

We may now compare the FDA written above to that obtained in the AdS_6 case, which for convenience we reproduce below,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathcal{D}V^a - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}_A\gamma^a\psi^A \\
0 &= R^{ab} + 4m^2V^aV^b + m\bar{\psi}_A\gamma^{ab}\psi^A \\
0 &= dA^r - \frac{1}{2}g\epsilon^{rst}A_sA_t - i\bar{\psi}_A\psi_B\sigma^r{}^{AB} \\
0 &= D\psi_a - im\gamma_a\psi_AV^a \\
0 &= dA - mB - i\bar{\psi}_A\gamma_7\psi^A \\
0 &= dB + 2\bar{\psi}_A\gamma_7\gamma_a\psi^AV^a
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We see that formally, we may obtain the \mathbb{H}_6 FDA from the AdS_6 FDA by exchanging

$$m \rightarrow -im \quad \psi_A \rightarrow \psi_A \quad \bar{\psi}_A \rightarrow i\bar{\psi}_A \quad A^r \rightarrow iA^r \quad g \rightarrow -ig \quad B \rightarrow -B \quad A \rightarrow iA$$

These exchanges are compatible with the relation $g = 3m$. Finally, we will check that the \mathbb{H}_6 FDA is compatible with the symplectic Majorana condition. This is a statement about the fourth equation of . We begin by defining

$$\nabla\psi_A \equiv D\psi_A - q\gamma_a\psi_AV^a \tag{2}$$

where $q = m$ for \mathbb{H}_6 and $q = im$ for AdS_6 . We then find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\nabla\psi_A} &= D\psi_A^\dagger G^{-1} - q^*\psi_A^\dagger G^{-1}G\gamma_a^\dagger G^{-1}V^a = D\bar{\psi}_A - q^*\eta\bar{\psi}_A\gamma_aV^a \\
\epsilon^{AB}\nabla\psi_B^T\mathcal{C} &= \epsilon^{AB}D\psi_B^T\mathcal{C} - q\epsilon^{AB}\psi_B^T\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}^{-1}\gamma_a^T\mathcal{C}V^a = D\bar{\psi}_A + q\bar{\psi}_A\gamma_aV^a
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where η is defined implicitly in . We thus find that the symplectic Majorana condition is consistent only when

$$-q^*\eta = q \tag{4}$$

For \mathbb{H}_6 , the consistency of the symplectic Majorana condition thus requires $\eta = -1$, which we have already seen to be the case in . On the other hand, in the AdS_6 case, one would instead have required $\eta = 1$. Checking the results of confirms that this was so.