Neural fundamentals of optimal transport and its operator learning

Andrew Gracyk*

Fall 2025

Contents

1 Deriving the continuity equation

1.1 First derivation

Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed, connected, and bounded volume in Euclidean space and $\rho : \mathcal{V} \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a mass density. Let $v : \mathcal{V} \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a vector field. We have

$$\text{total mass} = \int_V \rho dV. \tag{1}$$

It is well known via flux theory in calculus that the change of mass through the surface is given by

$$\text{change of mass in the volume} = \int_{\partial V} \rho v \cdot n dS. \tag{2}$$

Since the mass is not changing in the volume, we get

$$\partial_t \int_V \rho dV = -\int_{\partial V} \rho v \cdot n dS. \tag{3}$$

Here, our surface integral is outward-oriented. We will assume basic regularity properties such as smoothness on ρ, and since our domain is sufficiently nice, we can exchange differentiation and integration. Hence,

$$\int_V \partial_t \rho dV + \int_{\partial V} \rho v \cdot n dS = 0. \tag{4}$$

We cannot combine the integrals into one equation yet due to the first being an integral over Euclidean space and the second a surface integral. Notice the criteria of the divergence theorem are satisfied (we will allow v to be sufficiently smooth), hence

$$\int_V \partial_t \rho dV + \int_V \nabla \cdot (\rho v) dV = \int_V (\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho v)) dV = 0. \tag{5}$$

Just because an integral is zero, that does not imply the integrand is zero. Moreover, ρ is not a (compactly supported) test function.

There are some ways to conclude the proof. For example, we have $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho v)$ if we permit V to be arbitrary, and if the equation must hold for all V.

Let us justify the negative sign in equation 3 intuitively with a special case. Suppose V is a hypercube and suppose v is outward oriented for each face. Thus, mass is moving outside the volume. But the integral is negative since the inner product is positive (assuming v is not zero) and rho is nonnegative. But mass is leaving the surface, so the left is clearly negative. Thus, the negative sign is needed.

In the next subsection, we will establish an argument in the sense of distributions.

1.2 Second derivation

Let m be a vector-valued measure such that $dm = \rho dx$. Here, ρ is also vector-valued. Let ϕ be a C^∞ compactly supported test function. It is known by integration by parts

$$\int \phi d(\nabla \cdot m) = -\int \nabla \phi \cdot dm. \tag{6}$$

There is an equivalence

$$\int \phi (\nabla \cdot \rho) dx = -\int \nabla \phi \cdot \rho dx. \tag{7}$$

By the conservation of mass equation we saw on the previous slide, but in the weak form

$$\partial_t \int \phi \rho_s dx = -\int \phi (\nabla \cdot \rho_s v) dx. \tag{8}$$

Here, $\rho = \rho_s v$ is the vector field multiplied by scalar density.

It is a known fact from PDE theory

$$\partial_t \int \phi d\alpha - \int \nabla \phi \cdot v d\alpha = 0 = \partial_t \int \phi d\alpha - \int \phi \nabla \cdot v d\alpha = 0 \implies \partial_t \alpha + \nabla \cdot (v\alpha) = 0. \tag{9}$$

The second equality is due to integration by parts. This is because ϕ is any (smooth compactly supported) function. We will denote $d\alpha = p_s dx$. Thus,

$$0 = -\int \phi (\nabla \cdot \rho_s v) dx - \int \nabla \phi \cdot \rho dx \tag{10}$$

$$= \partial_t \int \phi \rho_s dx - \int \nabla \phi \cdot v \rho_s dx \tag{11}$$

$$\implies \partial_t \rho_s + \nabla \cdot (v\rho_s) = 0, \tag{12}$$

and we have the result.

2 JKO conditions with continuity equation equivalence

We show the JKO conditions as in [1] are equivalent to a continuity equation.

Consider the density under the flow map $\rho(t, T(t, x))$. By the chain rule,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho(t, T(t, x)) = \partial_t \rho + \nabla \rho \cdot \partial_t T(t, x). \tag{13}$$

We are aware that $\partial_t T = v$, so that gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho = \partial_t \rho + \nabla \rho \cdot v. \tag{14}$$

Now, consider the following:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t, T(t,x)) = \frac{d}{dt}\frac{\rho^k(x)}{\det|J(t,x)|} = -\frac{\rho^k(x)}{(\det|J(t,x)|)^2} \cdot \partial_t \det|J(t,x)| \tag{15}$$

$$= -\frac{\rho^k(x)}{\det|J(t,x)|} \cdot \frac{\partial_t \det|J(t,x)|}{\det|J(t,x)|} = -\frac{\rho^k(x)}{\det|J(t,x)|}\partial_t \log \det|J(t,x)| \tag{16}$$

$$= -\rho(t, T(t,x))\partial_t \log \det|J(t,x)| = -\rho(t, T(t,x))\mathrm{div}(v). \tag{17}$$

Thus,

$$-\rho(t, T(t,x))\mathrm{div}(v) = \partial_t\rho + \nabla\rho \cdot v \implies \partial_t\rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) = 0, \tag{18}$$

as desired. The equivalence of $\partial_t \log \det|J(t,x)| = \mathrm{div}(v)$ is due to Jacobi's formula.

References

[1] Wonjun Lee, Li Wang, and Wuchen Li. Deep jko: time-implicit particle methods for general nonlinear gradient flows, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.06700.