Todo: 25th Jan, 2016

more background on the operation of TTP/CA/PKI?	4
	6
More of these in the bookmarks list	7
Get more citations for this paragraph, need background on multicul-	
tural definitions rather than second hand	8
	8
Liu and Wang do lots on this [?] as well as discussion regarding the	
entropic/probabilistic models of trust. This may be too much to	
·	0
	0
Needs Citations	.0
	.1
Possibly worth incorporating the transitive property to this 1	1
Separate Figure for each relationship	1
Need to discuss how trust is established a) initially among a co-launched	
group, b) with a newcomer and c) with a returner	2
Expand introduction and plan the rest of the section	3
Discuss levels of autonomy	4
by whom	.5
Rethink using these questions at all; opens up to awkward questioning	
that isn't ansered in the thesis	.5
Needs references	20
ReDo this later	21
Need to check security status of this source	21
V	21
V	21
11 1	22
	22
1	22
1 0	24
v	25
	29
8	80
Possibly need to switch this with the Francois Garrison model which,	
depending on your source, is the refined version (or vise versa 3	
Vectorise and Label $\dots \dots \dots$	3

expand this, justify AUVNetSim, reactive mobility, python compati-
bility, SimPy Etc
Summary of Akyildiz02/05
Possibly worth having some discussion on mobility in here
Fix Sec Ref
Move to intro
it would be worth while going through this verification explicitly as an
appendix
Need to have a discussion about mission configurations at some point .
I have no idea why A is different to the rest
redo these graphs with wider separations 1000m
Another interesting aspect is the behaviour of the Enqueued Packet
lines and e2e delay lines; They "Bump"; no idea why yet
This does NOT make for easy comparison between graphs as the scaling
is different for each mobility, but I need to think about how to
fairly solve this
Double Check These Numbers Before Release
this is a place holder for actual information
expand this section to include discussion and results of single mobility
models
In the thesis, we're concerned about a lot more than just the all mobile
results
Need to actually show physical only trust measurements
referencing the right equ in the wrong place
Possibly redundant sentences
Duplicating C6 Metric Weighting Section
Come back to this and talk about redundancy
Figure: trust bella single mobile selfish
Figure: trust bella allbut1 mobile selfish
Figure: beta trust bella static joint
Figure: beta trust bella single mobile joint
Figure: beta trust bella allbut1 mobile joint
Figure: beta trust bella all mobile joint
Figure: Indicitive Future MCM Scenario
Check Security
Check Security
don't think classification is the right word here
eqs of sequence buffers and partial derivs