STATE OF	'WISCONSIN,	
/S.	PLAINTIFF,	JURY TRIAL TRIAL - DAY 25 Case No. 05 CF 3
	AVERY,	case no. os er s
	DEFENDANT.	
DATE:	MARCH 16, 2007	
BEFORE:	Hon. Patrick L. Willis Circuit Court Judge	
APPEARAN	CES:	
	KENNETH R. KRATZ Special Prosecutor On behalf of the State	of Wiggonsin
	THOMAS J. FALLON Special Prosecutor On behalf of the State	
	DEAN A. STRANG Attorney at Law On behalf of the Defend	dant.
	JEROME F. BUTING Attorney at Law On behalf of the Defend	dant.
	STEVEN A. AVERY Defendant	
	Appeared in person.	
	TRANSCRIPT OF PRO	
	Reported by Diane Te	sneneck, RPR

THE COURT: At this time the Court, again, calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. I will indicate that we are on the record at this time, outside of the presence of the jurors. Will the parties present state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Good morning, Judge, the State appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz and Assistant Attorney General Tom Fallon as Special Prosecutors.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And good morning as well, Steven Avery in person and Jerome Buting and Dean Strang on his behalf.

THE COURT: All right. Before I get to the specific reason that we're going on the record this morning, I wanted to address an item that came to the Court's attention yesterday, after the jurors began deliberations. There were a couple of requests from the jury for accessories, if you will, to assist them in their deliberations.

Specifically, at about 1:20 in the afternoon the jurors requested a magnifying glass, because of the size of the photos that they received. And at 2:30, the jurors request a dry board, a flip chart, or something along those

lines, that they could use in the course of their deliberations.

When requests like this are typically received, the Court generally will, informally, discuss with counsel if any of them have any problem, but normally the Court simply sends the items back. It's practice and, in fact, it's required to go on the record whenever a question is received from the jury, but normally it's this Court's practice and counsel confirmed yesterday that they did not see a need to go on the record for the requests that were made by the jury.

One difference in this case is that the Court has been alerted that the media interest in the juror deliberations extends to the request of the nature of those that were made yesterday.

And the Court was, frankly, simply not thinking about that yesterday afternoon.

But it would be my proposal, if there's any requests like that received in the future, although we may not go on the record for them, if either party feels the need to do so, I will, after any such requests are acted on, simply pass information to the media coordinator and alert all the media of what happened. Is that

procedure acceptable to both parties?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes, judge.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.

of the jurors in this case. Last evening, sometime around 9 p.m., the Court received a telephone call from Sheriff Pagel indicating that one of the jurors had presented a request to a -- one of the supervising deputies over at the hotel, to be excused because of an unforeseen family emergency.

The Court, mindful of its duty to exercise reasonable efforts to void the discharge of a juror, once deliberations have begun, nevertheless, concluded that based on the information provided, the request appeared to have merit and warranted further consideration.

After I received the information, I contacted Attorney Kratz and both defense counsel by telephone conference call to inform them of the request. Counsel agreed that if the information that had been presented to the Court was correct, excusing the juror was appropriate in this case.

Counsel further authorized the Court to speak with the juror individually and excuse the

juror if the information provided to the Court was verified. The Court did verify that information with the juror and excused the juror last evening.

Case law in Wisconsin provides three alternatives in a situation now before the Court. One alternative is that the parties can stipulate to proceed with 11 jurors.

The second alternative is that the parties can stipulate to substitute an alternate juror. In this case the Court has previously sequestered one of the alternate jurors to be available for that purpose, if it became necessary.

The third alternative is to declare a mistrial in the absence of a stipulation by the parties to proceed with one of the other two alternatives.

It's my understanding that at this time the parties do have a stipulation to present to the Court. Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Your Honor, thank you.

Mr. Avery and his counsel are willing to offer their agreement to pursue the following course: One, if the Court gives a proper instruction that jury

deliberations must begin entirely anew. And, two, if each of the 11 presently deliberating jurors provides satisfactory assurance that they can and will follow an instruction to begin deliberations anew, then, three, the defense will agree that the person who has been the alternate to date should join the ranks of the 11, becoming the 12th regular juror and the deliberations may begin anew with this newly composed group of 12.

If the State thinks the three aspects of this agreement acceptable, the defense, too, will be bound by it. And we have discussed that with Mr. Avery. It has his concurrence and he understands that the Court will want to make brief personal inquiry of him as well.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Kratz.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, the State joins in the stipulation. After our conversation last evening where the Court discussed the options, and after hearing, this morning, of the defense willingness to enter the stipulation, the State agrees that the alternate juror, who was reserved just for this unusual circumstance or occasion, that -- that the Court do just that. And so we join in Mr. Strang's request that the Court adopt and

approve this stipulation.

THE COURT: All right. As a supplement to Mr. Strang's request, I should indicate for the record that I did meet with counsel in chambers before we began today and went over a proposed instruction to the jury and question for the jurors to make sure that they could follow the Court's instruction.

The Court prepared that instruction with input from both of the parties. And Mr. Strang when you refer to an appropriate instruction, I'm assuming you are referring to the one that was discussed in chambers today.

ATTORNEY STRANG: The instruction that the Court read to us in chambers this morning, after hearing input from both sides, we think a proper instruction.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then, Mr. Avery, I do have a few questions to ask of you at this point. First of all, have you heard the discussion that we just had, on the record, and the proposal of how to address the issue of the excused juror?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Have you taken some time this

1 morning to discuss this matter with your attorneys?
2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Are you aware that you are under no obligation to join in the stipulation, that is, you have the right to require a jury of 12 and the right to request a mistrial if the juror is excused.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you feel that you need any more time to consider your decision on this issue?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Are you in agreement with the stipulation that has just been placed on the record by your attorney, Mr. Strang?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Very well, the Court finds that the parties have jointly stipulated, and the defense has stipulated with the knowing and voluntary consent of the defendant, to recall the alternate juror in this case and allow the jurors to begin deliberating anew, following an instruction from the Court as to how those deliberations are to proceed.

Is there anything else that either party wishes to bring up on the record before we bring in the jurors?

1 ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, your Honor. 2 ATTORNEY STRANG: No, thank you, your 3 Honor. THE COURT: And I believe it's the 4 5 understanding and agreement of both parties that, when the jurors are brought in, we'll be bringing in 6 7 the remaining 11 original jurors and the alternate to take the seat she normally takes. 8 9 ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes, and, that because 10 this procedure, very soon, will require naming 11 jurors, that arrangements have been made with the 12 media to preserve the Court's requirement that 13 jurors not be named publicly. 14 THE COURT: All right. I will cue the 15 media, the camera operators, when that time comes in 16 the instructions. At this time, then, we can bring 17 in the jurors. 18 (Jury present.) 19 THE COURT: You may be seated. We're going 20 to wait a minute for Ms Stienmetz to join us. 21 Members of the jury, one of your members 22 has been excused from jury deliberations in this 23 case, because of an unforeseen family emergency. 24 Although excusing a juror during deliberations

rarely occurs, it is sometimes necessary.

The Court has brought back the last alternate juror excused, to participate in the deliberations in this case. Before those deliberations begin, I have an important further instruction for all of you.

The law requires that, during deliberations, 12 people must have the opportunity to review the evidence in light of each juror's perception, memory, and reaction.

It is important that the jury reach its consensus through deliberations, which are the common experience of all 12 jurors.

Each of the 12, must have the opportunity to persuade the other members of the jury and to be persuaded by them. If you have formed any views about the evidence up until now, you must set those views aside and start over.

To assure that these requirements are followed in this case, you are instructed that you must commence your deliberations anew. That means that you should begin by electing a foreperson and then proceed to evaluate all the evidence as though you are just beginning to deliberate. This is necessary to assure the full participation of all 12 jurors in the

deliberation process. 1 2 Before I excuse you to begin deliberating, it is necessary that I be assured 3 that each of you will be able to deliberate on 4 5 this basis. Therefore, I'm going to individually ask each of you one more question. At this time 7 I will ask the media to cut the audio portion. Thank you. 8 9 Each of you must accept this juror as an 10 equal member of this jury, giving her the full respect and authority that you would give to any 11 12 other juror. 13 Mr. Slaby, will you be able to follow 14 this instruction I have just given you and begin 15 your deliberations anew? 16 MR. SLABY: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Ms Free, will you follow this 18 instruction I have just given you and begin your deliberations anew? 19 20 MS FREE: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Ms Schmidt, will you follow the instruction I have just given you and begin your 22 23 deliberations anew? 24 MS SCHMIDT: Yes.

THE COURT: Ms Thorne, will you follow this

1	instruction I have just given you and begin your
2	deliberations anew?
3	MS THORNE: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Ms Dorn, will you follow the
5	instruction I have just given you and begin your
6	deliberations anew?
7	MS DORN: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Nelesen, will you follow
9	the instruction I have just given you and begin your
10	deliberations anew?
11	MR. NELESEN: Yes, sir.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Klein, will you follow the
13	instruction I have just given you and begin your
14	deliberations anew?
15	MR. KLEIN: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Schuette, will you follow
17	the instruction I have just given you and begin your
18	deliberations anew?
19	MR. SCHUETTE: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Ms Flint, will you follow the
21	instruction I have just given you and begin your
22	deliberations anew?
23	MS FLINT: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Mohr, will you follow this
25	instruction I have just given you and begin your

1	deliberations anew?
2	MR. MOHR: Yes, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: And, Mr. Wardman, will you
4	follow the instruction I have just given you and
5	begin your deliberations anew?
6	MR. WARDMAN: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Last, Ms Stienmetz, have you
8	heard the instructions that I have given to the jury
9	and are you willing to resume your role as a juror
10	under those instructions in this case?
11	MS STIENMETZ: Yes, I am.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. Members of the
13	jury, the Court will prepare a written copy of the
14	instruction that I have just given you to send back
15	so that you will have it with your other
16	instructions in this case. Ms Stienmetz, do you
17	still have your original instructions or do you need
18	another set sent back?
19	MS STIENMETZ: It was left in the room.
20	THE COURT: All right. I will see to it
21	that another set of full instructions gets sent back
22	for you. At this time, then, members of the jury,
23	you are excused and you may begin your
24	deliberations.

(Jury not present.)

1	THE COURT: You may be seated. Counsel, I
2	will ask you to stop back, then, in about 10 minutes
3	so that I can prepare a written version of this
4	instruction, sent back to the jury, and each of you
5	can sign off on it.
6	ATTORNEY BUTING: All right.
7	THE COURT: Anything else while we're on
8	the record?
9	ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge. Thank you.
10	ATTORNEY STRANG: No. Thanks.
11	THE COURT: Very well. Counsel, I'm going
12	to ask you to stop back right away.
13	ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
14	(Court in recess, jury deliberating.)
15	****
16	(Question from the jury and the Judge's reply.)
17	THE COURT: At this time we are on the
18	record, outside the presence of the jury. All
19	counsel, except Mr. Gahn, are present, and the
20	defendant is also present, in person.
21	I can indicate for the record that the
22	Court has received a written question from the
23	jury dated today, at 1:29 p.m. Following receipt
24	of the question, I met with counsel in chambers

and discussed a proposed answer to the question.

I believe the parties are in agreement with that answer. I will read the question and the answer at this time and then ask the parties if the answer is acceptable. The question from the jury reads: Could we please read or hear a transcript of Bobby Dassey's testimony. It is signed by Daniel Slaby, who I presume to be the foreperson, dated today at 1:29 p.m.

The proposed answer is the following:

Dear Jury Members, this is in response to your

request for a transcript of Bobby Dassey's

testimony. As the Court has instructed you, you

will not have a copy of the written transcript of

the trial testimony available for use during your

deliberations. You may ask to have specific

portions of the testimony read to you. You must

continue to rely primarily on your memory of the

evidence and the testimony introduced during the

trial.

The Court does not have and cannot provide you with a transcript of Bobby Dassey's entire testimony. If you can identify a specific portion of his testimony, the Court will attempt to address your request. Please do not disclose the state of your deliberations in any such

1	request. Signed by me. Mr. Kratz, is that
2	answer acceptable to the State?
3	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Strang, Mr. Buting, I don't
5	know if you discussed this matter with your client,
6	I will give you an opportunity to do so if you wish.
7	ATTORNEY STRANG: Mr. Buting did, briefly.
8	The answer is acceptable both to defense counsel and
9	to Mr. Avery.
10	THE COURT: Very well, if there's nothing
11	further, I will send the original copy of the answer
12	back to the jury and then keep the jurors question
13	and a copy of the answer for the court file.
14	ATTORNEY FALLON: Do you want us to wait
15	here in case there is a quick reply?
16	THE COURT: Actually, I have got another
17	matter I would like to talk to you in chambers
18	about, so let's meet back there.
19	(Court in recess, jury deliberating.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF WISCONSIN )
2	)ss COUNTY OF MANITOWOC )
3	
4	I, Diane Tesheneck, Official Court
5	Reporter for Circuit Court Branch 1 and the State
6	of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that I reported
7	the foregoing matter and that the foregoing
8	transcript has been carefully prepared by me with
9	my computerized stenographic notes as taken by me
10	in machine shorthand, and by computer-assisted
11	transcription thereafter transcribed, and that it
12	is a true and correct transcript of the
13	proceedings had in said matter to the best of my
14	knowledge and ability.
15	Dated this 11th day of January, 2008.
16	
17	
18	
19	Diane Tesheneck, RPR Official Court Reporter
20	Official Coult Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	