

HOMEWORK 6: NORMALITY AND URYSOHN THEOREMS

DUE: OCTOBER 26

- 1) Show a closed subspace of a normal space is normal.

Solution: Let $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset, where X is normal. If $A, B \subseteq Y$ are closed subsets, then A, B are closed in X since Y is closed. Therefore, there exist separating open set U, V in X containing A, B respectively. The desired sets are $U \cap Y$ and $V \cap Y$.

- 2) Show that if X_α are non-empty topological spaces, and $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ is T2 or T3 or T4, then so is each X_α .

Solution: Each of the projection maps are continuous surjective maps. We may consider the inclusion $\iota : X_{\alpha_0} \hookrightarrow \prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ sending $x \in X_{\alpha_0}$ to (x_α) , where x_α is fixed for $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$, and $x_{\alpha_0} = x$. This is continuous by a previous homework, and induces a homeomorphism onto its image (in either the product or box topology).

Note that the image under ι of a point is a point (since ι is a function). Furthermore, ι a homeomorphism onto its image, and thus in particular closed. Therefore, $\iota(A) \subseteq \iota(X)$ is a closed set in the subspace topology. This yields a closed subset A' of $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ whose intersection with $\iota(X_{\alpha_0})$ is exactly $\iota(A)$. Moreover, we can guarantee that A' and a point (or closed set B') remain disjoint, since $A' = A \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha_0} X_\alpha$ is closed with the given property. In each of the cases above, take U, V the disjoint open subsets of $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ separating the given sets of interest for a particular condition T(2-4).

Intersecting U, V with $\iota(X_{\alpha_0})$ produces open subsets of the subspace, which again are disjoint since the original sets were. Therefore, taking their preimages under ι (equivalently, images under $\iota^{-1} : \iota(X_{\alpha_0}) \rightarrow X_{\alpha_0}$ produces open disjoint subsets of the required type.

- 3) Show that the following 2 conditions are equivalent:

- 1) Every subspace of X is normal.
- 2) For all A, B subsets of X such that $\bar{A} \cap B = A \cap \bar{B} = \emptyset$, there exists U, V open disjoint sets separating A and B ; $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$.

In such a case, X is said to be **T5**, or **completely normal**.

Solution: 1) \Rightarrow 2): Given every subspace of X is normal, let A, B subsets as in 2). We can consider $A, B \subseteq (\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})^c$. In the subspace topology, these sets have the property that their closures have the form $\bar{A} \setminus \bar{B}$ and $\bar{B} \setminus \bar{A}$ respectively (the overlines are denoting their closure in X). Therefore, these sets are automatically disjoint and closed in the subspace, thus separated by open disjoint sets U, V . But these are open in X as well, since $(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})^c$ is open. Thus U, V separate A and B in X as well.

2) \Rightarrow 1): Let $Y \subseteq X$ be a subspace. Given A, B closed subsets of Y , note that $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} \subseteq Y^c$. Therefore, A, B have the property of necessary to apply 2). Letting

U, V be the desired separating sets, we note that $U \cap Y$ and $V \cap Y$ are open in the subspace Y and separate A, B .

- 4) Show that any connected normal space X containing 2 disjoint non-empty closed sets A, B is uncountable.

Solution: Take A, B closed non-empty disjoint sets. Applying Urysohn, we see that there exists a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is 0 on A and 1 on B . Note that $[0, 1]$ is uncountable. If $a \in [0, 1]$ is such that $f^{-1}(a) \neq \emptyset$, then $f^{-1}([0, a))$ and $f^{-1}((a, 1])$ form a separation of X , showing X is not connected. So choosing $x_a \in f^{-1}(a)$ for each $a \in [0, 1]$, we see that

$$[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X : a \mapsto x_a$$

as sets, and therefore X is uncountable.

- 5) We say $Y \subseteq X$ is a \mathbf{G}_δ set if Y is an intersection of countably many open sets. Similarly, Y is a \mathbf{F}_σ set if it is a countable union of closed sets. Use the techniques of the proof of Urysohn's Lemma to show the following result:

Theorem. *If X is normal, then there exists $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ a continuous function such that $f^{-1}(0) = A$ iff A is a closed G_δ set.*¹

Solution: (\Rightarrow): If such an f exists, $A = f^{-1}(0)$ is closed and additionally A is a G_δ :

$$A = \bigcap_{n>0} f^{-1}\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right)\right)$$

(\Leftarrow): If A is a G_δ set, let $A = \bigcap_{a \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)} U_a$. Let $U_1 = X$. With the trick from Urysohn's Lemma, we may assume (by potentially shrinking U_a by intersection and normality) that

$$A \subseteq U_a \subseteq \overline{U_a} \subseteq U_b$$

if $a < b$. To be precise, enumerate $\mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$ with p_1, p_2, \dots . Take V_{p_1} such that

$$A \subseteq V_{p_1} \subseteq \overline{V_{p_1}} \subseteq U_{p_1}$$

Inductively, let V_{p_n} be an open set such that for $p_i < p_n < p_j$ as close as possible,

$$V_{p_i} \subseteq V_{p_n} \subseteq \overline{V_{p_n}} \subseteq V_{p_j} \cap U_{p_n}$$

If no p_i or p_j exist, then let $V_{p_i} = A$ and $V_{p_j} = X$ respectively. Note that since $A \subseteq V_{p_i} \subseteq U_{p_i}$ for each i , we see $A = \bigcap_i V_{p_i}$. And the V_{p_i} are arranged exactly as in the proof of Urysohn.

Now, we can define $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as in the proof of Urysohn:

$$f(x) = \inf \{a \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1] \mid x \in V_a\}$$

This again is well defined and continuous by the arguments in Urysohn's lemma. Furthermore, since $A = \bigcap_{a \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)} V_a$, we have $f(x) = 0$ iff $x \in V_a$ for all a iff $x \in A$. This completes the proof.

¹Urysohn's Lemma holds exactly when A and B are G_δ -sets.

(\Leftarrow) (alternative proof by a student): Given X is normal, and $A = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i$ a G_δ -set, Urysohn's Lemma implies that we can find a continuous function $f_i : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is 0 on A and 1 on U_i^c . Now, we can form a continuous function

$$f : X \rightarrow [0, 2] : x \mapsto \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-i} f_i(x)$$

Note that this function is well defined and continuous, since the sequence of partial sums converges uniformly to f (note $\frac{1}{2^n} < \epsilon$ implies $|f_{n+1}(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$). Furthermore, if $x \in A$, then $f_i(x) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so $f(x) = 0$. On the other hand, if $x \notin A$, then

$$x \in A^c = \left(\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i \right)^c = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i^c$$

so $x \in U_i^c$ for some i , and therefore $f_i(x) = 1$, and therefore $f(x) \geq 2^{-i} > 0$. So $f(x) = 0$ exactly on A .

- 6) X is **T6** or **perfectly normal** if it is normal and every closed set is a G_δ -set. Show every metric space is T6 and that T6 implies T5.

Solution: Let $A \subseteq X$ be a closed subset of a metric space X . By our previous homework, we know that $f(x) = d(x, A)$ is a continuous function of $x \in X$ with the property that $f^{-1}(0) = A$. Therefore, by the previous problem, A is a G_δ -set.

Let $A, B \subseteq X$ be sets as in definition 2) of problem 3. Assume X is T6. Let f_A and f_B be the functions from the previous problem, for $\bar{A}, \bar{B} \subseteq X$ closed subsets. Since f_A vanishes precisely on \bar{A} and f_B precisely on \bar{B} , we see that $f = f_A - f_B : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with the property that $U = f^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$ and $V = f^{-1}((0, \infty))$ are open disjoint sets containing A and B respectively.

- 7) Show that if X is a compact Hausdorff space, then X is metrizable if and only if X is second-countable.

Solution: (\Rightarrow): If X is a compact metric space, then X has a countable basis. Indeed, consider the basis $\mathcal{B}_n = \{B(x, \frac{1}{n}) \mid x \in X\}$. Since this forms an open cover of X finitely many will do, so let \mathcal{B}'_n be the resulting finite collection of opens. Then I claim $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup \mathcal{B}'_n$ is a countable basis for X .

Every $x \in X$ is in some element of each \mathcal{B}_n by definition of a cover. If $x \in B(y, \frac{1}{n}) \cap B(y', \frac{1}{n'})$, then $\exists r > 0$ such that $B(x, r) \subseteq B(y, \frac{1}{n}) \cap B(y', \frac{1}{n'})$ since it is open. On the other hand, if we let $n \gg 0$ such that $\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{r}{2}$, then $x \in B(y'', \frac{1}{n})$. Furthermore, we see $B(y'', \frac{1}{n}) \subseteq B(x, r)$, since if $z \in B(y'', \frac{1}{n})$, then

$$d(x, z) \leq d(x, y'') + d(y'', z) < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} < r$$

It also generates the same topology, but this follows similarly to the previous statement.

(\Leftarrow): By Theorem 17.2, every compact Hausdorff space is normal. Since X is assumed second-countable, X is metrizable by Urysohn's Metrizability Theorem.