate given its definition. So suppose  $0 < b < a$ . Begin with the case  $\xi > 0$ . The idea is to shift the integral down to the line where the imaginary part is  $-b$  using contour integration along the rectangle  $\mathcal{R} = [-R, R, R - ib, -R - ib]$ . Note that the vertical sides of this rectangle have the property that

$$\left| \int_{R-ib}^R f(z) e^{-2\pi i z \xi} dz \right| \leq \int_0^b |f(R - it) e^{-2\pi i (R - it) \xi}| dt \leq \int_0^b \left| \frac{A}{R^2} e^{-2\pi i (R - it) \xi} \right| dt \leq \frac{C}{R^2} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, by Cauchy/Goursat, we have that

$$\tilde{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x - ib) e^{-2\pi i (x - ib) \xi} dx$$

and thus

$$|\tilde{f}(\xi)| \leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{A}{1+x^2} e^{-2\pi b \xi} dx \right| \leq A \pi e^{-2\pi b \xi}$$

A very similar argument works for  $\xi < 0$ , but instead you need to consider the rectangle  $\mathcal{R} = [-R, R, R + ib, -R + ib]$ .  $\square$

This at the very least ensures that Fourier Inversion makes sense. Next time we will show that it *is* an inversion formula.