

CLASS 27, APRIL 24TH: $\text{Supp}(R)$ VS $\text{Ass}(R)$

Today we will discuss the relationship between associated primes and the support of a given module M . The primary result is as follows:

Theorem 27.1. *If M is an R -module, then $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M)} V(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \text{Supp}(M)$. In particular, associated primes are in the support. Additionally, if R is Noetherian, and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$ is a minimal element, then $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 25.2 (a), it suffices to check that $\text{Ass}(R) \subseteq \text{Supp}(M)$. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}(m)$ be an associated prime and consider the residue field $k(\mathfrak{p}) := (R/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $R/\mathfrak{p} \subseteq M$, we have $k(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$.

Now on to the additional statement. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$ is minimal. This is to say for any $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$, we have $M_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$ but $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$.

Step 1: (Prove the result in the local case). Consider $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. Since $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a non-zero module over a Noetherian ring, $\text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq \emptyset$. I claim that the only possibility is $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ itself. Suppose $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Then

$$(M_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}}} = M_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$$

by our minimality assumption. As a result, $\text{Supp}(M) = \{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$. This necessarily implies $\text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$, since $\text{Ass}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq \text{Supp}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Step 2: (Trace back to R itself). We note that step 1 yields that there exists $(s, m) \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ whose annihilator is precisely $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If $t, u \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then t, u map to units in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore $u \notin \text{Ann}(tm)$. This shows that $\text{Ann}(tm) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. The assertion that remains to show is that there is a t to make this an equality.

Since $(1, p) \cdot (s, m) \sim (1, 0)$ for all $p \in \mathfrak{p}$, we have that $t \cdot pm = 0$ for some $t \notin \mathfrak{p}$ depending on p . \mathfrak{p} is a finitely generated ideal by the Noetherian condition. As a result, we can choose t_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$ for each of the generators, then notice $\text{Ann}(t_1 \cdots t_n \cdot m) = \mathfrak{p}$. This completes the proof. \square

This allows us to somewhat reverse the containment of Theorem 27.1:

Corollary 27.2. *If M is a finitely generated R -module, and R is Noetherian, then*

$$\text{Supp}(M) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(\mathfrak{p}_i)$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ are the minimal primes containing $\text{Ann}(M)$. Each $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Ass}(M)$.

Proof. We know that $\text{Supp}(M) = V(\text{Ann}(M))$, since M is finitely generated (by Proposition 25.2 (d)). Additionally, by Corollary 23.4, there are only finitely minimal primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ containing $\text{Ann}(M)$, and $V(\text{Ann}(M)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(\mathfrak{p}_i)$. Since they are minimal in the support, Theorem 27.1 shows they are associated primes. \square

This style of reasoning allows us to truly get at the structure of finitely generated modules over Noetherian rings:

Theorem 27.3. *If R is a Noetherian ring, and M is a finitely generated R -module, then there exists a chain of submodules*

$$0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_n = M$$

such that $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong R/\mathfrak{p}_i$ for various $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Spec}(R)$. In addition,

$$\text{Ass}(M) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}.$$

Proof. If $M \neq 0$, then there exists some associate prime $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in \text{Ass}(M)$. This means precisely that $R/\mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq M$. Call this module M_1 . Then we can consider M/M_1 . Either this is 0 or there exists an associated prime \mathfrak{p}_2 . By the same procedure, we can construct $M'_2 \cong R/\mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq M/M_1$. This corresponds to modules

$$0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2 = M'_2 + M_1 \subseteq M$$

Iterating this procedure yields an ascending chain

$$0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq M_2 \subsetneq \dots \subseteq M$$

But M is Noetherian, since it is finitely generated over a Noetherian ring. Therefore the chain eventually must stabilize, at M .

For the latter statement, we can consider $0 \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1} \rightarrow M_{i+1}/M_i \cong R/\mathfrak{p}_{i+1} \rightarrow 0$. Induction shows $\text{Ass}(M_{i+1}) \subseteq \text{Ass}(M_i) \cup \text{Ass}(R/\mathfrak{p}_{i+1}) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}\}$. \square

Definition 27.4. A series associated to M as in Theorem 27.3 is called a **prime filtration** for M .

Prime filtrations are non-unique, this is easily detectable for things such as direct sums: $R/\mathfrak{p} \oplus R/\mathfrak{q}$. Here is another few examples:

Example 27.5. The \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ has a filtration of length $e_1 + \dots + e_m$, where $n = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_m^{e_m}$;

$$0 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p_1\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p_1^2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p_1^n\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p_1^n p_2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

Of course, there are many distinct possibilities for a series. Up to isomorphism, there are (at least)

$$\binom{e_1 + \dots + e_n}{e_1, \dots, e_n} = \binom{e_1 + \dots + e_n}{e_1} \binom{e_2 + \dots + e_n}{e_2} \dots \binom{e_{n-1} + e_n}{e_{n-1}} \text{-many}$$

It should be noted that in this case the associated primes are exactly those which appear in the composition series.

Example 27.6. Consider the ring $R = K[x^4, x^3y, xy^3, y^4]$ and the ideal $I = \langle x^4 \rangle$. It can be checked that

$$\text{Ass}(R/I) = \{\langle x^4, x^3y, xy^3 \rangle, \mathfrak{m} = \langle x^4, x^3y, xy^3, y^4 \rangle\}$$

The first is the annihilator of $(xy^3)^3 = x^3y^9$. Notice

$$x^3y \cdot x^3y^9 = x^4y^{10} = x^6y^{10} = x^4 \cdot (xy^3)^2 \cdot y^4 = 0$$

$$xy^3 \cdot x^3y^9 = x^4y^{12} = x^4(y^4)^3 = 0$$

Of $xy^3 \cdot y^{4i} \neq 0$ in R/I . I leave it to you to check $\text{Ann}((x^3y)^2) = \mathfrak{m}$. This is already weird, as we have a non-minimal element in the associated primes. Such a thing is sometimes called an embedded component. Modding out by the first ideal, we get

$$0 \subseteq M_1 = R/\langle x^4, x^3y, xy^3 \rangle \cong K[y^4] \subseteq R/I$$

The cokernel of this inclusion is nothing but a K -vector space generated by $(x^3y)^i$ and $(xy^3)^i$. For $i = 1, 2, 3$. This accounted for with 6 iterations of R/\mathfrak{m} (from the highest degree to lowest).