

## ABSTRACT

Title of Dissertation: Many-body entanglement dynamics  
and computation  
in quantum systems with power-law interactions

Andrew Guo  
Doctor of Philosophy, 2022

Dissertation Directed by: Professor Alexey V. Gorshkov  
Department of Physics

My abstract for this dissertation.

MANY-BODY ENTANGLEMENT DYNAMICS AND COMPUTATION  
IN QUANTUM SYSTEMS WITH POWER-LAW INTERACTIONS

by

Andrew Guo

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School of the  
University of Maryland, College Park in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
2022

Advisory Committee:

Professor Alexey V. Gorshkov, Co-Chair/Co-Advisor Professor Victor Galitski, Co-Chair  
Professor Andrew M. Childs, Dean's Representative  
Professor Brian Swingle, Co-Advisor  
Professor Xiaodi Wu

# Acknowledgements

My acknowledgements.

# Table of contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Table of contents</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Conclusion</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>4</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

At the heart of every quantum computer is a many-body quantum system. The particles in these systems can evolve and entangle with each other in a profound manner so as to form such complex states of matter as superconductors, time crystals, quantum spin liquids, and topological insulators. Indeed, a quantum computation can also be viewed as the dynamics of a many-body quantum system whose evolution to a complex entangled state encodes a computational problem, followed by measurements to discern its answer. As such, the question of how quickly this computation can be performed in practice is intricately tied to how quickly entanglement can be generated in the system.

Most of these systems operate in a non-relativistic regime, where typical velocities fall far below the threshold of the speed of light and where a notion of absolute causality is lacking. One fundamental question in quantum many-body physics is to find the fastest rates at which the Schrödinger equation allows correlations to spread throughout the system. The first bounds on these rates were shown by Elliot Lieb and Derek Robinson in 1972 [1]. Since then, much progress has been made on sharpening these bounds [2, 3] and proving them for specific classes of systems [4, 5, 6, 7]. These bounds are also connected to a diverse array of phenomena, including the decay of correlations in the ground state [8], generation of topological order [9, 10], efficiency of classical/quantum simulation [11, 4], hardness of bosonic sampling tasks [12], heating rates in periodically driven Floquet systems [13, 14], and signatures of quantum chaos [15, 16].

The ability to generate correlations quickly is central to the power of quantum computers. A quantum computation can be viewed as the encoding of a computational problem in the evolution of a many-body quantum system through an entangled intermediate state, followed by measurements to discern a specific answer. The ability to generate entanglement quickly allows for quantum computers to achieve speed-ups in problems that are intractable for classical computers. Indeed, solving hard problems quickly has been the selling point of quantum computers since the 1980s, when Feynman first conceived of a device built that could simulate the fundamental laws of nature [Feynman]. In practice, the question of how quickly this computation can be performed is intricately tied to how quickly entanglement can be generated in the system.

In terms of solving classically intractable problems quickly, current-day quantum computers have yet to realize this vision. Today's qubits are small and have short coherence times, which limits their ability to perform non-trivial computations. Furthermore, many

of the prevalent models also rely on a restricted 2D planar architecture [cite SC qubit literature], whereas the standard circuit model of quantum computing assumes one may apply single-qubit and two-qubit gates from a standard gate set on arbitrary non-overlapping subsets of the qubits. Since this assumption of being able to directly apply interactions between two arbitrarily distant qubits does not hold in practice for large quantum computing architectures [Schoute2022, 17, 18, 19, 20], it leads to further overheads when mapping circuits to these restricted connectivities. These overheads can affect the asymptotic scaling of the quantum algorithms and possibly negate their quantum advantages. As such, it motivates the need to study both novel architectures as well as new ways of generating entanglement quickly.

Long-range interactions provide a natural way of augmenting the power of quantum systems. In particular, power-law systems—those that decay as a power-law  $1/r^\alpha$  in the distance  $r$  between particles, for some  $\alpha > 0$ . These long-range interactions are native to many experimental quantum systems and have attracted interest due to their ability to act as quantum sensors and clocks, in addition to their potential as resources for faster quantum information processing. Examples of long-range interactions include dipole-dipole and van der Waals interactions between Rydberg atoms [21, 22], and dipole-dipole interactions between polar molecules [23] and between defect centers in diamond [24, 22].

Recently, Refs. [Tran2020, 25, 26, 6] gave protocols that take advantage of power-law interactions to quickly transfer a quantum state across a lattice. As we will show in Section 3, it is also possible to leverage the power of these interactions to implement quantum gates asymptotically faster than is possible with finite-range interactions. In this way, we use bounds on the rate of transferring quantum states or engineering many-body entangled states can allow one to arrive at new tools for bounding the runtimes of quantum algorithms. These two applications combined demonstrate the power of many-body physics to lead to enhancements in a physicist’s toolbox for performing quantum computation.

## **Chapter 2**

### **Conclusion**

My conclusion.

# Bibliography

- [1] Elliott H. Lieb and Derek W. Robinson. “The Finite Group Velocity of Quantum Spin Systems”. In: 28.3 (1972), pp. 251–257.
- [2] Chi-Fang Chen and Andrew Lucas. “Operator Growth Bounds from Graph Theory”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 385.3 (2021), pp. 1273–1323.
- [3] Zhiyuan Wang and Kaden R.A. Hazzard. “Tightening the Lieb-Robinson Bound in Locally Interacting Systems”. In: *PRX Quantum* 1.1 (Sept. 2020), p. 010303. DOI: [10.1103/PRXQuantum.1.010303](https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.1.010303).
- [4] Minh C. Tran et al. “Locality and Digital Quantum Simulation of Power-Law Interactions”. In: 9.3 (July 2019), p. 031006. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031006](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031006).
- [5] Chi-Fang Chen and Andrew Lucas. “Finite Speed of Quantum Scrambling with Long Range Interactions”. In: 123.25 (Dec. 2019), p. 250605. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.250605](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.250605).
- [6] Tomotaka Kuwahara and Keiji Saito. “Strictly Linear Light Cones in Long-Range Interacting Systems of Arbitrary Dimensions”. In: 10.3 (July 2020), p. 031010. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevX.10.031010](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.10.031010).
- [7] Minh C. Tran et al. “Lieb-Robinson Light Cone for Power-Law Interactions”. In: 127.16 (2021), p. 160401. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.160401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.160401).
- [8] M. B. Hastings and T. Koma. “Spectral Gap and Exponential Decay of Correlations”. In: 265 (Aug. 2006), pp. 781–804. DOI: [10.1007/s00220-006-0030-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-006-0030-4).
- [9] S. Bravyi, M. B. Hastings, and F. Verstraete. “Lieb-Robinson Bounds and the Generation of Correlations and Topological Quantum Order”. In: 97.5 (2006), p. 050401. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.050401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.050401).
- [10] Sergey Bravyi, Matthew B. Hastings, and Spyridon Michalakis. “Topological Quantum Order: Stability under Local Perturbations”. In: 51.9 (2010), p. 093512. DOI: [10.1063/1.3490195](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3490195).
- [11] Tobias J. Osborne. “Efficient Approximation of the Dynamics of One-Dimensional Quantum Spin Systems”. In: 97.15 (2006), p. 157202. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.157202](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.157202).
- [12] Abhinav Deshpande et al. “Dynamical Phase Transitions in Sampling Complexity”. In: 121.3 (July 2018), p. 030501. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.030501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.030501).



- [13] Dmitry A. Abanin, Wojciech De Roeck, and François Huveneers. “Exponentially Slow Heating in Periodically Driven Many-Body Systems”. In: *PRL* 115, 256803 (Dec. 2015), p. 256803. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.256803](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.256803).
- [14] Minh C. Tran et al. “Locality and Heating in Periodically Driven, Power-Law-Interacting Systems”. In: 100.5 (Nov. 2019), p. 052103. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.100.052103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.100.052103).
- [15] Nima Lashkari et al. “Towards the Fast Scrambling Conjecture”. In: *J. High Energy Phys.* 2013.4 (Apr. 2013), p. 22. ISSN: 1029-8479. DOI: [10.1007/JHEP04\(2013\)022](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)022).
- [16] Andrew Y. Guo et al. “Signaling and Scrambling with Strongly Long-Range Interactions”. In: 102.1 (July 2020), p. 010401. ISSN: 2469-9926, 2469-9934. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.102.010401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.102.010401).
- [17] C. Monroe et al. “Large-Scale Modular Quantum-Computer Architecture with Atomic Memory and Photonic Interconnects”. In: 89.2 (2014), p. 022317. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.89.022317](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.022317).
- [18] Norbert M. Linke et al. “Experimental Comparison of Two Quantum Computing Architectures”. In: 114.13 (2017), pp. 3305–3310. DOI: [10.1073/pnas.1618020114](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1618020114).
- [19] Aniruddha Bapat et al. “Unitary Entanglement Construction in Hierarchical Networks”. In: 98.6 (2018), p. 062328. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.98.062328](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.062328).
- [20] Andrew M. Childs, Eddie Schoute, and Cem M. Unsal. “Circuit Transformations for Quantum Architectures”. In: *14th Conference on the Theory of Quantum Computation, Communication and Cryptography (TQC 2019)*. Vol. 135. Dagstuhl, Germany, 2019, 3:1–3:24. DOI: [10.4230/LIPIcs.TQC.2019.3](https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.TQC.2019.3).
- [21] M. Saffman, T. G. Walker, and K. Mølmer. “Quantum Information with Rydberg Atoms”. In: 82.3 (Aug. 2010), pp. 2313–2363. DOI: [10.1103/RevModPhys.82.2313](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.2313).
- [22] Hendrik Weimer et al. “Long-Range Quantum Gates Using Dipolar Crystals”. In: 108.10 (Mar. 2012), p. 100501. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.100501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.100501).
- [23] Bo Yan et al. “Observation of Dipolar Spin-Exchange Interactions with Lattice-Confining Polar Molecules”. In: *Nature* 501 (Sept. 2013), p. 521.
- [24] N. Y. Yao et al. “Scalable Architecture for a Room Temperature Solid-State Quantum Information Processor”. In: 3 (2012/04/24/online, 2012-04-24), p. 800.
- [25] Zachary Eldredge et al. “Fast Quantum State Transfer and Entanglement Renormalization Using Long-Range Interactions”. In: 119.17 (2017), p. 170503. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.170503](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.170503).
- [26] Andrew Y. Guo et al. *Implementing a Fast Unbounded Quantum Fanout Gate Using Power-Law Interactions*. July 2020. arXiv: [2007.00662](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.00662) [quant-ph].