STATE OF WISC	CONSIN,	
	PLAINTIFF,	JURY TRIAL TRIAL - DAY 6
rs.		Case No. 05 CF 381
TEVEN A. AVE	ERY,	
	DEFENDANT.	
<b>ATE:</b> FEBR	UARY 19, 2007	
	Patrick L. Will	is
	uit Court Judge	
APPEARANCES:	KENNETH R. KRAT Special Prosecu	
		e State of Wisconsin.
	THOMAS J. FALLO	
	Special Prosecu On behalf of th	tor e State of Wisconsin.
	NORMAN A. GAHN	
	Special Prosecu On behalf of th	tor e State of Wisconsin.
	DEAN A. STRANG	
	Attorney at Law On behalf of th	
	JEROME F. BUTIN	
	Attorney at Law On behalf of th	
	STEVEN A. AVERY	
	Defendant Appeared in per	son.
	TRANSCRIPT OF	
Re	eported by Diane	Tesheneck, RPR
	Official Cour	

1		Ī	NDEX				
2	WITNESSES				PAGE		
3	JOHN ERTL						
4 5	Direct Exami	nation by AT	TORNEY FALLON		4		
6	Cross-Examin	ation by ATT	ORNEY BUTING		65		
7	Redirect Exa	mination by	ATTORNEY FALLOI	Ŋ	131		
8	Recross-Exam	ination by A	TTORNEY BUTING		141		
9	DEPUTY DAVID	SIDERS					
10	Direct Exami	nation by AT	TORNEY KRATZ		148		
11	Cross-Examin	ation by ATT	ORNEY STRANG		158		
12	GEDGEANE WII	I TAM TUGON					
13	SERGEANT WILLIAM TYSON  Direct Examination by ATTORNEY KRATZ 167						
14	Direct Exami	nacion by Ai	IORNEI RRAIZ		167		
15	EXHIBITS	MARKED	OFFERED	ADMITT	ED		
16	142-147 148-151		236	236 236			
17	154-155 156		158 147	158 147			
18	157 159-160		158 147	158 147			
19	161-162		230	231			
20	163 166-186		227 227	227 227			
21	188-205		227	227			
22							
23							
24							
25							
			2				

THE COURT: At this time the Court calls 1 2 State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 3 381. Will the parties state their appearances for the record. 4 5 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Your Honor, the State once again appears by Calumet County District Attorney 6 7 Ken Kratz, Assistant Attorney General Tom Fallon and Assistant District Attorney Norm Gahn, appearing as 8 9 special prosecutors. 10 ATTORNEY BUTING: Good morning, your Honor. 11 Attorney Jerome Buting and Dean Strang appearing 12 with Mr. Steven Avery this morning. 13 THE COURT: Very well. At this time the 14 State may resume the presentation of its case. 15 Mr. Fallon. ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, good morning. 16 17 you. 18 THE COURT: You may call your first 19 witness. 20 ATTORNEY FALLON: State at this time would call John Ertl. 21 22 THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. 23 JOHN ERTL, called as a witness herein, 24 having been first duly sworn, was examined and 25 testified as follows:

1		THE CLERK: You may be seated. Please
2		state your name and spell your last name for the
3		record.
4		THE WITNESS: My name is John Ertl. Last
5		name is spelled E-r-t-l.
6		DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY A	ATTORNEY FALLON:
8	Q.	How are you employed?
9	А.	I work for the State Crime Laboratory in Madison.
10		I'm a forensic scientist. I work for the DNA
11		Analysis Unit and I also do crime scene evidence
12		location, recovery, and collection.
13	Q.	How long have you been employed with the
14		Wisconsin State Crime Lab in Madison?
15	Α.	I began there in January of 2000.
16	Q.	Had you had any previous employment as a Crime
17		Lab analyst prior to that?
18	Α.	My first job as a forensic scientist was with the
19		State Crime Laboratory in Milwaukee. I started
20		there in '97. I moved from there, after about a
21		year and a half, to the State Laboratory in
22		Austin, Texas. And then I moved to the Madison
23		Laboratory in 2000.
24	Q.	As a DNA analyst, what do you do for them,
25		generally?

A. I examine evidence collected at crime scenes.

And whether we have collected it or the police agency has collected it, it's brought to the laboratory for analysis. So I will look for biological materials and I will attempt to extract DNA from them and type the DNA, get a genetic profile.

Then we take samples from known individuals; it's usually a blood sample or a swab from inside your cheek. Extract the DNA from that; get the genetic profile; match up the profiles, if I can; determine whether or not the DNA from the crime scene item stains is the same as, or different than, the DNA from known individuals.

- Q. Mr. Ertl, what other roles or duties have you been assigned or volunteered to perform for the Crime Lab?
- A. Well, in addition to my -- my major role as a DNA analyst at the laboratory, we have a volunteer system where we do crime scene -- process crime scenes. So if any agency in the State feels uncomfortable or needs help or assistance that we can offer, whether it's just a phone call, get some advice, or whether we actually go to the

- scene and help them, we'll go. We'll document

  the scene, photographs. We'll do sketches. But

  our main job is to find the evidence and collect

  it and bring it back to the laboratory.
- Q. Is there a name for that particular unit or task that you perform?
- 7 A. That's the Field Response Team.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 8 Q. How long have you been a member of the Field
  9 Response Unit?
- 10 A. About six months after I arrived at the
  11 laboratory. So, it was about June of 2000, I
  12 began going out with them on field responses.
  13 Prior to that, I had also done field response
  14 work in the Texas lab.

I began training as a crime scene photographer at the Madison Laboratory and I completed that training in about December of 2000. But during that whole time, since about June of 2000, I have been going out to crime scenes with the Madison teams.

- Q. Let's talk a little bit about your education,
  Mr. Ertl. Do you have --I take it you have an
  undergraduate degree.
- A. Undergraduate degree in chemistry from the
  University of Wisconsin, Parkside. That's in

1 Kenosha.

- 2 Q. Do you have any graduate degrees?
- A. Graduate degree from the same institution; that's in molecular biology.
- 5 Q. All right. When did you receive that degree?
- A. The undergraduate degree, I completed in '84 and the master's degree I completed in '92.
  - Q. Now, generally, again, returning to your work
    with the Field Response Unit; what kinds of cases
    does the Field Response Unit become involved in?
    - A. Generally, it's any case where the agency is uncomfortable, number one, whether they don't have the experience or whatever. Generally involved in some sort of homicide. Could be bones found in the woods, you know. Might not be a homicide, may be a missing person. Might be an accidental shooting, hunter shooting, that sort of thing. We get involved. But it's at the request of the agency where it's happening and that they would request us to come and help.
    - Q. Well, that was my next question. Generally, then, how does one request the services of the Field Response Unit from the Crime Laboratory?
    - A. The agency just calls the laboratory and requests that the Field Response Unit come and help. And

- 1 that's basically how we get involved.
- Q. All right. So assuming there is a request and it involves some type of death?
- 4 A. Generally, yes.

- Q. All right. Are there any other types of cases that the Field Response Unit may become involved in?
  - A. I have done several where it was just -- it was a missing persons case, the person had gone missing. And they didn't know whether they were dead or alive, but they had some reason to believe there might be some evidence in a particular place.

And in both of those instances I'm thinking about, one was in Milwaukee and one was in Menasha, they were quite old, the person had gone missing. And then much later, years later even, you know, they get some idea, well, he was in the basement and I saw him shot, somebody telling him this; or the landlord remembers there being blood on the wall when a tenant moved out 25 years prior. So we go and look under the paint, see if we can find any blood.

But, generally, there's a body involved. They know someone has been killed. It's more --

- 1 more immediate than a missing person.
- 2 Q. All right. All right. Mr. Ertl, I would like to
- direct your attention to Saturday, November 5th,
- 4 2005, and ask, how did you become involved in
- 5 this particular case?
- 6 A. It was a Saturday, so I wasn't at work, but I was
- 7 on call. So the Manitowoc County Sheriff's
- 8 Department called the laboratory number and our
- 9 answering service forwarded the call to me. And
- 10 it was Detective Dave Remiker, asked that --
- 11 Well, he explained to me that he had a
- missing persons case out of Calumet County they
- were getting involved with, but the vehicle
- belonging to that person had been located in
- 15 Manitowoc County. And he would like for the lab
- 16 to come and look at the area around the vehicle
- and to recover the vehicle and bring it back to
- 18 the laboratory.
- 19 Q. About what time did you receive that call or did
- 20 you make contact with Detective Remiker?
- 21 A. That was around noon on Saturday.
- 22 Q. All right. Did you subsequently respond?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. About what time did you leave Madison?
- 25 A. It was about 1:15 p.m. I had contacted the

- 1 photographer to take with me and we have a field
- 2 response vehicle, looks like a ugly colored
- yellow ambulance, and we headed for Manitowoc
- 4 County.
- 5 Q. Who, if anyone, assisted you in this initial
- 6 response?
- 7 A. My photographer for that was Guang Zhang?
- 8 Q. And for the benefit of everyone, could you spell
- 9 Mr. Guang Zhang's name?
- 10 A. It's G-u-a-n-g Z-h-a-n-g.
- 11 Q. Thank you. Approximately what time did you
- 12 arrive at the location?
- 13 A. It was about 4:00 p.m.
- 14 Q. And who did you meet at that location?
- 15 A. I was looking for a Detective Remiker and that he
- 16 escorted me to an area near a fire truck where
- 17 they had a canopy out --
- 18 (Court reporter couldn't hear.)
- 19 A. A canopy off the side of a fire truck. And it
- 20 was a kind of a stormy day and it was windy and
- 21 loud. And I was introduced to Investigator Mark
- 22 | Wiegert, Calumet County Sheriff's Department; and
- also, Special Agent Tom Fassbender of the
- 24 Wisconsin Department of Criminal Investigation.
- 25 And those two were my main contact people

- throughout the rest of my involvement at the scene.
- 3 Q. Did you at all, briefly, meet Detective Remiker?
- 4 A. I believe I did.
- Q. All right. But your direction came fromInvestigator Wiegert and Agent Fassbender?
- 7 A. Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. All right. After you checked in with them, what did you do?
- 10 A. They were at the end of the road leading into the
  11 salvage yard. And the -- that RAV4 vehicle, the
  12 victim's vehicle, had been located maybe a
  13 quarter mile into the salvage yard, down an
  14 embankment.

And so they got somebody to lead us down to a flattened area that was just in front of a pond and there was a car crusher nearby. But it was quite an open gravelly area and we were able to park there. And then we proceeded on foot.

- Q. I'm going to stop you right there, if I may, and this might assist. I would like to direct your attention to the screen and show you what has been received as Exhibit 86.
- 24 A. That's an aerial view.
- 25 Q. All right. I'm going to have my colleague hand

1		you a laser pointer here. And that may be of
2		some assistance to you. And if you could, then,
3		briefly describe, first of all, where the fire
4		truck with the canopy was and then your general
5		direction.
6	Α.	I don't want to get the court reporter. Okay.
7		So, right there is where the fire truck was.
8	Q.	Let the record reflect the witness is directing a
9		laser pointer to the lower left hand corner of
10		Exhibit 86.

11 ATTORNEY BUTING: No, objection.

THE COURT: Record will so reflect.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.

- Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ And from there, sir, where did you proceed?
  - A. Down this road. And this is the flat area where we parked.
- 18 Q. All right.

12

13

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY FALLON: May the record reflect
the witness has directed the laser pointer to the
area immediately to the right of the area previously
identified as the car crusher.

ATTORNEY BUTING: No objection.

THE COURT: The record will reflect that as well.

- Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ All right. Now, when you
  went to that area you described as the flat area,

  Mr. Ertl, what did you do from there?
- A. We then proceeded on foot down this kind of
  grassy, overgrown gravel and dirt road. And this
  is the pond. Goes around the pond and you can
  sort of see cars lined up along the edge of the
  road that goes around the pond. Right about
  there, a little more than half way down to the
  pond there was a --
- 11 Q. I'm going to show you a close up there. Just so
  12 you know, sir, this exhibit, as previously
  13 identified, was taken after the car was removed.
- 14 A. All right.
- Q. But for purposes of illustrating the area and the path you took, if you would now demonstrate with the pointer what you did?
- A. All right. So this is the flat level area.

  That's the car crusher. We proceeded down this

  road to right there.
- 21 | Q. All right. Thank you.
- A. There's a red vehicle and two white vehicles.

  The RAV4 was a blue vehicle, was parked parallel to the red vehicle. Its nose was facing this direction.

ATTORNEY FALLON: And the record should reflect he directly pointed to a westerly direction.

The record will so reflect.

Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ All right. Very well.

Thank you, Mr. Ertl. If you would please, then,
describe further the line of cars and the
particular Toyota RAV4 that you examined or first
observed.

THE COURT:

A. Okay. These cars parked along here were kind of older looking, the paint was faded; they looked like they belonged there in the salvage yard.

The RAV4 looked a little different, it was shiny.

And it had an old Rambler hood leaned up against the back panel. It had a piece of plywood up against the front end, on the side.

It had several tree branches up against the back. Had a cardboard box sitting on the hood. And had quite a large 12 to 15 foot tall tree that kind of looked like it had been ripped out of the ground, still had roots attached, was leaning up against and over the hood. The other vehicles there had been parked there and there were saplings growing up around them. But this one had detached tree limbs and things around it.

The Rambler hood and some fence posts

were leaned up against the car. The other cars didn't have that sort of stuff around them; the car was sitting there and there was vegetation growing up around them. So it looked a little odd.

- Q. All right. And before we go further, tell us about the weather conditions upon your arrival.
- A. When I first got the call at noon, I was informed that the weather was threatening. It was early November. It was kind of cold, but it was rain that we were having. It had rained and they were afraid that it was going to rain on the vehicle. I advised them they should cover it in some way, if they could, because of the rain if there were fingerprints or anything, handprints in the dust on the car, that would get rinsed away by the rain water.

So I believe they had put a tarp over the vehicle when it did begin to rain and they had removed the tarp just as we arrived. It wasn't raining when we arrived, but it was still dark and cloudy. And during my course of my stay there that evening, at times it did. We had an actual thunderstorm. And that flat area, then, I talked about, I can remember stepping out of the

van at one point into a puddle. Not just a

puddle, but the general area where the water was

3 pretty deep and we had quite a torrent of rain.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Let the record reflect the witness put his hands together and gave us an apparent estimate of what looked like about 4 to 6 inches of water?

8 A. Two to three.

4

5

- 9 Q. Two to three?
- 10 A. Inches of standing water.
- 11 Q. Now, as you arrived to examine this vehicle, was 12 it raining at that particular point?
- 13 A. Maybe misting, wasn't really raining.
- Q. How long before it began to rain, relative to daylight, darkness?
- 16 A. I believe it was just after dark that it really
  17 started to pour. The lightening was very
  18 dramatic.
- 20 Specifically to the Toyota RAV4, what was the
  21 condition of the vehicle itself? Describe it's
  22 appearance. In addition to the camouflage
  23 materials you already talked about, but what else
  24 about the vehicle can you tell us?
- 25 A. Well, it was newer looking. I examined all the

items that were around it. The thought there, if somebody put them there, they had to have touched them somehow. You know, the tree branches, there may have been places where something would snag on it, might be some clothing fibers.

If there was any blood involved, there might be bloodstains on them. I looked at them for that sort of material. I didn't find any. The cardboard box and the Rambler hood, I thought, had surfaces that maybe would hold fingerprints if you had touched it with your fingers. It was a smooth enough surface that it would hold a latent print. So I decided to collect those and return those to the laboratory. And we were going to return the RAV4 to the laboratory.

We couldn't get into the vehicle, all the doors were locked. So the extent of my examination of the inside was shining a flashlight through the windows and just looking inside.

- Q. All right. And how much time did you say you spent looking inside the vehicle with a flashlight?
- A. Oh, there were several of us around the vehicle

- at that time looking inside. Once all the
  materials had been removed from around it, that
  was pretty much the first time anyone could get,
  you know, close enough to peer inside.
  - Q. All right. Let me ask you then, what were you looking for or attempting to see when you examined inside the vehicle?

7

8

9

10

- A. Well, it was a missing persons case, so the first thing I wanted to know, was the missing person inside the missing person's car. And I didn't see any evidence of that.
- Q. All right. Now, before we go any further, I
  would like to direct your attention to the
  screen, again, showing you Exhibit 130 and ask,
  does -- does that scene strike any memories with
  you?
- A. Yes, that's me standing there, right there.

  That's the RAV4. And that's a bit of a fence

  post. That's the Rambler hood. That's a piece

  of plywood. This is part of the tree that was

  against the front end. And those are bits of the

  branches that were against the back end.
- 23 Q. And who is that in the red coat?
- 24 A. That's Special Agent Tom Fassbender.
- 25 | O. And what does he have under his arm?

- 1 A. I can't quite make that out, kind of looks like
  2 he is holding a tarp?
- $3 \mid Q$ . A tarp.
- 4 ATTORNEY BUTING: What exhibit is that,
- 5 counsel?
- 6 ATTORNEY FALLON: 130.
- Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ And the gentleman immediately behind Investigator Fassbender is whom?
- 10 A. That's Guang Zhang. That's my photographer.
- 11 Q. All right. Thank you. The vehicle, you said you
  12 saw -- you were looking for evidence of a person;
- was there anything else you were looking for?
- 14 A. At that point, not really.
- Q. Could you describe the ease or difficulty it was
- to see in the vehicle when you were working with
- the flashlight?
- 18 A. Well, at that point the glass was wet from the
- 19 rain. There was a lot of glare, so you kind of
- 20 had to, you know, get the light and not have it
- 21 bounce right back in your face. You can see the
- front windows are clear, but the back windows
- 23 were all tinted, so it was kind of hard to see
- through that, to see what was in the back end.
- 25 Q. How many people were flashing flashlights into

- 1 the back of that car?
- 2 A. I think there were at least two of us, because I
- 3 was looking through the side and I could see
- 4 another light moving around inside the vehicle.
- 5 And there was somebody standing on the opposite
- 6 side of the car.
- 7 Q. Now, as you were -- How much time would you say
- 8 you spent looking into the vehicle?
- 9 A. Oh, probably not more than 5 or 10 minutes.
- 10 Q. During that time, did you see any evidence of any
- 11 blood in the vehicle?
- 12 A. No, I didn't.
- 13 Q. All right. Were there any license plates affixed
- 14 to the vehicle?
- 15 A. No, the license plates had been removed.
- 16 Q. Did you notice whether there was any damage to
- 17 the vehicle at all?
- 18 A. Yes, once we got the debris away from it, there
- 19 was a scratch behind the Rambler hood. And then
- 20 this front end had a little bit of collision
- 21 damage, the lens on the light was cracked or
- 22 broken and there was some denting to the window.
- 23 | Q. Did you examine the debris that was on the car
- 24 for the presence of any trace or biological
- 25 evidence?

- 1 A. Yes, I did.
- 2 Q. And what did you find?
- 3 A. I didn't see anything that I felt was unusual. I
- 4 didn't see any bloodstain. I didn't see any
- 5 hairs affixed to anything. I didn't see any
- 6 fibers attached to any of it.
- 7 Q. And for the benefit of all here, could you
- 8 briefly explain, what do we mean when we use the
- 9 phrase trace evidence?
- 10 A. Well, trace is a different unit than I worked in.
- 11 They are pretty all encompassing. Trace gives
- 12 you the idea that it's just a tiny bit of
- 13 something.
- 14 When any two objects are in contact, a
- 15 little bit of one transfers to the other. If you
- back into a car with your car, you may get a
- 17 little bit of the paint from that car onto your
- car, and vice versa. That would be trace
- 19 evidence, scratch the paint off the car and
- 20 collect that.
- 21 If you're wearing clothes and you are
- 22 handling these tree branches, you may snag your
- 23 clothes and some of the fibers may get lodged on
- the tree branch. That would be trace evidence.
- Or if one of my hairs comes out, lands

- on the tree branch, or I get my hair caught in
  the tree branch and it pulls some of it out, that
  would be trace evidence.
- Q. Were any efforts undertaken at that time to process the vehicle?
- 6 A. No.

- 7 | Q. Why not?
- A. No. Well, the weather was threatening and the
  best thing we could do is protect it from the
  weather at that point and then to get it back to
  the laboratory where we could put it in the
  garage and we would have all the experts in trace
  evidence and blood collection at the lab to do
  their jobs.
  - Q. All right. What efforts did you make to protect the integrity of this evidence?
- A. At that point, I suggested that we get it back to
  the laboratory and that we use a covered trailer
  to put the vehicle in. The trip to Madison in a
  rainstorm traveling at highway speeds would
  pretty much scour anything off the outside of the
  car. We wanted to put it inside of a trailer, so
  I asked for that to be brought to the scene.
- 24 Q. And did that, in fact, occur?
- 25 A. Yes, it did.

- Q. Approximately how long did you wait before making the request for that type of equipment and its arrival on the scene?
- A. I believe I made that request pretty early on.

  I'm not exactly sure how long it took to get that
  to the scene. There was a wrecker truck was

  called and that was a separate company, I

  believe, that had the trailer. In the meantime,
  I got involved with other areas at the salvage
  yard.
  - Q. All right. Let's talk about those. While you waited for the wrecker service to arrive with the enclosed trailer, what was the first thing that you did after leaving the SUV?

- A. There was a team working with a cadaver dog. And I kind of wanted to get a bigger feel for where I was. So I kind of hooked up with them. We proceeded down the road, around the pond, and then down a steep embankment into, like, a gravel pit.
- Q. I'm going to direct your attention again to
  Exhibit 86; does that assist you in explaining
  what you did?
- A. Sure. The RAV4 was parked here. We walked down this way a ways and then down this embankment

- 1 into this gravel pit area.
- Q. How much of a pitch, or a bank, or embankment was
- 3 that; in other words, what's the difference in
- 4 the terrain as best you can describe.
- 5 A. Oh, it was kind of loose footing. So it was kind
- of step and slide to get down. Once we were
- down, you couldn't see up out the top. It was
- 8 maybe a 20 foot embankment.
- 9 Q. All right. Was steep or ...
- 10 A. It was pretty steep, yeah. It took some effort
- 11 to come back up, too.
- 12 Q. All right. And after -- So approximately -- At
- this particular point, was it still daylight or
- 14 had dark set upon the scene?
- 15 A. It was getting to be twilight. While we were --
- 16 While we were down in here, we could still see
- each other pretty well; and the dog, you could
- 18 see it working.
- 19 We didn't stay down here for a real long
- 20 time. I came back up, met back up with my
- 21 photographer. And then, I believe, we proceeded
- 22 back to our van. And then, at some point we were
- escorted back up to the command base.
- 24 0. Where was your van parked?
- 25 A. In this flat area here.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Let the record reflect, 1 2 he's again pointing to the area to the right of the 3 car crusher and somewhat south of that between the crusher and the pond.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.

6 ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.

- (By Attorney Fallon)~ Then what did you do, sir? Ο.
- 8 Well, from there we were escorted up to a place Α. 9 where there were four burn barrels behind a
- 10 residence.

4

5

7

14

15

16

17

18

- 11 All right. Q.
- 12 Α. So back up to the command area and then down this 13 road, behind this residence here.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to ask the record reflect that the witness is pointing to the area behind the residence previously identified as the Janda residence.

ATTORNEY BUTING: No objection.

19 THE COURT: Very well, the record will 20 reflect that.

- 21 Ο. All right. And --
- 22 Somewhere back here -- And at this point it was Α. 23 completely dark and it was raining.
- 24 All right. How much rain or how hard was it 0. 25 raining?

- A. At that point, I think it was a pretty steady, moderate rain.
  - Q. What happened at that particular location?
- A. I watched the cadaver dog work around the
  barrels. And it had interest in the two of them
  to the south. Then there were two more next to
  it. I advised, with the rain, you probably want
  to cover those with a tarp, prevent whatever is
  inside from getting soaked.
- 10 Q. All right. What occurred next?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 11 A. From here, we went back to the command station
  12 and we worked inside of a -- one of the large
  13 shed garages from the salvage yard. It was a
  14 golf cart type vehicle in there and the dogs were
  15 working in there and one of them had hit on the
  16 back end of that.
- 17 Q. Could you describe that golf cart for us, please.
  - A. It was kind of a cross between an all terrain vehicle and a golf cart; kind of had -- was more truck like than a golf cart. Had a canopy over the top. And sort of like a little pick up truck bed in the back. There were some stains on the back. I tested those for the presence of blood. We have a presumptive test for blood; it doesn't discriminate human from animal. And it's called

- 1 phenolphthalein.
- Q. And for the benefit of our reporter here, can you
- 3 spell phenolphthalein, please.
- 4 A. P-H-E-N-Y-L-T-H-A-L-E-I-N (sic).
- 5 (Witness corrected the spelling off the record.)
- 6 Q. And what result, if any, did that testing yield?
- 7 A. There were a couple of dark colored stains on the
- 8 back end and up on the canopy. And they all
- 9 tested negative, indicating it was not blood. We
- 10 had the photographer with us and he photographed
- 11 that.
- 12 Q. And where -- where did you go next?
- 13 A. I'm not sure which of those large service garages
- it was that we were in, where the golf cart was.
- 15 At that point I believe we went back down,
- informed that the wrecker had arrived. And we
- 17 proceeded back down to the flat area and then
- 18 back to the RAV4.
- 19 Q. And prior to stopping at the RAV4, had anything
- 20 changed in the area, specifically with respect to
- 21 the car crusher?
- 22 A. At some point, and I'm not sure whether it was
- 23 while the wrecker -- before the wrecker -- before
- 24 we arrived at the scene where the wrecker was, or
- after we had secured the RAV4, but at some point

there was talk about a car in the car crusher and
how that was quite unusual. The crusher was
operated by a different outfit, sort of a
portable unit. They bring it into a salvage
yard, crush some cars, kind of like a
subcontractor, but they operated it.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection to that; that's beyond the scope of his knowledge.

A. That was just what I had heard.

ATTORNEY BUTING: He's not going to know who operates it.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

- Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ What -- My point for you, sir, is what did you do when you examined the crusher at this particular point?
- A. There was a car crushed in the crusher. And the ramp of the crusher was still on top of the car, so you could just see the side of a crushed car. And you could sort of see into where the windows had been, but you couldn't see much.

So somebody made contact with the people who knew how to operate the crusher to raise up the ramp so we could get in and look -- try to get a better look at the car that was in the crusher.

- Q. And did you get a better look?
- 2 A. Yes, at some point people came and started it up
- and raised the ramp. Then we were able to climb
- 4 up on top of the car. Still couldn't get a real
- 5 good look because the roof of the car was down --
- 6 crushed down on top of it too. So I advised if
- 7 you want to get inside, you will have to get the
- 8 roof and the metal off of there, then you could
- 9 see what was actually in the car before it was
- 10 crushed.

- 11 | Q. Showing you on the screen what has been received
- as Exhibit 66; is that the crusher vehicle that
- 13 you have been talking about?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And there was a vehicle in that when you arrived?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | O. All right. Returning, again, to the RAV4, the
- 18 SUV, when the wrecker arrived, what did you do?
- 19 A. The person with the covered trailer, he had
- attached to a pickup truck, he helped direct the
- 21 wrecker truck. It was a really large one, looked
- 22 like you could probably pull a semi.
- 23 | He backed it down along that road
- 24 between the row of cars and the pond. I waited
- for them down at the RAV4. The wrecker operator

then examined the vehicle, trying to determine how he was going to best get it of there, because there was no way they were going to get the pickup with the trailer in there and along that narrow road with the pond. So the plan was to pull the RAV4 out into that flat area near the crusher and then put it into the trailer there, where they had room to maneuver.

So the wrecker operator determined that the RAV4 wasn't just going to roll; it was either in gear, or it had a parking break on. For whatever reason, it wouldn't roll on its own wheels. So he wanted to try to put the vehicle in neutral and it was locked; he couldn't get in.

So he crawled underneath and tried to reach the linkage for the transmission; he couldn't reach that. Then he tried to access that from under the hood, but the hood release and everything was also inside. Couldn't pop the hood.

So what he ended up doing was crawling underneath and unbolting one of the drive shafts. It was a four wheel drive vehicle. He unbolted the drive shaft to the front end.

He then used his lifter from the wrecker

- to pick up the back wheels and then rolled it on
  the front wheels and he pulled it out from around
  the pond, into that flattened area where the
  trailer was waiting. And then he rolled it up
  into the trailer and then we strapped it down
  into the trailer.
  - Q. And who was involved in this project?

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 8 A. I was there, the wrecker operator, and the person that brought the trailer.
- 10 Q. Once the vehicle was secured, what did you do?
- We then prepared -- and I'm not sure if this is 11 Α. 12 the point where they actually had the ramp raised 13 now and we then looked at the crushed vehicle in 14 the crusher, or if that had occurred right prior to moving the RAV4 out. But at any point, at 15 16 some point Tom Fassbender said that we don't need you any more right now, get the RAV4 back to the 17 18 lab.
  - Q. All right. During your exposure to the SUV, how many law enforcement officers were in the immediate vicinity of that vehicle?
  - A. When I first arrived, I would guess three to four. There was always one standing away from it. And the person was there just to make sure that no one who didn't belong there was there.

- 1 That was like the security guy. When I first
- 2 arrived, they were removing the tarp; I think
- 3 there were three or four there. Tom was there
- with me; my photographer; there was another --
- 5 Q. You mentioned Tom?
- 6 A. Tom Fassbender.
- 7 Q. Agent Fassbender who is seated here?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. All right.
- 10 A. And I don't know all the people involved.
- 11 Q. All right. And how about after your tour of the
- general area. You came back to the area where
- the SUV was; were there officers, then, present
- 14 as well?
- 15 A. The one on guard was still there.
- 16 Q. Same person?
- 17 A. That I don't know.
- 18 Q. Okay. Approximately what time did you leave the
- 19 scene that Saturday night?
- 20 A. I believe it was about quarter to nine.
- 21 | Q. What time did you arrive in Madison?
- 22 A. It was about 1:15 a.m.
- 23 Q. And what time did you finish securing the RAV4?
- 24 | A. It was about 2:00 a.m.
- 25 Q. All right. And where was the vehicle secured?

- 1 A. In the garage at the Crime Laboratory in Madison.
- 2 Q. Did you need the assistance of any other
- 3 professional help to secure the vehicle?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Tell us about that?
- 6 A. When we arrived in Madison, I called the local
- 7 wrecker company to come and do the opposite of
- 8 what the wrecker had done at the scene in
- 9 Manitowoc, to lift up the back end, pull the
- 10 vehicle out of the trailer, and then put it into
- 11 the garage, because the back wheels were still
- 12 locked.
- 13 Q. Directing your attention to Sunday, November 6,
- were your services again requested in this
- 15 investigation?
- 16 A. Yes. About 9:00 a.m., Special Agent Tom
- 17 Fassbender called me and requested that we come
- 18 up to the salvage yard again. And at that point
- 19 they hadn't any specific area they wanted our
- 20 assistance, they just wanted our general
- 21 assistance to be available in case they came
- 22 acrossed anything.
- 23 | Q. Okay. Did you then make plans to travel to the
- 24 salvage yard?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And what time did you, in fact, go to the salvage yard?
- 3 A. We left Madison, I think it was just before noon.
- 4 Q. And what time did you arrive at the salvage yard?
- 5 A. I believe it was around 3:30.

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. When you arrived at the scene, with whom did you meet?
- 8 A. Investigator Wiegert and Special Agent9 Fassbender.
- 10 Q. What assignments were you asked to perform?
- Well, we were told of three areas where cadaver 11 Α. 12 dogs had been interested. One of them was on the 13 east side of Avery Road, at the corner with 147; 14 there was a gravel yard, gravel quarry. 15 there was a maybe 30 foot tall mound of gravel 16 and sand. And about 6 to 8 feet up the pile, the 17 dog got excited about something. They said they 18 would put an orange flag there. And they had 19 seen a pinkish substance. So that was one place.

The dogs were also interested in two wrecked vehicles that were parked on the perimeter of that pond, near where the RAV 4 had been.

And then they talked about the burning barrels that I had seen the night before. The

dogs were interested in those. We began working at the gravel yard.

- Q. All right. And was anything of significance, in your determination, found at that gravel yard location?
  - A. We found some reddish staining on some pieces of gravel. They tested presumptive positive for the presence of blood. We located a pinkish substance, perhaps three quarters of an inch in largest dimension, looked like flesh.

We found another piece of material about the same size; it was reddish and white in color. Both of those items also tested presumptive positive for the presence of blood.

We collected those. And then we proceeded to excavate that area under where those materials had been. So we dug 4 to 6 feet of gravel out of that area and placed it on a tarp, shovel by shovel, looking to see if we could find anything more. And we worked until dark; it was about 5:00.

- 22 Q. And did you find anything else?
- 23 | A. We didn't find anything more.
  - Q. Was there any evidence whatsoever that that was any kind of burial site, or any wrongdoing had

1 occurred there?

- A. Other than the presence of possibly blood and some flesh. And at that point we didn't know if it was animal or human. And collected it and didn't find any more. That was the extent of it.
- Q. And that's because the test does not distinguish between human or animal?
- 8 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. And after completing that particular task, what was your next assignment or task on the agenda?
  - A. At that point we were asked to go in and look at Steven Avery's residence. It had previously been examined by others and things had been taken. So at that point we just went in and we were asked to look for any evidence of blood spatter patterns.

It's one thing to find blood, a blood stain, that can tell you some information. But we were looking more for patterns of bloodstain. If you cut your finger and do this, the blood will come off your finger and leave little specks in a line and you can tell something about how that blood came to be in little specks in a line.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Can the record reflect

- when he said, do this, he was moving his index
  finger sort of out to the side and kind of flicking
- 3 it?
- 4 ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fine.
- 5 THE COURT: That's an accurate reflection
- of the actions he took.
- 7 Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Did you find any evidence 8 of bloodstain pattern?
- 9 A. No, we didn't.
- Q. Now, what, if anything, did you find in your examination of the residence that Sunday evening?
- 12 A. We found some bloodstains on both entry doors and 13 on the floor of the vanity and in the sink in the
- 14 bathroom.
- Q. What equipment were you utilizing as you examined his residence that evening?
- 17 A. We used flashlights with white light and blue
- 18 light. The blue light helps to give contrast to
- the blood, makes it turn black so it helps to see
- 20 blood. And we used white light to see the
- 21 reddish color of blood.
- 22 | O. Who was assisting you in this task?
- 23 A. I was with Guang Zhang, the photographer. And
- also Chuck Cates. When we returned on Sunday,
- 25 there were three of us.

- Q. What was the next -- Approximately what time did you wrap up your examination of the defendant's residence?
- 4 A. I believe we were in there until about 5:30.
- Q. When you start at the residence, was it dark or still light out?
- A. We were losing light. When we left the gravel
  yard, it was not productive to be looking for
  things without supplemental lighting. And
  flashlights and a large gravel pile and
  shoveling, that wasn't productive anymore. By
  the time we left the residence, it was completely
  dark, 5:30.
- Q. After -- Just so that we're clear, you mentioned
  Mr. Zhang's name before. Mr. Cates accompanied
  you on this trip?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Just so that we're clear, who was Mr. Cates again?
- 20 A. He is another member of the field response crew.
- 21 His normal duties involve fingerprint work.
- That's his specialty. He was also, at that time,
- 23 the field response training coordinator.
- Q. After examining the defendant's residence, what was the next task you performed that Sunday

1 evening?

- A. May I look at my notes to refresh my memory
  there?
- 4 Q. If need be, sure.
  - A. Okay. After we looked at the residence, then we moved on to the two vehicles that the cadaver dogs were interested in, down near the pond. One of the agencies had set up some very impressive lighting down there, so we were able to examine those vehicles even though it was dark outside.

We found apparent blood staining in both vehicles. We collected those bloodstains. We did not do fingerprint analysis -- or we did not process the vehicles for latent prints at that time because it was starting to form dew on them. And the way we look for fingerprints is to take a fine powder with a feather brush and dust acrossed. And if it's wet, that just does not work. So we just left that for a later time after it dried off again.

- Q. And these vehicles, what were they?
- A. One was a gold colored Honda and one was a silver colored Renault.
- Q. Do you know if they had any prominent roll in this case after your examination?

- 1 A. I do not know.
- Q. Very well. Directing your attention, then, to
- Monday, November 7th, 2005, did you again
- 4 participate in processing of the salvage yard?
- 5 A. Arrangements on Sunday evening were that we would
- 6 meet up with a Jeremy Hawkins here in Chilton at
- 7 the Calumet County Sheriff's service garage. The
- 8 four burn barrels had been transported to the
- 9 service garage and we were to examine those.
- 10 | Q. And did you start examining the burn barrels?
- 11 A. Yes. Approximately 8:00 a.m., we met with Jeremy
- 12 Hawkins. He let us into the garage, got us into
- 13 the trailer where the burn barrels were. Got
- 14 them out into the garage. Set up our sifting
- equipment and bit by bit went through the
- 16 contents of the barrels, sifting, looking for any
- 17 kind of bones, teeth, hair, any metallic type
- 18 items.
- 19 Q. Did you find any of that evidence?
- 20 A. There was. In each barrel examined we collected
- a box full of that sort of material.
- 22 Q. All right. Now, was that task at all interrupted
- 23 on Monday?
- 24 A. Yes. Just after we had our lunch break and we
- 25 had just started sifting the barrels again,

around 12:30, we were requested to return to the area near the scene. Some volunteer searchers had discovered in some area -- a forested area with some disturbed soil. They were thinking this could be a potential burial site. So we proceeded to that location. It's just west of the salvage yard, at the end of Kuss road.

Q. What did you find when you arrived at that location?

A. We were taken out into the woods a little bit and was indeed an area with disturbed soil. To me it didn't look like a grave site. It looked more like a rotten stump to me, where the wood had just turned into like humus. There were no plants growing up through it really. So it was a barren spot. So it kind of looked like it was disturbed.

The normal grasses and moss and stuff growing in the area around it weren't here, so it did look odd. There was some whitish colored plastic sticking up out of the ground in sort of a perimeter, maybe 3 feet in diameter. The plastic to me looked like it was decomposing, getting brittle and just flaking off on to the ground, on its own. Those little flakes were

- 1 still there right under the plastic.
- Q. What does that signify with respect to the age of that plastic and that particular site?
- A. Well, the fact that little bits were directly
  below the plastic that was sticking out of the
  ground indicated to me that it hadn't been
  disturbed recently and that that plastic had been
- 8 there for quite some time.
- 9 Q. Perhaps years?
- 10 A. Perhaps.

- 11 Q. So your conclusion, with respect to the
  12 possibility of any type of burial site, was what?
- 13 A. Well, at that point I was also informed that they
  14 had been working a blood hound with a scent
  15 from --
- 16 ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection as to hearsay
  17 at this point.
- 18 ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fine.
- Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Mr. Ertl, just in terms of based on all the knowledge you had available to you, and in particular your examination of this location; what did you conclude regarding the possibility, or no possibility, of a burial site?
  - A. My preliminary indication was that this was not a burial site.

Q. Approximately how long did your examination of that particular site take?

A. Well, the first thing, they wanted to get permission from whoever owned that property.

They needed to figure out who owned that property. They got written permission from that person. Guang and I, then, went in and photographed the area.

We sent Chuck back, then, to the salvage yard, to do the latent fingerprint processing on the two vehicles from the night before. This is about 3:00 in the afternoon. So it was dry, wasn't raining at that point. So we sent him out to do that.

A warrant was then obtained for the burial area, to search that. And then I began the excavate this humus like material, moving it on to a tarp, digging down. I dug down a little ways and the white plastic perimeter was actually underneath all of that humus material on the flip side of the white plastic, was the label peat moss. It was an old bail of peat moss.

Under that plastic was the remnants of an old wooden pallet that was decomposing into the soil. I picked the pieces of wood out, dug

down into the soil a little bit and ran into roots, fine root mat from the surrounding plants was well under the entire peat bag.

And where there were little slits, small perforations in the peat bag, there were little shoots starting to come up through it. So, again, indicating to me that this area had not recently been disturbed.

I then dug down past the roots and looked at the soil layering. It looked like it, again, had not been disturbed. But at that point I concluded this was not a burial site.

- Q. I'm going to have the screen project Exhibit 84 for us and ask if you recognize that general vicinity, and in particular drawing your attention to the top of the photograph displayed there; does that look familiar to you?
- A. I can't orient to that.
- 19 Q. Okay.

20 A. I know there were some ponds, but I had never gotten to the ponds.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I have no objection if counsel points out the locations that you are referring to and see if he can identify it that way.

ATTORNEY FALLON: It's not necessary.

- 1 Thanks for the offer.
- 2 ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
- 3 A. Okay. I see something I recognize.
- 4 Q. What do you recognize? Take your pointer and
- 5 tell us what you recognize.
- 6 A. This is the residence of Steven Avery.
- 7 Q. Right.
- 8 A. This is the garage. And this is the road to the
- 9 command center. And this is a field. And I
- 10 didn't walk that field myself, but I was informed
- 11 somebody had.
- 12 ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection, hearsay.
- 13 A. Looks like that's the --
- 14 THE COURT: Hold on a second.
- 15 ATTORNEY BUTING: "I was informed", he
- 16 should avoid that.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 ATTORNEY FALLON: It's just his way of
- 19 explaining how he got from point A to point B. It's
- 20 not offered for the truth of the matter. It's just
- 21 the effect on the listener as he investigated, did
- 22 his job.
- 23 | THE COURT: Based on what he said, I can't
- tell if it's hearsay or not because I don't know the
- 25 purpose for which it's being offered. So I reserve

- 1 ruling at this point. Mr. Fallon, you may proceed.
- Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ All right. Mr. Ertl, if
- you would just confine your opinions and -- or
- 4 your observations to what you saw and what you
- 5 did. Again, you were explaining your orientation
- 6 to Exhibit 84.
- 7 A. I had been here, Steven Avery's residence, and I
- 8 was here, if that is the end of Kuss Road.
- 9 Q. All right.
- 10 A. I was not in this area here.
- 11 | Q. Okay.
- 12 A. We drove around on the highway to get here.
- 13 Q. So that the top of the exhibit that you pointed
- to, the end of Kuss Road, is that where this
- examination took place, generally?
- 16 A. Yeah. The suspected burial site was in this
- wooded area just to the left.
- 18 | Q. So the record should reflect that he's directing
- 19 a laser pointer to the area immediately to the
- 20 left of the cul-de-sac identified as Kuss Road?
- 21 ATTORNEY BUTING: No objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Record will so reflect.
- 23 ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
- 24 Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ When you finished the
- examination of that particular area, did you

- 1 still have daylight or was it dark?
- 2 A. No, it was about 4 -- or 5:45 and it was dark at
- 3 that point.
- 4 Q. And when you completed the examination of that
- 5 area; what did you do?
- 6 A. We went back to the Calumet County Sheriff's
- 7 Department and continued the sifting of the burn
- 8 barrels. We worked on that until about 9:30 that
- 9 night.
- 10 Q. Okay. And directing your attention, again, then,
- 11 to Tuesday, November 8, did you resume work with
- 12 respect to the burn barrels?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What time did you start that day?
- 15 A. 8:00 a.m.
- 16 O. All right.
- 17 A. We finished up with the burn barrels about
- 18 quarter to 11.
- 19 Q. And how many barrels did you, in fact, examine
- 20 that day?
- 21 A. Three. There were two from the original four.
- 22 And then there was a fifth barrel that had been
- 23 recovered and brought to the garage.
- 24 | Q. Tell us about the fifth barrel, would you please.
- 25 A. We were informed that it had come from --

- 1 ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection again, hearsay.
  2 ATTORNEY FALLON: One second.
- Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Mr. Ertl, all I want you to do is describe the fifth barrel?
- 5 A. Okay.

- Q. Don't tell us what you know about the barrel, just what you saw and what you did with the barrel. All right.
  - A. It looked very much like the first four barrels.

    It was a steel 55 gallon drum, with the top cut off, apparently to burn things in. The fifth barrel didn't have much in the way of unburned material in it, where as the other ones had.

This one had a car wheel and what looked to be the remnants of a tire; the steel cords wrapped around the wheel on top of the barrel, inside the barrel. We took that out and there was mostly just ash and debris.

We began sifting that and we recovered what looked like electronic components, little circuit boards, a couple of which had the Motorola M emblem on them, that we could see that. And several of those type of things were found in the sifting. There was also what looked like to be remnants of batteries, that sort of

- 1 thing. We collected all that material.
- 2 Q. And what did you do with it?
- 3 A. Well, as with all the materials we collected,
- 4 they were eventually turned over to Calumet
- 5 County Sheriff's Department.
- 6 Q. I will have my colleague show you exhibit marked,
- 7 I believe, 156; do you recognize that?
- 8 A. It looks like the fifth burn barrel, based on the
- 9 lack of any other materials, besides mostly burnt
- 10 materials. And you can sort of identify the
- 11 square corners of what looked like the circuit
- 12 boards being recovered from there.
- 13 Q. Okay. I'm showing you the exhibit on the screen
- 14 right now. In terms of the contents, as you
- began to sift the barrel; did those contents look
- 16 very similar to that?
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. And with respect to those contents, can you
- identify anything in the exhibit that you
- 20 recognize?
- 21 | A. From the photograph, I can't really identify
- 22 anything they look like.
- 23 | Q. All right.
- 24 A. But they have square corners, but -- like the
- 25 things we collected out of the barrel.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. The right shape and size.
- Q. There's another close up there, in terms of this
- 4 particular photograph. And, again, just for the
- 5 general idea, is that how the barrel looked when
- 6 you began the sifting process that you just told
- 7 us about?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. All right. Very well. Thank you. After you
- 10 finished examining the barrel, the fifth barrel,
- 11 what did you do next?
- 12 A. We then returned to the salvage yard.
- 13 Q. What did you do when you returned to the salvage
- 14 yard?
- 15 A. At that point we were directed to one of the
- wrecked vehicles in the yard. We were told that
- one of the searchers had found vehicle license
- 18 plates within the vehicle. They had taken them
- 19 out. They had been folded or rolled in such a
- 20 way that he couldn't read the number on the
- 21 | plate. So he unrolled it or unfolded it and was
- able to read the plate. And it was the license
- 23 plate number for the RAV4 vehicle.
- 24 Q. So what did you and the rest of your team do with
- 25 respect to the vehicle?

A. We went over and photographed the position of the vehicle, the surrounding area. We examined the inside of the vehicle, we processed it for latent prints. And we collected the license plates.

- Q. All right. And what was the next task that you were asked to perform that day?
- A. Well, Guang Zhang and I left that immediate area to take some general overall photographs of the salvage yard, while Chuck was doing the latent print processing on that vehicle. When we arrived back, we were requested -- We had the request for the use of our sifting equipment.

  There was a burn pit behind the garage that they wanted to use our sifting equipment on.
  - Q. And who was asking for your assistance, and in particular, your sifting equipment?
- 17 A. That was Special Agent Tom Sturdivant.
- 18 Q. Can you describe this sifting equipment for us?
  - A. It's three aluminum poles to hook together to form a tripod, stands about 6 feet tall. There are chains coming down from the center top of the tripod to which we attach an aluminum frame, so it kind of can swing within the tripod. And on that aluminum frame, we can put different size mesh. You put the materials on top of the mesh

and you can use a trowel, or a broom, or just shaking, whatever works best for the material.

And then the particles or bits that won't fall through the screen, you can collect and examine. The bits that fell through the screen, we put a tarp under there and saved those for later analysis, if needed.

- 8 Q. Do you use different types of screens?
- 9 A. In this case, I believe we used two different types of screens.
- Q. And approximately what time did you begin to
  assist with the sifting of the material from the
  burn pit.
- 14 A. That was about 3:00 p.m.

- Q. All right. And generally, would you describe for us how you worked the particular scene there?
  - A. There were -- In addition to Tom Sturdivant there were some other uniformed officers present at the scene. Our team got our sifting equipment, set it up. And I have had some experience with excavating grave sites before so I knew how to dig into the material we wanted to sift without bashing it up and ruining it.

So we have a small square nosed, flat shovel. And the ground under the ash in this

area was very hard and packed. And so I moved material onto the shovel, onto the sifter. The other officers and Chuck Cates were there picking through the materials. Quite often they would ask me, does this look like a bone. I said, if there's any question, put it in the box. So we collected a lot of material from that --

8 Q. All right.

- 9 A. -- sifting.
- 10 Q. Tell us about your experience, in terms of
  11 excavating a particular site like this?
  - A. Earlier that summer, we had had a case where it was a homicide and the body had been attempted to be burned in a fire ring. It wasn't a complete destruction of the body and then pieces of it had been buried. So I had, earlier that summer, been involved with excavating the ash material in that pit and also with the excavation of the burial site. And I had previously done at least two other just straight burial sites, without burning involved. Tom Sturdivant indicated to me that he had been also involved in some burn where victims had been burned.
    - Q. In terms of your experience, then, how -- describe for us how the material was actually

- taken from the pit and what efforts you made so
  as not to unduly disturb the site or to damage
  any of the material that you were removing. Tell
  us how you managed that.
- 5 Α. Well, we used the flat shovel to slide underneath it on the hard ground to collect things. We also used a mason's trowel to gently excavate --7 excavate and loosen the material and then place 8 9 it onto the screen. And at that point we had 10 additional mason's trowels and whisk brooms available to move the material to let the smaller 11 12 materials fall through the screen and then you 13 could examine the material that remained on top 14 of the screen.
  - Q. All right. Who actually did the shovel work, as it were, and who did the actual picking through what was placed in the sifter?
- 18 A. I believe I did most of the shovel work. My
  19 photographer also did some shoveling. I don't
  20 recall anyone else doing shovel work.
- Q. And did you have -- or were you aided with lights to conduct this task on that?
- 23 A. No, we didn't have any lights.
- 24 Q. What time did you --

16

17

25 A. We used flashlights.

- 1 Q. Thank you. What time did you cease that work?
- 2 A. It was about 5 p.m.
- 3 Q. I would like to show you Exhibit No. 50. With
- 4 the exception of the presence of the dog, I think
- 5 previously identified as Bear, is that a true and
- 6 accurate portrayal of the pit?
- 7 A. Yeah. This is the area where we excavated that
- 8 grayish colored material and sifted it.
- 9 Q. May the record reflect the witness has identified
- a darkened gray area he used, which is depicted
- in the bottom part of Exhibit 50.
- 12 THE COURT: Does the defense agree?
- 13 ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: Very well, the record will so
- 15 reflect.
- 16 | Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ To whom were the items
- 17 given that were recovered from this sifting
- 18 process on Tuesday evening?
- 19 A. To the Calumet County Sheriff's Department
- 20 evidence technicians.
- 21 | Q. Do you recall any non-biological material of
- 22 interest that you may have seen that Tuesday
- evening during the process?
- 24 A. There were some metallic items present also.
- 25 Maybe -- I don't recall specifically, but things

- like zipper pulls, snaps, rivets. Certainly
  there was a lot of the cording from tires
  present. There was a large -- looked like this
  bench seat from a vehicle that had been burned.
  - Q. We're going to zoom out on Exhibit 50 here. When it became dark and you ceased operations on the burn pit; what did you do next?
- A. At that point, we turned over all the evidence
  that we had collected thus far to the Calumet

  County Sheriff's Department. We were preparing
  to leave the scene. But before we left, it was
  requested that we do a luminol treatment of a
  couple of residences on the property. Luminol is
  a --
  - Q. Let me stop you there and ask those questions, if I may. First of all, what is luminol?
- 17 A. Like phenolphthalein, luminol is a chemical test
  18 that reacts with blood. It's more sensitive than
  19 phenolphthalein. It can detect blood that you
  20 can't see, if it's been washed or diluted, or if
  21 the specks are so small you can't really notice
  22 them, Luminol will allow you to see them.
- 23 Q. How do you spell luminol, please?
- 24 A. L-u-m-i-n-o-l.

7

15

16

25 O. And how did it work?

A. You need to have the area you are treating dark.

When luminol is sprayed onto a bloodstain, it

gives off light so it glows. Anything that's

4 glowing here in the dark, we circle with a piece

of chalk and then go back with the lights on;

6 look at the areas we have circled, to see if we

7 can see anything. And then attempt to swab that

8 area and test it again with phenolphthalein.

Phenolphthalein is also presumptive for blood. It's more specific than luminol. Some things will react with luminol such as a copper penny, the lead seals we use. Bleach reacts very strongly with luminol. Those sorts of things, cleaning reagents, chemicals.

- 15 Q. What types of cleaning -- Did you say reagents?
- 16 A. I did say reagents.
- 17 | O. What type of -- First of all, what is a reagent?
- 18 A. You buy a bottle of Bo Peep Ammonia -- Reagent is
- 19 a word that we use in the laboratory to mean some
- 20 sort of concoction that you come up with for some
- 21 specific use.
- 22 | O. Okay.

1

9

10

11

12

13

- 23 A. Something you put on your sink to clean with.
- Q. I was going to say, can you give us a common
- every day example of a reagent.

- 1 A. Clorox Bleach.
- Q. Okay. You were saying -- You were about to explain more on the reagents.
- A. So luminol is more sensitive, but it's less
  specific than phenolphthalein. So if it glows
  with luminol, then we would want to go back and
  retest that area with phenolphthalein, it's more
  specific. To be useful for later analysis, we
  would want it to be phenolphthalein positive.
- 10 Q. Now, which residences were you asked to conduct this luminol process?
- 12 A. Steven Avery's and Charles Avery's.
- 13 Q. And this was Tuesday evening?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And let's start with Steven Avery's residence, what if anything did you find there that had previously not been examined, or determined, or observed?
- 19 A. There were some visible bloodstains on the couch
  20 that we had previously not found, that we found
  21 with luminol. Other than that, there was nothing
  22 that we hadn't already seen.
- Q. And when you found these stains on the couch react to the luminol, what did you do?
- 25 A. Circled them with chalk, then we looked at them.

- They were obviously red brown color, but within
  the pattern of the couch material, we hadn't seen
  them previously. So we took cuttings of the
  couch material and collected the stains.
- Q. And how about with respect to Charles Avery's residence, what if anything did you find there?
- 7 A. Again, we found bloodstains on the couch.
- 8 Q. And what did you do?
- 9 A. We cut the fabric to collect the stain.
- 10 Q. Approximately what time did you conclude your investigative work that evening?
- 12 A. Well, the luminoling went on from about 5:30 till
  13 9:30, but in between Steven's residence and
  14 Charles' residence, we also did the garage next
  15 to Steven's residence.
- 16 Q. I was going to ask about that. So what did you do inside the garage?
- 18 Α. We had been informed that others had been there 19 before us; there were chalk circles on the floor 20 already. We luminoled, made our own chalk 21 circles, found one spot that was confirmed 22 positive with phenolphthalein, but we were 23 informed that that had already been collected. 24 There was another area that wasn't just a spot on 25 the floor, it was more of a smear.

- 1 Q. Can you describe this smear in greater detail.
- 2 A. Roughly 3 to 4 foot diameter area. Faintly
- 3 glowing under the luminol. No specific spots or
- 4 stains. Couldn't see anything with the lights
- on. Just swabbed several places where the
- 6 luminol had been reacting, tested with
- 7 phenolphthalein and did not get a reaction with
- 8 the phenolphthalein.
- 9 Q. All right. So this is that large area you were
- 10 talking about?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So what did that tell you, as a forensic
- scientist, about that large area and its reaction
- 14 to luminol but did not react to the more specific
- 15 phenolphthalein test?
- 16 A. Well, there was something that had been spread
- out in a large area that was reacting. I don't
- 18 know what. And what cross reacts, cleaning
- 19 chemicals dilute blood, would react, but it may
- 20 not show up with phenolphthalein if it was
- 21 diluted enough. So there was really nothing for
- 22 us to collect from there.
- 23 | Q. All right. Now, as you think about that
- 24 particular garage examination, do you have a
- 25 picture in your mind as to approximately where in

- the garage this larger spot which reacted to the luminol was?
- 3 | A. Yes, I do.

- Q. And tell us about that.
  - A. As you face the garage, there was a large overhead door. There was a smaller entry door to the right of that. We went in through that door. Immediately in front of you was an inaccessible area because of the amount of stuff that was in the garage, you know, parts of whatever, I don't know what. And the first stall to the left of the main door was a snowmobile. And then in the further stall away from that door was a small SUV type vehicle.

This smeared area was, if you walk in the door, move a little bit to the left around that material, between the material that's on the floor and the snowmobile and then walk straight back toward the back wall until you run into the clutter. It was right in that area in the corner of the clutter, at the rear of the snowmobile.

Q. All right. I'm going to have my colleague show you what has been received as Exhibit 107. Is Exhibit 107, would that be of some assistance to you in pointing out the general location of this

- 1 larger spot?
- 2 A. There's less clutter than I remember there being
- 3 but, yes, the vehicle, the snowmobile and some
- 4 amount of clutter is there.
- 5 Q. All right. Is it sufficient for purposes of
- 6 giving you -- giving all of us here a general
- 7 location of that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. All right. I will have that projected on the
- screen then. Showing Exhibit 107, you have the
- 11 laser pointer.
- 12 A. To the rear of this tractor, to the rear of the
- 13 snowmobile.
- 14 | Q. So you are pointing -- the record will reflect
- the witness is taking the laser pointer and
- 16 pointing to the area immediately in front of the
- green tractor and to the right and behind the
- 18 | snowmobile. Would that be accurate, Mr. Ertl?
- 19 ATTORNEY BUTING: I would not agree with
- 20 that.
- 21 THE COURT: Is that to the front or the
- rear of the tractor?
- 23 | ATTORNEY BUTING: You are pointing to the
- 24 rear of the tractor?
- 25 Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ The rear of the tractor?

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. An area just to
- 3 the rear of the green tractor would be accurate.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. The record will so
- 5 reflect.
- 6 ATTORNEY FALLON: Very well.
- 7 Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ And, again, would you give
- 8 us an approximate size of this box?
- 9 A. Three to four foot diameter.
- 10 Q. Was it circular in shape or oddly shaped?
- 11 A. It was irregularly shaped.
- 12 THE COURT: Excuse me. For clarification,
- when you say 3 to 4 feet do you mean 3 to 4 feet in
- diameter, or radius, or what?
- 15 ATTORNEY FALLON: I think he said 3 to 4
- 16 foot diameter.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Edge to edge.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay.
- 20 Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Now, is there -- is there a
- 21 longer measurement that you could somehow use; I
- 22 know you said a diameter suggests to us across of
- 23 3 to 4 feet. Is there a general length
- 24 measurement that you can estimate for us, or not?
- 25 A. No, I didn't use a measuring tape to measure this

1 area.

2 Q. All right. After completing your examination of

3 the two residences and the garage with the

4 luminol process, what did you do then?

5 THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Fallon.

6 ATTORNEY FALLON: Two questions and I'm

7 done.

8 THE COURT: Very well.

- 9 Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ What did you do?
- 10 A. We had collected the cuttings from the couches in
- 11 both residences, turned those over to Calumet
- 12 County. And then talked with Investigator
- 13 Wiegert and Special Agent Fassbender and were
- 14 released from the scene.
- 15 Q. About what time did you clear the scene?
- 16 A. It was about 9:30 p.m.
- 17 O. Very well. Were you called back at all or was
- 18 that your last trip?
- 19 A. That was the last.
- 20 ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you. We have no
- 21 further questions for the witness.
- 22 THE COURT: Very well. Members of the
- 23 | jury, at this time we'll take our morning break. I
- will remind you as usual that you are not to discuss
- 25 the case or this morning's testimony during the

1 break. Thank you. 2 (Jury not present.) 3 THE COURT: Counsel, then, please report 4 back at 10 minutes to 11. 5 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you. (Recess taken.) 6 7 THE COURT: At this time we're back on the 8 record, Mr. Buting, you may commence your 9 cross-examination. 10 ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, your Honor. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY ATTORNEY BUTING: 13 Ο. Good morning, Mr. Ertl. 14 Α. Good morning. 15 You have an interesting background and what I Q. 16 would like to do is introduce your curriculum 17 vitae or -- I'm not sure exactly what you call 18 it, statement of qualifications. See if you can 19 identify that for us. 20 Α. Yes. That's Exhibit 159 and that is a statement of 21 Ο. 22 your qualifications, your training and experience; is that right? 23 24 That's right. Α. 25 Okay. I will leave it here in case you need to Ο.

- refresh your recollection on it. And by the way,
  you were referring to some notes --
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. -- you mentioned that you -- Could I see those
  please so that I may -- Just show me what it was
  you were refreshing your recollection with.
- 7 A. I have my case notes, I believe you have those,
  8 my reports. And this is a sheet I just wrote up
  9 last night while I was --
- Q. Okay. Thank you. If I can just take these with me for a few minutes and I will leave that with you there. Now, in terms of education, I'm not sure that we got the degree out; you are actually -- you have a bachelor of science in ...
- 15 A. Chemistry.
- 16 Q. Chemistry, okay. And then you also have a
  17 master's in applied molecular biology, right?
- 18 | A. Correct.
- Q. So it seems like you were on your way to a career as a -- in fact, some of your articles in there look like you were on a career to be a plant biologist of some sort, career path originally?
- 23 A. I spent a lot of time doing that, yes.
- Q. In fact, you were a researcher for 10 years with
  UW Parkside, right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And then you worked at Abbott Labs for a period
- 3 of time?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. How long was that?
- 6 A. About a year.
- 7 Q. And why did you leave that job?
- 8 A. I got a call from the Crime Laboratory in
- 9 Milwaukee; they had an opening and wanted to know
- if I was interested, and I was.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. I had applied for a Milwaukee job at the same
- time as Abbott, but didn't hear back from them.
- 14 Q. Okay. So you at some point decided to change
- from being a researcher to being an actual
- 16 forensic scientist?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. Okay. And so, in essence, with your field
- 19 response kind of duties -- I'm sure I'm
- 20 oversimplifying this, but you are sort of like
- 21 those guys on CSI who come to the scene and look
- for evidence, right?
- 23 | A. Yeah, those guys are portraying what -- some of
- 24 what I do.
- 25 Q. Some of what you do?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You are the guy that goes there and finds
- 3 whatever?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. Okay. Now, I do want to ask about a couple of
- 6 things, though. Apparently your forensic science
- 7 career got interrupted when you went to Texas for
- 8 a period of time?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. You went from the Milwaukee Crime Lab to M.D.
- 11 Anderson, which is a world renowned cancer
- 12 treatment and research facility?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you kind of got back into that field for a
- 15 short while?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Why is that, you just didn't like the forensics
- 18 | field in Milwaukee?
- 19 A. No, I had got engaged to a woman who dearly
- 20 wanted to move to Texas and I went along with
- 21 that.
- 22 O. I see. I see.
- 23 | A. The M.D. Anderson job was the job -- first job I
- could get that I was qualified for down there.
- 25 Q. Sure.

- 1 A. The Crime Laboratory job then came about three
- 2 months thereafter.
- 3 Q. And you are talking about the Texas Crime
- 4 Laboratory?
- 5 A. Texas Crime Laboratory.
- 6 Q. And you were there for about -- what, not quite a
- year, 10 months, or something?
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. And then you moved back to Milwaukee?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Or to Madison, or where?
- 12 A. To Madison.
- 13 Q. Okay. And that's when you got your job -- your
- current job with the Crime Lab in the Madison
- 15 unit?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Now, during that period of time when you
- 18 have been a forensic scientist, did you have
- 19 training in how to go to a scene, properly
- 20 collect, mark, preserve evidence?
- 21 A. We had on-the-job training in Texas.
- 22 O. All right.
- 23 | A. I attended scenes in Texas. When I got back to
- 24 Madison, I again attended scenes. I went through
- 25 the State Crime Laboratory's Evidence Technician

- School where the fleet put on a school to train
  law enforcement officers how to collect evidence
  at the scene, properly process the scene, collect
  the evidence. And then let them know what we're
  interested in as far as getting it back to the
  laboratory, how to properly package it and seal
  it.
- Q. So would it be fair to say, then, that you view yourself and your employer, the Wisconsin Crime Lab, view yourself as a highly skilled, competent, evidence collector at the scene?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. One of the things I noticed on your qualifications, a course you took was called courtroom testimony techniques?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's where you are taught how to properly present yourself, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. How to look at the jury when you are giving answers?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. I'm not saying anything is wrong with that, it's just specialized, that's training that you get as part of your job?

- A. Right. Coming to court is part of my job. I have had training at that -- on that.
- 3 Q. Training that ordinary people, citizens who get
- 4 up here, usually wouldn't know, to turn and look
- 5 at the jury and answer questions and stuff like
- 6 that?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Unless they are coached to do so by somebody,
- 9 right?
- 10 ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection to the term,
- 11 coached to do so.
- 12 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 13 ATTORNEY FALLON: Ask that it be stricken.
- 14 THE COURT: Court will order that it be
- 15 stricken from the document.
- 16 Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ Your current title is
- senior forensic scientist; is that right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And you do a lot of DNA testing?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. The unit you are attached to is a serology unit?
- 22 A. That's an historical name, yes.
- 23 | Q. Okay. Serology, meaning a broader range of
- 24 bodily fluid testings, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. Include blood, semen, saliva?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And in the old days, before DNA, that's where
- 4 people would do ADO typing of blood and that sort
- 5 of thing?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. That's not done so much any more in your lab?
- 8 A. That's also correct.
- 9 Q. All right. But it does include some training to
- 10 be able to use these presumptive tests for blood?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Like phenolphthalein, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | O. Or luminol?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And, actually, luminol is not what you would
- 17 | consider a presumptive test for blood?
- 18 | A. It's an aid in identifying the location of blood.
- 19 Q. Okay. But it also identifies so many other
- agents that its not specific to blood?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. It's really just something to help you visualize
- 23 some areas so that you can then later go and do
- 24 the real presumptive tests for blood?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. Now, in your training and your experience
  with evidence collection, would you agree that
  it's important that -- that if you are collecting
  evidence at the scene that you have an objective,
  disinterested status with regard to that crime
  scene?
  - A. Yeah. As a scientist, that's typically the way we like to think of ourselves.

- Q. Sure. So you wouldn't want somebody who has got some personal involvement with the suspect even, to be going to the suspect's house, that could taint whatever objectivity you might otherwise have when you collect evidence; is that right?

  ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, speculation and relevance.
  - THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.
- Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ Let me ask it this way, as part of your training and your experience with the Crime Lab collecting evidence, are there any kind of rules that say only disinterested parties should collect evidence at a scene?
- A. I think there are -- I don't know if there are formal rules, but there are sort of informal rules. I have not ever had it come up with, in

- regards to a scene, but cases that are submitted
  to the laboratory, if an analyst happens to know
  the suspect, or the victim, or even their
  relatives, they will excuse themselves and ask
  not to be involved in that case.
- Q. Sure. And that's just -- that's just common
  practice, custom and practice in your lab, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And with regard to collection of scene material,
  10 biological type material, that may have DNA in
  11 them, there's a very specialized -- well, I
  12 shouldn't say that -- but there is a specialized
  13 training to go through -- that people go through
  14 in order to collect that kind of evidence?
- 15 A. Yes, there is.
- Q. DNA evidence, although it's very helpful to scientists, it also has the drawback that it's very sensitive and potentially contamination can affect the results, right?
- 20 A. I believe that's a possibility.
- Q. Okay. And, for instance, you know how DNA
  processing works, but one of the things that's so
  good about DNA is that you can get profiles from
  very tiny, little amounts of DNA that may be left
  at a scene, right?

- 1 A. That's true.
- 2 Q. And the way that's done is, you take what
- otherwise would be a very tiny amount and then
- 4 it's amplified, it's multiplied, through this
- 5 process in the lab, to the point where it's
- 6 testable, correct?
- 7 A. That's true.
- 8 Q. And so when it's in that very small stage, or
- 9 status, if it's contaminated in some way, then
- 10 the contaminate is multiplied along with it,
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Yes, it is.
- 13 Q. So that has a great potential, then, to give you
- unreliable results if that would happen?
- 15 A. I would agree.
- 16 Q. And should that happen, should some evidence come
- to your lab that's been contaminated at the
- 18 | scene, you wouldn't necessarily know that when
- 19 you do the test, right?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 | Q. And so, have you heard of a phrase, garbage in,
- garbage out, from a science perspective?
- 23 A. In regards to computers usually.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. I guess.

- 1 Q. But in your field that would also apply to
- whatever is brought to your lab for DNA testing;
- if it's contaminated at the beginning, the
- 4 results will also be contaminated, or I should
- 5 say, unreliable?
- 6 A. Yes. Nothing that we do at the lab is going to
- 7 decontaminate it.
- 8 Q. All right. Thank you. And, in fact, in your
- 9 lab, then, there is another risk, items may be
- 10 contaminated at the scene when they are
- 11 collected, if they are not collected properly,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 Q. They may be contaminated at some point from that
- 15 -- from the scene until they reach your lab,
- 16 conceivably?
- 17 A. Possibly.
- 18 | Q. And then there is also the risk of contamination
- of an item once it gets to your lab, right?
- 20 A. That's also possible.
- 21 Q. In fact, during the test process, contamination
- 22 may occur in your lab?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And, in fact, does occur in your lab?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. Any lab?
- 2 A. Yup.
- 3 Q. All crime labs have that risk and reality that
- 4 materials, test materials, do sometimes become
- 5 contaminated, correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And, in fact, that's something that's of such
- 8 concern to your lab that you -- you keep a record
- 9 of such contamination incident, whenever it's
- 10 discovered?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | O. What's that called?
- 13 A. Contamination log.
- 14 O. Okay. And that relates to incidents where the
- 15 contamination has been able to be discovered by
- the scientist who's working on it, right?
- 17 A. That's right.
- 18 Q. If some contamination occurs in your lab, though,
- 19 and it's not discovered by the analyst, there is
- 20 no record of that, right?
- 21 A. There's no knowledge and no record, right.
- 22 | Q. All right. Now, sir, you mentioned that you
- 23 were, on November 4th or 5th, I'm going to direct
- 24 your attention to that, the first day, you said
- 25 your answering service got a call; did you

- 1 actually speak directly, yourself, with Detective
  2 Remiker?
- 3 A. Yes, I called him back.
- Q. Okay. And he gave you what information at that point?
- 6 ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, hearsay.
- 7 ATTORNEY BUTING: Not for the truth of the
- 8 matter, simply to establish what his perspective
- 9 was.
- 10 THE COURT: I think before I can rule on
  11 the objection, I have to hear the answer to know the
  12 nature for which it's being offered.
- 13 A. He told me his name, what agency he was with,
- that he was working in conjunction with another
- agency and with the state agency and that he was
- 16 requesting our assistance with regard to a
- missing persons case. And he told me the
- 18 | location of the place and he told me who owned
- 19 the property.
- 20 Q. And then you said you -- by the time you arrived,
- 21 it was about 4:00?
- 22 A. I believe so.
- 23 Q. Are you sure of that?
- 24 A. I'm not real good at keeping track of time in my
- 25 head and you now have my notes on times at the --

- 1 | Q. I will give those back to you, sir.
- 2 A. -- at the scene.
- 3 Q. I'm sorry.
- 4 A. I will make notations as I do things throughout
- 5 the day and I will note the time.
- 6 Q. Sure. Take your time and refresh your
- 7 recollection, if you will, please.
- 8 A. Yes. Arrived at the scene at 4:00 p.m.
- 9 Q. All right. And the first place you went, though,
- 10 was to the Command Post. I think you described
- it as a firetruck with a canopy over it.
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 | Q. And was that down at sort of the entrance to this
- salvage yard area where there were some -- some
- business buildings and that sort of thing?
- 16 A. It was just before that.
- 17 Q. Before you even got to that you said?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Right down along Avery Road?
- 20 A. I would say where Avery Road first forked.
- 21 Q. Okay. And you spoke with Mr. Wiegert and
- 22 Mr. Fassbender, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Was anybody else briefing you at that point?
- 25 A. Not really briefing me, but when we first

arrived, you know, you go through a checkpoint,
tell them who you are, who's in the vehicle with
you. And they record that. And then you are
directed to go down the road to where you see the

And then someone met us there. I don't know who. I told them who I was with, who I was looking for at that point, Detective Remiker.

And then I was directed to under the canopy, next to the firetruck. I was introduced to Investigator Wiegert and Tom Fassbender at that point, but there were many other people present.

- Q. Sure. And then did you go down from that point, directly to where the RAV4 had been located?
- 15 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

firetruck.

- Q. So how long would you say you spend -- how long would it take from when you got there at 4:00, until you got to the spot where the RAV4 was, 15 minutes maybe.
- 20 A. Fifteen minutes to a half an hour.
- Q. Okay. And when you got there, the RAV4 was no longer covered with a tarp?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 ATTORNEY BUTING: Counsel, could you please 25 put up that photograph?

- 1 ATTORNEY KRATZ: I have been unplugged.
- 2 ATTORNEY BUTING: We're still not set up,
- 3 right?
- 4 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Can you use the ELMO?
- 5 ATTORNEY BUTING: No, I would like to use
- 6 this, please.
- 7 Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ You are sure, though, that
- 8 the vehicle was not covered with a tarp when you
- 9 first arrived?
- 10 A. They were in the process of removing the tarp.
- 11 The tarp was present. I was told that some of
- 12 | the branches that were now lying on the ground
- had been on top of the vehicle, but fell off when
- they had pulled the tarp.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. But I don't believe I was present for the
- 17 unveiling.
- 18 Q. You don't recall ever seeing the vehicle
- 19 completely enclosed, covered with this tinted
- 20 tarp thing?
- 21 A. No, I don't.
- 22 O. All right.
- 23 THE COURT: Members of the jury, at this
- 24 time I should let you know that the technical
- 25 problems we have been experiencing are not the

result of any actions by either party to this action and I'm told that, hopefully over the noon hour, they are going to be addressed and things will be

cleaned up.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

ATTORNEY BUTING: We hope. I believe 144 exhibit. I don't know if you have it marked yet, 80 or 81 would do fine.

- Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ All right. We'll come back to this in a minute. He's going to have to reboot the thing and get us in a position where you can to look at this photo. So I will move on. We'll come right back to that in a few minutes.
  - You, I believe, said that you took some photographs that we saw, right, one of the first things you did was take photographs?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And looked at the debris and things that were piled up against it, to see if there might be any biological evidence you could collect?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you remove those -- that debris at that point?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So you actually moved the Rambler hood away

- 1 completely?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And that fence post, we'll show you in just a
- 4 moment, but we have seen it many times already,
- 5 that sort of fence post that's leaning up against
- 6 the right of the car, that was pulled away?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 0. The branches were uncovered?
- 9 A. (No verbal response.)
- 10 ATTORNEY BUTING: Could we have a quick
- 11 side bar, your Honor?
- 12 THE COURT: Sure.
- 13 Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ All right. Mr. Ertl,
- during the break we were able to get this exhibit
- that we saw yesterday, Exhibit 143, up on the
- screen for you. Do you see this area in the
- 17 center of the screen where there appears to be
- 18 something covered by a tarp?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- 20 Q. Did the RAV4 look like that to you when you first
- 21 arrived?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. You never saw it in that condition at all?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 ATTORNEY BUTING: Counsel, could you just

- 1 minimize it back to the desktop and then show us,
- again, the electronic signature for that, please.
- 3 Q. Can you see that counsel has put his cursor over
- 4 this photograph now. I don't know if you can
- 5 read that, it says date picture taken, 11/5/2005,
- 6 at 4:16 p.m.?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. All right. Now, it's your testimony that you got
- 9 to the scene of this RAV4 right around 4:16 or
- 10 4:15 p.m., right?
- 11 A. I arrived on the scene around 4 p.m., 15 minutes
- to a half hour later we were escorted down to the
- 13 RAV4.
- 14 Q. Well, if it was 4:15 when you got to the RAV4,
- then the date or the time stamp on this
- 16 particular photograph is either an error or your
- 17 memory is an error, right, because it wasn't like
- 18 that when you got there?
- 19 A. Well, I gave you a window of time. I'm not
- 20 saying I arrived at 4:15; I do not know that. I
- 21 estimated, roughly 15 minutes to a half an hour,
- 22 talking with the investigators under the canopy.
- 23 | I don't have a record of exactly when we
- 24 proceeded to the RAV 4.
- 25 | Q. All right. And you -- you mentioned that it was

- sort of misting at that point, but it wasn't
- 2 really raining very hard, or at all?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Had it -- Did it appear that it had been raining
- 5 before you got there?
- 6 A. It seemed to have been; there were puddles.
- 7 Q. All right. So you -- Tell me for a minute, maybe
- 8 I misunderstood the sequence here. At some point
- 9 you talked about it being lightening and very
- 10 dramatic, I think were your terms?
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 O. When was that?
- 13 A. That was near the time we were leaving.
- 14 Q. So more like 8:00 or 8:30, something like that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. But at some point before that, was it also
- 17 raining while you were there?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you know when that started?
- 20 A. I didn't keep track of the weather.
- 21 | Q. I think you said just after dark it began to
- 22 pour?
- 23 | A. I remember it was raining pretty steady while we
- were looking at the burn barrels. It was dark
- 25 out.

- 1 Q. All right. And just after dark at that time of
- 2 year would have been 5:30?
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. All right. So when that was going on and it was
- 5 raining, the tarp -- I'm sorry -- the vehicle was
- 6 untented, uncovered?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you didn't stay, you testified you did not
- 9 stay with the RAV4 that entire time you were at
- 10 the scene, you moved around to different
- 11 locations, right?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. Your partner, Mr. Zhang, is that how it's
- 14 pronounced?
- 15 A. It's pronounced many ways. I say Zhang. He says
- 16 more like Zhang.
- 17 Q. Zhang, okay. Does Mr. Zhang also have field
- 18 response training?
- 19 A. Yes, he does.
- 20 Q. Does he also have training in collection of
- 21 evidence?
- 22 A. I believe he does. He's been at the lab much
- 23 | longer than I have. He's been on field response
- 24 much longer than I have.
- 25 Q. All right.

- 1 A. I don't know the extent of his training.
- 2 Q. In fact, his responsibilities are more field
- 3 response than yours typically are, right?
- 4 A. No, he's a toxicologist normally.
- 5 Q. Oh, I see. So the way it works for field
- 6 response is, they take different people from
- 7 different units who are ...
- 8 A. Willing.
- 9 Q. Willing. All right. Anybody ask you to go in
- 10 Steven Avery's residence that first night,
- 11 November 5th?
- 12 A. I don't believe so.
- 13 Q. You and your partner, Mr. Zhang, would certainly
- have been a qualified evidence collection team to
- go into Mr. Avery's residence, wouldn't you, that
- 16 night?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. This is Saturday, November 5th, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And so there's no reason why Mr. Fassbender
- 21 | couldn't have used you and Mr. Zhang to search
- 22 Mr. Avery's residence on Saturday night instead
- of the people that he did use, right?
- 24 A. He could have.
- 25 | Q. And you actually have a master's degree, right?

- 1 A. In molecular biology.
- 2 Q. Okay. And years of experience as a Crime Lab
- 3 field response analyst, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And instead, Mr. Fassbender had you going around
- 6 and taking photographs and looking through
- 7 garbage; isn't that right?
- 8 ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, argumentative.
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained, to the form of the
- 10 question.
- 11 Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ In any event, no one asked
- 12 you to go into Mr. Avery's house or garage that
- first night, November 5, 2005?
- 14 A. That's true.
- 15 Q. And while it was raining very hard, you obviously
- 16 couldn't do much outside?
- 17 A. Right. We were basically waiting for the wrecker
- 18 | crew and trailer to arrive.
- 19 Q. So you had time to kill. And rather than use you
- 20 to search Mr. Avery's residence, they directed
- 21 you to a golf cart, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And I think there was something -- oh, there were
- some burn barrels, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. And by the way, that golf cart, I just want to
- 2 make it clear, you -- that was in a completely
- different building, you mentioned a shed or
- 4 something?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Business building?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And these dark stains that you found, you did
- 9 test, and they proved not to be blood, right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Sometimes on something that may be reddish brown
- in color, may look to the human eye as possible
- 13 blood, but when you later test it with one of
- 14 your presumptive tests, you find out otherwise,
- 15 right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 O. And that happened a number of times in this
- 18 particular case?
- 19 A. Yes, it did.
- 20 Q. And when you went back to the scene with the
- 21 wrecker, after that was completed, around 8:30 I
- think you said?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Mr. Fassbender told you that your services
- weren't needed any more, for that night, right?

- 1 A. It wasn't immediately thereafter but, yes,
- 2 eventually around 8:45.
- 3 Q. He didn't tell you that there was a search
- 4 ongoing right that moment in Mr. Avery's
- 5 residence, did he?
- 6 A. I didn't know that.
- 7 Q. Didn't ask you to go assist the people that he
- 8 selected to do the search of Mr. Avery's
- 9 residence, did he?
- 10 A. No, he didn't ask that.
- 11 Q. Okay. And you certainly would have been
- 12 available, right, you testified to that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You also mentioned that when you got to the area
- of the RAV, there were some other officers or
- 16 other people around?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. But you don't know what the circumstances of the
- 19 access to that RAV was before you arrived on the
- 20 scene, right?
- 21 A. No, I don't.
- 22 | Q. That's not something in your knowledge, so you
- 23 don't know, really, what if anything happened to
- 24 that RAV before you arrived, at 4:15 or so?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Okay. Now, Sunday, November 6th, is when you
- 2 arrived -- you went all the way back to Madison
- 3 the prior night, and it's about a four hour
- 4 drive; is that right?
- 5 A. It's about a three and a half hour drive, but the
- drive back, due to the reaction of the trailer,
- 7 we weren't able to go over 50 miles per hour.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. So took closer to four and a half hours to get
- 10 back.
- 11 Q. All right. But, actually, I'm -- I'm on Sunday
- 12 now, when you are coming back.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. So you drove up and you got back to the Avery
- Salvage yard about 3:15 or 3:30 you said?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. And there were three areas that they wanted you
- 18 to look at when you first got there, right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And none of those three, when you first arrived,
- 21 included Mr. Avery's residence, or the detached
- garage next to Mr. Avery's residence, did it?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Instead they sent you off to this quarry, a
- 25 gravel -- I don't know what you want to call it

- 1 -- to the east of Avery Road, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- ATTORNEY BUTING: I don't know if we have a

  photograph of that layout, maybe we could identify

  where this is. Counsel, do you know if you have one
- of those areas?
- 7 ATTORNEY KRATZ: I don't know what you are 8 asking for.
- 9 ATTORNEY BUTING: An aerial photograph far
  10 enough out to show this east of the Avery Road area.
  11 Actually, let me see the book.
- 12 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Maybe 86, counsel.
- 13 ATTORNEY BUTING: I don't think 86 goes out
  14 far enough, but we could try that. Let's see. How
  15 about 85, put that one up. Or even better, 91, 91
  16 shows it I think.
- 17 ATTORNEY KRATZ: 91 is a diagram.
- 18 ATTORNEY BUTING: 92. 91 is a -- I will show you.
- Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ All right. We have got
  Exhibit 91 on the screen. If you could just
  orient yourself for a moment, with that, if you
- 23 can.
- 24 A. Okay. I have got it.
- 25 Q. Is this the gravel area that you were first sent

- 1 to on this photograph?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Could you please point at it with the pointer?
- 4 A. It was right about in there.
- 5 Q. Okay. Record should reflect left side -- upper
- 6 left quarter of the screen is being pointed at.
- 7 And it's a -- looks like a whole excavated block
- 8 almost?
- 9 A. Yes, it was a quarry.
- 10 ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, if counsel is
- 11 willing, I believe it will be or we could stipulate
- that it will be identified as Michels' Quarry.
- 13 ATTORNEY BUTING: Michels, M-i-c-h-e-l-s.
- 14 ATTORNEY FALLON: I believe that's correct.
- 15 ATTORNEY BUTING: That's fine.
- 16 THE COURT: Parties agree?
- 17 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: Very well.
- 19 Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ All right. And then at
- 20 this -- there was some searcher had apparently
- 21 been there before because there was a -- was it
- an orange flag or something?
- 23 A. Right.
- 24 Q. And you began working that and you found
- 25 something did test positive for blood of some

- 1 sort, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Again, you didn't know whether it was animal or
- 4 human?
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. And to your knowledge, did that, whatever you
- 7 found over there, eventually have anything at all
- 8 to do with this case?
- 9 A. I don't know what happened to that sample after I
- 10 | collected it.
- 11 Q. Okay. So you don't know whether that turned out
- to be flesh and blood that had anything to do
- with this case or not?
- 14 A. No, I don't.
- 15 Q. Okay. Well, we'll deal with it later, then.
- Then you also, then, after you had finished those
- three tasks, the other two being searching two
- 18 other vehicles nearby where the RAV was; is that
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Well, the three tasks they had in mind for us
- 21 when we arrived weren't the three tasks we
- 22 actually completed that day.
- 23 | Q. Okay. So you didn't actually do the vehicles
- 24 that day?
- 25 A. We did do the vehicles.

- 1 Q. All right.
- 2 A. We did the gravel quarry, then we went into
- 3 Steven Avery's residence, and then we did the
- 4 vehicles.
- 5 Q. So the third task, being the burn barrels, you
- 6 didn't get to that day?
- 7 A. Right.
- 8 Q. So instead they called you into Mr. Avery's
- 9 residence for some sort of blood spatter
- 10 examination, right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 O. Now, I notice on your statement of
- qualifications, you actually had some blood
- spatter analysis training, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Are you one of the people that's presented by the
- lab, to courts and juries, to testify about blood
- 18 spatter analysis?
- 19 A. No, I'm not.
- 20 Q. Someone else in your lab does that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. But you have general knowledge that -- that there
- 23 -- as you demonstrated before, that if someone
- has a cut and they flip their finger, the drops
- 25 will fly off, right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And, likewise, you have been to a number of
- 3 homicide scenes, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And often times blood spatter is present at the
- 6 scene?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And there's such a thing as high velocity blood
- 9 spatter, right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And that's something that's often found when
- 12 | someone is shot, with a gun --
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. -- right? The speed of the bullet, without being
- too graphic here, but causes blood to fly off at
- a high velocity when it hits a wall, or ceiling,
- or whatever, an object, it leaves a pattern that
- 18 can be distinguished from other types of spatter,
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. And when you went to Mr. Avery's residence, you
- saw no such high velocity spatter, right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And when you looked in his garage, you saw no
- 25 high velocity blood spatter, right?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. And, then, there's also other types of spatter,
- 3 lower velocity, like if someone -- if there's a
- 4 beating and someone is bleeding, you know, head
- 5 jerking back and forth, something like that,
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And then there's also something called cast off
- 9 spatter, right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And that would occur if somebody is taking a
- 12 knife, if someone is, let's say, laying on a
- mattress, a victim is laying on a mattress, a
- victim is laying on a mattress and being stabbed
- repeatedly with a knife, as they come up and
- down, the knife may cast off blood spatter,
- 17 right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you saw no evidence of that in Mr. Avery's
- 20 residence, did you?
- 21 A. No, I did not.
- 22 Q. And you saw no evidence of that in Mr. Avery's
- 23 garage either, did you?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And none of this low velocity stuff that I talked

- about that can be from when someone is being
- 2 beaten either?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. No blood spatter at all in Mr. Avery's residence,
- 5 of any kind?
- 6 A. Just bloodstains. No recognizable patterns.
- 7 Q. Right. And those bloodstains were scattered,
- 8 more isolated, right?
- 9 A. There were a grouping in the bathroom and then
- 10 the entry door directly across the hallway from
- 11 the bathroom.
- 12 Q. Okay. One spot?
- 13 A. I would say the other one on the other door would
- 14 have been more isolated from those.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. And the ones on the couch were near the second
- 17 entry.
- 18 Q. But, for instance, the ones in the bathroom,
- 19 there's one on the floor, right?
- 20 A. Not just one, but several.
- 21 Q. Okay. And also one on the sink?
- 22 A. Some in the sink, some on the vanity.
- 23 | Q. All right. Consistent with somebody who may have
- 24 cut their finger or cut themselves shaving in a
- 25 bathroom?

- 1 A. Possibly. The ones on the floor and the vanity
- 2 looked like they had been diluted down with
- 3 water. So, yeah, cut yourself and then cleaned
- 4 up.
- 5 Q. Okay. But still there, where you could see them?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. In fact, it was obvious to you that somehow you
- 8 were informed that all of those had been sampled
- 9 prior to your arrival on Sunday evening,
- 10 November 6th?
- 11 A. No, not all of them.
- 12 Q. Okay. Some of them had apparently, though,
- 13 right?
- 14 A. The ones on the entry door in the bathroom had.
- 15 Q. The one on the floor?
- 16 A. None in the bathroom had been sampled yet.
- 17 | O. Okay. All right. So you identified the ones in
- 18 the bathroom that had not yet been identified,
- 19 but the other ones had been identified and
- 20 sampled before?
- 21 A. Except for the ones on the couch and the second
- 22 entry door.
- 23 | Q. Well, the ones on the couch you didn't notice
- 24 that night, I thought it was ...
- 25 A. That was later.

- 1 Q. We're just talking about Sunday night for now,
- 2 okay.
- 3 A. Okay. So the ones on the entry door across from
- 4 the bathroom had been sampled previously. The
- 5 one on the other entry door and the ones in the
- 6 bathroom had not.
- 7 Q. Okay. So you went to the entry door of
- 8 Mr. Avery's bedroom and looked at that --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- that area? Did you actually go inside of his
- 11 bedroom?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did you see a Toyota key sitting on the floor in
- 14 plain view?
- 15 A. No, I didn't.
- 16 Q. Did you see a key anywhere in that bedroom on the
- 17 night of November 6th?
- 18 | A. I don't recall seeing any keys. I wasn't looking
- 19 for keys.
- 20 Q. You talked about trace evidence as being things
- 21 like fibers and hairs and those sorts of things,
- 22 right?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 | Q. Trace evidence can also, occasionally, be left
- just by handling something, correct?

- 1 A. Sure.
- Q. If you know? If you are not -- if it's beyond
- 3 your qualifications just please tell me.
- 4 A. Well, you could consider DNA as trace evidence
- and that can be left by just handling items.
- 6 Q. And, particularly, if somebody uses an item
- 7 daily, one would expect handling something daily
- 8 that there would be their DNA left on there,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Such as a car key?
- 12 A. Sure.
- 13 Q. Somebody who had had a car key for five or six
- 14 years, handling it every single day, if you were
- to test that in your lab, you would likely find
- 16 their DNA, wouldn't you?
- 17 A. I would think that would be a good possibility.
- 18 Q. Unless somebody had wiped it off, cleaned it up
- in some way, before you got to it, right?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned that Mr. Cates was with
- 22 you, also on Sunday evening, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And his specialty is fingerprints?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Was he asked to look in Mr. Avery's residence to
- 2 see if there were any fingerprints of note?
- 3 A. No, we were sent in mostly to look for blood
- 4 spatter pattern. But as a fingerprint analyst,
- 5 when he sees a fingerprint, he will look at it.
- 6 Q. If someone is wearing gloves, they may not leave
- 7 fingerprints, right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. But if someone is wearing -- is not wearing
- 10 gloves, they might very well leave fingerprints
- when they touch items, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And for instance, let's go to the RAV4 a minute
- and imagine that this is the hood, this desk
- 15 right in front of you. All right.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. To open a hood such as the RAV4, which you know
- 18 how to do that, right?
- 19 A. Well, if it's like my car, you have to pull a
- 20 lever inside the vehicle --
- 21 Q. Right. Okay.
- 22 A. -- that releases it a little bit. Then you have
- 23 to reach in under the hood --
- 24 O. Sure.
- 25 A. -- and release another lever.

- Q. So then you release another lever and then your fingers in some way come in contact with the hood as you lift it, right?
- 4 A. Right.
- Q. And let's talk about the tailgate of this RAV4 a moment, okay? You looked at that carefully?

  ATTORNEY BUTING: Do you want to put up that exhibit for me for a moment, please. It's Exhibit 29.
- 10 ATTORNEY KRATZ: You want the one Mr. Ertl
  11 looked at, or you want the one Ms Sturm looked at?
  12 ATTORNEY BUTING: Twenty-nine, I think, is
  13 Sturm's.
- ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not the Crime Lab one?

  ATTORNEY BUTING: Is there a rear view one?

  ATTORNEY KRATZ: Absolutely, 135.
- 17 ATTORNEY BUTING: That's fine. That would do.
- 19 Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ Can you locate the handle
  20 or the lever that one would use to open that rear
  21 tailgate door?
- 22 A. I'm not real familiar with that vehicle, I would assume ...
- 24 Q. All right.
- 25 ATTORNEY BUTING: This one is taken at

- 1 night, if we might look at 29, please, counsel.
- Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ Does that give you a little
- 3 bit better view?
- 4 A. I can see the lock there. I would imagine it's
- 5 built into that area.
- 6 Q. And so if somebody is going to open that tailgate
- 7 door, they have to put their hands right near the
- 8 lock lever and in some way pull it open with
- 9 their fingers, right?
- 10 A. That would be the way I would do it.
- 11 Q. And if they are not wearing gloves, they might
- 12 leave fingerprints, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. If they are wearing gloves, they might not leave
- fingerprints, or would not, right?
- 16 A. Depending on the type of glove, you can get some
- 17 surgical gloves where fingerprints do pass
- 18 through.
- 19 Q. All right. But if you are wearing gloves, you
- are also not bleeding all over the place, are
- 21 you, if the bleeding -- If you have got a cut on
- 22 your finger and you are wearing gloves inside of
- 23 that vehicle, you are not going to be dripping
- 24 blood into the vehicle, are you?
- 25 A. If the -- If you are not bleeding enough to soak

- 1 the glove and then seep through the glove.
- Q. Sure. If you're bleeding that much, it would be
- 3 obvious, you would have blood on the steering
- 4 wheel and everything, right?
- 5 A. It's hard to say. I don't know the extent of the
- 6 bleeding. It would depend what you would touch.
- 7 Q. Well, if you were bleeding and not wearing
- 8 gloves, inside the vehicle, you would also be
- 9 touching and operating things like the steering
- 10 wheel and the -- whatever else you might be
- 11 touching, the door, the door handle, that sort of
- thing, right?
- 13 ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, highly
- speculative. There's just too many variables
- unaccounted for in that hypothetical.
- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 17 O. (By Attorney Buting) ~ You have seen cases where
- 18 people do leave fingerprints, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And you take photographs of those?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Did you take any photographs, or see any
- 23 | fingerprints anywhere inside this vehicle?
- 24 A. I only looked inside the vehicle, through the
- 25 glass, with a flashlight, at night. I did not

- 1 see any fingerprints.
- 2 Q. And did Mr. Cates process the vehicle at all for
- 3 any kind of fingerprints?
- 4 A. Mr. Cates wasn't at the scene when the vehicle
- 5 was at the scene.
- 6 Q. Okay. So there was no fingerprint processing
- 7 done of the vehicle at the scene, right?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. That would have occurred later, at the Crime Lab?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. All right. Well, we'll talk with those people
- 12 later. Going back to Sunday, you mentioned that
- 13 Mr. Cates was with you and you said something
- about these two other vehicles, near the pond,
- that he processed the fingerprints; do you recall
- 16 that?
- 17 A. He did not process them on Sunday; he processed
- 18 them later.
- 19 Q. Oh, that's right, you said there was dew on it?
- 20 A. There was dew on it.
- 21 Q. Okay. But there was some bloodstains in the
- 22 other two vehicles?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And these are the two vehicles that were junk
- 25 salvage vehicles, right?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Many of which get there because they have been in
- 3 automobile accidents, right?
- 4 A. I assume so, yes.
- 5 Q. Where sometimes people are injured and bleeding,
- 6 right?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. So that might, in fact, account for the blood
- 9 that was in those other two vehicles, right?
- 10 A. Yeah. I got that impression when -- just
- thinking about the number of sites the cadaver
- dogs were interested in and then thinking about
- this as a salvage yard and some of these vehicles
- were probably in accidents and they have may have
- been -- blood put in them at that point.
- 16 | Q. Totally unrelated to this case?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. And to your knowledge, did the -- did anything
- 19 that Mr. Cates found, either blood or
- 20 fingerprints, on those other two vehicles, have
- 21 anything at all to do with this case?
- 22 A. To my knowledge, I do not know.
- 23 | Q. Okay. Now, November 7th, that's a Monday, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Did you stay overnight in the area, I assume?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. So you weren't back at the lab, you don't know
- 3 what was going on with the RAV4 that was at the
- 4 lab?
- 5 A. That's right.
- 6 Q. Okay. You started doing -- Did you actually
- 7 complete the burn barrels? No, you started them,
- 8 then got interrupted?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. And then you went off to this -- turned out to be
- 11 nothing, but at the time you thought might be a
- 12 possible burial site, right?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 Q. And that took up a good part of your day, sounds
- 15 like?
- 16 A. Correct, from about 12:30 to quarter to 6.
- 17 Q. Okay. So did you do anything else later that
- 18 evening, then?
- 19 A. We went back to the garage in Chilton and
- 20 continued sifting the barrels.
- 21 Q. Now, by sifting the barrels, are you talking
- about using that same contraption you mentioned
- 23 | earlier?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Is that something you always have with you, in

- 1 your van?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | O. Yes?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. Not something you brought specifically for
- 6 this case?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. And then the next day, November 8th, you started
- 9 off back at the sheriff's department, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. We're talking about here in Chilton?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. These barrels, where were they kept?
- 14 A. In the service garage behind the sheriff's
- department.
- 16 Q. And when you got there, was there -- were they in
- any kind of container or were they just sitting
- 18 out in the garage?
- 19 A. When we first arrived they were in an enclosed
- 20 trailer.
- 21 Q. That's the day before?
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. And was that sealed with any kind of evidence
- 24 tape?
- 25 A. I believe the door to the garage had been sealed

- 1 with evidence tape. And the trailer had a
- 2 padlock on it. I don't recall if that had
- 3 evidence tape on it or not.
- 4 Q. And there's no other door to the garage from the
- 5 interior; this is a detached garage?
- 6 A. Detached garage.
- 7 Q. Okay. And the gentleman that you said was
- 8 helping you was Jeremy Hawkins; is that right?
- 9 A. (No verbal response.)
- 10 Q. And to your knowledge is -- he is employed with
- Calumet County Sheriff's Department, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And to your knowledge, he is certainly qualified
- to be evidence collection specialist, right?
- 15 A. I believe he presented himself as their evidence
- 16 caretaker.
- 17 | O. He is the main guy, right?
- 18 | A. I believe so.
- 19 Q. Did you see him out at the scene on November 5th?
- 20 A. No, I did not.
- 21 Q. Or 6th?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. All right. This fifth barrel that you examined
- was on the morning of Tuesday, November 8,
- 25 correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. And the photograph, I think is still in front of
- you, that you discussed before, that's the --
- 4 that's a photograph you took before searching it?
- 5 A. No, we didn't take that photograph.
- 6 Q. Who took that photograph; do you know?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- 8 Q. Did you take photographs of it before you started
- 9 searching?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Why not?
- 12 A. Because it had been removed from where it had
- been, transported, and then our goal was to sift
- 14 it and recover what --
- 15 (Court reporter couldn't hear.)
- 16 A. Our goal there was to sift the contents. Any
- documentation of the barrels at the scene, that's
- 18 -- that was done by somebody else.
- 19 Q. It may or may not be the way it looked, you don't
- 20 know?
- 21 A. No, I don't know. I was presented this this
- 22 morning and asked if that looked like the fifth
- barrel, and it does to me.
- 24 | Q. All right. But in terms of it -- it's -- what it
- looked like out there on the scene; have you seen

- 1 -- have you ever seen a photograph that shows
- 2 that?
- 3 A. He showed me several photographs, one of them was
- 4 further away and it had the wheel that was in the
- 5 barrel when I saw it, because this photograph
- 6 doesn't have the wheel in it.
- 7 Q. Right.
- 8 A. So I asked about the wheel. He said, oh, yeah,
- 9 there's another photograph that shows the wheel.
- 10 They took the wheel, out looked inside.
- 11 Q. And that photograph shows the wheel on the
- 12 ground, next to it, right?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 Q. All right. So you were never brought to the
- scene of wherever that burn barrel was, yourself?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. So, you never actually saw it, you know, in situ,
- 18 so to speak, wherever it was located, and that's
- 19 why you didn't take photographs of it?
- 20 A. Well, they -- I recall that there was a burn
- 21 barrel on the driveway and that's where I was
- 22 told this one had been.
- 23 | Q. But you didn't go up and examine it?
- 24 A. No, did not examine it.
- 25 Q. The -- One of the things you say that you do is

- scene photography, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And, generally, that's supposed to be one of the
- 4 first things that's done at the scene before
- 5 anybody starts searching, right?
- 6 | A. Right.
- 7 Q. So, for instance, had you been asked to go into
- 8 Mr. Avery's residence on the night of
- 9 November 5th, very first thing you would have
- done, before anybody looks anywhere, is take
- 11 photographs, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And had you been asked to go into Mr. Avery's
- garage first, the very first thing you would have
- done would be to take photographs showing exactly
- 16 what it looked like, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. Before there's any kind of markings, or chalk
- 19 circles, or anything like that, right?
- 20 A. Sure.
- 21 | Q. You want to get a nice good photograph that
- really captures everything that's in there, all
- 23 | the junk that you mentioned?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And the location of all the items, right?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And only then, after you photographed and
- documented the scene, do you start moving things
- 4 around, collecting, whatever?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Because once you do that, you have altered the
- 7 scene?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And when you were called over to this burn area,
- 10 behind the garage, you didn't take any
- 11 photographs then either, did you? And that's
- because the scene had been altered before you
- arrived; isn't that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And, in fact, you expressed concern at one point,
- to the investigators in this case, that you had
- 17 been unable to make a more thorough record
- 18 because you were not used for the complete scene
- 19 processing; isn't that right?
- 20 ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection to the term
- 21 | concern; it's speculation.
- 22 ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. We'll get
- 23 more specific then.
- 24 THE COURT: All right.
- 25 Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ Mr. Ertl, showing you

- 1 Exhibit No. 160, can you identify that, please.
- 2 A. It's an email to and from Tom Fassbender and
- 3 myself. He emailed me and I replied to him.
- 4 Q. All right. And in this email, I don't know that
- 5 we need to put it up on the ELMO, you are
- 6 explaining why you did not take photographs of
- 7 either the burn pit area or where the license
- 8 plate vehicle was; is that right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And in that you -- you state, in regards to the
- burn pit, our involvement began with a request to
- use our sifting equipment; the scene had
- obviously been altered at that point; is that
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And, then, would you go on and read the next
- 17 sentence, please. Read it out loud.
- 18 A. I'm trying to find it; I was not following along,
- 19 I was recollecting.
- 20 Q. Second paragraph on the top there, had we.
- 21 A. Had we been working any of these scenes from
- 22 start to finish, there would likely have been
- 23 more thorough photo records, done by us.
- However, under the circumstances, we were merely
- able to provide technical assistance rather than

- 1 complete scene processing.
- Q. Okay. So, more typically, if you are called to
- 3 the scene to process ev -- potential evidence,
- 4 you are able to do so from start to finish,
- 5 right?
- 6 A. I would say the majority of cases, when we arrive
- 7 at the scene, we are given over control and we
- 8 take the lead.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. And process it according to the way we would
- 11 normally process.
- 12 Q. Okay. And Mr. Fassbender didn't let you do that
- in this case, did he?
- 14 A. In this case, I don't believe that would have
- been possible, due to the size of the scene.
- 16 Q. Sure, but -- Because of the 40 acres or whatever?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. But with regard to this, Mr. Avery's residence,
- 19 Mr. Fassbender didn't let you do that, right?
- 20 A. He didn't request that we do that?
- 21 | Q. By the time you went into Mr. Avery's residence,
- it was clear someone else had already been
- 23 through it, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And with regard to Mr. Avery's garage, or

1		detached garage next to his residence,
2		Mr. Fassbender didn't allow you to do that
3		complete scene processing there either, did he?
4		ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection to the use of
5		didn't allow. The question is it's improper as
6		to
7		ATTORNEY BUTING: I will rephrase.
8		THE COURT: Thank you.
9	Q.	(By Attorney Buting)~ He didn't ask you to do
10		that?
11	Α.	He did not.
12	Q.	Okay. And, likewise, with this burn area, by the
13		time you arrived, it was impossible for you to do
14		a complete scene processing because someone else
15		had been altering it, right?
16	Α.	Correct.
17		ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I have a lot to go
18		yet; we may want to take a break?
19		THE COURT: All right. We'll take our
20		lunch break at this time. Members of the jury,
21		again, do not discuss this case during your lunch
22		hour and we'll see you after lunch.
23		(Jury not present.)
24		THE COURT: We're now outside the presence

of the jury. You may be seated. I will indicate,

for the record, there was a request by the defense for a side bar earlier and that was for the purpose of raising an issue as to whether or not the defense had all of the pages from this witness' report.

It's my understanding the parties are going to explore that issue over the noon hour?

ATTORNEY STRANG: It's not really even an issue your Honor. I suspect that the State doesn't have the last 14 pages either, because otherwise we would. If it turns out that we both have them already, that's fine. There is no issue. I don't expect these will affect the cross-examination or that there's been any tendency to hamper the cross-examination if, in fact, we don't have -- it's just the last 14 pages of the Crime Lab evidence log form.

THE COURT: All right. And I also asked if

-- I had Exhibit 89 as I was coming back in here.

Along those lines, counsel, there was a question

raised late last week as to whether Exhibit 89 had

been admitted, I checked with the court reporter

from Wednesday and he indicated toward the end of

the day, I think the Court asked if all the exhibits

that had been marked were to be admitted and there

was no objection from the parties.

1	But it's My recollection is that this
2	may not be the only exhibit that's been marked,
3	but was not intended by the parties to be
4	admitted. So I would ask, over the noon hour, if
5	you could go over your list of exhibits and make
6	sure we determine whether or not there were any
7	other exhibits that had been listed as admitted
8	but were not intended by the parties to be
9	admitted. I think Exhibit 89 here may be one of
-0	those. We'll deal with that at the end of the
.1	day. Anything else before we break for lunch?
.2	ATTORNEY STRANG: I think the State
.3	prepared an order for your Honor's signature on
_4	personnel records that we have requested. That
.5	order is in acceptable form to the defense.
-6	THE COURT: All right. Let's resume at
_7	1:15.
-8	(Noon recess taken.)
_9	(Jury present.)
20	THE COURT: At this time, Mr. Buting, you
21	may resume your cross-examination.
22	ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, your Honor.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION CONTD
24	BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
25	O. All right. Mr. Ertl, I'm not exactly sure where

- we left off, but let's talk about luminol for a
- 2 minute?
- 3 A. All right.
- 4 Q. Luminol is this substance that reacts to a number
- of different things besides just blood, right?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Other kinds of chemicals, you mentioned cleaning
- 8 agents, bleach reacts real highly to that, very
- 9 strong?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Which means very bright?
- 12 A. Bright and fast, yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. What about other kinds of things,
- transmission fluid perhaps, oils, things of that
- 15 nature?
- 16 A. I know it reacts with some metals, copper and
- 17 lead in particular. Transmission fluid might
- 18 have some metals ground into it, so it's
- 19 possible.
- 20 Q. Okay. Maybe it would not be as strong a
- 21 reaction, maybe some -- a faint reaction,
- 22 something like that?
- 23 A. Perhaps.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. I'm not sure.

- 1 Q. And this is a garage -- Let's go to the garage
- 2 floor for a minute, where you said you had a
- faint reaction in this little area, 3 X 4 area.
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. Not a real bright, quick reaction like you get
- 6 with bleach, for instance?
- 7 A. Right.
- 8 Q. And the area, then, you then sampled and tested
- 9 with phenolphthalein, after that, right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. You turn the lights and then you used these very
- sensitive phenolphthalein tests to see if there's
- any possible blood?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And that would be human or animal, right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And that particular area, you didn't find any --
- any kind of blood reaction at all?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. But there were some other samples of blood that
- 21 | had been recovered earlier, as far as you could
- 22 tell?
- 23 A. That's what I was told.
- 24 Q. And do you know, did you ever find out what the
- 25 results of those blood -- whose blood it was or

- 1 wasn't?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. That comes later, not part of your
- 4 responsibilities; is that right?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. All right. Let me switch and talk with
- 7 you for a few minutes about the burn pit, okay.
- 8 You talked about some experience that you had
- 9 being called out to the woods to look at bones or
- 10 something of that nature?
- 11 A. There had been a couple of burial sites and one
- in particular earlier that year that it involved
- burning.
- 14 Q. Okay. And so you're -- you have enough
- experience to be able to recognize bones?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | O. Not necessarily distinguish human bone from
- 18 animal bone, but you can kind of tell what's bone
- and what's rock and that sort of thing?
- 20 A. Yeah, I could -- maybe not all kinds of rock, but
- 21 bone from say plant materials, piece of bark.
- 22 Q. Bone from -- you mean you can distinguish bone
- 23 from plant materials or bark?
- 24 A. I have a -- as you pointed out, I have a
- 25 background in plant biology, so I feel

- 1 comfortable with plant materials versus bone, but
- 2 not so comfortable distinguishing, say, some
- 3 limestone versus a piece of bone.
- 4 Q. Okay. And, you know, you are not actually a
- 5 forensic anthropologist?
- 6 A. No, I'm not.
- 7 Q. Did -- To your knowledge, did Mr. Fassbender call
- 8 a forensic anthropologist out to the scene of the
- 9 burn pit?
- 10 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 11 Q. Or Mr. Wiegert?
- 12 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, you talked about excavating this site
- a little bit. Do you know how a possible bone
- site is supposed to be searched by, you know,
- 16 what an archaeologist or forensic archaeologist
- or anthropologist would do?
- 18 ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, compound
- 19 question, which is it?
- 20 Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ Archeologist.
- 21 A. I have no training in archaeology. We do
- 22 excavate burial sites differently than we
- excavated this ash pile.
- 24 Q. Okay. And when you do that, one of the very
- 25 first things you do is make sure that you

- documented, with photographs, before the site has
- been altered, right?
- 3 A. That would be the first step.
- 4 Q. And that, in fact, you take numerous photographs,
- 5 throughout the process, documenting it before,
- 6 during and after, right?
- 7 A. Quite often, yes.
- 8 Q. And that you -- The proper way is to sort of
- 9 establish a contamination path, let's call it, an
- area outside of the area that you think might be
- 11 worthy of excavation, where you are allowed to
- walk back and forth and back and forth and not
- worry about contaminating anything; is that
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Well, that's generally true with most crime scene
- 16 items.
- 17 O. All right.
- 18 A. You want to limit the access to the site in some
- 19 way.
- 20 Q. Okay. But when it comes to excavating one of
- 21 these sites where there might be a burial site,
- for instance?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. You divide it up into -- either with string, or
- something, you ideally would have four posts

- outlining the area with strings and then dividing 1 2 it up into various squares or quadrants; are you familiar with that? 3
- I'm familiar with that, in my little knowledge of 4 5 archaeology, I have seen that done. I have worked with forensic anthropologists at burial sites and they have not done that. 7
- All right. But one of the things, when you do 8 Ο. that is, you work from the outside in. In other 10 words, from where the outer edge is, where your path is, you work your way -- the outer edges 11 12 first and then you work your way into the center 13 so that you can do the entire area?
  - Well, usually with a burial site, your first goal Α. is to define the extent of the hole. So, once you have defined the perimeter, then you work outside of that and dig down alongside of where you think the burial site actually is. And then you excavate from down and sides and underneath to the core --
- 21 Ο. Okay.

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

- -- to where the body would be. 22 Α.
- 23 Q. Sort of outside, into the middle, right?
- 24 Α. Correct.
- 25 Generally. And when you do recover an item, in Ο.

- the course of that excavation, it's important
  that you make note of exactly where in that -within that perimeter area you find that bone or
  - A. In my past experience, we would, if the body is intact, we would locate where the head is, where the shoulders, the joint, and the hands would be, where the hips are, the knees, and the feet, just to get the orientation of the body in the grave.
- Q. Okay. Now, at this site, you didn't have a perimeter with a containment path around it, right? I mean, it was being worked on by the time you got there, right?
- 14 A. Well, it wasn't a burial, per se, so it wasn't a
  15 great perimeter; there was a scooped out area of
  16 dirt with ashes.
- 17 Q. Right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

18 A. So the ashes was our perimeter.

that item, right?

- Q. Okay. But somebody would use the shovel -- you said mostly you, but sometimes others -- and dig up a portion, then bring it over to be sifted, right?
- 23 A. Right.
- Q. And this area, the whole area that was excavated, was about how big?

- 1 A. Probably roughly the size of this table I'm sitting at.
- Q. And nobody -- When you would bring over a shovel
  here or shovel there, nobody made or kept track
  of where exactly in that -- I guess we should
  identify it for the record. The area is what,
- 7 maybe 5 X 4?
- 8 A. 5 X 4 feet, yeah.
- 9 Q. Okay. Nobody made or kept track of where, within
  10 that area, any particular suspected bone may have
  11 been, right?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. And if any -- any suspected bone was found outside of that area, nobody made specific note of just where, outside of that area, that came from either, did they?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, calls for hearsay knowledge. And it's non-specific as well in term of who, what, and where.

THE COURT: Well, as far as hearsay, I understand you to be questioning this witness -- I'm assuming he's asking the witness, based on his own knowledge. What was the remainder of your objection?

ATTORNEY FALLON: It's non-specific in

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

terms of what was found where, when, by whom. If he's asking the witness what he may have done and what he may have documented, that's certainly appropriate. But what anyone else may have found, done, or documented is ...

THE COURT: I will ask you to rephrase the question for clarification.

- Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ All right. I guess the point here is that various items of suspected bone were brought to you to be sifted. I mean various areas of dirt, or whatever, were brought to you to be sifted, and then suspected bone fragments were found, right?
- 14 A. Correct.

- Q. But to your knowledge, neither you nor anyone else would specifically identify where in this area that particular piece of bone came from?
- 18 A. No. Everything that was collected in this area was placed together in a box.
- 20 Q. This area being that 4 X 5 feet ...
- 21 A. 4 X 5 foot ash pile was placed together in a box, 22 just as we had done with the burn barrels.
- Q. And did you find anything -- Did you sift
  anything outside of that pit area, on the grass,
  or farther over above where the dog was in that

- picture, on the mound, or anything like that?
- 2 A. No, we were restricted to the ash pile.
- 3 Q. Okay. And you just worked on it that one
- 4 evening?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You didn't come back the next day to do any
- 7 further work?
- 8 A. No, that was the last day I was there.
- 9 Q. And that was done, actually, at night, you said?
- 10 A. Well, we worked up until it got dark.
- 11 | Q. Was it daylight when you got there?
- 12 A. It was approximately from 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.
- 13 | Q. Okay. So it was daylight for part of it and then
- 14 you kept working until it got too dark?
- 15 A. Got too dark.
- 16 Q. And you went walking around the -- during your --
- 17 your -- how many days was it there, three days,
- 18 four days?
- 19 A. Saturday, and came back for Sunday, Monday,
- Tuesday.
- 21 | Q. Okay. So during your four days there, you walked
- 22 around different areas and looked at different
- 23 | buildings on the 40 acre property, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Testified to that. Did Mr. Fassbender or

- 1 Mr. Wiegert ever escort you to a aluminum smelter
- 2 on the property?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. You never saw the smelter on the property at all,
- 5 did you?
- 6 A. No, I didn't.
- 7 Q. And you are the one who has the experience
- 8 finding bones, right?
- 9 A. I have some, yes.
- 10 Q. So if somebody had brought you over to the
- 11 smelter, you would have been able to look
- carefully, with your experience, to see whether
- there was any evidence, fragments of bones, or
- whatever, in or around that smelter area,
- 15 couldn't you?
- 16 A. I don't know much about aluminum smelters. If
- there were something to look at, I could have
- 18 looked at it.
- 19 Q. Okay. But you did not, because no one escorted
- 20 you there, no one showed you the smelter?
- 21 | A. I didn't know anything about an aluminum smelter.
- 22 | Q. What about a big wood furnace?
- 23 A. No, I don't know anything about that.
- 24 O. Never looked inside of a wood furnace that was on
- 25 the property, to see if there was evidence of

- 1 bone, or --
- 2 A. No, I did not.
- 3 Q. -- zippers, pulls, anything like that?
- 4 | A. No.

9

- 5 ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. Thank you
- 6 very much, sir.
- 7 THE COURT: Any redirect?
- 8 ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, Judge. Thank you.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 10 BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
- 11 Q. Couple of points, Mr. Ertl. First of off --
- 12 First all -- First of all, I would like to ask
- 13 you about this question, relative to the
- 14 transportation of the SUV. Counsel asked you if
- 15 you could have remained and helped with other
- searches, instead of going with the vehicle to
- 17 Madison. What is the protocol, what is the
- 18 recommended procedure for the Crime Lab analyst
- 19 when there are two of you there with a critical
- 20 piece of evidence like the SUV?
- 21 | A. Well, I was asked if we could get the vehicle
- 22 back to the laboratory and asked to see if I
- 23 could expedite getting work done on it, prior to
- Monday morning.
- Q. Who asked you to do that?

- 1 A. It was either Investigator Wiegert or Special 2 Agent Fassbender.
- Q. All right. Now, in terms of transportation of a key piece of evidence like that, is there a concern on the part of the analyst that the evidence be transported safely?
- 7 A. Well, definitely. I wanted it enclosed. It is
  8 now in my custody. We could have turned it over
  9 to Calumet County and they could have taken
  10 custody and dealt with getting to it to the
  11 laboratory.
- 12 Q. But you took control of the SUV, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And to ensure that it was safely transported to the Crime Lab, without incident, took two of you to do it?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. All right. Now, counsel asked you a couple of 19 hypotheticals about the presence of a certain 20 Toyota key and the possibility of DNA on that 21 key. Well, Mr. Ertl, we have person number one 22 who's the owner of the key; person number two who does not own the key, but at some point obtains 23 24 possession of the key. And during the course of 25 obtaining possession of the key, actively bleeds

on the key, wipes the bleed off the key.

It's not entirely unexpected that you would find the DNA profile of the person who possessed the key and who wiped the blood off the key, that's not an unusual finding, would it not?

A. Well, in that situation, you would have two activities promoting finding the second person rather than the first person. If you bleed on the key, now you are supplying a large quantity of your own DNA. And even if the other person's DNA is there, you may mask them because now you have actual bodily fluids rather than stray skin cells.

So there's more DNA in blood than I would expect to find from something that had been touched. So you could cover over the other DNA. And if you then wiped it off, now you are physically removing potentially all the DNA that's present, the first person and the second person.

Q. And if the second person, not the original owner of the key, had possession of that key for four days, one would expect that you are most likely going to find the profile of the person who last possessed the key; isn't that true?

- A. As you explained it, I would expect, yes, to find the second persons.
- Q. And it would not be unusual at all to find no other DNA profile on that key, would it?
- 5 A. No, that would be not unusual.
- Q. In fact, the likelihood of that occurring is
  enhanced by the fact that person number two
  actually had possession of the key for say four
  days at a minimum?
- 10 A. The longer the time you would expect more contact
  11 more possibility for DNA being deposited.
- Q. Now, let's talk a little bit about this

  contamination issue. The fact that certain bits

  of evidence can become "contaminated", is not all

  that unusual is it?
- 16 A. The fact that it can happen?
- 17 | O. Yes.
- 18 A. It is not unusual and it is expected and we take
  19 precautions to prevent that.
- Q. And, as a matter fact, contamination can occur, as counsel said, during the collection of the evidence, during the transport of the evidence, and during the analysis of the evidence, as I understood your answer; is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. All right. Well, it's also possible that
 contamination of a crime scene could occur before

law enforcement even arrives?

4 A. Correct.

- Q. And, as a matter of fact, in your experience,
  it's not unusual at all for perpetrators of
  crimes to take efforts to alter crime scenes, is
  it?
- 9 A. That's quite common to -- to see attempts being made to clean up.
- Q. All right. And what types of efforts have you seen certain suspects undertake to clean up, as you say, a crime scene?
- 14 ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection, now we're
  15 getting too far afield of this case.
- 16 ATTORNEY FALLON: I disagree heartily,
  17 counsel went down this road, we're entitled to
  18 respond.
- THE COURT: I'll give you a little latitude.
- 21 Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Continue.
- A. I have seen cases where it was a shooting in a

  carpeted area, we saw some of the blood spatter

  pattern we talked about previously, up on the

  ceiling, on some of the furniture. And there was

absolutely no apparent blood on the carpeting.

2 So we saw a fine, hard to see mist of blood. And

3 you would expect to see, then, something on the

4 carpeting, if someone had been shot there. There

was a Rug Doctor sitting next to it, this area.

They had rented the Rug Doctor and shampooed the

7 carpet. When we ripped up the carpet, a large

8 blood pool, on the floor under the carpeting, had

soaked into the padding. So they had obviously

attempted to clean the blood pool from the

11 shooting.

9

10

15

Q. And is it possible to clean up blood with certain

reagents such as bleach?

14 A. Yes. Bleach is very effective. We use bleach in

the laboratory to clean our work areas. It

16 actually destroys DNA. Destroys the blood. It

decontaminates it. It's very useful for that.

18 Q. All right. Now, another thing about this

19 | contamination issue, just so we're clear; does

20 contamination transform one person's DNA profile

21 into that of another?

22 A. It cannot change a DNA profile. It can hide a

23 DNA profile, though.

24 Q. So what you would have, then, is a mixture?

25 A. You could have a mixture, or you could fail to

- see the first person, if the second person deposited a much greater quantity of DNA.
- 3 Q. But it's still interpretable, is it not?
- 4 A. Yeah, you would see DNA types and you would be able to interpret them.
- Q. So, I take it it's still possible, then, to have reliable findings, not withstanding that type of contamination?
- 9 A. It's possible.
- Q. Now, returning, again, to the question counsel
  asked about actively bleeding; if a suspect was
  actively bleeding and entered a vehicle, you
  would expect to find an impact bloodstain, would
  you not; that would not be uncommon?
- 15 A. If they were actively bleeding and blood was
  16 dripping from them, then an impact stain would be
  17 the blood falling off of the person and gravity
  18 pulling it to the floor and hitting, that would
  19 be the impact.
- 20 Q. All right. And what is a contact stain?
- A. That's where I'm bleeding, have blood on an item,
  and the item touches another item. And now I
  leave some blood on the second item.
- Q. And you would expect to find that as well, if a person was actively bleeding and had been in a

- 1 particular vehicle that was -- that is now
- 2 undergoing an examination?
- 3 A. If they had touched something with a bloodied up
- 4 hand or whatever, then you would expect some
- 5 transfer of blood.
- 6 Q. Even if it was just a cut on the finger?
- 7 A. Sure.
- 8 Q. Is it possible -- Well, before I get there, what
- 9 is -- are you familiar with a phrase called a
- 10 transfer stain?
- 11 A. Well, that's a transfer of blood from one item to
- 12 another --
- 13 | O. So --
- 14 A. -- by contact.
- 15 Q. -- you are thinking that contact and transfer is
- one in the same concept?
- 17 A. Yes. And I am not a blood spatter pattern
- 18 expert. I have just -- I have been to some
- 19 training. I am able to recognize it when I see
- it, but I don't interpret it. So I don't know
- 21 all the specific jargon about swipes and wipes;
- and contacts and transfers; high impact,
- 23 | immediate impact, and low impact castoff. I'm
- familiar with the terms, but I couldn't
- 25 necessarily tell you that that's a transfer not a

- contact, or a swipe not a wipe.
- Q. Well, returning, again, to our example of the person one and person number two and person one

4 being the owner of the key and person two being

5 the last one to possess the key. Well, if person

6 number two had been actively bleeding, entered a

7 vehicle holding the ignition key in their right

hand and then attempted to start the vehicle, it

would not be unusual at all to find a contact

10 stain near the ignition in that vehicle?

11 ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection to the 12 characterization of not unusual. There's no factual

distinction as to how that would occur.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection based on the form of the question.

- Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Would you expect to find a contact stain on the ignition?
- 18 A. If the person were bleeding with their right 19 hand --
- 20 Q. A cut on the --

8

9

14

15

16

17

A. -- operating a key with the right hand and trying
to start the ignition, I wouldn't think it would
be unusual at all to find blood on the key and on
the ignition.

25 (Court reporter couldn't hear.)

A. And on the ignition.

- Q. Now, counsel asked you a series of questions and I want to talk a little bit more about this blood spatter issue. You can have a crime scene, and let's just take something like a stabbing, in which there was blood at the scene; are you always going to find evidence of a blood spatter stain?
- 9 A. No, it would depend on circumstances?
- 10 | Q. What types of circumstances?
  - A. I can think of a case, not too long ago, where a woman had been stabbed in her bed and there was, you know, the blankets and the comforter over her and was stabbed through that, repeatedly, and yet there was no evidence of blood in the room, other than bleeding out of her.

And the idea was that the knife going through the bedding and then being pulled out again, it wiped off the blood. It went in, came out, came out clean. And so there was no chance for the blood to fly off the knife and end up on the ceiling or on the floor. So it was a very clean scene. All the blood was contained just to the bedding and to the victim and under the sheets.

- Q. And if you wanted to further cover up the crime, then you could certainly burn all the bedding,
- 4 A. Certainly.

couldn't you?

3

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. So in effect, then, it is possible to clean up a crime scene?
- A. Most certainly. Most crime scenes do get cleaned up and people continue to live in them, the houses or whatever.
- Q. But it would be -- Is it possible, based on your training and experience, for instance, to have a pool of blood and, say, on a garage floor, have it cleaned up to such an extent that you would not get a reaction to a phenolphthalein test?
  - A. Well, knowing what I know, I could probably do it. Bleach would be a good thing, some sort of peroxide would be a good way to get rid of the red color. The bleach would destroy the blood and the DNA.
- 20 Q. So it's possible?
- 21 A. It's possible, yes.
- 22 ATTORNEY FALLON: Your witness.
- THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
- 24 ATTORNEY BUTING: Sure.

## 25 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

## 1 BY ATTORNEY BUTING:

- 2 Q. This other case you mentioned, where the
- gentleman -- find it on my notes for a second,
- 4 please. Yeah, where there was a shooting, body
- on the carpet or something and you were surprised
- 6 that there was no evidence of blood on the
- 7 carpet?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. But that was explained because the Rug Doctor Was
- 10 there, right?
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. But there was blood on the ceiling.
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 0. And blood on the wall?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And when you rip up the carpet, there's a pool of
- 17 blood underneath the carpet, right?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. So cleaning a carpet, blood soaks through fabrics
- 20 pretty well, doesn't it?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So, in that instance, whatever the gentleman or
- 23 defendant did, was not good enough. He didn't
- rip up the carpet and clean the pad underneath
- 25 it, right?

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. Now, in this case, are you aware that they ripped
- 3 all the carpet out and the pad out of Mr. Avery's
- 4 house?
- 5 A. I didn't know that.
- 6 Q. Okay. So you don't know what's -- whether the
- 7 carpet and the pad and all that was tested for
- 8 blood in his house or not?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 Q. Okay. Well, we'll get to that later. But in any
- event, you did not see any blood spatter on his
- 12 ceiling, right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 O. Or on the walls of the bedroom?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And the walls are paneling, right?
- 17 A. I believe so.
- 18 Q. Are you aware that they ripped the paneling out
- 19 of -- off the walls and took all that out to the
- 20 Crime Lab too?
- 21 A. No, I wasn't aware of that either.
- 22 O. That came later in the case and you weren't
- involved in that; is that right?
- 24 A. Right.
- 25 Q. Okay. You also told us a story about the guy who

- 1 apparently stabbed some woman on the mattress and
- 2 there was no cast off because he was stabbing her
- 3 through the bedding, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. In other words blankets and comforter on top of
- 6 the body as it's being stabbed --
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. -- right? But -- And so in that instance, there
- 9 wasn't cast off blood that would hit the ceiling,
- or walls, or other objects in the room; is that
- 11 what you are saying?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. But, I assume the person did bleed down into the
- 14 mattress, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And there was a blood soaked mattress in that
- 17 case, wasn't there?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And Mr. Fallon asked you if someone would be able
- 20 to get rid of that evidence in that instance by
- 21 burning the bedding, right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 | Q. Any evidence that a mattress was burned in this
- 24 case?
- 25 A. Not that I recall.

- 1 Q. Did you see any bed springs in the burn pit?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Or in the burn barrel?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. In fact, when you went to the Avery's bedroom
- 6 there was a mattress there, wasn't there?
- 7 A. There was.
- 8 Q. And a box spring?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And there was no bloodstain on it was there?
- 11 A. No, there wasn't.
- 12 Q. Are you familiar with any of the studies of the
- transfer of trace DNA from one's fingers to
- objects they pick up?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Are you familiar with Dr. Lee's reports, study?
- 17 A. Not in particular.
- 18 Q. Okay. Trace isn't really your specialty?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. So you are not aware of whether they have done
- 21 tests that have -- that have determined what the
- 22 likelihood is of the person number one's DNA
- 23 still being on it when person number two handles
- 24 it; is that right?
- 25 A. I do know that it varies from person to person,

- 1 how much DNA you deposit on things.
- Q. Okay. But, in fact, when using the hypothetical
- 3 Mr. Fallon had, person number two is handling the
- 4 key after person number one, the more common
- finding would be two people's DNA, wouldn't it?
- 6 A. If they were each just handling.
- 7 Q. Okay. Any evidence of blood on the key in this
- 8 case, that you are aware of?
- 9 A. I know nothing of the key; I didn't ever see the
- 10 key.
- 11 Q. Okay. And you said you were looking for blood,
- but you knew this was -- by then, by the time you
- went into the house, you knew that there was a
- 14 Toyota RAV4 that had been seized and taken to the
- 15 Crime Lab, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. So if you had walked in that bedroom and seen,
- 18 | right in plain view, a car key that looked like a
- 19 Toyota car key, you would have made note of that,
- 20 wouldn't you?
- 21 ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, beyond the
- 22 scope of redirect.
- 23 ATTORNEY BUTING: You talked about the key.
- 24 ATTORNEY FALLON: Not in that context.
- THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the

1	objection.
2	ATTORNEY BUTING: All right.
3	THE COURT: I think that question has
4	already been asked and answered, as well.
5	ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. That's all I have.
6	Thank you, sir.
7	THE COURT: All right. The witness is
8	excused. Mr. Fallon, you can call the next witness.
9	ATTORNEY FALLON: We will move Exhibit 156
10	into evidence, please.
11	THE COURT: Any objection?
12	ATTORNEY BUTING: I'm sorry, which one is
13	that I have no objection to that. And I would
14	move Exhibit 159, 160 in as well.
15	ATTORNEY FALLON: 159 is the statement of
16	qualifications.
17	ATTORNEY BUTING: Correct.
18	ATTORNEY FALLON: And 160 was the emails.
19	I don't have any objection.
20	THE COURT: All right. Those exhibits are
21	all admitted.
22	Jurors, if you want to stand up and
23	stretch a minute before we hear our next witness,
24	you may. And those of you in the audience, if
25	you wish, you may.

Τ		ATTORNEY KRATZ: State will call Dave
2		Siders to the stand.
3		DEPUTY DAVID SIDERS, called as a witness
4		herein, having been first duly sworn, was
5		examined and testified as follows:
6		THE CLERK: Please state your name and
7		spell your last name for the record.
8		THE WITNESS: David Siders, S-i-d-e-r-s.
9		ATTORNEY KRATZ: If you could back up just
10		about an inch from the microphone, Mr. Siders, I'm
11		sure we would appreciate that.
12		DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY A	ATTORNEY KRATZ:
14	Q.	Could you tell us how you are employed, sir?
15	Α.	I'm employed with the Manitowoc County Sheriff's
16		Department, Patrol Division.
17	Q.	And how long have you been so employed?
18	Α.	Employed six years, Manitowoc County Sheriff's
19		Department.
20	Q.	What are your duties with Manitowoc County?
21	Α.	I'm assigned to the Patrol Division; along with
22		evidence technician; assigned to the Manitowoc
23		County SOS team, would be the Swat Team; along
24		with the Manitowoc County Dive Team.
25	Q.	Mr. Siders, were you employed with the Manitowoc

- 1 County Sheriff's Department on the 7th of
- 2 November, 2005?
- 3 A. Yes, I was.
- 4 Q. Could you tell us, please, what your areas of
- 5 responsibility were that day?
- 6 A. Myself, along with Sergeant Scott Senglaub,
- 7 Deputy Mike Bushman, and Sergeant Jason Jost
- 8 responded to 12930 Avery Road, would be the Avery
- 9 Salvage Yard, to assist Calumet County Sheriff's
- 10 Department searching the property.
- 11 | Q. I'm guessing our court reporter would like you to
- 12 slow down a little bit too.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. If you could do that, I would appreciate that.
- 15 What were your responsibilities upon arrival at
- 16 that scene?
- 17 A. We were to make contact with the officer in
- 18 charge at the scene to get the daily duties.
- 19 Q. Okay. Were you given a specific job that day?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. What was that?
- 22 A. We were informed by the OIC to get in search
- 23 groups.
- 24 Q. By the what?
- 25 A. Officer in charge.

- 1 Q. All right.
- A. To get into a search group. The search group I
  was in was search group A. Our team leader of
  that search group was Deputy Mike Bushman of the
  Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department.

We were to search the property west of the Avery residence along White Cedar Road and property north of the Avery residence, this would be a corn field just north of Avery property.

- Q. It's that open field that I'm most concerned about today, Deputy. I'm going to direct your attention to the large screen.
- 13 ATTORNEY KRATZ: This is Exhibit No. 85,
  14 counsel.
- Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ Are you able to orient yourself as you look at that location?
- 17 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. There's a laser pointer that's right in front of you, Deputy Siders. If you take that laser pointer, please, and first tell me whether or not you and other search team members, on the 7th of November, did, in fact, search that open field north of the Avery salvage property?
- A. Yes, the team I was in, we searched starting here and worked our way across.

- Q. All right. And you are pointing, what would be just north of the road that travels basically east and west, which would be on the north edge of the Avery salvage property itself; is that accurate?
- 6 A. Correct.
- Q. Let me ask you, Deputy Siders, were you given
  specific instruction as to what you were looking
  for?
- 10 A. Yes, we were basically looking for any type of
  11 clothing, whether it be a shirt, pants, shoes,
  12 any kind of object such as a cell phone, a
  13 camera, or even possibly a body.
- Q. So at that time, that is, as of the 7th of

  November, a body or any human remains had not yet

  been found; is that correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. While searching in the area north of the Avery property, had you, yourself, made any discovery?
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- 21 | Q. And can you tell the jury what that was, please?
- A. When we started to search the corn field or the field north of that property, I came across a burning barrel which was in my section of searching. I walked up to the burning barrel and

- I looked inside, at which time I saw a metal rim
  with wires wrapped around it. It appeared to be
  a vehicle rim with the remains of a -- steel
  belts from a rubber tire.
  - Q. Deputy Siders, Exhibit No. 37, which has already been introduced into evidence in this case, it is now being shown on the screen, can you tell us what we're looking at here, please?
  - A. Yeah, this burning barrel right there is the one that I approached. And I looked inside and saw the metal rim inside.
  - Q. All right. As we look at Exhibit No. 37, as we look at that burn barrel, can you describe for the jury a little bit more specifically where your team had been searching?
  - -- there's a road here, starting from back behind here and was working toward the burning barrel.

    I was positioned right along this area here. And the rest of the team was in a line, going across to the north.

My team had been searching starting from

- Q. Now, you told the jury that upon approach of this burning barrel, that you looked inside; is that right?
  - A. Correct.

Α.

Okay.

- Q. And I think you mentioned something about a rim;
  what -- what is that?
- A. It appeared to me to be like a vehicle rim, or like maybe a trailer rim to some type of vehicle.
- Q. I'm going to show you what's already been introduced as Exhibit No. 51; can you tell us what we're looking at here?
- 8 A. This object here is what I observed lying on top,9 inside the burning barrel.
- Q. All right. I see that the rim is outside of the burn barrel in this photograph; can you tell me, if you know, who removed the rim from inside the burn barrel?
- 14 A. Yes. I removed the rim from inside the barrel.
- Q. Okay. And when you looked inside of that burn barrel, can you tell us what you saw, please.
- A. Inside the burn barrel I saw a lot of ashes and I saw a lot of burnt, melted plastic parts. As I looked closer at these plastic parts, it appeared to be parts of a cell phone that were actually melted inside the burning barrel.
- Q. Before I show the rest of those pictures, there's one other photo, just to orient us a little bit better. In the back of Exhibit No. 51, and now what we're looking at is Exhibit 59; do you see a

- 1 maroon S -- or excuse me, a maroon van?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Was that van there when you found the burn barrel
- 4 and removed the rim from inside of it?
- 5 A. I can't recall if it was or not.
- 6 Q. Okay. Your observations were directed towards
- 7 the inside; is that right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 THE COURT: Deputy, actually, you can stay
- that distance from the microphone, I will just turn
- 11 your volume down --
- 12 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 13 | THE COURT: -- if it's too loud.
- 14 Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ The first exhibit that I
- placed in front of you has already been
- introduced as an exhibit. Can you tell us what
- 17 number that is, please.
- 18 | A. It's Exhibit 156.
- 19 Q. I'm sorry?
- 20 A. 156.
- 21 Q. Tell us what that is.
- 22 A. This here is the inside of the burn barrel. This
- is what I would actually have been looking at
- when I lifted the rim out of the burn barrel.
- 25 Q. Okay. You talked about seeing some items inside

- of there, does Exhibit 156 look the same, or
- 2 similar, as it did on the 7th of November as you
- 3 looked inside that burn barrel?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.
- 5 Q. There's three other exhibits in front of you.
- 6 Why don't you grab them, one at a time, tell me
- 7 what exhibit number they are and what it is that
- 8 you are looking at.
- 9 A. Next exhibit would be 154; again, this would be
- 10 the plastic remains I was looking at inside the
- 11 burning barrel. Parts to a cell phone.
- 12 Q. All right. Deputy Sider, take a look at the
- large screen; is that Exhibit 154?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.
- 15 Q. And, again, that's the same exhibit that's in
- 16 | front of you; is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes, it is.
- 18 Q. Tell me what the next exhibit in front of you is,
- 19 please.
- 20 A. Exhibit 155.
- 21 Q. And what is that?
- 22 | A. Again, that's looking inside the burning barrel
- at the parts that I located belonging to a cell
- phone.
- 25 Q. We're now going to show the jury Exhibit 155;

- again, is that the same as the exhibit that's in
- 2 front of you?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- 4 Q. Now, Deputy Siders, you mentioned that you could
- 5 tell, just on your observation, that at least one
- of the electronic components that you were
- 7 looking at in the bottom of this burn barrel, you
- 8 believed to be a cell phone; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. How did you know that?
- 11 A. Closer look at the piece of plastic, I note -- I
- notice an M emblem on the cell phone. This M
- emblem as known to me to be a Motorola emblem for
- the company of Motorola.
- 15 Q. All right. There's one more exhibit in front of
- 16 you, tell me what that is, please.
- 17 A. Exhibit 157.
- 18 | Q. And what is that?
- 19 A. That's also looking inside of the burning barrel,
- 20 looking at parts -- parts of a camera.
- 21 Q. All right.
- 22 A. Excuse me, cell phone.
- 23 | Q. These four exhibits, and now that the jury is
- 24 | looking at 157, these four exhibits are really
- 25 pretty much the same, different degrees of

- 1 magnification or angle, but is that fair?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Now, Deputy Siders, after witnessing or
- 4 discovering this burn barrel, were other law
- 5 enforcement officers summoned to that location?
- 6 A. Yes, they were.
- 7 Q. Do you know if -- or let me just ask you this, do
- 8 you know agents from what's known as the Division
- 9 of Criminal Investigation?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. The scene, that is, the burn barrel itself, was
- that scene maintained by you; in other words, did
- you make sure that, at least while you were
- there, nobody fooled around with the stuff that
- was inside of it, or tampered with the evidence?
- 16 A. That's correct, I maintained custody of the
- 17 barrel.
- 18 | Q. And was there anybody from the Division of
- 19 Criminal Investigation that you turned over
- 20 custody of that burn barrel to?
- 21 A. Yes, there was.
- 22 O. Who was that?
- 23 A. Kevin Heimerl.
- 24 | O. Kevin Heimerl?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. Now, were you in charge of either seizing, that
- is, securing the burn barrel, or later searching
- 3 its contents?
- 4 A. No, I was not.
- 5 Q. That was turned over to somebody else; is that
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. All right.
- 9 ATTORNEY KRATZ: With the offer of those
- 10 four photographs, Judge, that's all the questions I
- 11 have of this witness. Thank you.
- 12 ATTORNEY STRANG: I have no objection to, I
- think they were 154, 155, 156 already is in, and
- 14 then 157.
- THE COURT: All right. The remaining
- exhibits, then, will be admitted.
- 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 18 BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
- 19 0. Good afternoon.
- 20 A. Good afternoon.
- 21 | Q. Let's go back, if we could, to Exhibit 51. When
- 22 you folks were assigned to start looking through
- 23 the farm field north of the Avery property your
- 24 assignment also included part of the Avery
- 25 property?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. So this was, really, the very beginning of the
- 3 search of the field to the north?
- 4 A. Yes, this would be at the beginning of the search of the field.
- 6 ATTORNEY STRANG: Now, that's actually not
- 7 the one I thought. Where was the picture right
- 8 before, which had the burning barrel from a greater
- 9 distance, with the trailer in the background. I'm
- 10 sorry. What is the one you showed right before?
- 11 ATTORNEY BUTING: Thirty-seven.
- 12 ATTORNEY STRANG: Thirty seven, that's it.
- 13 There it is.
- Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ Now, that red trailer in
- the back, that's Steven Avery's house?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | O. This burn barrel, it's a little hard to tell from
- 18 here, but the burn barrel looks like it's sort of
- 19 sitting right out in the open, in a grassy area?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. But -- Although we can't see the blue, doublewide
- that would be to the left off this photo, there
- is another house there; do you remember that?
- 24 A. Yes. Correct.
- 25 | O. House trailer. The burn barrel would be closer

- 1 to the red trailer than to the other trailer?
- 2 A. I don't know the distance. I didn't measure the
- 3 burning barrel from either trailer; I couldn't
- 4 answer that.
- 5 Q. You know, I know you didn't measure it, but no
- 6 recollection of which one, to the eyeball, it
- 7 looked closer to?
- 8 A. I couldn't tell.
- 9 Q. Okay. But in any event, it's sort of sitting
- 10 right off the gravel road, essentially becomes a
- 11 driveway into the garage.
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. When you walked up and you looked down and you
- see this rim in there, you were able to just
- reach in and pull the rim out?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. That's a heavy enough item, you -- even you had
- 18 to use two hands?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What looked to you like maybe the remains of a
- 21 steel belted radial, was that intwined with the
- 22 rim?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | O. So that came out with the rim?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. You set that down, did the whole assembly look to
- 2 you like somebody might simply have burned an
- 3 entire tire and wheel assembly?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Once you then had the rim and the belt out, you
- 6 noticed that these things were rusty?
- 7 A. What was rusty, the rim or --
- 8 | O. The rim.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. If there was a steel belted radial, whatever the
- 11 | wiring was?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. That was rusty?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. All right. And then looked in there, and one
- thing we can't tell from -- or I can't tell, at
- 17 least from Exhibits 154 through 157 in front of
- 18 you, is how -- about how deep does it look like
- 19 the ash and crud at the bottom of that can was?
- 20 A. If you could bring up a closer view of that
- 21 burning barrel.
- 22 Q. Sure, we'll go back to the one I thought I
- 23 | wanted, 51; how is that?
- 24 A. Okay. It's not an exact measurement; my
- estimation would have been that the top of the

- 1 rim would have been sitting right around there.
- 2 Q. So -- So maybe, roughly, two thirds of that
- 3 barrel would have been full of ash and other
- 4 material?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. You were able to reach in easily, had -- had you
- 7 wanted to, and you could have touched these
- 8 melted cell phone parts that you saw?
- 9 A. You would have to actually lean -- probably lean
- 10 over to touch the ...
- 11 Q. Okay. But they were probably above the -- my
- vocabulary is going to fail me now, but the
- crease -- ridge or crease, the higher ridge or
- 14 crease on the barrel?
- 15 A. I would say that the parts were probably -- give
- 16 you another estimation -- right around this area
- 17 there.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. They weren't at the bottom, they were more,
- 20 somewhere right in here.
- 21 | Q. All right. So if those -- those ridges roughly
- 22 divide this barrel into thirds, give or take, the
- 23 barrel was about two thirds full of this junk and
- 24 ash?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. But the cell phone parts you saw, were lying on
- 2 top --
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. -- of the other junk and ash? In looking in
- 5 there, did it look to you as if a sort of crust
- 6 had formed over the ash in the barrel?
- 7 A. The ash was more -- It had rained heavily, so it
- 8 was kind of like a muck --
- 9 Q. Mm-hmm.
- 10 A. -- type of ash. I couldn't say that there was
- 11 actually a film, I just noted that it was all wet
- 12 and damp.
- 13 Q. It had the look of ashes after they had been
- 14 rained on --
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. -- and then begun to dry?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. I mean, they still would have been mucky --
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 Q. -- but you know the look I'm talking about, where
- 21 eventually, as it dries, appear almost a crust on
- 22 the ash?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. The cell phone pieces were atop that?
- 25 A. Kind of not -- or kind of mixed in with the ash,

- they weren't just, like, laying on top. They
- 2 were kind of mixed in.
- 3 Q. Because it still looked mucky to you?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. The entire time you spent at that barrel before,
- 6 let's say -- say, boy, that looks like the
- 7 Motorola emblem, or the insignia for Motorola,
- 8 the entire time was give or take, roughly, how
- 9 long?
- 10 A. That I stood there to observe that?
- 11 Q. Yeah, before you said, boy, I think I see the
- 12 Motorola emblem.
- 13 A. I don't know, approximately four to five minutes,
- 14 just looking at it.
- 15 Q. Just looking at it?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. But not touching things?
- 18 A. I didn't touch anything in there.
- 19 Q. But 4 to 5 minutes is a long time, actually, if
- 20 you just -- I mean, if we were to stand silent
- 21 for 4 to 5 minutes, it would seem like an
- 22 eternity, but you think it may have been that
- 23 long?
- 24 A. Give or take.
- 25 Q. And then you called somebody else over?

- 1 A. Yes, I did.
- 2 Q. Was there anything -- other than being burned,
- 3 was there anything obscured about the Motorola
- 4 emblem?
- 5 A. Other than that it was melted plastic.
- 6 Q. Right. And all of this, as the photos showed,
- 7 sort of takes on a -- various shades of gray.
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Maybe we could go back to -- Was there one in
- 10 particular there where you can see that Motorola
- 11 emblem?
- 12 A. I'm not going to be able to tell with these
- photographs here; it's just not that clear.
- 14 Q. So, the photographs, you cannot see a Motorola
- 15 emblem?
- 16 A. No, I can't.
- 17 Q. But you were able to, with the naked eye,
- 18 standing over this?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. The six, now going on seven years, I guess, that
- 21 you have been with the Manitowoc County Sheriff's
- 22 Department, have you been on the Dive Team the
- 23 entire time?
- 24 A. I have been on the Dive Team for the last three
- 25 years.

- 1 Q. Did you do any diving here?
- 2 A. No, I did not.
- 3 Q. Weren't asked to participate in any of the dives?
- 4 A. No, we were not.
- 5 Q. Do you know who did the dives?
- A. I believe it was Outagamie Sheriff's Department
  Dive Team.
- 8 Q. Did you say, gosh, you know, I'm -- I'm a member
- 9 of a dive team and my department has one; did you
- 10 volunteer to do that?
- 11 A. No, I did not.
- 12 Q. Why not?
- 13 A. We weren't asked to. Our dive team was usually
- 14 requested by either our sheriff or another
- outside agency to assist with a dive; if we're
- 16 not asked, we don't dive.
- 17 | O. And in this situation, the people running the
- 18 search looked over across Lake Winnebago, to the
- 19 top of Lake Winnebago, to the Outagamie County
- 20 Sheriff's Department?
- 21 A. That's what I can guess. They were the ones that
- 22 contacted them.
- 23 ATTORNEY STRANG: That's all I have.
- 24 THE COURT: Any redirect?
- 25 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not of this witness,

1		Judge, no.
2		THE COURT: Very well, the witness is
3		excused.
4		ATTORNEY KRATZ: Could we approach just
5		briefly, Judge.
6		THE COURT: Sure.
7		(Side bar taken.)
8		ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm going to call Bill
9		Tyson to the stand.
10		THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
11		SERGEANT WILLIAM TYSON, called as a
12		witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was
13		examined and testified as follows:
14		THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state
15		your name and spell your last name for the record.
16		THE WITNESS: William Tyson, T-y-s-o-n.
17		DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY A	TTORNEY KRATZ:
19	Q.	Mr. Tyson, how are you employed?
20	A.	I am a sergeant with the patrol staff with the
21		Calumet County Sheriff's Department.
22	Q.	As a sergeant with Calumet County, were you asked
23		to assist in search efforts at what's now called
24		the Avery Salvage Yard?
25	Α.	Yes.

- Q. How was it that you were called out in this case?
- 2 A. It was on November 5th. It was approximately 20
- 3 after 1 in the afternoon. I received a telephone
- 4 call at my residence from the Calumet County
- 5 Dispatch Center. They informed me that Teresa
- 6 Halbach's vehicle was located at the Avery
- 7 property and that my assistance was needed at the
- 8 property.

- 9 Q. I'm sorry, Sergeant Tyson, you said that you were
- 10 called out at your home. Let me ask you, as a
- 11 member of the Calumet County Sheriff's
- Department, had you been made aware, before that
- date, of Ms Halbach's disappearance?
- 14 A. Yes, being the patrol sergeant, I do the shift
- brief things and things like that. I know our
- department did take a phone call, I believe it
- 17 was early days of November, where the information
- 18 | was that Corporal Leslie Lemieux had taken a
- 19 complaint of a missing person, that being Teresa
- 20 Halbach.
- 21 So we were told to look for her, also
- 22 her vehicle, and the plate number that belonged
- 23 on her vehicle. Prior to me getting that call on
- 24 | Saturday, the 5th, yes, I was aware of the fact
- 25 that our agency, as well as other agencies, were

1 looking for her and her vehicle.

11

12

13

21

- Q. Sergeant Tyson, after getting the call at home,where did you proceed to?
- A. I responded to the Sheriff's Department. I was
  standing by at the Sheriff's Department waiting
  for another deputy that was in route, also, to
  the Sheriff's Department, to arrive. I waited at
  the Sheriff's Department until she arrived. Upon
  her arrival, we did proceed over to the Avery
  property.
  - Q. Now, together with being a patrol sergeant, do
    have any other areas of specialization within the
    Calumet County Sheriff's Department?
- A. Yes, back in 1994 I was promoted from a

  corrections officer to a patrolman; along with

  that I was sent to an evidence technician school

  in 1994. So throughout the years of 1994 until

  March of 2005, I would respond to crime scenes in

  the county, to process the crime scenes. Those

  were, you know, some of my responsibilities.
  - Q. Okay. About what time did you arrive at the Avery salvage property, if you remember?
- A. If I recall correctly, I think it was quarter to 3 in the afternoon.
- 25 | Q. Upon arrival at the Avery salvage property, do

you recall what your first duty was?

A. I was met by Investigator Wiegert and Steier.

They directed me to go down into the junkyard area and made contact with officers down in that area.

I proceeded down into the junkyard area where I met with several law enforcement officers that were down there. And they were keeping a crime scene log. I did introduce myself and I was talking with them briefly and was instructed to relieve a deputy that was standing by Teresa Halbach's vehicle.

- Q. All right. We have heard testimony from several Manitowoc County Sheriff's deputies as to being first on the scene. Do you recall what department, that is, from what agency you were asked to relieve what's now known as the scene security?
- A. It was a Manitowoc County sheriff's deputy that was standing by the vehicle when I arrived.
- Q. All right. To your knowledge, Deputy Tyson, were you the first Calumet County officer -- I guess, to state it more succinctly, were you the individual who relieved Manitowoc County from scene security responsibilities?

- A. Yeah, my understanding is, I was the first
  Calumet County deputy to take custody of the
  vehicle.
- Q. All right. At some point -- and I don't expect you were involved in this decision, but at some point were you made aware that security for the RAV4 and for that general area of the Avery property was to be either coordinated or taken over by Calumet County?
- 10 A. Yes.
- I'm going to show you what's been received as
  Exhibit No. 86. There is a laser pointer in
  front of you. Could you tell the jurors, if you
  remember, about what time it was that you took
  over security of the RAV4, or security of that
  area of the scene?
- 17 A. According to the crime scene log, when I checked 18 in with them, it was 5 minutes to 3, I believe.
- Q. Can you show us about where this transition took
  place and where were you standing that you took
  over security of this area?
- 22 A. On the display over here?
- 23 Q. Sure.
- 24 A. All right.
- 25 Q. Do you need me to zoom in a little?

- 1 A. Maybe just a little bit, I'm sorry.
- 2 Q. I'm sorry. Let me -- I think -- I think even
- defense counsel would agree that the lower left
- 4 hand corner now of this exhibit is what we have
- 5 now known as the car crusher. There's a pond
- 6 that's just about in the middle of the exhibit.
- 7 Does that orient you to the scene?
- 8 A. If the car crusher is right down there --
- 9 O. Yes.
- 10 A. -- I think that would be going down the hill.
- 11 And it would be right about in this area over
- here, I believe, is where I was requested to
- 13 stand by with the vehicle.
- 14 | O. How close to the vehicle were you standing; do
- 15 you recall?
- 16 A. Within a foot or two, just that I was not
- 17 touching it, brushing up against it, but standing
- 18 right there.
- 19 Q. Now, I'm going to fast forward just a minute and
- I think you will see why, but later on that day,
- 21 | were you given other responsibilities at that
- 22 crime scene location?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Any time between taking over security of the RAV4
- and the time that you were relieved to perform

- other duties, did you see any individuals, any
  police officers, or any citizens, either tamper
  with or enter this RAV4?
- Several officers did come close to it, 4 5 because of the weather conditions; it had gotten really dark, there was a mist in the area. 7 concern was, any evidence that could be on the exterior of the vehicle. An agent, later 8 9 identified as Agent Fassbender, was concerned 10 about that as well. A tarp was located in an 11 attempt to protect it from the elements, from the 12 incoming storm. But extreme care -- and I mean 13 extreme care -- was used to make sure no officer 14 touched it, or even the tarp touched the vehicle.
- 15 Q. And that was one of your responsibilities?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Sergeant Tyson, do you know what a search warrant is?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And sometime that afternoon, were you a made
  aware that a search warrant for the Avery
  property itself, for the vehicles there on, the
  residences, and the outbuildings, had been
  obtained by a Manitowoc County judge?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Were you made aware that the search warrant needed to be executed, that is, that searches had to be performed that day?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. In that regard, Sergeant Tyson, were you asked to assist in any of those searches?
- 7 A. Yes.

18

19

20

- Q. Who asked you or directed you to assist in those searches?
- 10 A. The decisions were being made by Investigator
  11 Wiegert from the Sheriff's Department in Calumet
  12 and DCI Agent Fassbender. They informed me,
  13 after everything was sorted through, and figured
  14 out which teams were going to go where, they told
  15 me I should go with Manitowoc County Sheriff's
  16 Department over to Steven Avery's residence.
  - Q. Now, Sergeant Tyson, prior to being assigned to this team, this search team, had anybody on the scene asked you or discussed with you your levels of expertise in evidence collection or processing?
- A. Investigator Wiegert is fully aware of, I guess,
  my experience. He just informed me that I would
  be requested to go with them to execute the
  search warrant.

- Q. All right. Now, you said that you were teamed up with, or that you joined other officers; do you remember who was in your team?
- A. Yes, it was Lieutenant Jim Lenk, from the

  Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department; Sergeant

  Andy Colborn, from the Manitowoc County Sheriff's

  Department; and Detective Dave Remiker, from the

  Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department.

- Q. Was there any discussion about what each of your responsibilities were going to be and, I guess, more specifically, was there a discussion about who was in charge of this team?
- A. It was told to me that no Manitowoc County deputy should be alone on the property. Investigator
  Wiegert told me my responsibility would be to go with them into the Steve Avery trailer and to document what they were doing, take notes, and if evidence was seized by them, to take custody of all the evidence at the end of the search warrant.
- Q. Do you know why a Calumet County deputy was put in charge of the custody of any physical evidence that might be obtained.
  - A. It was told to me that Investigator Wiegert would be the lead investigator and that the

- investigation was turned over to Calumet County
  versus Manitowoc County.
- Q. All right. Going into that scene, that is, going into that first search effort that you did, was that made clear to you?
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. And from your knowledge, or were you present, was that made clear to the other members of your team?
- 10 A. Yeah, there was no misunderstanding as far as who
  11 was taking custody. There was no discussions, no
  12 arguments, I should say, from anybody that I was
  13 with. It was clear understanding of what was to
  14 happen.
  - Q. All right. Were there other search efforts going on, or were you made aware of other search efforts in this entire location at the same time that you and this team had been developed?
  - A. My understanding was there was a number of things that were going on at the same time. You know, our team was just designated to go down to Steven's trailer, but there were other officers being assigned different duties as well. What those were, I really don't know. My responsibility was my team and where we were

1 going to be going.

- Q. Now, the kind of search that you were asked to perform, were you given direction about that?
  - A. I guess I wouldn't really say direction; we were to execute the search warrant. And talking with the Manitowoc officers, it was more of a quick, anything in the obvious, let's go through the residence, see if we can find Teresa; anything to do with Teresa, anything at all. But that's kind of how the first search, I would classify it as.
    - Q. Let me ask you this, Sergeant Tyson, did you have an understanding that either you or other police officers would be reentering this residence?
    - A. Yes, it was commonly known that this was a scene that was going to be held. And, you know, it was 7, I think 7:30 when we went through the front door of Steven's residence and --

(Court reporter couldn't hear.)

- A. I guess I'm not sure what was I -- where was I going. I started with we were heading down to the Steve Avery trailer.
- 22 Q. Right.
- A. Right. It was raining out. It was pouring. We were all drenched. But we got inside the trailer. You know, it was 7:30 in the afternoon.

And, you know, the search had started at quarter to 8. And it was a quick search, you know. We were out of there within three hours.

- Q. Now, for 16 people that have never probably done a search, or excuse me, search warrant, you just called a three hour search a quick search. Could you explain that to the jury, what -- what's quick about a three hour search?
- A. Not knowing a lot of the information that was later learned, you know, we're looking at this whole area. Where is the crime scene? What is -- is there a location exactly on the property.

So when we're going through the house, we're looking for things that are obvious to us, any blood or anything like that. So to say that you can search someone's bedroom within 30 minutes, okay, well, that's a quick search. And you are talking the closet areas; you are talking desk draws; you are talking under the bed. You are talking a whole area.

And if you are looking for things in specific, you know, that would mean, basically, you would have to go through the closet, every article of clothing removed, through the pockets.

- It's going to take you a whole lot longer than
  that to say that you thoroughly -- did a thorough
  search.
- Q. Let me ask you then, Sergeant Tyson, have you been involved in thorough searches, searches that you were looking for smaller items of evidence, or trace evidence, or things like that before in your career?

- A. Yes. Working in the drug unit, you know, execute search warrants, you are looking for a small pipe or something, you have to be very thorough. You have to go into areas where something like that small could be. So it's a very detailed, very thorough search when you are dealing with like drug materials and things like that.
- Q. And that first search, that is, the first search that you and Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant Colborn and Detective Remiker did, would you have considered that a thorough search, one of these thorough searches that you are describing?
- A. No. Like I said, half hour to go through a bedroom and be out of that whole residence within two and a half to three hours, that's a quick search.
- 25 Q. All right. We're going to go through each of the

1	specific rooms that were searched by you that
2	evening.
3	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, if you would like
4	me to do that I can, otherwise this might be a good
5	time to break.
6	THE COURT: Sounds like this might be a
7	good time to take our afternoon break, so we will do
8	so. Members of the jury, again, do not discuss the
9	case while you are on your break. We'll resume at 5
10	minutes to 3.
11	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you.
12	(Jury not present.)
13	THE COURT: Counsel, you are going to get
14	some exhibits marked during the break?
15	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes, I would like to do
16	that, so it works a little quicker.
17	THE COURT: If it takes a little longer, it
18	takes a little longer, but I told the clerk you
19	would be doing it.
20	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you.
21	(Recess taken.)
22	THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, you may continue
23	with your direct examination.
24	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge.
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTD

- Q. Sergeant Tyson, you mentioned that you had gone into the Avery trailer to commence a search of that property; did all four team members enter the residence at the same time.
- 5 A. Yes.

13

- Q. Now, you mentioned that you were in charge of both documentation as well as seizure or collection of property; is that a fair representation?
- 10 A. Yes. After it was collected, it would be turned
  11 over to me for transport back to our facility.
  - Q. Before an item was recovered, were you called to the location where it may have been found.
- 14 Yes, for example, when they were searching the Α. 15 bedroom, if Sergeant Colborn located something, 16 he would immediately draw my attention, I'm 17 standing right there. So I could see what they 18 were doing. If he found something, I could see 19 that he found it. And I would document the exact 20 time that he found it and, you know, and then it 21 was seized.
  - Q. What was the first room that you searched?
- A. After the photography of the inside of the residence was complete, the decision was made to start in the south bedroom. And that was later

- identified as Steven Avery's bedroom.
- 2 Q. You mentioned after the photography was complete,
- it sounds like something first happened before
- 4 the search; is that right?
- 5 A. Yeah. Upon entry into the residence, Sergeant
- 6 Colborn had a 35 mm camera and Detective Remiker
- 7 had a digital camera. And they just -- once we
- 8 got in there, they just began photographing each
- 9 of the rooms. And every room inside the trailer
- 10 was photographed. After that was finished, then
- 11 the decision was made to start the search in
- 12 Steven's bedroom.
- 13 Q. Did you accompany them through that search?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did you enter the bedroom as well?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Could you describe this bedroom for us, please.
- 18 A. Sure. You walk in through the doorway.
- 19 Immediately to the right there was a night stand
- and then the bed. Straight ahead of that, to the
- 21 left, there was a small bookcase, a desk. There
- 22 was windows on the back wall. You go along the
- 23 bed, there was a dresser in the corner and the
- 24 closets were up against the opposite wall from
- where the door was.

- 1 Q. How large was this bedroom?
- 2 A. Rather small bedroom, actually.
- 3 Q. Four grown men were in this room; is that right?
- 4 A. Yes.

16

5 Q. Describe that for the jury, if you can.

going through that stuff.

- A. Well, as we walked in, Detective Remiker and
  Lieutenant Lenk, they said, well, because it was
  a small confined area, we're going to start over
  on the side where the closet sat. We will start
- 11 Sergeant Colborn concentrated his search
  12 on the book shelf and the desk on the opposite
  13 side of the room. So the bed was in the middle,
  14 two on that side, two on this side. I'm standing
  15 directly inside the doorway, watching what they
- 17 Q. Were they in constant view of you?

were doing.

- 18 | A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Let's talk about some of the things
  that were observed in the bed -- excuse me -- in
  the bedroom. First exhibit I'm going to ask you
  to take a look at is Exhibit No. 163. Can you
  tell us what that is, please.
- 24 A. The very first picture here?
- 25 O. Yes.

- 1 A. Okay. This would be a view of Steven Avery's
- 2 bed.
- 3 Q. Go ahead.
- 4 A. This is a view of Steven Avery's bed and the night stand directly next to the bed.
- 6 ATTORNEY KRATZ: And just so the -- the
- 7 record is clear, unless something unusual occurs or
- 8 is alerted, your Honor, by counsel, so that this
- 9 witness doesn't have to talk about evidence twice,
- 10 Mr. Strang has been kind enough to allow the item to
- 11 be projected at the same time as the witness
- 12 testifies about it, so I appreciate that very much.
- THE COURT: All right. Is that correct,
- 14 Mr. Strang?
- 15 ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes, it's actually
- 16 Mr. Buting.
- 17 THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
- 18 ATTORNEY BUTING: That's correct.
- 19 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 20 Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ Sergeant Tyson, the bed in
- 21 Steven Avery's bedroom, the exhibit that is 163,
- looks like it has some bedding on it; is that
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And there seems to be something above the bed,

- can you tell what you that is.
- 2 A. Directly above the bed was a gun rack, and it did
- 3 house two long barreled firearms inside the
- 4 mounting brackets.
- 5 Q. Can you describe those firearms for us, please.
- 6 A. They were long guns. They weren't hand guns.
- 7 They were long guns. I didn't walk up and remove
- 8 them or physically check them out or anything,
- 9 but I could see that they were firearms up on the
- 10 wall.
- 11 Q. All right. Did you later come to have either a
- 12 closer inspection, or to become familiar with
- 13 those guns?
- 14 A. I never personally looked at the firearms after
- they were collected. They weren't collected that
- evening with the officers that I was with. There
- was a different officer, I believe, that
- 18 | collected those firearms.
- 19 Q. What were the guns on?
- 20 A. The gun rack, they were hanging on the wall.
- 21 Q. And Exhibit No. 166, which is actually a little
- 22 bit of a gap, if you would set 164 and 5 to the
- 23 | side, I would appreciate it; 166 is being
- 24 projected, can you tell us what that is, please.
- 25 A. That was the gun rack that was hanging directly

- 1 above the bed.
- 2 Q. Also going to have Investigator Wiegert help me
- 3 through this process since there's lots of
- 4 evidence to show the jury. Investigator Wiegert
- is going to hand you what's been marked for
- 6 identification as Exhibit 196; can you show that
- 7 and tell the jury what that is, please.
- 8 A. This is the gun rack that was mounted on the wall
- 9 directly above the headboard of Steven's bed.
- 10 Q. And, again, were those the -- was that the rack
- in which those two long guns were located?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And the last photo I want you to look at is
- 14 Exhibit 167. It's in front of you and now the
- jury can see that. Can you tell us what 167 is?
- 16 A. Looks like it's the same gun rack that's in front
- of me right now.
- 18 | Q. Just an evidence photo that is taken here at the
- 19 sheriff's department?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Set that over there.
- 22 Thank you, Investigator.
- 23 | Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ In this bedroom, did you
- 24 notice other pieces of furniture?
- 25 A. There was the bookcase; there was the desk; there

1 was a dresser.

- Q. Okay. Let's do these one at time. First, the
  bookcase, and I'm going to do this in two
  different areas of inquiry. As an evidence
  technician, were you not only responsible to
  observe items on the 5th of November, but did you
  later return to Mr. Avery's residence with the -with a search warrant to seize this very item.
- 9 A. Yeah, I believe that was December 9th.
- Q. The first thing I'm going to have you do is look at Exhibit 166, which is on the board -- I'm sorry, 168, which is on the wall here, tell the jury what that is, please.
- A. That's the bookcase that was up against the wall as you walked into the bedroom. It was the very first piece of furniture, I believe, on the floor. It was sitting right next to the desk, which was in the corner.
- 19 0. You said that was seized at some time?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. When was that?
- A. That particular piece, I believe, was seized on December 9th.
- Q. All right. And were you involved in the seizure of it; in other words, did you go to the Avery

- residence and take possession of this piece of furniture?
- A. Yes, C.I. Agent Fassbender, myself, and there

  was, I think, two more agents from the Department

  of Criminal Investigations. We did go out there

  on the 9th and did seize that particular piece of

  evidence.
- Q. Now, Sergeant Tyson, there's going to be some testimony, I expect, in the next couple of days about this but, did you have occasion to make observations at Mr. Avery's bedroom of the back panel or the rear of this particular piece of furniture?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection as to time of these observations, it's unclear.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I can -- I can ask specifically when, Judge.

THE COURT: Very well.

- Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ On the 9th of December, did you have occasion to observe the back panel of this piece of evidence?
- A. Yes. We got into the bedroom to seize it. I did photograph it prior to seizing it. I did a side view, front view. And the side view, you can see the backing of it was coming out, the nails were

- 1 protruding from the backing of the cabinet.
- Q. You said that you took a photo of that. In fact,
- 3 Exhibit 169, is that a photo of that back panel?
- 4 A. It appears to be that, yes.
- 5 Q. If you take your laser pointer, please, and tell
- 6 the jury what it is that we're looking at.
- 7 A. This here would be the backing to the bookcase.
- 8 And you can see the distance here where it's
- 9 pulled away from the back of the bookcase.
- 10 Q. Now prior to taking this particular photo and
- 11 | prior to making that observation, in fact, did
- 12 you in any way manipulate this piece of
- furniture, or pull the panel out, or anything
- 14 like that?
- 15 A. No, we didn't touch the back of it at all, didn't
- 16 even move it. I just started photographing it
- 17 prior to collecting it.
- 18 Q. I notice in the bedroom that there was a night
- 19 stand. I'm showing you Exhibit No. 170, does
- 20 that show a picture of the night stand?
- 21 A. Yes, it's right there.
- 22 | O. And after having been seized, was an evidence
- 23 | photo taken; in other words, at the sheriff's
- department of that night stand, Exhibit 171?
- 25 ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection, as to the

timing of all this. If he could maybe -- if we're 1 2 going back and forth with those from November, to December, or later, I think we should identify when 3 these are taken. 4

> THE COURT: I agree.

- (By Attorney Kratz)~ Do you know when this photo Q. was taken, No. 171?
- I don't know the exact date. The person that 8 Α. assisted me with the evidence, his name is Deputy 10 Jeremy Hawkins, he was responsible for all the 11 photography. So I did assist him, but the exact 12 date, I would have to refer back to my report.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I think, Judge, this is the only item that wasn't seized on that day, from what I see after that. Let me just see if there's going to be an objection to this one.

- Ο. (By Attorney Kratz)~ Sergeant Tyson, do you know when the night stand -- when this piece of furniture was seized?
- 20 Α. I believe that was after the search warrant on 21 March 1st or 2nd.
- 22 Of 2006? Ο.

5

6

7

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 23 Α. Correct.
- 24 This bedroom of Steven Avery --Ο.
- 25 ATTORNEY BUTING: Counsel, could we just --

the prior photograph, could we, please, just get a date as to when that was taken. You showed the night stand in the room.

## ATTORNEY KRATZ: Sure.

- Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ The night stand in the room, did that look the same or similar as it did on the 5th of November? The prior picture, that would be Exhibit No. 170?
- 9 ATTORNEY BUTING: Can you put that up? 10 ATTORNEY KRATZ: If I could, I would.
- 11 There you go.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 12 Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ That's Exhibit 170, does

  13 that look the same or similar as it did when you

  14 first searched it on the 5th of November?
- 15 A. Yes, it's in the same location, looks about the same.
- Q. All right. Mr. Avery's bedroom carpeted, or have a rug, or hardwood floors, or what kind of flooring was that?
- 20 A. It was carpeted.
- Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 172, ask you to take a look at the carpeting. Does that look the same or similar as the carpeting did on November 5th?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Sergeant Tyson, Exhibit 172, do you know when 1 Q. 2 that picture was taken?
- 3 That picture would have had to have been taken Α. after December 9th, because the bookcase is not
- 5 there. So that would have had to have been
- March 1st.
- 7 And as far as law enforcement involvement, that
- was, as far as you were concerned, December 9th, 8
- 9 you folks were there; is that right?
- 10 Α. Yes.

- And March 1st, were you also the individual that 11 Q.
- 12 was in charge of the evidence collection and
- 13 technician responsibility inside of Mr. Avery's
- 14 trailer?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 So it's your understanding that this photo, that Ο.
- 17 is 172, was taken on March 1st?
- 18 Α. I said it would have to be after December 9th,
- 19 so, yeah, next time we were there was, I believe,
- 20 March 1st.
- 21 Ο. And the question, at least for this photo is, did
- 22 the carpet look the same on November 5th and
- December 9th and March 1st? 23
- 24 Yeah, looks to be the same carpeting that was in Α.
- 25 the room in November of 2005 as it was March of

- 1 2006.
- Q. While searching Mr. Avery's bedroom, were there
- 3 any items of restraint that were found?
- 4 A. Yes. Sergeant Colborn located leg shackles and
- 5 handcuffs.
- 6 Q. Did -- Were those items seized?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Let me first show you what's been marked as
- 9 Exhibit No. 204. Tell the jury what that is,
- 10 please.
- 11 A. Appears to be the handcuffs that would have been
- seized by Sergeant Colborn on November 5th, 2005.
- 13 Q. Did you see where these items were seized from?
- 14 A. If I recall correctly, Sergeant Colborn found
- them in the bookcase in Steven's bedroom.
- 16 Q. All right. You said that there was another item
- of restraint that was found as well?
- 18 A. Yes, leg irons.
- 19 Q. Let me show you what's been marked for
- 20 identification as Exhibit No. 203; tell the jury
- 21 what that is, please.
- 22 | A. That would be the leg irons that were also found
- 23 by Sergeant Colborn. And I believe they were
- found in the same location as the handcuffs, in
- 25 this bookcase in his bedroom.

- 1 Q. All right. Sergeant Tyson, after their seizure,
- and so that we don't have to bring these items
- into court, do you know if photographs were
- 4 taken, that is, evidence photographs were taken
- 5 of these two items?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. I show you Exhibit No. 173, ask if you recognize
- 8 that photograph.
- 9 A. It would be an evidence photograph. I know that
- 10 because of the white paper in the background.
- 11 All the evidence that we took care of at the
- sheriff's department we used freezer paper and
- 13 that would be consistent with what I see.
- 14 0. Of the handcuffs?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And 174, what is that?
- 17 A. That would be the leg irons.
- 18 Q. Anything else seized that first evening from
- 19 Mr. Avery's bedroom, as far as you recall?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Well, let -- let me just -- let me just
- 22 specifically ask about -- about something called
- 23 identifiers. This is more of a search term and
- 24 please bear with my clumsy question. But are
- 25 efforts made to identify whether or not a certain

- person lives in a particular residence when you search it?
- A. Yes. When we search residences, you have to show that a person lives in that residence. So we look for any piece of mail that would have the person's name, along with their mailing address; could be a magazine, could be a phone bill, could be any kind of correspondence you get through the mail with your address on it.
  - Q. Investigator Wiegert is going to hand you what's been marked Exhibit No. 200, I need you to tell the jury what Exhibit 200 is, please.
- 13 A. It's a University of Wisconsin Law School
  14 envelope addressed to Steven Avery at 12390 Avery
  15 Road, Two Rivers, Wisconsin.
- 16 Q. Was that the residence that you were searching on the 5th of November?
- 18 | A. Yes.

11

- 19 ATTORNEY BUTING: I'm sorry, what's the 20 number, exhibit number?
- 21 INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: The exhibit
  22 number is 200.
- 23 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Oh, 200?
- 24 ATTORNEY BUTING: Is that just an envelope?
- 25 A. That would be the correct address for Steven,

- 1 12932, or something like that. So that envelope
- 2 right there, I think would have the Avery --
- maybe his mother and father's address on it, but
- 4 it was found inside the trailer.
- 5 Q. All right. But it had Steven's name on it and
- found inside the trailer?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. After searching the bedroom, what was the next
- 9 room that the four of you searched?
- 10 A. The bathroom.
- 11 Q. And could you tell the jury what, if anything,
- was found in the bathroom?
- 13 A. Sure. There was a blood -- possible bloodstain
- on the linoleum floor that Sergeant Colborn had
- 15 located. Just back up a little bit here, because
- as they were working in Steven's bedroom,
- 17 Lieutenant Lenk and Detective Remiker were
- 18 | finishing up with what they had to do. Sergeant
- 19 Colborn was finding all the stuff that we have
- just seen.
- 21 Lieutenant Lenk told me he was going to
- leave the bedroom and go into the bathroom, just
- 23 to see what was in the bathroom. So I
- repositioned myself into the hallway. I could
- 25 see Detective Remiker and Sergeant Colborn; I

- 1 could also see Investigator Lenk in the bathroom.
- 2 Q. As the individual documenting what was now a
- 3 transition from one room to the other, you were
- 4 in a position to see both; is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. The bathroom, can you tell us where it is
- 7 located, compared to the bedroom.
- 8 A. The very next room. If you were to walk out of
- 9 the bedroom, go out in the hallway a couple feet,
- if that, and it's right there.
- 11 Q. The hallway that you positioned yourself in,
- would you be able to see both rooms?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Tell me what, if anything, was found in the
- 15 bathroom?
- 16 A. The possible blood spot on the linoleum floor, as
- I said, was found by Sergeant Colborn. He
- 18 photographed it and it was collected with a swab.
- 19 Q. Collected with a swab, well, let's talk about
- 20 that a little bit. As an evidence tech, what
- 21 does collection of something like a blood spot
- 22 entail?
- 23 A. Because it's dried, and it's on the floor, what
- you do is you can use distilled water and use a
- 25 sterile swab, which is evidence material that we

- get. You know, they are sealed, you open them

  up, take the distilled water, you drop two to

  three drops on the cotton applicator at the end

  of the swab. And then you take that swab and you

  go into the blood stain itself and you are able

  to pick up the bloodstain by just dampening the

  end of the sterile swab.
- Q. Was that done once or more than once in the trailer?

- 10 A. There were several swabs that we took inside the trailer.
  - Q. By the way, Sergeant Tyson, does that process, that is, the collection of blood or other fluids, does that take some training or experience on an evidence tech's part?
  - A. Yes. You have to know certain things. For example, if you have got the distilled water applicator, it cannot touch the cotton swab. You cannot make contact with it. If so, the swab is no good, your bottle of distilled, throw it in the garbage. It's useless at that point. So you have to have a little bit of training and know exactly what you are doing to avoid possibly tainting the swab.
  - Q. All right. After searching the bathroom, where

- 1 did you folks go?
- 2 A. The bathroom was being searched by Lieutenant
- 3 Lenk and the bedroom was being completed by
- 4 Sergeant Colborn and Detective Remiker. I had
- 5 noticed some spots on the door directly behind
- 6 me, which was an interior/exterior door to go out
- 7 of the residence. So I drew the attention to
- 8 Detective Remiker and Sergeant Colborn,
- 9 Investigator Lenk, to that area. And they did
- 10 concentrate their efforts, then, photographing
- 11 the door. And swabs were taken of some of the
- 12 stains that were on that door as well.
- 13 Q. What did you do then?
- 14 A. After that was done, the search then continued
- and they entered the bedroom, which was the only
- other bedroom in the residence. And that would
- 17 be located between the living room and the
- 18 bathroom.
- 19 Q. That would be called the -- what bedroom did you
- 20 call that?
- 21 A. We referred to it as the spare bedroom. It was
- used more for -- wasn't being slept in, I guess
- 23 you could say, more of a storage room, but there
- 24 was a bed in the room.
- 25 Q. All right. After that room was searched and

- 1 processed, what room was next?
- 2 A. Then it was the living room.
- Q. Were there any items, prominent items of furniture in the living room?
- 5 A. You got your couch and then there was a computer desk in the room.
- Q. I'm going to direct your attention to Exhibit
  No. 175, can you tell us what that is, please.
- 9 A. That's the computer desk area in the living room.
- Q. Now, there's a lot to look at in Exhibit 175, can you just generally orient us to what we are looking at, please, using the laser pointer.
- 13 A. Sure. He's got his television, box on top of 14 there, and here you got your computer, printer.
- 15 Q. Speak up a little bit.
- 16 A. I'm sorry. You have got the television right
  17 here. Then you got your computer monitor,
  18 printer. Okay. I think the tower was down
  19 underneath it on the floor.
- Q. All right. I'm going to hand you what's been marked for identification as Exhibit No. 198; show that to the jury and tell them what that is.
- A. That's a pocket size notebook. Detective Remiker
  had located this item, was in one of the drawers
  inside the desk. He had pulled it out, opened it

- 1 up to the second page and this is what he saw.
- 2 Q. This has already been introduced as Exhibit 148,
- 3 let me just get to that. Tell us what that
- 4 reads.
- 5 A. It's 920-737-4731.
- 6 Q. And that's in what color ink, if you know?
- 7 A. Green.
- 8 Q. And black ink, is there something else written on
- 9 there?
- 10 A. Right below that, in black ink, are the words,
- 11 back to patio door.
- 12 Q. Did you become aware of whose phone number that
- 13 was?
- 14 A. Yes. Detective Remiker stated he immediately
- recognized that number to be the cell number for
- 16 Teresa Halbach. After he told me that, I said,
- 17 yeah, that does look familiar. It is, that is
- 18 the number.
- 19 Q. And then a photograph of that having been taken
- at the sheriff's department, that's Exhibit
- 21 No. 176, I believe; can you tell us what that is,
- 22 please.
- 23 | A. That's exactly what you saw. That's an evidence
- 24 photo taken at the sheriff's department.
- 25 Q. I'm going to hand you now what's been -- Well, we

- 1 might as well get these two out of the way,
- 2 Exhibit 197 and 199. Tell the jury what those
- 3 are, please.
- 4 A. Two pocket notebooks.
- 5 Q. Also seized from the computer desk area?
- 6 A. I believe that would be correct.
- 7 Q. Sergeant Tyson --
- 8 ATTORNEY BUTING: Wait, slow down, can you
- 9 identify that?
- 10 ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm sorry.
- 11 Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ They are two different
- colors, which color is 199?
- 13 A. The red one would be 199, the green one being
- 14 197.
- 15 Q. All right. Thank you, very much. I will have
- 16 Investigator Wiegert hand you what's been marked
- as Exhibit 194; can you tell us what that is,
- 18 please.
- 19 A. That's a for sale sign that was found by
- 20 Detective Remiker in the desk that was located in
- 21 the living room.
- 22 Q. Can you show us the for sale sign. Is there
- 23 something written on the back?
- 24 A. On the back there is an address of 3302 Zander
- 25 Road. And directly underneath it, once again,

- shows telephone number 920-737-4731, which

  Detective Remiker once again said, that's Teresa

  Halbach's number.
- Q. Exhibit 149, which I'm showing now, is already part of the case and has been admitted into evidence. I'm now showing you what's Exhibit 177; what is that?
- A. Looks like a scene photograph taken by either

  Colborn or Remiker. And, obviously, I think it

  was pulled out a little bit so you can see what

  it was, but I think it was in further. I think

  it was just pulled out and photographed it, to

  show that it was found there.
- Q. And Exhibit 178, is that a picture of what we saw was the front of the for sale sign?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And 179, would be the back; is that right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Once again, 737-4731 was Teresa Halbach's 20 telephone number; is that right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, without going into any details at all, was it readily apparent to you what the address 3302
- 24 Zander Road was?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Sergeant, I'm now going to hand you what's been
- 2 marked for identification as Exhibit 202, ask if
- 3 you can tell us what that is, please.
- 4 A. It's an Auto Trader Magazine.
- 5 Q. Where was that found?
- 6 A. Detective Remiker located this on top of the
- 7 computer desk in the living room.
- 8 | Q. Is it opened to a particular page?
- 9 A. Yes, page 114.
- 10 Q. And does that have any significance or does it
- just happened to be open to that page?
- 12 A. I guess I'm not exactly sure what the
- 13 significance is.
- 14 Q. That's fine. The -- Exhibit No. 150, this is
- already in evidence, is this how that particular
- 16 Auto Trader looked on the computer desk?
- 17 A. I believe that's -- yeah, that's the scene
- 18 photograph.
- 19 Q. And Exhibit 180 -- By the way was there more than
- one Auto Trader Magazine on that desk?
- 21 A. I believe there were a couple.
- 22 Q. All right. Is Exhibit 180 one of those pictures?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And, finally, Exhibit 181, we talked about some
- scene -- or excuse me, evidence photos; is that

- 1 181?
- 2 A. Yes, that would be an evidence photo.
- 3 Q. And I'll have Investigator Wiegert hand you
- 4 Exhibit No. 201, tell us what this was and where
- 5 was it found, please.
- 6 A. Number 201 is a bill of sale for Auto Trader
- 7 Magazine. I believe this was found by Detective
- 8 Remiker and this was also either on or in the
- 9 computer desk. I'm not exactly sure.
- 10 Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 182, is that a
- 11 photo of that bill of sale on the desk?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Exhibit 151, already in evidence, does that look
- 14 like one of those evidence photos, again?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Sergeant Tyson, about what time of night was it
- that this is getting to be; in other words, when
- 18 you got through the bedroom and the bathroom, and
- 19 through the living room, about what time were
- 20 those rooms all completed?
- 21 | A. It was approaching the 10:00 hour at night.
- 22 Q. Investigator Wiegert is now going to hand you
- 23 what's been marked as Exhibit No. 195; and ask
- 24 you to identify that, if you can.
- 25 A. It's a bleach bottle.

- 1 Q. And have you seen that before?
- 2 A. Looks like possibly the one that was in the
- 3 bathroom up on a shelf.
- 4 0. Whose bathroom and whose shelf?
- 5 A. I'm sorry, Steve Avery's bathroom, on a shelf.
- 6 Q. All right. We'll talk about evidence photos
- 7 again so we don't have to lug these things into
- 8 the courtroom. I'm showing you what's been
- 9 marked as Exhibit 183. Tell us what that is,
- 10 please.
- 11 A. It's a evidence photo of the bleach bottle.
- 12 | O. What's Exhibit No. 184?
- 13 A. The carpet cleaner.
- 14 Q. Have you seen that before?
- 15 A. I did. I believe this was in that spare bedroom
- in Steven's residence.
- 17 | O. And after being seized, was a photo taken of that
- at the sheriff's department?
- 19 A. Yes, that would be an evidence photo right here.
- 20 Q. 184; is that right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Just to complete the next six pictures, although
- 23 we talked about them, these photos were a little
- 24 bit out of order. We talked about some blood
- 25 spots in the bathroom. I just want to show the

- jury some pictures of those, tell them about
- 2 Exhibit 185, please.
- 3 A. Exhibit 185 appeared to be the linoleum floor in
- 4 the bathroom. And see a dried stain on the
- 5 floor, right there.
- 6 Q. Was that one of those spots that was swabbed or
- 7 collected from what you talked about?
- 8 A. Yeah, I believe Detective Remiker did swab that
- 9 stain.
- 10 Q. We'll hear from him later in this case, but you
- were present when all that processing was going
- 12 on?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | O. What's Exhibit 186?
- 15 A. 186 looks like it's a close up of the same stain.
- 16 | O. All right. And 187?
- 17 A. Looks like there's some stains on the -- if
- 18 that's the door? I'm not exactly sure. Looks
- 19 like some stains on a dark colored surface.
- 20 Q. I tell you what I'll do, I will save that for
- 21 Detective Remiker. Does that sound like a good
- 22 idea?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. All right. And you talked about those
- identifiers before. I show you Exhibit 188, tell

- 1 us what that is, please.
- 2 A. This would be the letter that was inside of the
- 3 envelope that you saw, which wears the
- 4 identifiers from the University of Wisconsin Law
- 5 School, addressed to Steven Avery.
- 6 Q. Exhibit 189?
- 7 A. Also an envelope showing the address of
- 8 Mr. Avery, but being 12930.
- 9 Q. Does that look like it's taken at the scene?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Now, looks like somebody is wearing gloves in
- that scene, maybe describe that for the jury.
- What's that for?
- 14 A. All of us that were inside the residence, before
- 15 you even make entry, you put gloves on your hands
- as to try not to leave any of your stuff behind,
- is what it's called. You don't want to be
- 18 touching things with, you know, your bare hands.
- 19 So you wear gloves to make sure you are not
- 20 tainting the scene.
- 21 | Q. And, finally, we have been talking about evidence
- 22 photos, Exhibit No. 190, what is that?
- 23 | A. This would be the envelope from the University of
- 24 Wisconsin Madison Law School, addressed to Steven
- 25 Avery.

- 1 Q. All right. Now, at any time during the search,
- 2 at least when you entered the search sometime --
- 3 about what time was it that you entered, I'm
- 4 sorry?
- 5 A. We entered his residence, it was 7:30 p.m.
- 6 Q. And from 7:30 p.m. until you left the
- 7 residence -- What time did you leave?
- 8 A. We left at 10:05 p.m.
- 9 Q. Any time between 7:30 and 10:05, did either you,
- 10 Detective Remiker, Lieutenant Lenk, or Sergeant
- 11 Colborn ever leave that residence?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. At any time during the search of the residence on
- the 5th of November, did Lieutenant Lenk,
- 15 Sergeant Colborn, or Detective Remiker, ever take
- any items of property from that location?
- 17 A. No, they may have assisted carrying the stuff out
- at the end of the evening to place it in
- 19 Detective Remiker's squad; I was with them. So
- 20 they may have assisted carrying stuff out,
- 21 putting it in the backseat, but it was with me.
- 22 And they did give me a ride back up to the
- 23 | Command Post and I personally unloaded all the
- objects and put them in my squad car.
- 25 Q. What the record hasn't reflected and what the

- jury hasn't seen, each one of these evidence items were in a sealed bag; is that right?
- A. Yes. Lieutenant Lenk had -- was in charge of

  putting everything inside the bags, so they were

  secured, each individual piece. Those items were

  then taken out to the squad and turned over to me

  to be taken to the sheriff's department.
- Q. All right. Sergeant Tyson, I'm going to direct your attention -- this is a little bit out of order, but since it's next on my photo list, that's where I'm going. On April 3rd of 2006, were you asked to make contact with Teresa Halbach's RAV4 vehicle?
- 14 A. Yes.

16

24

- Q. Can you tell the jury the purpose of that contact, please.
- A. Sure. Investigator Wiegert and Agent Fassbender
  requested that Deputy Hawkins and myself go out
  to where Teresa's vehicle was. They wished for
  us to do DNA swabs of the driver's door, the
  passenger door. They wanted a swab done of the
  hood latch to the vehicle, as well as the battery
  cables under the hood.
  - Q. All right. And DNA swabs, what exactly is that; what are you talking about?

- A. Pretty much the same as swabbing for blood, only you can't see anything. You are looking for any type of DNA, be it skin cells, or any kind of sweat, you know, anything that's DNA.
  - So what we're doing is kind of the same procedure. We're taking distilled water, dropping it on the end of the cotton swabs. And you just swab the area to hopefully pick up DNA, if it's there.
  - Q. Okay. We're going to hear from the analyst that is on the other side of that process, but did you, in fact, do that on the 3rd of April?
- 13 A. Yes.

- Q. We're going to have Investigator Wiegert have marked, actually, a package. I'm not going to have it opened, but we'll have the package marked.
- 18 (Exhibit No. 205 marked for identification.)

  19 ATTORNEY KRATZ: What's the number?

  20 INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: 205.
- Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ He's going to hand you
  Exhibit No. 205, can you tell us what that is,
  please.
- A. Number 205 is the actual swab containing possible

  DNA evidence that was taken from the hood latch

- of Teresa Halbach's vehicle on April 3rd.
- Q. Can you tell us where a hood latch is, especially
- on a RAV4?
- 4 A. It's right underneath the hood itself. You pop
- 5 the hood latch thing inside and you have to undo
- 6 it.
- 7 Q. Pop the hood latch thing inside, that sounds like
- 8 a law enforcement term. What are talking about?
- 9 A. You have to go inside the vehicle to release the
- 10 hood latch so you can get in to manipulate the
- actual hood latch lever to get the hood open.
- 12 Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 191; tell us
- what we're looking at here.
- 14 A. Looks like the front of Teresa Halbach's vehicle.
- 15 Q. And 192 is a close up of something; what is that?
- 16 A. The hood latch.
- 17 | Q. And using your laser pointer, can you tell the
- jury what you did on April 3rd with exhibit -- or
- 19 what's depicted on Exhibit 192.
- 20 A. Sure. This area right here would be the
- 21 releasing mechanism for the hood latch. So what
- I had done is took my swab, placed some distilled
- 23 water on it, and I swabbed the front and back of
- 24 this piece right here.
- 25 Q. Now, to get at that particular release lever or

- 1 that latch, how do you do that?
- 2 A. You had to go into the vehicle, pull the release.
- 3 And once the hood goes up a little bit, you are
- able to get in there and make the hood go up.
- 5 Q. To get in where?
- 6 A. To that area.
- 7 Q. Do you have to reach inside of something?
- 8 A. Yes, you have to go in between the hood and the
- 9 frame to release the lever and make the hood go
- 10 up.
- 11 Q. What time did you finish your search
- of Mr. Avery's trailer?
- 13 A. On November 5th?
- 14 Q. Yes, I'm sorry?
- 15 A. 10:05 p.m.
- 16 Q. And after 10:05 p.m., then, were you given any
- further responsibilities at the Avery salvage
- 18 property?
- 19 A. After I cleared, we went back to the command
- 20 center. I off loaded all the evidence that was
- 21 | seized out of Steven's trailer, secured it into
- 22 my squad car, made contact with Agent Fassbender
- 23 and Investigator Wiegert, and told to transport
- it back to the sheriff's department and to be
- 25 back at the scene the next morning for further

- 1 instructions.
- 2 Q. Kind of a long day?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. The next day, that is, Sunday, the 6th of

  November, Sergeant Tyson, did you return to that
- scene?
- 7 A. Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

- 8 Q. And what were your duties on the 6th of November?
- 9 On the 6th of November, I made contact with Α. 10 Investigator Wiegert and Agent Fassbender. My responsibilities on the 6th, I was teamed up with 11 12 Detective Sergeant Brian Swetlik, Detective Jeff 13 Tech, and Patrol Officer Rob Block from the city 14 of Manitowoc Police Department. Our duties that 15 day were to search a trailer that had not been 16 searched yet. They didn't believe anybody lived 17 in it, it was more for housing vehicle -- vehicle 18 parts and things like that, so.
  - Q. I'm sorry to interrupt you, Sergeant, but these three city of Manitowoc officers, did they have any specialized training that you knew?
  - A. Yes. Rob Block was a patrol officer with the city of Manitowoc. He was an evidence technician and Jeff Tech was a detective and Brian Swetlik was also a sergeant detective.

- By the way, I don't think we mentioned this, but 1 Q. do lieutenant Lenk, Sergeant Colborn and 2 Detective Remiker have any specialized training 3 that you know of? 4
- Α. Detective Remiker I know for sure did; he had all the evidence supplies consistent with being an evidence technician. 7
- And the others, we'll wait till they testify to 8 Ο. 9 their qualifications; is that right?
- 10 Α. (No verbal response.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Okay. On the 6th, then, matched up with these 11 Q. 12 other evidence techs, what were you asked to 13 search on the 6th?
  - Was the trailer that was situated between Barb Α. Janda's residence and Steven's parents' residence. We did go into that trailer. relatively quick because there was nothing of evidentiary value that we could see inside the trailer.

We were then requested to concentrate our efforts in the fenced in areas of the Avery property; check the outbuildings, there were I think three different buildings; look for any possible evidence in those outbuildings. then, the final thing was -- to do was to execute

- the search warrant in Delores and Al Avery, their residence.
- 3 Q. Did you do anything else on the 6th?

- A. I believe it was the outbuildings and the search
  warrant at Steven's mother and father's
  residence.
  - Q. And although we hear about a lot of people doing a lot of things in a lot of different places, is there anything that you can note for the jury that had any substantial evidentiary value found in any of those searches?
- 12 A. There was nothing -- nothing that was found to be
  13 of evidentiary value.
  - ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection as to the form of the question, is he talking about searches that he was involved in?
- 17 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes, just him, sorry.

  18 ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
  - Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ And we're not going to waste any more time on the 6th, let's move to the 7th, ask what your responsibilities were that day?
    - A. The morning of the 7th, I was paired back up again with Sergeant Colborn and Lieutenant Lenk.

      We were originally assigned, first thing was to go down to a maroon colored van, which was parked

outside of Barb Janda's residence.

I was informed that that van was the van that Teresa Halbach had come onto the property to photograph. What was requested of us was to take a look inside the van to see if we could find anything of evidentiary value inside the van or outside the van.

We did search the van, found nothing of evidentiary value. I did collect a belt and that was seized as possible evidence. And so, the van itself didn't provide nothing of evidentiary value.

- Q. Before we leave that topic, I'm going to show you a photograph, already been admitted, Exhibit

  No. 59. Tell us what we're looking at here, please.
- A. Here we have got Steven's trailer, the garage.

  Here is the van that I was just talking about.

  And Barb Janda's residence, this would be her

  driveway right here.
- Q. We talked about searching a van with Lieutenant
  Lenk, does Exhibit No. 59 document that exact
  activity, or don't you know?
- 24 A. I'm sorry, what was the question.
- 25 Q. You talked about searching this van with

- 1 Lieutenant Lenk, does Exhibit 59 document that?
- 2 A. Yes, this shows the van, shows the door being
- open; there's me and there's Mr. Lenk.
- Q. All right. What were your next duties on the 7th?
- A. After we were finished with that, it was my understanding, although I didn't see it,
- 8 apparently the fire departments had been called
- 9 out to the scene. They were going through the
- junkyard, I think the day before, using their
- equipment to pry open all the trunks on all the
- vehicles in the junkyard.
- So on the morning of the 7th, our
- responsibility was to find any remaining vehicles
- that did not have the trunk popped by the fire
- department. And if we located those vehicles,
- our responsibility was to open the trunk areas of
- 18 all those vehicles.
- 19 Q. Who did you do that with?
- 20 A. Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant Colborn.
- 21 | Q. Did you do all those things?
- 22 A. Yes. We found numerous vehicles that were not
- 23 taken care of, so we did use crowbars, wherever
- 24 necessary, to get them trunk lids open.
- 25 Q. Did you find anything in any of those vehicles?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. By the way, the three of you didn't plant any
- 3 blood while you were doing that, did you?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 Q. All right. What else did the three of you do
- 6 that day?
- 7 A. I'm trying to think. After, I think we did all
- 8 the trunks, we were then requested to go through
- 9 the houses and collect firearms from any of the
- 10 houses that still had firearms in them. So we
- 11 started -- I think I was told that Steven's
- 12 firearms were collected already, so we started at
- Barb Janda's residence and we just started
- 14 collecting all the firearms that were inside the
- 15 residence.
- 16 | Q. Do you know about how long that project took?
- 17 A. There were quite a few firearms. It took a long
- 18 time to document all the different firearms
- 19 inside the bedroom area.
- 20 Q. In whose residences did you go to collect the
- 21 firearms?
- 22 A. We were in Barb Janda's residence pretty much the
- 23 whole day.
- 24 Q. All right. Were you asked, on the 7th, to
- 25 perform any duties with Mr. Avery's computer?

A. Yeah, I believe it was the morning hours,

Investigator Wiegert telephoned me and asked me
to make entry into Steven Avery's residence. He
told me that he did need the serial numbers, make
and model off of the computer that was sitting on

the computer desk in the living room.

- Sergeant Colborn, myself, and Lieutenant
  Lenk did make entry and we were in there for all
  of about 3 or 4 minutes, I believe. I telephoned
  Investigator Wiegert with the information he
  needed and we immediately left the residence.
- 12 Q. Lieutenant Lenk and Colborn -- I'm sorry, did you
  13 say that they were both with you?
- 14 A. I believe all three of us were together.
- 15 Q. Did they leave your location at all at that time?
- 16 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 17 | Q. And then you left?
- 18 A. Yes, went back to, I believe, Barb Janda's to
  19 finish with the firearms.
- Q. I'm going to hurry just a little bit with you
  Sergeant Tyson so that we can get through, at
  least your direct testimony for the day. Let me
  just move forward to the 8th of November, that
  would be on Tuesday, were you on site at all that
  day?

A. I didn't go out to the scene on the morning hours of the 8th. My responsibilities were at the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, taking care of all the evidence that had been collected over the course of the past two days. Forms had to be filled out, property tags assigned, that evidence to be secured for the evidence custodian, so he could move them to his location and free up some of the lockers that I was currently taking up with all the stuff that I had downstairs. So that was a lot of my day.

I did clear from there and did respond back out to, I think the Avery property, just to see if I was needed in some other capacity. I think there were some officers that were collecting burn barrels. I know I probably assisted with picking up one or two, helping them get them on trailers and things like that. But that was the extent of my involvement on the 8th.

- Q. All right. Are you familiar with something called a buccal swab?
- 22 A. Yes.

- 23 | Q. What is that?
- A. It's a swab that's taken by a medical professional. And how it's taken is, it's a long

- 1 cotton applicator and it's put inside the
- 2 person's cheek and rubbed back and forth
- 3 collecting skin cells, I believe, off of the
- 4 inside of that person's mouth.
- 5 Q. Do you know what a buccal swab is used for?
- 6 A. DNA identification.
- 7 Q. Do you know what an exemplar is, a DNA exemplar?
- 8 A. Probably a known DNA substance.
- 9 Q. All right. On the Wednesday, the 9th of
- November, were you asked to assist medical
- 11 professionals in obtaining what are called DNA
- 12 exemplars, or known DNA samples, from Steven
- 13 Avery and some other Avery family members?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did you do that on that day?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. Now, were you just asked, and were there search
- 18 | warrants just for Steven Avery's DNA, or were
- 19 there others that were involved as well?
- 20 A. There were others. In the morning of the 9th, I
- 21 think Agent Fassbender and Investigator Wiegert,
- 22 they told me that they needed me to go up to the
- 23 | Aurora Medical Clinic in Two Rivers. They
- 24 explained that there were search warrants for
- 25 Steven Avery, Bobby Dassey, Chuck Avery, Earl

- 1 Avery, Delores Avery, Barb Janda.
- 2 They said each would be transported to
- 3 the Aurora Clinic in Two Rivers and then my
- 4 responsibility would be to photograph any
- 5 injuries that they may have had and to collect
- all buccal swabs taken by the registered nurse.
- 7 Q. Did you do that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Regarding Steven Avery, the defendant, do you see
- 10 him seated in the courtroom here today?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Were you present, Sergeant Tyson, when a physical
- examination was performed of Steven Avery on the
- 9th of November?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And were you able to observe any injuries,
- 17 | specifically any injuries to Mr. Avery's right
- 18 hand?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked for
- 21 identification as Exhibit No. 193, tell us what
- 22 that is, please.
- 23 A. We were measuring the cut here to his finger,
- 24 right there. That's just a close up.
- 25 Q. Was this photo taken by you; is that right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Who was assisting you in that process?
- 3 A. Fay Fritsch, she would be the registered nurse at
- 4 Aurora Medical Clinic; and the Medical Director
- 5 Dr. Laura Vogel-Schwartz, was also present in the
- 6 room, and I think the two investigators assigned
- 7 to Mr. Avery were also present.
- 8 Q. I'm sure we'll hear from Ms Fritsch, but do you
- 9 recall where this cut, that is, that we're seeing
- on Exhibit 193, was located?
- 11 A. Looks like it's his middle finger.
- 12 Q. Okay. We see it here in the photos, but was it
- visible to you to the naked eye?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Sergeant Tyson, the next day, that is, on the
- 16 | 10th of November, do you recall if you had any
- 17 responsibilities in this investigation?
- 18 A. On the 10th, I believe I responded out to the
- 19 Avery property. State patrol, I think, had
- 20 hundreds of state patrol officers canvassing the
- 21 quarries and the surrounding area. I was sent
- 22 out when they found something, down in the quarry
- 23 areas, just to see if I could identify what it
- 24 was. Nothing of evidentiary value was found.
- 25 Q. All right. On the 12th, then, that would be

- Saturday, were you asked to perform one final responsibility at that location?
- A. Yeah. Sheriff Jerry Pagel instructed me to grab
  a camcorner -- camcorder and to go through every
  single residence on the property to show the
  condition of which we were leaving the property
  before we released the scene back to the family.
- 8 Q. Did you do that?
- 9 A. Yes, I was with corrections officer Larry
  10 Schroeder at that time.
- Q. Very briefly, Sergeant Tyson, we talked about the 9th of December and the execution of a search warrant where you seized a bookcase; did you also return to the Avery property on March 1st and 2nd of 2006?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you participate in the execution of yet another search warrant at the Avery residence, that is, his trailer?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. During March 1st and 2nd who was in charge of the evidence documentation and seizure inside of Mr. Avery's trailer?
- A. We had Investigator Wendy Baldwin taking notes and Patrolman Rick Reimer was an evidence

technician in our department, him and myself were doing most of the searching. She was documenting all evidence that was recovered by Deputy Reimer and taken out to the secured trailer, which was parked in the driveway.

- Q. All right. My last area of inquiry, Sergeant

  Tyson, has to do with human remains or other

  kinds of materials; were you asked to

  participate, not only with other law enforcement

  officers, but with a forensic anthropologist in

  sifting and sorting some ashes and remains?
- A. Yes. On December 20th of 2005, I did go down to the Wisconsin Crime Lab with Investigator Dedering to assist numerous Department Of Correction -- or Department of Criminal Investigation agents there. Deputy Reimer was there and we sorted through contents of burn barrels. And I think later in April, the following year, I think we had -- April 11th, I believe it was, I did assist at the sheriff's department and the Wisconsin Crime Lab was at our facility. We had stations out in the garage behind our department and we had gone through a lot of materials, burnt.
- Q. Sergeant Tyson, on November 5th of 2005, and in

fact, that entire week that you worked

specifically with Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant

Colborn, did you witness anything, from either

one of those law enforcement professionals, that

in any way questioned either their reliability or

their ability to perform their law enforcement

duties on that scene?

## A. No.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I am going to move for the admissions of Exhibit 163 through 205, with the exceptions of Exhibit 164, 165, 187, and I think that's it. Those were the three that this officer was unable to identify. The rest I believe he has and would move their admission at this time.

THE COURT: Any objection to the admission of those exhibits?

ATTORNEY BUTING: No objection.

THE COURT: All right. The exhibits listed, then, by Mr. Kratz will be admitted.

Mr. Kratz, do you have any further questions of the witness?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No.

THE COURT: Members of the jury, we have got a few things to take up with counsel before leaving today, so I think given that, it's probably

a little late to get into cross-examination. So at this time I'm going to excuse you for today. I will remind you not to discuss this case with anyone else at any point during the trial and do not watch any news accounts of the case. You are excused for today. We'll see you tomorrow morning.

(Jury not present.)

THE COURT: You may be seated. Officer Tyson you are excused.

Counsel, I had mentioned earlier this morning that there was at least one exhibit,
Exhibit 89, that I believe the parties both felt had not been admitted but the clerk's records show that it had been and based on the information I got from the court reporter, it appears it may have been in advertently marked as admitted in conjunction with a number of other exhibits at the end of the day back on the 14th. First of all, let's address Exhibit 89; do I understand that -- am I correct that both parties feel that this exhibit was introduced but not admitted?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Is that pages 515 and 516 of the --

THE COURT: Correct.

1	ATTORNEY STRANG: Calumet county
2	Sheriff's
3	THE COURT: Yes.
4	ATTORNEY STRANG: Department report?
5	Yes, that was intended only as a Court's exhibit,
6	not not for evidentiary consideration of the
7	jury.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Kratz.
9	ATTORNEY KRATZ: It would certainly never
10	be considered by the jury, Judge, and was meant, as
11	I understood, to complete the record.
12	THE COURT: All right. The Court will
13	treat it as such and direct that the record shows
14	that it has not been admitted into evidence.
15	Counsel, are there any other exhibits
16	that either party believes fall into this
17	category, that is, that they have been shown as
18	being admitted but parties neither party
19	requested there admission.
20	ATTORNEY KRATZ: I have one that's just
21	or two that are just the opposite, Judge. If I may,
22	I'm showing the Court now Exhibit 161. There were
23	two photographs that Agent Fassbender identified.
24	One is Exhibit 161 and the next is Exhibit 162, that

I asked the Clerk to please mark, and for whatever  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$ 

reason that didn't occur.

I assured the Court that I would have them marked and put into evidence. I had moved them at the time, but these are the two photographs that go along with Mr. Buting's submissions. They have now been marked and I now show them once again to remind the Court and counsel, Exhibits 161, 162. I will tender them and offer them at this time.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.

two photographs used by Mr. Kratz on his redirect examination of Special Agent Fassbender. I believe we recreated that as best we can. They are out of order. I don't know how they were described, if at all, by exhibit number on redirect examination. But we just -- when we talked about this, Nos. 161 and 162 were the next two available exhibit numbers, so we agreed to give them those numbers. And as we recall, they relate back to the redirect examination of Mr. Fassbender.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's correct, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. And are these a couple of -- they were slides for which photos weren't readily available at the time?

1 ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's exactly correct, 2 Judge. 3 THE COURT: I believe I recall those. All Any objection to their admission today? 4 5 ATTORNEY STRANG: No. THE COURT: Very well. 161 and 162 will be 6 7 deemed admitted. Are there any other items that need clarification? 8 9 ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, maybe. The only 10 two I came up with and I don't know if they are received or not received, but Exhibit No. 70, I 11 12 think, was tendered to a witness. It's a photo of 13 crushed cars, but as I recall the witness must not 14 have been able to identify it, or there was a 15 foundation objection. I don't think that one was, 16 or has been, admitted yet. 17 ATTORNEY KRATZ: I think that's No. 70. 18 THE CLERK: We have that one as denied. 19 ATTORNEY KRATZ: That one, Mr. -- Bobby 20 Dassey could not identify that. We're going to put 21 that in through another officer later on in the 22 trial, Judge. THE COURT: All right. So as of this point 23 24 it's been marked and neither party is asking for its

admission at this time?

1	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not yet.
2	THE COURT: The State is anticipating
3	asking that it be admitted at a later date.
4	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes.
5	ATTORNEY STRANG: Right.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	ATTORNEY STRANG: And then, Exhibit 28,
8	which is a written statement of JoEllen Zipperer, I
9	have labeled as used only to refresh recollection,
10	so that that would not be it would be marked,
11	but not offered and received.
12	THE COURT: That one is shown as being
13	received.
14	ATTORNEY STRANG: Should have been marked
15	only.
16	THE COURT: My recollection is that that
17	was marked but not received.
18	ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm not sure that's the
19	case, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: That was a statement of
21	Mrs. Zipperer?
22	ATTORNEY KRATZ: It was, Judge, if you
23	remember, it had to do with the time that Teresa was
24	out there.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

between 2:00 and 2:30, as she in fact read from that. She said that now refreshes -- that's a better estimate of the time that she was out there. In fact, it is a much more recorded recollection than that, that was just used to refresh recollection. And it undoubtedly is not going to go back to the jury, because I'm sure you are not going to send written statements back, but it was offered and it should be received.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I don't think so, whether it's a -- something used to refresh recollection under Section 906.12, or whether it's actually a past recollection recorded, under 908.03 (5) maybe, if memory serves. I think either way it doesn't -- the document doesn't come into evidence. What does is the testimony either refreshed recollection, or the reading of the past recollection recorded.

THE COURT: I think it can only get in if she's unable to refresh her memory from it. But which is not what I understood her testimony to be.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Correct. If she was unable to, it could come in as a prior inconsistent statement under 906.13, or conceivably --

THE COURT: Yeah, 908.03 (5) says a record

concerning a matter about which a witness once had
knowledge but now has insufficient recollection to
enable the witness to testify fully and accurately,
but if it refreshed her memory, then it doesn't fall
into that one.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm never going to ask

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm never going to ask that it go to the jury, so this is just fine.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's fine, from this standpoint.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's just fine.

THE COURT: My recollection is that it was marked, but I don't remember it being admitted. And my suspicion is that it may have been marked as admitted under one of these globals, does anybody have any objection to admitting a whole bunch of exhibits.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And the real issue is it oughtn't go to the jury. And since the State has conceded that, you know, again, this becomes a bit academic.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Marked, but not received, is just fine.

THE COURT: Yeah, at this point, I don't think it should be received.

1	ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's fine.
2	THE COURT: Is that it for the exhibits?
3	THE CLERK: I have just a couple more.
4	This is a day when I wasn't here, but there was
5	there were two photos, 87 and 88, that were marked
6	but nothing was ever said about them.
7	ATTORNEY STRANG: And I don't even have
8	those written down. So I'm wondering if they were
9	ever even identified by a witness.
10	THE CLERK: I think they were regarding the
11	Avery property. There was a whole line of photos
12	marked. Janet just has a big arrow drawn through
13	them.
14	ATTORNEY STRANG: Certainly was a series of
15	aerial shots from 79 on.
16	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Eighty-seven and
17	eighty-eight, Judge, were two that Lieutenant Bowe
18	was unable to identify. And like other exhibits in
19	that category, I intend to show them to another
20	witness who's actually in those pictures and I will
21	be putting them in through them. So they are marked
22	but not received.
23	THE COURT: So they are properly noted as
24	not received at this point.

1	I'm sorry there's just one other section, 142
2	through 151, which were from Friday. And I know a
3	lot of those were duplicates from today. There's a
4	sign in sign out log, car crusher check point,
5	photos of the RAV4, the aluminum smelter photo, some
6	more sign in sheets.
7	THE COURT: Those have been marked but not
8	yet admitted.
9	THE CLERK: Right.
10	THE COURT: And I believe the State still
11	has witnesses to come that will identify those?
12	ATTORNEY KRATZ: I offered 148, 149, 150
13	and 151 at the time. And if you haven't, Mr. Tyson
14	just looked at those and they are the same.
15	ATTORNEY STRANG: There's no objection to
16	the admission of Exhibits 142 through 151 inclusive.
17	THE COURT: All right. So the Court will
18	order those admitted then?
19	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes, we don't have any
20	objection.
21	THE CLERK: I just have one more photo
22	marked as 158, that was
23	ATTORNEY KRATZ: That will get in through
24	Mr. Heimerl, actually, who is yet to come.
25	THE CLERK: That's it.

THE COURT: Marked but not admitted.

I also want to note for the record that this afternoon there were two side bar conferences. The first one was at about 2:19.

Mr. Kratz asked the Court at that time, I think, to take a break in order to permit the marking of a series of exhibits that were introduced through Officer Tyson and I indicated I preferred to proceed with more testimony before the break.

That was the extent of what happened then.

Counsel, is that your understanding, or recollection of what the side bar was?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's mine too.

after the break this afternoon when counsel approached and raised some issues with the Court concerning some objections Mr. Buting had made before that about photos being discussed without dates attributed to them. And I indicated to the parties that if there was any dispute about the date a photo was taken, that the defense had a right to have that information presented to the jury so that the jury could fully assess the probative value of whatever was in the photo. And I believe that was

1	the extent of that side bar.
2	ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's right, Judge, and
3	and I think from that point forward I did that
4	and it was a legitimate point and I hope I covered
5	it from that point.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Buting, is that your
7	recollection?
8	ATTORNEY BUTING: That is correct, Judge.
9	THE COURT: Very well. Is there anything
10	else that either party wishes to take up today
11	outside the presence of the jury before we resume
12	tomorrow?
13	ATTORNEY BUTING: Has the Court had any
14	response to the other matter that was
15	THE COURT: Oh, not yet.
16	ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm looking for one
17	exhibit, 189, your Honor.
18	ATTORNEY KRATZ: It's a photo of an
19	envelope. That should be in here.
20	ATTORNEY STRANG: Is the envelope itself
21	something?
22	ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's on the desk, that's
23	two something.
24	ATTORNEY STRANG: That's a different
25	envelope.

1	ATTORNEY FALLON: 189 was the envelope.
2	ATTORNEY KRATZ: It's a photo of an
3	envelope.
4	ATTORNEY STRANG: The photo I'm talking
5	about is Federal Defender Services of Wisconsin.
6	ATTORNEY KRATZ: I understand that. It's a
7	photo of the envelope.
8	ATTORNEY BUTING: So the actual envelope is
9	not here.
10	ATTORNEY KRATZ: We aren't offering
11	THE COURT: Just a second. Diane.
12	COURT REPORTER: Yes.
13	THE COURT: We're off the record right now.
14	I'm not going to ask you to try to follow that.
15	(Off record discussion.)
16	THE COURT: All right. Let's go back on
17	the record. Mr. Strang, you raised a question about
18	Exhibit 189.
19	ATTORNEY STRANG: I did. And I just was
20	curious about the postmark date of that letter,
21	because it's from Federal Defender Services of
22	Wisconsin, which I had run for five years, was one
23	of the three offices that I ran. But I don't know
24	why it would be an issue in any event, but the
25	postmark is about two months after I left that

1	office. So I just can't imagine that there's any
2	any issue.
3	THE COURT: All right. Anything further
4	today?
5	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Just that I think,
6	briefly, we need to meet in chambers. We may have
7	something in our office.
8	THE COURT: All right. We'll see you in a
9	few minutes.
10	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you.
11	(Proceedings concluded.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

)ss   COUNTY OF MANITOWOC )
I, Diane Tesheneck, Official Court
Reporter for Circuit Court Branch 1 and the State
of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that I reported
the foregoing matter and that the foregoing
transcript has been carefully prepared by me with
my computerized stenographic notes as taken by me
in machine shorthand, and by computer-assisted
transcription thereafter transcribed, and that it
is a true and correct transcript of the
proceedings had in said matter to the best of my
knowledge and ability.
Dated this 7th day of 11, 2007.
Diane Tesheneck, RPR Official Court Reporter
official coars nepercer