## HOMEWORK 8: FINITENESS & NAGATA-SMIRNOFF DUE: NOVEMBER 2

1) An open covering is **point-finite** if any point is in at most finitely many elements. Find an example of a point finite open covering which is not locally finite.

**Solution:** Let X = [0, 1], and consider [0, 1] and  $U_n = (0, \frac{1}{n})$ . If x = 0,  $x \notin U_n$  for any n. Any point  $x \neq 0$  has the property that  $\frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n \geq N$ , so in particular the cover is point-finite. On the other hand it isn't locally finite since a neighborhood of 0 will intersect all of them.

2) Show that if X is second-countable, the  $\mathcal{A}$  is a countably locally finite set if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is countable.

**Solution:** If A is countable, then it is trivially countably locally finite.

On the other hand, suppose that X is countably locally finite. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n$  be a decomposition into locally finite components. Since X is second countable, there exist countably many neighborhoods to check say  $U_1, U_2, \ldots$  Therefore, for each  $x \in X$ , there is some  $U_i$  containing it which intersects  $\mathcal{A}_n$  finitely many times. Call the finite collection of such things  $\mathcal{A}_{n,i} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n$ . Since every element of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  intersects at least one neighborhood, we see that  $\mathcal{A}_n = \bigcup_{i \text{ possible}} \mathcal{A}_{n,i}$ . But this implies

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i \text{ possible}} \mathcal{A}_{n,i}$$

which is a countable union of finite sets, thus countable.

3) In the uniform topology, let  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  is the collection of all subsets  $\prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $X_1 = \ldots = X_n = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $U_m = \{0\}$  or  $U_m = \{1\}$  for m > n. Show  $\mathfrak{B} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}_i$  is countably locally finite but not countable or locally finite.

**Solution:** First note that each  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  is in bijection with  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , which is uncountable (in bijection with  $\mathbb{R}$ , or more obviously the Cantor set). Therefore  $\mathfrak{B}$  is of course uncountable.

Additionally, the total set is not locally finite. Consider 0 = (0, 0, ...). This point is in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \prod_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \{0\}$  for each n, which is an element of  $\mathfrak{B}_n$ .

Finally, it goes to check that each  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  is locally finite. Suppose  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . If some  $x_m \neq 0, 1$  for m > n, choose  $B(x, \min\{|x_m|, |1 - x_m|\})$ . This doesn't intersect ANY element of  $\mathfrak{B}_n$ . Otherwise, every  $x_m$  is either 0 or 1 for m > n. In this case, choose  $U = B(x_m, \frac{1}{2})$ . This intersects only  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \prod_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \{x_m\}$ , as every other set has at least one element differing by 1. This proves the claim.

4) Show that a T1 space has a locally finite basis if and only if it is discrete.

**Solution:** If X is discrete, it has a basis of 1-point sets. Therefore it has a locally finite basis.

On the other hand, suppose that X has a locally finite basis. Let  $x \in X$  and U an open neighborhood be such that  $B_1, \ldots, B_n$  are the only basis elements intersecting U. At least one contains x, so we can form a set

$$B_x = \bigcap_{x \in B_i} B_i$$

This is an open set containing x. Furthermore it is the smallest open set containing x. Suppose  $y \neq x$  is in  $B_x$ . Then there exists no open set containing x which doesn't contain y. Therefore, X isn't T1. A contradiction. Therefore,  $B_x = \{x\}$ , so X is discrete.

5) Find a non-discrete space which has a countably locally finite basis, but is not second-countable.

**Solution:** Consider  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with the uniform topology. We already know this space is not second-countable, so it suffices to check that it is countably locally finite. For a fixed n, let

$$\mathfrak{B}_n = \left\{ B\left(x, \frac{1}{n}\right) \mid x \in X \right\}$$

Since every metric space is paracompact, we know that there exists a locally finite open covering refinement of  $\mathfrak{B}_n$ . Call it  $\mathfrak{B}'_n$ . Then I claim  $\mathfrak{B} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}'_n$  is the desired basis. Since  $\mathfrak{B}'_n$  covers X, we know that for any given x there exists an open set  $U_n$  containing x in  $\mathfrak{B}'_n$ , and thus there exists a ball of a given radius  $B(x,\epsilon)$ . But once  $\frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$ , the same can be said about  $\mathfrak{B}'_n$ . This shows it is a basis, which by construction is countably locally finite.

6) Find an example to show that a paracompact space can have an open cover  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_{\alpha}$  which doesn't have a locally finite subcover  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \subseteq \Lambda'} U_{\alpha}$  with  $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda$ .

**Solution:** Choose  $B(0,n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ . This is clearly a covering of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and every element contains 0. Therefore no such subcover can exist.

However,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is paracompact.

7) Find an example of a locally compact Hausdorff space which is not normal.<sup>1</sup> You may use any asserted results from Class 16.

**Solution:** Note that every paracompact Hausdorff space is normal. Therefore, it suffices to find a locally compact Hausdorff space which isn't normal.

An example of such a space results from Class 16; the tangent-disc topology. This is a non-normal space, so it only goes to show that it is locally compact. Given a point not on the y-axis, we have that there exists a neighborhood whose closure doesn't intersect the y-axis. Therefore it has the Euclidean topology in the subspace.

Now take  $(0,y) \subseteq U$ . The basis ensures that there is some r > 0 such that

$$\{(0,y)\} \cup B((-2r,y),r) \cup B((2r,y),r) \subseteq U$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Therefore locally compact and Hausdorff do not imply paracompact.

Note that for  $x \in \bar{B}((r,y),r)$ , we have (using the standard Euclidean metric)

$$d(x,(2r,y)) \le d(x,(r,y)) + d((r,y),(2r,y)) \le r + r = 2r$$

with equality if and only if x = (0, y). This implies

$$Y = \bar{B}((-r,y),r) \cup \bar{B}((r,y),r) \subseteq \{(0,y)\} \cup B((-2r,y),r) \cup B((2r,y),r) \subseteq U$$

It is closed in the Euclidean topology thus also in the tangent-disc. Now, I claim it is a compact space. Suppose  $Y = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ . Choose  $(0, y) \in U_{\alpha_0}$ . Then  $B \setminus U$  contains no points on the y-axis, and therefore has the Euclidean subspace topology. Since it is a closed and bounded set of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , it is compact!