

0.1 Estimation

As in Assumption 5.1 of , we assume standard regularity conditions, namely that conditional on $T = t$, $D = d$, and $M = m$, Y is a random draw from that subpopulation defined in terms of $t, d, m \in \{1, 0\}$. Furthermore, the outcome in the subpopulations required for the identification results of interest must have compact support and a density that is bounded from above and below as well as continuously differentiable. Denote by N the total sample size across both periods and all treatment-mediator combinations and by $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ an index for the sampled subject, such that (Y_i, D_i, M_i, T_i) correspond to sample realizations of the random variables (Y, D, M, T) . The total, direct, and indirect effects may be estimated using the sample analogy principle, which replaces population moments with sample moments. For instance, any conditional mediator probability given the treatment, $\Pr(M = m|D = d)$, is to be replaced by an estimate thereof in the sample, $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N I\{M_i=m, D_i=d\}}{\sum_{i=1}^N I\{D_i=d\}}$. A crucial step is the estimation of the quantile-quantile transforms. The application of such quantile transformations dates at least back to , see also , , and for recent applications. First, it requires estimating the conditional outcome distribution, $F_{Y_t|D=d, M=m}(y)$, by the conditional empirical distribution $\hat{F}_{Y_t|D=d, M=m}(y) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n I\{D_i=d, M_i=m, T_i=t\}} \sum_{i: D_i=d, M_i=m, T_i=t} I\{Y_i \leq y\}$. Second, inverting the latter yields the empirical quantile function $\hat{F}_{Y_t|D=d, M=m}^{-1}(q)$. The empirical quantile-quantile transform is then obtained by

$$\hat{Q}_{dm}(y) = \hat{F}_{Y_1|D=d, M=m}^{-1}(\hat{F}_{Y_0|D=d, M=m}(y)).$$

This permits estimating the average and quantile effects of interest. Average effects are estimated by replacing any (conditional) expectations with the corresponding sample averages in which the estimated quantile-quantile transforms enter as plug-