## HOMEWORK 6: PERFECT RINGS AND SPLITTINGS DUE: FRIDAY MAY 4

1) We have already see that the map  $R \to F_*R$  induces a bijection on prime ideals. Can you say the same for  $R \to R^{\infty}$ ?

**Solution:** I claim that it is bijective on spectrum. We will continuously use the fact that  $R \subseteq F_*^e R \subseteq R^{\infty}$ . We have an induced map  $\varphi : \operatorname{Spec}(R^{\infty}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$  by taking preimages. It goes to show that this map is bijective.

For surjectivity, let  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$  be a prime ideal. Consider

$$\mathfrak{p}^{\infty} = \left\{ x \in R^{\infty} \mid x^{p^e} \in \mathfrak{p} \text{ for some } e \ge 0 \right\}$$

I claim that this is a prime ideal. Let  $a, b \in R^{\infty}$  with  $ab \in \mathfrak{p}^{\infty}$ . Then there exists some  $e \geq 0$  with  $a, b \in F_*^e R$ . But this implies  $a \cdot b \in F_*^e \mathfrak{p}$ , and therefore  $a \in F_*^e \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{\infty}$  or  $b \in F_*^e \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{\infty}$ . This proves the claim.

Next, I check injectivity. Similarly to the proof of surjectivity, suppose that  $\mathfrak{p}^{\infty} \neq \mathfrak{q}^{\infty}$ , but  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^{\infty} \cap R = \mathfrak{q}^{\infty} \cap R = \mathfrak{q}$ . Let  $f \in \mathfrak{q}^{\infty} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^{\infty}$ . Then  $f \in R^{\frac{1}{p^e}}$  for some  $e \geq 0$ . Therefore,  $f^{p^e} \in \mathfrak{q} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . This contradicts the assumption.

2) Show that a ring R is F-split (respectively F-regular) if and only if  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is F-split (resp. F-regular) for every maximal ideal.

**Solution:** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) If R is F-split, we can tensor the splitting with  $W^{-1}R$  for any multiplicative set W and get an F-splitting of  $W^{-1}R$ . The same goes for  $R \to F_*^e \langle c \rangle$ 

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Consider fitting the evaluation map at 1 (or c a NZD) into an exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(F^e_*R,R) \stackrel{ev}{\to} R \to \operatorname{coker}(ev) \to 0$$

Tensoring this sequence with  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  for each  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we get an exact

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(F_{*}^{e}R_{\mathfrak{m}}, R_{\mathfrak{m}}) \stackrel{ev}{\to} R_{\mathfrak{m}} \to \operatorname{coker}(ev)_{\mathfrak{m}} \to 0$$

If we know  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is F-split (resp F-regular) the evaluation map is surjective. So  $\operatorname{coker}(ev)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ . But this implies  $\operatorname{coker}(ev) = 0$ .

3) Is  $R = K[x, y, z]/\langle x^3 + y^3 + z^3 \rangle$  an F-split ring? Be careful about the characteristic p>0 chosen.

**Solution:** According to the corollary of Fedder, R is F-split if and only if  $f^{p-1} \notin \mathfrak{m}^{[p]}$ . So it comes down to considering

$$f^{p-1} = \sum_{i+j+k=p-1} c_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k$$

We note that  $c_{ijk} \neq 0$  for any i, j, k, because it is an integer with a rational presentation containing (p-1)! in the numerator (no p factors). The question breaks down into cases.

Case 1; p = 3: In this case,  $f \in \mathfrak{m}^{[3]}$ , so of course  $f^2$  is as well. This implies immediately that R is NOT F-split.

Case 2;  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ : In this case,  $\frac{p-1}{3}$  is an integer. Therefore, we can choose  $i=j=k=\frac{p-1}{3}$  to get an  $x^{p-1}y^{p-1}z^{p-1}$  term. Therefore R is F-split!

Case 3;  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ : In this case,  $\frac{p-1}{3}$  is NOT an integer. Therefore, either i or j or k is bigger than  $\frac{p-1}{3}$ . Say i WLOG. This implies

$$3i > 3\frac{p-1}{3} = p-1$$

But 3i is an integer, so  $3i \geq p$ , implying  $x^{3i} \in \mathfrak{m}^{[p]}$ . Therefore R is NOT F-split.

4) Is the Cohen-Macaulay non-regular ring  $R = K[x^2, x^3]$  F-split?

**Solution:** I claim it is not for any characteristic. Recall  $R \cong K[X,Y]/\langle Y^2-X^3\rangle$ . Therefore, again it suffices to check that whether or not  $(Y^2-X^3)^{p-1}\notin \mathfrak{m}^{[p]}$ , or equivalently, if there exists i,j such that i+j=p-1 and 2i,3j< p. This implies that  $j\leq \frac{p-1}{3}$  and  $i\leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Adding these inequalities together, we see that

$$p-1 = i+j \leq \frac{5(p-1)}{6} < p-1$$

Therefore, we see this is impossible.

5) Show that  $R = K[x, y, z]/\langle x^4 + y^4 + z^4 \rangle$  is never F-split.

**Solution:** Again, we need to find i, j, k such that i+j+k=p-1 and 4i, 4j, 4k < p. Adding up these equations,

$$p-1 = i+j+k \le \frac{3(p-1)}{4} < p-1$$

6) In this problem, we will show that R = S/I in Fedder's Criterion can NOT be weakened to a more arbitrary quotient. Find an example of  $S \supseteq J \supseteq I$  such that

$$\text{Hom}(F_*S/J, S/J) \ncong F_*((J/I)^{[p]} : J/I) \text{Hom}(F_*S/I, S/I)$$

Solution: Noting what Fedder's Criterion gives us, we see

$$\text{Hom}(F_*S/J, S/J) = F_*(J^{[p]}: J) \text{Hom}_S(F_*S, S)$$

$$\text{Hom}(F_*S/I, S/I) = F_*(I^{[p]}: I) \text{Hom}_S(F_*S, S)$$

So comparing the two sides, it suffices to show that

$$F_*(J^{[p]}:J) \neq F_*((J/I)^{[p]}:J/I) \cdot (I^{[p]}:I)$$

Here is the motivation: If we take a prime  $\bar{J}$  of R/I non-F-split, and R/J is regular (or more generally F-split), then there is no way  $1 \mapsto 1$ . As an example, Let  $R = K[x,y,z]/\langle x^4 + y^4 + z^4 \rangle$  be as in the previous example. Then R is not F-split, and in fact  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(F_*R,R) \to \mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$ . But then if we take  $\mathfrak{m} = J$ , we have  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  is a field, thus regular, thus F-split. However, if  $\psi \in F_*(J^{[p]}:J) \operatorname{Hom}(F_*R/I,R/I)$ , then  $\psi = 0$  on  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ . Thus this cannot be equal to  $\operatorname{Hom}(F_*R/\mathfrak{m},R/\mathfrak{m})$ .

7) Suppose that L/K is a finite extension (meaning L is a finite K-module/vector space) of characteristic p > 0 fields and  $x \in L \setminus K$  but  $x^p \in K$ . Show that if  $\phi: K^{1/p^e} \to K$  extends to  $L^{1/p^e} \to L$ , then  $\phi$  is the zero map on K.

**Solution:** If  $\phi: F_*K \to K$  can be extended to  $\phi_L: F_*L \to L$ . Note that  $x \in F_*K$  by assumption. Therefore, if we take  $x \cdot y \in F_*K$ , we can consider  $x \cdot y \in F_*L$ , for which  $x \in L$ . So

$$\phi(xy) = \phi_L(xy) = x\phi_L(y) \in K$$

But  $x \notin K$ , so we have that  $\phi_L(y) = \phi(y) = 0$ .

8) Show that an F-split ring is weakly normal. That is to say that if  $r \in K(R) = \prod_{\mathfrak{q}} R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , then if  $r^p \in R$ , then this implies  $r \in R$ . You may assume R is a domain if desired, though this is not necessary.

**Solution:** Suppose R is F-split by  $\varphi: F_*R \to R: F_*1 \mapsto 1$ . Therefore, tensoring by K(R), we get an F-splitting of K(R):

$$\varphi \otimes 1 : F_*R \otimes K(R) \cong F_*K(R) \to K(R)$$

Note that

$$r \otimes 1 = r^p \otimes (r^{p-1}, 1) = 1 \otimes (r^{p-1}, r^p) = r$$

Under this map,

$$(\varphi \otimes 1)(r \otimes 1) = (\varphi \otimes 1)(1 \otimes r) = r$$

One the other hand, this is the image of  $\varphi(r)$  by commutativity. Therefore  $r \in R$ .

9) Prove Lucas's Theorem:

**Theorem 0.1** (Lucas's Theorem).  $\binom{m}{n}$  is divisible by p > 0 if and only if expressing  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i p^i$  and  $m = \sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i p^i$ , for some  $i, n_i > m_i$ .

**Solution:** Consider in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ 

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m} {m \choose n} X^n = (1+X)^m = (1+X)^{\sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i p^i} = \prod_{i=1}^{l} (1+X)^{m_i p^i}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{l} (1+X^{p^i})^{m_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \left(\sum_{n_i=0}^{p-1} {m_i \choose n_i} X^{n_i p^i}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{m} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{l} {m_i \choose n_i}\right) X^n$$

Comparing coefficients, we conclude that  $\binom{m}{n} \neq 0$  if and only if  $\binom{m_i}{n_i} \neq 0$  which since  $n_i, m_i < p$  is true if and only if  $n_i \leq m_i$ .

10) A ring R is called F-pure if for every R-module M, the map  $M \to M \otimes_R F_*R$  is injective. Show that every F-split ring is necessarily F-pure.

## HOMEWORK 6: PERFECT RINGS AND SPLITTINGS DUE: FRIDAY MAY 4

**Solution:** Of course, if R is F-split, then  $F_*R \cong R \oplus N$  for some R-module N. Therefore,

$$M \to M \otimes_R F_*R \cong M \otimes (R \oplus N) \cong M \oplus M \otimes N$$

The map is given by the identity on the first term, so it is necessarily injective.