Introduction to Pattern Recognition Homework 2 Report

Andrés Ponce(彭思安)

0616110

May 1, 2020

1 Coding

1.1 Compute the mean vectors m_i , (i = 1, 2) of each 2 classes on training data

To calculate the **mean vectors** for each class, we need to know which of the input vectors belong to C_1 and C_2 , respectively. This is done by just checking which rows in y_test are 0 and 1, since those tell us which class the test input vectors belong to. After separating them and getting the mean for each column separately, we end with the result

$$m_1 = [2.47107265 \ 1.97913899] \quad m_2 = [1.82380675 \ 3.03051876]$$

1.2 Compute the within-class scatter matrix S_W on training data.

The within class matrix S_W measures how much the points in ever class differ from the mean. The within class variance for the dataset is the sum of the within-class variance for all the classes in our dataset. The result is a 2x2 matrix with the values:

$$S_W = \begin{bmatrix} 140.40035447 & -5.30881553 \\ -5.30881553 & 138.14297637 \end{bmatrix}$$

1.3 Compute the between-class scatter matrix S_B on training data.

The between-class covariance matrix S_B measures how much the two class means vary from each other. The result is another 2x2 matrix:

$$S_B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.41895314 & -0.68052227 \\ -0.68052227 & 1.10539942 \end{bmatrix}$$

1.4 Compute the Fisher's linear discriminant W on training data.

The Fihser's Linear Discriminant can be calculated by the ratio of the between-class variance to the within-class variance. The linear discriminant comes out to be

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} -0.00432865\\ 0.00744446 \end{bmatrix}$$

1.5 Project the testing data by linear discriminant to get the class prediction by nearest-neighbor rule and calculate your accuracy score on testing data.

The projection of the test data can be done once we have calculated the w on the training data. For this assignment, we can call accuracy_score(...) from the sklearn module.

1.6 Plot the 1) best projection line on the training data and slow the slope and intercept on the title 2)colorize the data with each class 3) project all data points on your projection line.

2 Questions

2.1 Show that maximization of the class separation criterion given by $L(\lambda,w)=w^T(m_2-m_1)+\lambda(w^Tw-1)$ with respect to w, using a Lagrange multiplier to enforce the constraint $w^Tw=1$, leads to the result that $w\propto (m_2-m_1)$.

Lagrange Multipliers allow us to maximize a function L given a constraint g. To do this, we have the initial equation $\nabla L(w,\lambda) = \alpha \nabla g(w,\lambda)$. Where α is the Lagrange Multiplier in this equation. Since we apply the multiplier to each variable individually, then we have to find the multiplier based on the component functions for L and g. Thus we have to solve the system of equations

$$L_{\lambda} = \alpha g_{\lambda}$$
 $L_{w} = \alpha g_{w}$

So, we have to differentiate with respect to λ and w, since we are dealing with the gradient vector. When we set up the equations, we get the system of equations

$$w^T w - 1 = 0 (1a)$$

$$m_2 - m_1 = \alpha \tag{1b}$$

$$w^T w = 1 (1c)$$

Then, multiplying (1c) by (1b), we get

$$(m_2 - m_1)(w^T w) = \alpha$$

which, when divided by $m_2 - m_1$ gives

$$w^T w = \frac{\alpha}{m_2 - m_1}$$

Since $w_T w$ is proportional to m_2-m_1 , then w will also be. Thus, using Lagrange Multipliers we have shown that $w \propto m_2-m_1$

2.2 Using eq 2 and eq 3, derive the result eq 4 for the posterior class probability in the two-class generative model with Gaussian densities, and verify the results eq 5 and eq 6 for the parameters w and w_0 .

$$\frac{1}{1 + exp(-\alpha) = \sigma(\alpha)} \tag{2}$$

$$a = ln \frac{p(x|C_1)p(C_1)}{p(x|C_2)p(C_2)}$$
(3)

$$p(C_1|x) = \sigma(w^T x + w_0) \tag{4}$$

$$w = \sum_{1}^{-1} (\mu_1 - \mu_2) \tag{5}$$

$$w_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_1^T \sum_{1}^{-1} \mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_2^T \sum_{1}^{-1} \mu_2 + \ln \frac{p(C_1)}{p(C_2)}$$
(6)

(Eq 2) refers to the **sigmoid function**, which moves the entire spectrum of real numbers into the range (0,1). α here refers to the ratio of the two likelihoods, which is the equivalent as taking $p(x|C_1)p(C_1)$ and dividing it by the sum of the probabilities of the two classes. w_Tx+w_0 will give its relation to the ratio described by α . $\sigma(w^Tx+w_0)$ will thus describe the probability that the input belongs to either C_1 or C_2 depending on where it lies along the y axis.