

CMSI 387-01
OPERATING SYSTEMS
Spring 2013

Assignment 04 I I Feedback

Andrew Kowalczyk

All of Time and Space

3b — You did a great job with tracking down your selected papers and recording their connections and differences. And hey, a little heritage acknowledgment in the case of Szymanski, as you have shown, can be both affirming and fun :) Do watch out for typos though; I fixed some of them, but probably not all. (+)

4d — Excellent work with finding papers from the digital library. (+)

4e — Your commits and messages were very appropriate given the work performed. (+)

4f — Submitted on time. (+)

The Dining Philosophers Problem

2d — Well, you certainly have a good start...journey of a thousand steps and all that...999 to go! >:-) (-)

4a — Yay definitions. Now for more code. (-)

4b — You have put your relevant definitions together in a single file—good. These definitions will likely be needed by multiple pieces of code though, so a more appropriate extension for this is *.h* and not *.c* (/)

4c — Extremely readable code! Just wish there were more of it. (/)

4d — Yes, you have a little bit more research to do for this one. (/)

4e — I guess the sole commit and message are indeed appropriate for 4 lines of code :) I know that you have much more to do but for this one I will assume that you will keep up the good habit. (+)

4f — 1 out of 1000 steps submitted on time. (/)

Updated feedback for commits up to May 6:

2d — You got a faithful, textbook rendition of dining philosophers up and running. You fulfilled only one of two specific requests though: you have the status display, but don't have any error-checking. (|)

4a — Your code is functional and is as near to a “textbook implementation” as I've seen in a while. (+)

4b — One textbook characteristic that we wish you *hadn't* inherited is the monolithic file—you already had a nice modularization going with the sample code, and it is a pity to lose that. (|)

4c — Your code, in the right editor, is visually eminently readable. But, in some other editors...it looks a little off...hmmmm I wonder why...oh, I see: *tabs*! (|)

4d — Good job figuring out this one! Short of the separation of concerns and the error checking, this would be great. But you were dinged on both of those already, so... (+)