

CLASS 19, OCTOBER 24: THE URYSOHN METRIZATION THEOREM

Our objective today is to demonstrate that a large class of topological spaces are in fact metric spaces. As a result, I will convince you that the beautiful Urysohn Lemma was actually intended to be a lemma by its creator, to prove a corresponding result about *metrizability*. We will use this notion of metrizability so I define it here. But the core idea is the following:

$$(X, d) \xrightarrow{\text{Metric Topo}} (X, \tau) \xrightarrow{X \text{ Metrizable}} (X, d_\tau)$$

Definition 19.1. A topological space (X, τ) is called **metrizable** if there exists d a metric on X such that τ is the metric-topology associated to (X, d) .

As a further application of Urysohn's Lemma, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 19.2 (Urysohn Metrization Theorem). *Every second-countable $T_3 + T_1$ topological space (X, τ) is metrizable.*

Note that by Theorem 17.1, we already know that X is normal. However, not all normal spaces are metrizable. So this can be viewed as a strengthening of Theorem 17.1 as well as a partial converse to the result of Homework 5, Exercise 5: Metric spaces are normal. Before this, I demonstrate a claim from Class 14:

Lemma 19.3. *The space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the product topology is metrizable.*

Proof. I claim that if $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and we let $d_i = \min\{|x_i - y_i|, 1\}$, then

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{d_i(x, y)}{n} \right\}$$

is the required metric. Note that

$$B(x, \epsilon) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i - i \cdot \epsilon, x_i + i \cdot \epsilon) \times \prod_{i>n} \mathbb{R}$$

where n is chosen minimal such that $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$. Therefore, the metric topology of d is contained within the product topology. On the other hand, given a basis element of the product topology

$$U = \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i) \times \prod_{i>n} \mathbb{R}$$

and $x \in U$, we get that $B(x, r) \subseteq U$, where

$$r = \min \left\{ \frac{x_i - a_i}{i}, \frac{b_i - x_i}{i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}$$

□

Now, to prove the metrization theorem, we aim to prove that a second countable metric space may be embedded¹ into $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the product topology. This, combined with

¹A topological embedding is short for a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ which is a homeomorphism of X to $f(X)$.

Lemma 19.3 yields the desired result, since a subspace of a metric space is a metric space. First we need another lemma:

Lemma 19.4. *If (X, τ) is a second-countable T_3 space, then there exists a countable collection $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for any $x \in X$ and neighborhood U of x , there exists n such that $f_n(x) > 0$ and $f_n(U^c) = 0$.*

Proof. Let U_1, U_2, \dots be a countable basis for τ . For any pair of indices n, m such that $\overline{U_n} \subseteq U_m$, apply Urysohn's Lemma to produce a function $f_{n,m} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f_{n,m}(\overline{U_n}) = 1$ and $f_{n,m}(U_m^c) = 0$. This is a countable collection of functions since it is surjected onto by \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Now, given U a neighborhood of x , there exists $U_m \subseteq U$ containing x by definition of a basis. Now applying Theorem 16.4, we know there is some open subset $V \subseteq U_m$ containing x whose closure lies within U_m . But since it is open, it contains some U_n containing x !. The function $f_{n,m}$ then satisfies $f_{n,m}(x) = 1$ since $x \in U_n$, and $f(U^c) = 0$ since $U^c \subseteq U_m^c$. \square

We can now prove the main result.

Proof. (of Theorem 19.2): Let f_1, f_2, \dots be the collection of functions guaranteed by Lemma 19.4. Take $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : x \mapsto (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots)$.

First, I claim F is an embedding. Note that F is continuous:

$$F^{-1} \left(U_1 \times \dots \times U_n \times \prod_{i \geq n+1} \mathbb{R} \right) = f_1^{-1}(U_1) \cap \dots \cap f_n^{-1}(U_n)$$

Now, it goes to show that F is injective. Suppose $x \neq y$. Then $\exists U$ an open neighborhood of x not containing y (e.g. $\{y\}^c$ using T_1). Therefore, there exists U_n for which $x \in U_n$ and $y \notin U_n$, implying $f_n(x) > 0 = f_n(y)$. Therefore, $F(x) \neq F(y)$.

Now, it goes to prove that X is homeomorphic to its image. We know this is equivalent to checking F is an open map. Let $U \subseteq X$ be an open set, and let $V = F(U)$. Letting $v \in V$, it suffices to construct an open neighborhood V' of v contained within V . Let $x \in X$ be such that $F(x) = v$. Since U is an open neighborhood of x , there exists f_n such that $f_n(x) > 0$, and $f_n(U^c) = 0$. That is to say $f_n^{-1}((0, \infty)) \subseteq U$.

Therefore, we note that $\pi_n^{-1}(0, \infty)$ is an open of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and therefore $V' = \pi_n^{-1}(0, \infty) \cap F(X)$ is open in the subspace topology of $F(X)$. Notice that $v \in V'$:

$$\pi_n(v) = \pi_n(F(x)) = f_n(x)$$

Lastly, we need to show $V' \subseteq V$. For $v' \in V'$, notice that $v' = F(x')$ for some x' , and $\pi_n(v') \in (0, \infty)$. But $\pi_n(v') = f_n(x')$, and therefore $x' \in U$ since $f_n(U^c) = 0$. Therefore, $v' = F(x') \in F(U)$. This completes the proof. \square

It should be noted that in Munkres, they prove the same fact with the uniform topology. The last order of business is to note that we proved something slightly stronger:

Corollary 19.5 (Embedding Theorem). *If X is T_1 , then if $f_\alpha : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda$ are continuous functions such that every point x and neighborhood U has some f_α with $f_\alpha(x) > 0$ and $f_\alpha(U^c) = 0$, then $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\Lambda : x \mapsto (f_\alpha(x))_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is an embedding. We can also restrict the domain to $[0, 1]^\Lambda$ if each f_α is bounded in $[0, 1]$.*