

1. This exercise concerns TM  $M_2$ , whose description and state diagram appear in Example 3.7. In each of the parts, give the sequence of configurations that  $M_2$  enters when started on the indicated input string.
  - (a) 0.  
 $q_1 0 \_ \_ q_2 \_ \_ q_{accept}$
  - (b) 000.  
 $q_1 000 \_ \_ q_2 00 \_ \_ q_3 0 \_ \_ 0 q_4 \_ \_ 0 \_ q_{reject}$
2. This exercise concerns TM  $M_1$ , whose description and state diagram appear in Example 3.9. In each of the parts, give the sequence of configurations that  $M_1$  enters when started on the indicated input string.
  - (a) 1#1.  
 $q_1 1 \# 1 \_ \_ x q_3 \# 1 \_ \_ x \# q_5 1 \_ \_ x q_6 \# x \_ \_ q_7 x \# x \_ \_ x q_1 \# x \_ \_ x \# q_8 x \_ \_ x \# x q_8 \_ \_ x \# x \_ q_{accept}$
  - (b) 1##1.  
 $q_1 1 \# \# 1 \_ \_ x q_3 \# \# 1 \_ \_ x \# q_5 \# 1 \_ \_ x \# \# q_{reject} 1 \_ \_$
3. Describe a Turing machine, sequence of steps, that recognizes  $\{w \mid w \text{ is an element of } \{a, b, c\}^* \text{ such that the number of } a\text{'s in } w < \text{the number of } b\text{'s in } w \text{ and the number of } a\text{'s in } w = \text{the number of } c\text{'s in } w\}$ 
  - (1) Place symbol at the left side of tape
  - (2) Scan right for  $a$ , if found: mark it, else: go to step 6
  - (3) Rewind
  - (4) Scan right for  $b$ , if found: mark it, else: Halt and Reject ( $a$  must be  $< b$ )
  - (5) Rewind and go to step 2.
  - (6) Rewind
  - (7) Scan right for  $a'$ , if found: mark it, else: go to step 11
  - (8) Rewind
  - (9) Scan right for  $c$ , if found: mark it, else: Halt and Reject ( $a$  must be  $= c$ )
  - (10) go to step 6.
  - (11) Scan right for  $c$ , if found: Halt and Reject, else: Halt and Accept.
4. Show the equivalent transitions for a 2-PDA for the Turing machine transitions  $(q_i, X) \rightarrow (q_j, A, L)$  and  $(q_i, X) \rightarrow (q_j, A, R)$  (in state  $q_i$  read  $X$ , write  $A$ , and move left or right and transition to state  $q_j$ ). The transitions for a 2-PDA are of the form  $(q_i, X, S_1, S_2) \rightarrow (q_j, T_1, T_2)$  (in state  $q_i$ , read  $X$ , pop  $S_1$  from stack 1, pop  $S_2$  from stack 2, transition to state  $q_j$ , push  $T_1$  onto stack 1 and push  $T_2$  onto stack 2). You don't have to prove the transitions are equivalent, just tell me what they are.
5. Give implementation-level descriptions of Turing machines that decide the following languages over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ .  $\{w \mid w \text{ does not contain twice as many 0's as 1's}\}$ 
  - (1) Place symbol at left side of tape
  - (2) Rewind
  - (3) Scan right for 1, if found: mark it, else: go to step 9
  - (4) Rewind
  - (5) Scan right for 0, if found: mark it, else: Halt and Accept
  - (6) Rewind
  - (7) Scan right for 0, if found: mark it, else: Halt and Accept
  - (8) Go to step 2.
  - (9) Rewind
  - (10) Scan right for  $0'$ , if found: Halt and Reject, else: Halt and Accept
6. Prove the class of Turing recognizable languages is closed under the union operation (construction and proof)

*Proof.* Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two Turing machines that recognize languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  respectfully. Then let  $M_3$  be a machine that will run input  $w$  alternately between machines  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . If a machine accepts,  $M_3$  accepts. If both machines reject then  $M_3$  rejects. As  $w \in L_1 \cup L_2$ , the string can be  $w \in L_1$ , then the  $M_1$  portions of  $M_3$  will accept it. If the string is  $w \in L_2$ , then the  $M_2$  portions of  $M_3$  will accept it. If the string is  $w \notin L_1 \cup L_2$  then  $w \notin L_1$  and  $w \notin L_2$  and so  $M_3$  will not accept  $w$ . Therefore  $M_3$  recognizes  $L_1 \cup L_2$ .  $\square$

7. Prove the class of decidable languages is closed under concatenation (construction and proof)

*Proof.* Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two Turing machines that recognize languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  respectfully. Then let  $M_3$  be a machine that will run input  $w$  on  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  by splitting  $w$  into every possible two parts. If both machines accept then  $M_3$  accepts. If not, then the  $M_3$  continues to the next two substrings. That means every possible combination of two substrings of the string  $w$  will be run through  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . When all substrings are tried and did not reach an accepting state, then reject  $w$ . That way the  $w$  must be  $L_1 \circ L_2$  as the first substring is in  $M_1$  and the second substring will be accepted by  $M_2$ . Otherwise  $w$  will be rejected.  $\square$

8. Prove the class of decidable languages is closed under intersection (construction and proof)

*Proof.* Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two Turing machines that recognize languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  respectfully. Then let  $M_3$  be a machine that will run input  $w$  on  $M_1$  then  $M_2$ . If both machines reject, then  $w$  is not in the languages of  $L_1 \cap L_2$ . If one or more machines accepts then  $w$  is in the language.  $\square$

9. Prove the class of Turing recognizable languages is closed under the star operation (construction and proof)

*Proof.* Let  $M_1$  be a Turing machine that recognizes the language  $L_1$  and  $w$  be a string of the form  $L_1^*$ . Then have  $M_2$  be a machine that splits the input into individual cuts of the input. e.g.  $s = s_1s_2s_3...s_n$  for  $n$  can be from 0 to the length of  $s$ .  $M_2$  then runs each substring into  $M_1$ . If it rejects then  $M_2$  tries the next cuts of the string. If  $M_2$  accepts for all cuts of a string, then the language is accepted. As  $M_2$  tries every possible split for the input, it will eventually find the right match for  $L_1$  and therefore recognizes  $L_1^*$ .  $\square$

10. Show that a language is decidable iff some enumerator enumerates the language in the standard string order.