

Name: Andrew Dragoslavic

NJIT UCID: amd83

Email: amd83@njit.edu

Date: 11/24/2024

Professor: Yasser Abdullah

Course: CS 634 101 Data Mining

Import Packages

```
In [101... import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix, ConfusionMatrixDisplay, brier_score_loss, roc_curve, roc_auc_
from sklearn.model_selection import KFold
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from sklearn import svm
from keras.src.models import Sequential
from keras.src.layers import LSTM, Dense, Dropout, Input
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from keras.src.optimizers import Adam
```

Metrics and Their Formulas

True Positive Rate (TPR)

$$TPR = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

True Negative Rate (TNR)

$$TNR = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

False Positive Rate (FPR)

$$FPR = \frac{FP}{FP + TN}$$

False Negative Rate (FNR)

$$FNR = \frac{FN}{TP + FN}$$

Precision

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

Recall

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

Accuracy

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

F1 Score

$$F1 = 2 \cdot \frac{Precision \cdot Recall}{Precision + Recall} =$$

$$F1 = 2 \cdot \frac{TP}{2 \cdot TP + FP + FN}$$

Error Rate

$$Error\ Rate = \frac{FP + FN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

Balanced Accuracy (BACC)

$$BACC = \frac{TPR + TNR}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{TP}{TP + FN} + \frac{TN}{TN + FP} \right)$$

True Skill Statistics (TSS)

TSS measures the difference between recall and the probability of false detection.

$$TSS = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} - \frac{FP}{FP + TN}$$

Heidke Skill Score (HSS)

HSS measures the fractional prediction over random prediction.

$$HSS = \frac{2(TP \cdot TN - FP \cdot FN)}{(TP + FN) \cdot (FN + TN) + (TP + FP) \cdot (FP + TN)}$$

Brier Score

$$\text{Brier Score} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

```
In [102... def calculate_metrics(FP, FN, TP, TN):
    P = TP + FN
    N = TN + FP

    TPR = TP/P if P != 0 else 0
    TNR = TN/N if N != 0 else 0
    FPR = FP/N if N != 0 else 0
    FNR = FN/P if P != 0 else 0

    recall = TPR
    precision = TP/(TP+FP) if (TP+FP) != 0 else 0
    F1 = (2*TP)/(2*TP+FP+FN) if (2*TP+FP+FN) != 0 else 0
    accuracy = (TP+TN)/(TP+TN+FP+FN) if (TP+TN+FP+FN) != 0 else 0
    error_rate = (FP+FN)/(TP+TN+FP+FN) if (TP+TN+FP+FN) != 0 else 0

    BACC = (TPR+TNR)/2
```

```

TSS = ((TP / (TP + FN)) - (FP / (FP + TN))) if (TP + FN > 0 and FP + TN > 0) else 0
HSS = (2*((TP*TN)-(FP*FN)))/(((TP+FN)*(FN+TN)) + ((TP+FP)*(FP+TN))) if (((TP+FN)*(FN+TN)) + ((TP+FP)*

return {
    'TP': TP,
    'TN': TN,
    'FP': FP,
    'FN': FN,
    'TPR': TPR,
    'TNR': TNR,
    'FPR': FPR,
    'FNR': FNR,
    'Recall': recall,
    'Precision': precision,
    'F1': F1,
    'Accuracy': accuracy,
    'Error Rate': error_rate,
    'BACC': BACC,
    'TSS': TSS,
    'HSS': HSS
}

```

Function: random_forest

- **Purpose:** Trains a Random Forest classifier and evaluates its performance
- **Inputs:**
 - `X_train`, `X_test` : Training and test feature sets
 - `y_train`, `y_test` : Training and test labels
- **Steps:**
 - Initializes a `RandomForestClassifier`
 - Fits the classifier to the training data
 - Predicts the class labels (`y_pred`) for the test data
 - Predicts class probabilities (`y_prob`) for the test data
 - Calculates the **Brier score** to measure the accuracy of probabilistic predictions
 - Computes the **ROC AUC** to evaluate classifier performance
- **Outputs:**
 - A dictionary containing:

- `y_pred` : Predicted class labels
- `y_prob` : Predicted probabilities
- `brier_score` : Brier score for the predicted probabilities
- `roc_auc` : ROC AUC score

```
In [103]: def random_forest(X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test):
    rf = RandomForestClassifier()
    rf.fit(X_train, y_train)

    y_pred = rf.predict(X_test)
    y_prob = rf.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1]
    brier_score = brier_score_loss(y_test, y_prob)
    roc_auc = roc_auc_score(y_test, y_prob)

    return {
        'y_pred': y_pred,
        'y_prob': y_prob,
        'brier_score': brier_score,
        'roc_auc': roc_auc
    }
```

Function: `support_vector_machine`

- **Purpose:** Trains a Support Vector Classifier and evaluates its performance.
- **Inputs:**
 - `X_train`, `X_test` : Training and test feature sets.
 - `y_train`, `y_test` : Training and test labels.
- **Steps:**
 - Initializes a `SVC` with `kernel=linear`
 - Fits the classifier to the training data
 - Predicts the class labels (`y_pred`) for the test data
 - Predicts class probabilities (`y_prob`) for the test data
 - Calculates the **Brier score** to measure the accuracy of probabilistic predictions
 - Computes the **ROC AUC** to evaluate classifier performance
- **Outputs:**

- A dictionary containing:
 - `y_pred` : Predicted class labels
 - `y_prob` : Predicted probabilities
 - `brier_score` : Brier score for the predicted probabilities
 - `roc_auc` : ROC AUC score

```
In [104... def support_vector_machine(X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test):
    clf = svm.SVC(kernel='linear', probability=True)
    clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
    y_pred = clf.predict(X_test)
    y_prob = clf.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1]
    brier_score = brier_score_loss(y_test, y_prob)
    roc_auc = roc_auc_score(y_test, y_prob)

    return {
        'y_pred': y_pred,
        'y_prob': y_prob,
        'brier_score': brier_score,
        'roc_auc': roc_auc
    }
```

Function: `lstm`

This function trains an LSTM model for binary classification. The steps are:

- **Standardize the Input:**
 - Applies `StandardScaler` to normalize `X_train` and `X_test`.
- **Reshape Data:**
 - Reshapes the data to 3D format (`[samples, time steps, features]`) for LSTM input.
- **Define the LSTM Model:**
 - Creates an LSTM model with:
 - An input layer for time series data.
 - An LSTM layer with 50 units and ReLU activation.
 - Dropout regularization to prevent overfitting.

- A Dense output layer with a sigmoid activation for binary classification.
- **Compile the Model:**
 - Optimized using the Adam optimizer and binary cross-entropy loss.
- **Train the Model:**
 - Trains the model using the provided training data for 50 epochs and a batch size of 32.
- **Generate Predictions:**
 - Predicts probabilities (`y_prob`) for the test data.
 - Converts probabilities to binary class predictions (`y_pred`) using a threshold of 0.5.
- **Calculate Metrics:**
 - Computes:
 - **Brier Score:** Measures the accuracy of predicted probabilities.
 - **ROC AUC:** Evaluates the model's ability to distinguish between classes.
- **Return Values:**
 - Returns a dictionary containing:
 - `y_pred` : Predicted binary classes.
 - `y_prob` : Predicted probabilities.
 - `brier_score` : Brier score for predictions.
 - `roc_auc` : ROC AUC score for the model.

```
In [105... def lstm(X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test):
    scaler = StandardScaler()
    X_train = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)
    X_test = scaler.transform(X_test)

    X_train = X_train.reshape((X_train.shape[0], 1, X_train.shape[1]))
    X_test = X_test.reshape((X_test.shape[0], 1, X_test.shape[1]))

    # Define the LSTM model with Input layer
    lstm_model = Sequential([
        Input(shape=(X_train.shape[1], X_train.shape[2])),
        LSTM(50, activation='relu'),
        Dropout(0.2),
```

```

        Dense(1, activation='sigmoid')
    ])
    lstm_model.compile(optimizer=Adam(), loss='binary_crossentropy', metrics=['accuracy'])

    # Train the LSTM model
    lstm_model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=50, batch_size=32, verbose=0)

    # Predict and convert probabilities to binary labels
    y_pred_prob = lstm_model.predict(X_test)
    brier_score = brier_score_loss(y_test, y_pred_prob)
    roc_auc = roc_auc_score(y_test, y_pred_prob)
    y_pred = (y_pred_prob > 0.5).astype(int).flatten()

    return {
        'y_pred': y_pred,
        'y_prob': y_pred_prob,
        'brier_score': brier_score,
        'roc_auc': roc_auc
    }

```

Function: plot_roc

This function plots the ROC Curve for each model and display the AUC value. The steps are

- **plt.figure()** : Initialize the figure
- **plt.plot(fpr, tpr, color='blue', label=f'ROC curve (AUC = {roc_auc:.2f})')**
 - Plots the ROC curve using the **fpr** (False Positive Rate) on the x-axis and the **tpr** (True Positive Rate) on the y-axis
 - Label with the **roc_auc** (Area Under Curve) value rounded to 2 decimal places
- **plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], color='gray', linestyle='--')**
 - Plots a diagonal dashed line starting at the origin (0,0) and goes to (1,1)

```

In [106... def plot_roc(fpr, tpr, roc_auc, model_name):
    plt.figure()
    plt.plot(fpr, tpr, color='blue', label=f'ROC curve (AUC = {roc_auc:.2f})')
    plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], color='gray', linestyle='--')
    plt.xlabel('False Positive Rate')
    plt.ylabel('True Positive Rate')
    plt.title(f'Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve - {model_name}')

```



```
plt.legend(loc="lower right")
plt.show()
```

Function: `eval_model`

- `model_function(X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test)`
 - Calls the specified model function either Random Forest, SVM, or LSTM
- `y_pred, y_prob, brier_score, and roc_auc`
 - Get the results from the model function that was called and store them in the respective variables
- `all_y_true.extend(y_test) and all_y_prob.extend(y_prob)`
 - Take the test values from each fold and store them in an array as well as the predicted probabilities and store them in an array
- `cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred, labels=[1,0])`
 - Create a confusion matrix using the test and predicted values and store the values from the confusion matrix in `FP, FN, TP, TN`
 - Add the confusion matrix from each fold to `cumulative_cm`
- `fold_metrics = calculate_metrics(FP, FN, TP, TN)`
 - Use the `calculate_metrics` function to get all the metrics and store them in `fold_metrics`
 - Add `brier_score, roc_auc`, and the current fold to the dictionary of all the metrics
- `metrics_dict and metrics_list`
 - Store all the values from the `fold_metrics` into the dictionary at `model_name` except the fold number
 - Append the fold metrics to the list to display the information for all folds

```
In [107... def eval_model(model_name, model_function, X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test, all_y_true, all_y_prob, cumulative_cm, metrics_dict, metrics_list):
    res = model_function(X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test)
    y_pred = res['y_pred']
    y_prob = res['y_prob']
    brier_score = res['brier_score']
    roc_auc = res['roc_auc']
    all_y_true.extend(y_test)
    all_y_prob.extend(y_prob)
    cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred, labels=[1,0])
    TP, FN, FP, TN = cm[0,0], cm[0,1], cm[1,0], cm[1,1]
    cumulative_cm += cm
    fold_metrics = calculate_metrics(FP, FN, TP, TN)
```

```

fold_metrics['Brier Score'] = brier_score
fold_metrics['AUC'] = roc_auc
fold_metrics['Fold'] = i
metrics_dict[model_name] = {key: value for key, value in fold_metrics.items() if key != 'Fold'}
metrics_list.append(fold_metrics)

return fold_metrics

```

Function: process_metrics_dataframe

This function processes a list of metrics dictionaries from cross-validation results, calculates the average of all numeric metrics, and appends these averages as a new row labeled "Average." The function returns a DataFrame with the fold-specific metrics and their averages

- **Input:**

- A list of dictionaries (`metrics_list`), where each dictionary contains the metrics for a specific fold

- **Process:**

1. **Convert to DataFrame:**

- The input list of dictionaries is converted into a pandas DataFrame, where each row represents a fold, and each column represents a metric

2. **Set Fold Column Type:**

- The `Fold` column is converted to an object type to handle numeric folds and the Average label

3. **Calculate Averages:**

- The mean is computed for all numeric columns in the DataFrame, ignoring non-numeric data and these averages are stored in a new row

4. **Add the Average Row:**

- The calculated averages are appended as a new row labeled Average

5. **Set Fold as the Index:**

- The `Fold` column is set as the DataFrame's index for better organization and readability

```

In [108... def process_metrics_dataframe(metrics_list):
df = pd.DataFrame(metrics_list)
df["Fold"] = df["Fold"].astype(object)
averages = df.mean(numeric_only=True)

```

```
averages["Fold"] = "Average"
df = pd.concat([df, pd.DataFrame([averages])], ignore_index=True)
df.set_index("Fold", inplace=True)
return df
```

Function: `k_fold`

- **`KFold(n_splits=K, shuffle = True, random_state=42)`**
 - Use built in function to divide the dataset into K number of splits
- **Initialize lists, dictionaries, and confusion matrices for each model**
 - Make sure each model has a `metrics_list` to help make the data frame after all folds
 - Create a `cumulative_cm` for each model to display after all folds
 - Store prediction results and actual values in `all_y_true` and `all_y_prob`
- **Create the train and test data**
 - The `kf.split(X)` method to assign certain indices to the `train_index` and `test_index` for each fold
 - Use the `.iloc` method to index the values set from splitting the data to get the training data and the testing data
- **Getting Results from each Model**
 - Call the `eval_model` function passing in each models specific parameters in order to get the results for each fold
- **DataFrame Information**
 - Use `metrics_dict` which has the metrics for each model and convert it to a DataFrame
 - Print the data frame with the current fold to see the performance of each model after each fold
- **Getting DataFrame of Each Model**
 - Use the `process_metrics_dataframe` function to convert each models `metrics_list` into a DataFrame
 - Print the DataFrame for each model after all folds execute
- **Displaying ROC Curves and Confusion Matrices**
 - Go through `models` list and use the `y_true` and `y_prob` values in each tuple to find the `fpr`, `tpr` and the `roc_auc` values
 - Call the `plot_roc` function in order to plot the ROC curve for each model passing in the previously calculated values
 - Call the `ConfusionMatrixDisplay` function and pass in the `cumulative_cm` for each model to see the confusion matrix for each model

```

In [109... def k_fold(X, Y, K):
    kf = KFold(n_splits=K, shuffle = True, random_state=42)
    metrics_list_rf, metrics_list_clf, metrics_list_lstm = [], [], []
    metrics_dict = {}
    cumulative_cm_rf, cumulative_cm_clf, cumulative_cm_lstm = np.zeros((2, 2), dtype=int), np.zeros((2, 2),
    all_y_true_rf, all_y_true_clf, all_y_true_lstm = [], [], []
    all_y_prob_rf, all_y_prob_clf, all_y_prob_lstm = [], [], []

    for i, (train_index, test_index) in enumerate(kf.split(X), start = 1):
        X_train, X_test = X.iloc[train_index], X.iloc[test_index]
        y_train, y_test = Y.iloc[train_index], Y.iloc[test_index]

        eval_model(
            model_name='Random Forest', model_function=random_forest,
            X_train=X_train, X_test=X_test, y_train=y_train, y_test=y_test,
            all_y_true=all_y_true_rf, all_y_prob=all_y_prob_rf, cumulative_cm=cumulative_cm_rf,
            metrics_dict=metrics_dict, metrics_list=metrics_list_rf, i = i
        )

        eval_model(
            model_name='SVM', model_function=support_vector_machine,
            X_train=X_train, X_test=X_test, y_train=y_train, y_test=y_test,
            all_y_true=all_y_true_clf, all_y_prob=all_y_prob_clf, cumulative_cm=cumulative_cm_clf,
            metrics_dict=metrics_dict, metrics_list=metrics_list_clf, i = i
        )

        eval_model(
            model_name='LSTM', model_function=lstm,
            X_train=X_train, X_test=X_test, y_train=y_train, y_test=y_test,
            all_y_true=all_y_true_lstm, all_y_prob=all_y_prob_lstm, cumulative_cm=cumulative_cm_lstm,
            metrics_dict=metrics_dict, metrics_list=metrics_list_lstm, i = i
        )

    df = pd.DataFrame(metrics_dict)
    print(f"\nFold {i}:\n{df}")

    df_rf = process_metrics_dataframe(metrics_list_rf)
    df_clf = process_metrics_dataframe(metrics_list_clf)
    df_lstm = process_metrics_dataframe(metrics_list_lstm)

    print(f"\nRandom Forest Metrics:\n{df_rf}")

```

```

print(f"\nSVM Metrics:\n{df_clf}")
print(f"\nLSTM Metrics:\n{df_lstm}")

models = [
    ('Random Forest', all_y_true_rf, all_y_prob_rf, cumulative_cm_rf),
    ('SVM', all_y_true_clf, all_y_prob_clf, cumulative_cm_clf),
    ('LSTM', all_y_true_lstm, all_y_prob_lstm, cumulative_cm_lstm)
]

for model_name, y_true, y_prob, cm in models:
    fpr, tpr, _ = roc_curve(y_true, y_prob)
    roc_auc = roc_auc_score(y_true, y_prob)
    plot_roc(fpr, tpr, roc_auc, model_name)

    disp = ConfusionMatrixDisplay(confusion_matrix=cm, display_labels=[1,0])
    disp.plot(cmap='Blues')
    plt.title(f'Cumulative Confusion Matrix After All Folds - {model_name}')
    plt.show()

```

Execution

- **Read the Data**
 - Get the data from CSV file and store in `data` variable
- **Display Setting**
 - Make sure all rows and columns are displayed on execution
- **Splitting Data**
 - Set the `X` variable to all the values in the DataFrame excluding the `target` column
 - Set the `Y` variable to the values in the `target` column
- **Executing**
 - Call the `k_fold` function to run all the model on the data and set the number of folds to 10

```

In [110... data = pd.read_csv("Data/heart.csv")
pd.set_option('display.width', 100)
pd.set_option('display.max_rows', None) # Show all rows
pd.set_option('display.max_columns', None)

X = data.drop('target', axis=1)
Y = data['target']

```

```
k_fold(X,Y,10)
```

1/1  0s 46ms/step


Fold 1:

	Random Forest	SVM	LSTM
TP	14.000000	15.000000	15.000000
TN	10.000000	11.000000	11.000000
FP	4.000000	3.000000	3.000000
FN	3.000000	2.000000	2.000000
TPR	0.823529	0.882353	0.882353
TNR	0.714286	0.785714	0.785714
FPR	0.285714	0.214286	0.214286
FNR	0.176471	0.117647	0.117647
Recall	0.823529	0.882353	0.882353
Precision	0.777778	0.833333	0.833333
F1	0.800000	0.857143	0.857143
Accuracy	0.774194	0.838710	0.838710
Error Rate	0.225806	0.161290	0.161290
BACC	0.768908	0.834034	0.834034
TSS	0.537815	0.668067	0.668067
HSS	0.541226	0.672304	0.672304
Brier Score	0.151010	0.134325	0.153349
AUC	0.873950	0.890756	0.873950


1/1  0s 46ms/step

Fold 2:

	Random Forest	SVM	LSTM
TP	15.000000	15.000000	15.000000
TN	14.000000	14.000000	14.000000
FP	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
FN	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
TPR	0.937500	0.937500	0.937500
TNR	0.933333	0.933333	0.933333
FPR	0.066667	0.066667	0.066667
FNR	0.062500	0.062500	0.062500
Recall	0.937500	0.937500	0.937500
Precision	0.937500	0.937500	0.937500
F1	0.937500	0.937500	0.937500
Accuracy	0.935484	0.935484	0.935484
Error Rate	0.064516	0.064516	0.064516
BACC	0.935417	0.935417	0.935417
TSS	0.870833	0.870833	0.870833
HSS	0.870833	0.870833	0.870833


Brier Score	0.074929	0.075298	0.068951
AUC	0.977083	0.954167	0.966667
1/1			0s 49ms/step

Fold 3:


	Random Forest	SVM	LSTM
TP	15.000000	16.000000	17.000000
TN	8.000000	7.000000	8.000000
FP	4.000000	5.000000	4.000000
FN	4.000000	3.000000	2.000000
TPR	0.789474	0.842105	0.894737
TNR	0.666667	0.583333	0.666667
FPR	0.333333	0.416667	0.333333
FNR	0.210526	0.157895	0.105263
Recall	0.789474	0.842105	0.894737
Precision	0.789474	0.761905	0.809524
F1	0.789474	0.800000	0.850000
Accuracy	0.741935	0.741935	0.806452
Error Rate	0.258065	0.258065	0.193548
BACC	0.728070	0.712719	0.780702
TSS	0.456140	0.425439	0.561404
HSS	0.456140	0.438914	0.579186
Brier Score	0.158035	0.178654	0.153632
AUC	0.844298	0.776316	0.828947
1/1			0s 47ms/step

Fold 4:

	Random Forest	SVM	LSTM
TP	15.000000	16.000000	17.000000
TN	11.000000	9.000000	10.000000
FP	1.000000	3.000000	2.000000
FN	3.000000	2.000000	1.000000
TPR	0.833333	0.888889	0.944444
TNR	0.916667	0.750000	0.833333
FPR	0.083333	0.250000	0.166667
FNR	0.166667	0.111111	0.055556
Recall	0.833333	0.888889	0.944444
Precision	0.937500	0.842105	0.894737
F1	0.882353	0.864865	0.918919
Accuracy	0.866667	0.833333	0.900000
Error Rate	0.133333	0.166667	0.100000
BACC	0.875000	0.819444	0.888889

TSS	0.750000	0.638889	0.777778
HSS	0.729730	0.647887	0.788732
Brier Score	0.115863	0.140802	0.117556
AUC	0.916667	0.870370	0.916667
1/1	 0s 47ms/step		


Fold 5:

	Random Forest	SVM	LSTM
TP	11.000000	12.000000	12.000000
TN	13.000000	13.000000	15.000000
FP	4.000000	4.000000	2.000000
FN	2.000000	1.000000	1.000000
TPR	0.846154	0.923077	0.923077
TNR	0.764706	0.764706	0.882353
FPR	0.235294	0.235294	0.117647
FNR	0.153846	0.076923	0.076923
Recall	0.846154	0.923077	0.923077
Precision	0.733333	0.750000	0.857143
F1	0.785714	0.827586	0.888889
Accuracy	0.800000	0.833333	0.900000
Error Rate	0.200000	0.166667	0.100000
BACC	0.805430	0.843891	0.902715
TSS	0.610860	0.687783	0.805430
HSS	0.600000	0.669604	0.798206
Brier Score	0.140287	0.118513	0.115090
AUC	0.882353	0.923077	0.927602
1/1	 0s 47ms/step		

Fold 6:


	Random Forest	SVM	LSTM
TP	16.000000	17.000000	16.000000
TN	8.000000	9.000000	9.000000
FP	4.000000	3.000000	3.000000
FN	2.000000	1.000000	2.000000
TPR	0.888889	0.944444	0.888889
TNR	0.666667	0.750000	0.750000
FPR	0.333333	0.250000	0.250000
FNR	0.111111	0.055556	0.111111
Recall	0.888889	0.944444	0.888889
Precision	0.800000	0.850000	0.842105
F1	0.842105	0.894737	0.864865
Accuracy	0.800000	0.866667	0.833333

Error Rate	0.200000	0.133333	0.166667
BACC	0.777778	0.847222	0.819444
TSS	0.555556	0.694444	0.638889
HSS	0.571429	0.714286	0.647887
Brier Score	0.116813	0.128116	0.142347
AUC	0.916667	0.888889	0.879630

1/1  0s 50ms/step


Fold 7:

	Random Forest	SVM	LSTM
TP	13.000000	13.000000	13.000000
TN	9.000000	9.000000	9.000000
FP	6.000000	6.000000	6.000000
FN	2.000000	2.000000	2.000000
TPR	0.866667	0.866667	0.866667
TNR	0.600000	0.600000	0.600000
FPR	0.400000	0.400000	0.400000
FNR	0.133333	0.133333	0.133333
Recall	0.866667	0.866667	0.866667
Precision	0.684211	0.684211	0.684211
F1	0.764706	0.764706	0.764706
Accuracy	0.733333	0.733333	0.733333
Error Rate	0.266667	0.266667	0.266667
BACC	0.733333	0.733333	0.733333
TSS	0.466667	0.466667	0.466667
HSS	0.466667	0.466667	0.466667
Brier Score	0.188123	0.160855	0.179734
AUC	0.806667	0.884444	0.857778


1/1  0s 47ms/step

Fold 8:

	Random Forest	SVM	LSTM
TP	16.000000	18.000000	16.000000
TN	8.000000	7.000000	8.000000
FP	4.000000	5.000000	4.000000
FN	2.000000	0.000000	2.000000
TPR	0.888889	1.000000	0.888889
TNR	0.666667	0.583333	0.666667
FPR	0.333333	0.416667	0.333333
FNR	0.111111	0.000000	0.111111
Recall	0.888889	1.000000	0.888889
Precision	0.800000	0.782609	0.800000

F1	0.842105	0.878049	0.842105
Accuracy	0.800000	0.833333	0.800000
Error Rate	0.200000	0.166667	0.200000
BACC	0.777778	0.791667	0.777778
TSS	0.555556	0.583333	0.555556
HSS	0.571429	0.626866	0.571429
Brier Score	0.141893	0.120923	0.115959
AUC	0.900463	0.935185	0.921296
1/1	 0s 47ms/step		

Fold 9:

	Random Forest	SVM	LSTM
TP	13.000000	13.000000	13.000000
TN	14.000000	13.000000	13.000000
FP	1.000000	2.000000	2.000000
FN	2.000000	2.000000	2.000000
TPR	0.866667	0.866667	0.866667
TNR	0.933333	0.866667	0.866667
FPR	0.066667	0.133333	0.133333
FNR	0.133333	0.133333	0.133333
Recall	0.866667	0.866667	0.866667
Precision	0.928571	0.866667	0.866667
F1	0.896552	0.866667	0.866667
Accuracy	0.900000	0.866667	0.866667
Error Rate	0.100000	0.133333	0.133333
BACC	0.900000	0.866667	0.866667
TSS	0.800000	0.733333	0.733333
HSS	0.800000	0.733333	0.733333
Brier Score	0.105333	0.108705	0.104338
AUC	0.942222	0.928889	0.928889
1/1	 0s 51ms/step		

Fold 10:

	Random Forest	SVM	LSTM
TP	14.000000	15.000000	14.000000
TN	11.000000	11.000000	11.000000
FP	3.000000	3.000000	3.000000
FN	2.000000	1.000000	2.000000
TPR	0.875000	0.937500	0.875000
TNR	0.785714	0.785714	0.785714
FPR	0.214286	0.214286	0.214286
FNR	0.125000	0.062500	0.125000

Recall	0.875000	0.937500	0.875000
Precision	0.823529	0.833333	0.823529
F1	0.848485	0.882353	0.848485
Accuracy	0.833333	0.866667	0.833333
Error Rate	0.166667	0.133333	0.166667
BACC	0.830357	0.861607	0.830357
TSS	0.660714	0.723214	0.660714
HSS	0.663677	0.729730	0.663677
Brier Score	0.111027	0.087940	0.105430
AUC	0.939732	0.946429	0.919643

Random Forest Metrics:

	TP	TN	FP	FN	TPR	TNR	FPR	FNR	Recall	Precision	\
Fold											
1	14.0	10.0	4.0	3.0	0.823529	0.714286	0.285714	0.176471	0.823529	0.777778	
2	15.0	14.0	1.0	1.0	0.937500	0.933333	0.066667	0.062500	0.937500	0.937500	
3	15.0	8.0	4.0	4.0	0.789474	0.666667	0.333333	0.210526	0.789474	0.789474	
4	15.0	11.0	1.0	3.0	0.833333	0.916667	0.083333	0.166667	0.833333	0.937500	
5	11.0	13.0	4.0	2.0	0.846154	0.764706	0.235294	0.153846	0.846154	0.733333	
6	16.0	8.0	4.0	2.0	0.888889	0.666667	0.333333	0.111111	0.888889	0.800000	
7	13.0	9.0	6.0	2.0	0.866667	0.600000	0.400000	0.133333	0.866667	0.684211	
8	16.0	8.0	4.0	2.0	0.888889	0.666667	0.333333	0.111111	0.888889	0.800000	
9	13.0	14.0	1.0	2.0	0.866667	0.933333	0.066667	0.133333	0.866667	0.928571	
10	14.0	11.0	3.0	2.0	0.875000	0.785714	0.214286	0.125000	0.875000	0.823529	
Average	14.2	10.6	3.2	2.3	0.861610	0.764804	0.235196	0.138390	0.861610	0.821190	

	F1	Accuracy	Error Rate	BACC	TSS	HSS	Brier Score	AUC
Fold								
1	0.800000	0.774194	0.225806	0.768908	0.537815	0.541226	0.151010	0.873950
2	0.937500	0.935484	0.064516	0.935417	0.870833	0.870833	0.074929	0.977083
3	0.789474	0.741935	0.258065	0.728070	0.456140	0.456140	0.158035	0.844298
4	0.882353	0.866667	0.133333	0.875000	0.750000	0.729730	0.115863	0.916667
5	0.785714	0.800000	0.200000	0.805430	0.610860	0.600000	0.140287	0.882353
6	0.842105	0.800000	0.200000	0.777778	0.555556	0.571429	0.116813	0.916667
7	0.764706	0.733333	0.266667	0.733333	0.466667	0.466667	0.188123	0.806667
8	0.842105	0.800000	0.200000	0.777778	0.555556	0.571429	0.141893	0.900463
9	0.896552	0.900000	0.100000	0.900000	0.800000	0.800000	0.105333	0.942222
10	0.848485	0.833333	0.166667	0.830357	0.660714	0.663677	0.111027	0.939732
Average	0.838899	0.818495	0.181505	0.813207	0.626414	0.627113	0.130331	0.900010

SVM Metrics:

	TP	TN	FP	FN	TPR	TNR	FPR	FNR	Recall	Precision	\
--	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--------	-----------	---

Fold										
1	15.0	11.0	3.0	2.0	0.882353	0.785714	0.214286	0.117647	0.882353	0.833333
2	15.0	14.0	1.0	1.0	0.937500	0.933333	0.066667	0.062500	0.937500	0.937500
3	16.0	7.0	5.0	3.0	0.842105	0.583333	0.416667	0.157895	0.842105	0.761905
4	16.0	9.0	3.0	2.0	0.888889	0.750000	0.250000	0.111111	0.888889	0.842105
5	12.0	13.0	4.0	1.0	0.923077	0.764706	0.235294	0.076923	0.923077	0.750000
6	17.0	9.0	3.0	1.0	0.944444	0.750000	0.250000	0.055556	0.944444	0.850000
7	13.0	9.0	6.0	2.0	0.866667	0.600000	0.400000	0.133333	0.866667	0.684211
8	18.0	7.0	5.0	0.0	1.000000	0.583333	0.416667	0.000000	1.000000	0.782609
9	13.0	13.0	2.0	2.0	0.866667	0.866667	0.133333	0.133333	0.866667	0.866667
10	15.0	11.0	3.0	1.0	0.937500	0.785714	0.214286	0.062500	0.937500	0.833333
Average	15.0	10.3	3.5	1.5	0.908920	0.740280	0.259720	0.091080	0.908920	0.814166


	F1	Accuracy	Error Rate	BACC	TSS	HSS	Brier Score	AUC
Fold								
1	0.857143	0.838710	0.161290	0.834034	0.668067	0.672304	0.134325	0.890756
2	0.937500	0.935484	0.064516	0.935417	0.870833	0.870833	0.075298	0.954167
3	0.800000	0.741935	0.258065	0.712719	0.425439	0.438914	0.178654	0.776316
4	0.864865	0.833333	0.166667	0.819444	0.638889	0.647887	0.140802	0.870370
5	0.827586	0.833333	0.166667	0.843891	0.687783	0.669604	0.118513	0.923077
6	0.894737	0.866667	0.133333	0.847222	0.694444	0.714286	0.128116	0.888889
7	0.764706	0.733333	0.266667	0.733333	0.466667	0.466667	0.160855	0.884444
8	0.878049	0.833333	0.166667	0.791667	0.583333	0.626866	0.120923	0.935185
9	0.866667	0.866667	0.133333	0.866667	0.733333	0.733333	0.108705	0.928889
10	0.882353	0.866667	0.133333	0.861607	0.723214	0.729730	0.087940	0.946429
Average	0.857361	0.834946	0.165054	0.824600	0.649200	0.657042	0.125413	0.899852

LSTM Metrics:


	TP	TN	FP	FN	TPR	TNR	FPR	FNR	Recall	Precision	\
Fold											
1	15.0	11.0	3.0	2.0	0.882353	0.785714	0.214286	0.117647	0.882353	0.833333	
2	15.0	14.0	1.0	1.0	0.937500	0.933333	0.066667	0.062500	0.937500	0.937500	
3	17.0	8.0	4.0	2.0	0.894737	0.666667	0.333333	0.105263	0.894737	0.809524	
4	17.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	0.944444	0.833333	0.166667	0.055556	0.944444	0.894737	
5	12.0	15.0	2.0	1.0	0.923077	0.882353	0.117647	0.076923	0.923077	0.857143	
6	16.0	9.0	3.0	2.0	0.888889	0.750000	0.250000	0.111111	0.888889	0.842105	
7	13.0	9.0	6.0	2.0	0.866667	0.600000	0.400000	0.133333	0.866667	0.684211	
8	16.0	8.0	4.0	2.0	0.888889	0.666667	0.333333	0.111111	0.888889	0.800000	
9	13.0	13.0	2.0	2.0	0.866667	0.866667	0.133333	0.133333	0.866667	0.866667	
10	14.0	11.0	3.0	2.0	0.875000	0.785714	0.214286	0.125000	0.875000	0.823529	
Average	14.8	10.8	3.0	1.7	0.896822	0.777045	0.222955	0.103178	0.896822	0.834875	


Fold	F1	Accuracy	Error Rate	BACC	TSS	HSS	Brier Score	AUC
1	0.857143	0.838710	0.161290	0.834034	0.668067	0.672304	0.153349	0.873950
2	0.937500	0.935484	0.064516	0.935417	0.870833	0.870833	0.068951	0.966667
3	0.850000	0.806452	0.193548	0.780702	0.561404	0.579186	0.153632	0.828947
4	0.918919	0.900000	0.100000	0.888889	0.777778	0.788732	0.117556	0.916667
5	0.888889	0.900000	0.100000	0.902715	0.805430	0.798206	0.115090	0.927602
6	0.864865	0.833333	0.166667	0.819444	0.638889	0.647887	0.142347	0.879630
7	0.764706	0.733333	0.266667	0.733333	0.466667	0.466667	0.179734	0.857778
8	0.842105	0.800000	0.200000	0.777778	0.555556	0.571429	0.115959	0.921296
9	0.866667	0.866667	0.133333	0.866667	0.733333	0.733333	0.104338	0.928889
10	0.848485	0.833333	0.166667	0.830357	0.660714	0.663677	0.105430	0.919643
Average	0.863928	0.844731	0.155269	0.836934	0.673867	0.679225	0.125639	0.902107


Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve - Random Forest





Cumulative Confusion Matrix After All Folds - Random Forest











Results

Overall I think the best model is the Random Forest Classifier. After looking at all the metrics from each model through all folds they perform very similar to each other. In addition to this the Confusion Matrix for all the models are also very similar in terms of the amount of FP, FN, TP, and TN. And the last comparison through all folds all 3 models have a very similar ROC curve. So because of this I think the Random Forest Classifier is the best choice due to time. The random forest is able to execute significantly faster than either the Support Vector Machine or the LSTM model and there is no significant decline in any of the measured metrics. This was tested on a dataset with only 13 features and around 300 rows of data so it is possible that with more features one of the models may excel over the other two but based on the heart dataset being used with only 300 rows the Random Forest matches the other models performance and excels over the other two in execution time on such a small dataset so as the dataset grows the execution time difference will be even greater.