ODC SOW

To Do

• //www.smartsheet.com/how-write-statement-work-any-industry

Introduction

- USGS/EROS fully supports the ODC Initiative
 - EROS values its partnership with GA on this initiative

This document defines the joint research specifically between GA and USGS/EROS and benefits the larger science and ODC communities.

Objectives/Purpose

The Big WHY:

In a nutshell scientists just want to do science!

The old model of: - specifying new equipment; and waiting for it to be deployed - purchasing or deploying scientific tools - filtering and downloading data - sub-setting and further filtering data

Has been disrupted/replaced by a cloud centric approach: - Infrastructure is stood up from code (IaaS) (Infrastructure as Code) - Data has been processed to standard scientific levels - Data is at your fingertips - Cloud tools (like ODC) are present and free to use - Scientists focus on science

Also; There is a goal of 20 by 20 Cubes in the larger ODC context.

Scope of Work

- This document covers the technical scope of this work only. All political agreements are the responsibility of the governing bodies of each agency.
- The primary scope of this work is to create a Data Cube instance in the cloud that exploits s3 object stored ARD data.

The research for this task will involve the following disciplines at a minimum: 1. Project Management 2. System Administration 3. Cloud Engineering 4. Software Development/Engineering 5. Data Base Administration 6. Data Science

Limiting the Target Use Case

To be effective we recommend limiting the target use case to:

- 1. Hayden Island (Portland Oregon) ## Spaghetti,
- 2. US ARD Data
 - Specifically h03v03 # # 2350 scene/tiles
 - target bucket (as of this writing) ga-odc-eros-co3-west.
 - still need to code a distributed COG generator with terraform.
- 3. AWS cloud provider
 - us-west-2 (Oregon) region # its all about the Oregon
 - ga-aws-usgs account
 - •
- 4. Data Format Constraints
 - Cloud Optimized Geotiff This is the **focus**.
 - Comparisons to tiff, geotiff and tarred geotiff may be documented
- 5. Data Base Constraint
 - PostgreSQL (SQLAlchemy)
 - Run on a small EC2 instance; for first demonstration
 - as with all factors in this project, this could scale up in many ways.
 - Should use Amazon Relational Database Service (RDS) AWS in round 2/phase 2

Expected Outcomes

Cloud Technology Momentum

- 1. The cloud ship has sailed; come sail away with me
- 2. Private industry is already using satelite data in interesting ways

GDAL is the key to application and data synergies

How to best optimize data in s3

- 1. For widest scientific use (end user)
- 2. For image processing; As a low cost ubiquitous data cache

Develop and data and application roadmap baseline

1. Refine and accelerate the schedule for pets —> cows migration

Reusable Technology Recipes for Cloud Data Exploitation

- 1. Reusable Infrastructure as code
- 2. Validate the use of Docker containers as the primary application building block
 - Docker containers still need to be accepted by USGS security team.

Deliverables

S3 Findings Paper and Presentation - (February 14, 2018)

Deadline Approaching

- This work needs to be completed by January 31, 2018 to be displayed on February 14, 2018.
- 1. Data Storage of one ARD tile (full temporal legacy)
- 2. Project and Task Plans
- 3. Data Cube Instances
 - AWS us-west-2
 - private libvirt instances
- 4. Prototype code for indexing from ARD.xml[2350] to PostgreSQL
- 5. Demonstration of water though time over the Hayden Island area of Oregon
 - Highlights Landsat strengths
 - Highlights ODC strengths
 - Determination of s3/cloud viability for ODC and Landsat

Terraform low cost container service and simple orchestration model

Success Metrics

Needs some thought and discussion

- 1. Lines of code
- 2. Budget adherence
 - Creative cost containment in the cloud
- 3. Number of demonstrations
- 4. Number of scenes
 - stored
 - processed per minute
- 5. Number of meetings and conferences
- 6. Number of hours on the timesheet
- 7. Number of AWS services exploited or avoided

Constraints and Risks

Constraints

- 1. Limited access to project sponsors
- 2. Limited access to experts at EROS
- 3. Limited size of AWS instances and mostly transient use
- 4. Large technology gamut and limited experience in certain technologies
- 5. So many ideas; so little time
 - Decision fatigue
 - Direction fatigue
- 6. The status quo
 - Big highly intergrated applications (we love our pets)
 - Competing priorities

Mitigations

- 1. Limit the scope; phase the project
 - Use instincts and filters to determine priorities
 - Manage the queue
 - Favor technolgies with easily explotable abstractions
- 2. Follow project management rigor
 - Validate priorities with key stakeholder(s)
- 3. Target all communication to the reader; evaluate the effectiveness
 - for example executive summary level for stakeholders; technical detail among the technologists
- 4. Schedule monthly briefings
- 5. Allow for some autonomy an self directed teams
- $\hbox{6. Build some contingency lighter weight demos-jupyter notebook-synthetic simulations squared } \\$
- 7. Limit tools and embrace the cloud collaborative paradigm
- 8. Develop decision filters that help streamline technology and direction choices
 - Find the common denominators
 - Stay agile

Roles and Responsibilities

This is where we define who does what.

GA (Australia)

- 1. All things Data Cube Code Related
 - Indexing the data

- Demonstrating the user interface
- Testing water over time (Hayden Island)

USGS/EROS (Tony)

- 1. All things infrastructure and data storage
 - Create and populate buckets
 - Create and tear down infrastructure
 - Document techniques and results; improve automation

Related Work

- 1. Level1 Cloud Data Storage
 - Commercial use and exploitation of level1 data
 - Collection 1
 - Related white papers on cloud storage