## Introduction

Andrew Bolster

December 2, 2015

## 1 Mobile Ad-hoc Networks (MANETs)

With the explosive growth in the use of mobile telephony and the increasing miniaturisation and efficiency gains of portable communications devices, the classical paradigm of a broadcast/receiver or server/client has given way to an increasing use of decentralised, ad-hoc networks that not only accommodate but take advantage of user mobility.

Wether these networks are decentralised cellular / RF / 802.11 WiFi networks for use in disaster relief areas [ML15] or biologically inspired wireless sensor networks for low-energy, low-maintenance environmental monitoring [BG15], Mobile Ad-hoc Network (MANET) theory developed over the past 30 years has gone from it's first formal definition, emerging from DARPA's Packet Radio Network research[JT87], to being an integral part of modern practical communications.

Minimally, a MANET consists of a collection of mobile physical entities (nodes) with some form of communications, processing/data collection, and power systems. Similarly in terms of communications capability, while in many cases MANET nodes incorporate bi-directional transceivers to send and receive data, this bi-directionality is also not a limiting factor on inclusion within the MANET field, particularly in the area of Wireless Sensor Networks [ASSC02]. Nor is the capability or mix of communications technologies used; omnidirectional, static, or steerable communications antennae, utilising a range of technologies such as WiFi, Bluetooth, GSM, UMTS, Optical or Acoustics. A core characteristic of the design of MANETs is the inclusion and integration of heterogeneous node collections, i.e where different nodes or groups of nodes in a network have difference capabilities, whether this be in terms of propulsion, sensor apparatus, communications capability, etc.

These networks may be totally independent with no external connections, include independent per-node communications backhauls (e.g. Cellular Modems in mobile phones as part of a Bluetooth Personal Area Network(PAN)), or include static nodes that provide infrastructure based backhaul. However, this multiplicity of variations and options presents several challenges to users and operators; the physical topology of MANETs can vary wildly over short periods of time. A particular challenge to MANET operation as a result of its decentralised nature is that since any node may operate as a routing / gateway node with responsibility for transiting data from once sector of the network to another (given certain capability requirements), if/when that node moves to a different region, nodes that had previously used that node as a path must reestablish their logical routing tables.

The characteristics of MANETs as defined by Corson et al. are paraphrased in Table 1.

Dynamic Topologies	Nodes are fr multihop net rapidly at un bidirectional
Bandwidth Constrained, Varied Capacity	Wireless link capacity than the realized t accounting for

Nodes are free to move arbitrarily; thus, the typically multihop network topology may change randomly and rapidly at unpredictable times, and may consist of both bidirectional and unidirectional links.

Wireless links will continue to have significantly lower capacity than their hardwired counterparts. In addition, the realized throughput of wireless communications, after accounting for the effects of multiple access, fading, noise, and interference conditions, etc., is often much less than a radio's maximum transmission rate.

One effect of the relatively low to moderate link capacities is that congestion is typically the norm rather than the exception, i.e. aggregate application demand will likely approach or exceed network capacity frequently.

#### Energy Constrained Operation

Some or all of the nodes in a MANET may rely on batteries or other exhaustible means for their energy. For these nodes, the most important system design criteria for optimization may be energy conservation.

# Limited physical security

Mobile wireless networks are generally more prone to physical security threats than are fixed cable nets. The increased possibility of eavesdropping, spoofing, and denial-of-service attacks should be carefully considered. Existing link security techniques are often applied within wireless networks to reduce security threats. As a benefit, the decentralized nature of network control in MANETs provides additional robustness against the single points of failure of more centralized approaches.

Table 1: Summary of Characteristics of MANETs[CM99]

### References

- [ASSC02] I Akyildiz, W. Su, Y. Sankarasubramaniam, and E. Cayirci. Wireless sensor networks: a survey. *Comput. Networks*, 38(4):393–422, 2002.
  - [BG15] S Bhargavi and Vishnu Prasad Goranthala. The Impact of Collusion Attacks in WSN with Secure Data Aggregation System. 2(08):213–217, 2015.
  - [CM99] S Corson and J Macker. Mobile Ad hoc Networking (MANET): Routing Protocol Performance Issues and Evaluation Considerations. RFC 2501, RFC Editor, jan 1999.
  - [JT87] J. Jubin and J.D. Tornow. The DARPA packet radio network protocols. *Proc. IEEE*, 75(1):21–32, 1987.
  - [ML15] Jonny Milliken and David Linton. Prioritisation of citizen-centric information for disaster response. *Disasters*, pages n/a—-n/a, 2015.