Maritime Communications Environment and Use of Autonomous Systems

Andrew Bolster

October 5, 2015

1 Maritime Communications Environment

The key challenges of underwater acoustic communications are centred around the impact of slow and differential propagation of energy (RF, Optical, Acoustic) through water, and it's interfaces with the seabed / air. The resultant challenges include; long delays due to propagation, significant intersymbol interference and Doppler spreading, fast and slow fading due to environmental effects (aquatic flora/fauna; surface weather), carrier-frequency dependent signal attenuation, multipath caused by the medium interfaces at the surface and seabed, variations in propagation speed due to depth dependant effects (salinity, temperature, pressure, gaseous concentrations and bubbling), and subsequent refractive spreading and lensing due to that same propagation variation[PKL06].

The attenuation that occurs in an underwater acoustic channel over a distance d for a signal about frequency f in linear and dB forms respectively is given by

$$A_{\text{aco}}(d,f) = A_0 d^k a(f)^d \tag{1}$$

$$10\log A_{\rm aco}(d,f)/A_0 = k \cdot 10\log d + d \cdot 10\log a(f) \tag{2}$$

where A_0 is a unit-normalising constant, k is a spreading factor (commonly taken as 1.5), and a(f) is the absorption coefficient, expressed empirically using Thorp's formula (3) from [Sto07]

$$10\log a(f) = 0.11 \cdot \frac{f^2}{1+f^2} + 44 \cdot \frac{f^2}{4100+f^2} + 2.75 \times 10^{-4} f^2 + 0.003 \quad (3)$$

Refractive lensing and the multipath nature of the medium result in supposedly line of sight propagation being extremely unreliable for estimating distances to targets. The first arriving beam has as the very least bent in the medium, and commonly has reflected off the surface/seabed before arriving at a receiver, creating secondary paths that are sometimes many times longer than the first arrival path, generating symbol spreading over orders of seconds depending on the ranges and depths involved. Extensive Forward Error Correction coding is used on such channels to minimise packet losses.

$$A_{\rm RF}(d,f) \approx \left(\frac{4\pi df}{c}\right)^2 \text{ where } c \approx 3 \times 10^8 ms^{-1}$$
 (4)

Thus, the multi-path channel transfer function can be described by

$$H(d,f) = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} h(p) = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} \Gamma_p / \sqrt{A(d_p, f)} e^{-j2\pi f \tau_p}$$
 where $\tau_p = d_p / c, c \approx 1500 ms^{-1}$ (5)

where $d=d_0$ is the minimal path length between the transmitter and receiver, $d_p, p=\{1, \ldots P-1\}$ are the secondary path lengths, Γ_p models additional losses incurred on each path such as reflection losses at the surface interface, and $\tau_p=d_p/c$ is the delay time ($c\approx 1500ms^{-1}$ is the nominal speed of sound underwater).

Comparing $A_{aco}(d, f)$ with the RF Free-Space Path Loss model $A_{RF}(d, f) \approx \left(\frac{4\pi df}{c}\right)^2$, the impact of range on signal power is exponential underwater, rather than quadratic in RF space $(A_{aco} \propto f^{2d} \text{ vs } A_{RF} \propto (df)^2)$. While both frequency dependant factors are quadratic, approximating the factors in (3), $f \propto A_{aco}$ is at least 4 orders of magnitude higher than $f \propto A_{RF}$

References

- [PKL06] Jim Partan, Jim Kurose, and Brian Neil Levine. A survey of practical issues in underwater networks. *Proceedings of the 1st ACM international workshop on Underwater networks WUWNet 06*, 11(4):17, 2006.
 - [Sto07] Milica Stojanovic. On the relationship between capacity and distance in an underwater acoustic communication channel, 2007.