


UWYO: Arts & Sciences

Spring 2015 Arts and Sciences Teaching Evaluations

Course: MATH-2210-25 : MATH-2210-25

Instructor: Andrew Herring *

1 - Please comment on the Andrew Herring's teaching effectiveness, assignments, materials used in the course, methods (what was done best, as well as what can be improved) and anything else about this course.	
Return Rate	16/27 (59.26%)
<div><div>- Move a little faster in discussion. Answering 3 True/False questions in 75 minutes is ridiculously slow. Very knowledgeable in the material though and super friendly!</div><div>- He is a brilliant ta. Makes learning fun. Seems to actually care about us knowing stuff.</div><div>- Andrew did a very good job of clarifying the things we learned in lecture.</div><div>- I really like how the students can relate to him and how understandable he presents the material in class.</div><div>- I thought that he understood the material very well and that translated into him teaching the course well. The assignments were very helpful as well.</div><div>- Good stuff man, maybe just try being less "skeptical" so I don't second guess myself.</div><div>- I really enjoy Andrew's view point on math and his explanations on how to proceed through a question</div><div>- He covers all of the questions in the exercises sheet IN DEPTH, he covers everything regrading the topic, and he tries his best to make us think to see the bigger picture, basically to think outside the box.</div><div>- He was awesome! He knew the material amazingly and much more beyond that. He made office hours out of his normal to give extra help.</div><div>- very resourceful</div><div>- He did a good job going into depth in the problems we did cover. He also did a good job getting the students involved in the actual problem we were discussing. However, I would have enjoyed if we skipped the easier problems that we could have done on our own and did the harder problems in discussion.</div><div>- I feel like he did a really good job on teaching this course. I felt more comfortable about the material after I left discussion every week.</div><div>- Overall a great TA. Helped improve my understanding of the course material. I would like a way to prepare for quizzes. The packets were very effective. posting a solutions to the packet would be helpful because we never had enough time to finish it in class.</div><div>- He does a very good job of scaling down and showing us how to apply the material in class to problems. More discussion time would probably improve my understanding of the material and exam performance his presentation and evaluation of the material often seems more practical and distilled than that presented in class, most likely in part due to the fact that it is re-presenting material.</div><div>- He was a good teacher. He knew the material, but more than that, he knew how to teach it. Also his process of working through the worksheet with us helped a lot with understanding how to set up and complete problems.</div><div>- I feel like the discussions were not an effective use of my time. Andrew had a great knowledge base but it was more like doing extra homework with a babysitter than anything else.</div></div>	



2 - How would you evaluate the presentation of materials in this course?														
Andrew Herring														
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses					Means				
Excellent		(5)	11	47.83%						4.35	4.21	4.24		
Good		(4)	9	39.13%										
Satisfactory		(3)	3	13.04%										
Poor		(2)	0	0%										
Unsatisfactory		(1)	0	0%										
					0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark		
Return Rate		Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark		Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark		Mean	STD	Median
23/27 (85.19%)		4.35	0.71	4.00	15,598		4.21	0.95	4.00	1,865		4.24	0.93	4.00



UWYO: Arts & Sciences



Spring 2015 Arts and Sciences Teaching Evaluations



Course: MATH-2210-25 : MATH-2210-25

Instructor: Andrew Herring *

3 - How clear was the basis for evaluating students in this class? Andrew Herring													
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses					Means			
Excellent		(5)	12	54.55%									
Good		(4)	8	36.36%									
Satisfactory		(3)	2	9.09%									
Poor		(2)	0	0%									
Unsatisfactory		(1)	0	0%									
					0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark	Mean	STD	Median		
22/27 (81.48%)	4.45	0.67	5.00	15,561	4.23	0.95	4.00	1,870	4.31	0.88	5.00		

4 - How would you evaluate the instructor's interaction with students during this class? Andrew Herring														
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses					Means				
Excellent		(5)	18	78.26%										
Good		(4)	4	17.39%										
Satisfactory		(3)	1	4.35%										
Poor		(2)	0	0%										
Unsatisfactory		(1)	0	0%										
					0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark		
Return Rate		Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark		Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark		Mean	STD	Median
23/27 (85.19%)		4.74	0.54	5.00	15,497		4.35	0.95	5.00	1,867		4.32	0.95	5.00

5 - Did you make arrangements for an office visit with Andrew Herring during this semester?													
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses					Means			
Yes		(2)	4	17.39%							1.17	1.31	1.39
No		(1)	19	82.61%									
					0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark	Mean	STD	Median		
23/27 (85.19%)	1.17	0.39	1.00	15,907	1.31	0.46	1.00	1,865	1.39	0.49	1.00		

6 - If, and only if, you answered yes to the previous question, how would you evaluate the Andrew Herring's interaction with you during office visit(s)?													
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses					Means			
Excellent		(5)	4	100%									
Good		(4)	0	0%									
Satisfactory		(3)	0	0%									
Poor		(2)	0	0%									
Unsatisfactory		(1)	0	0%									
					0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark	Mean	STD	Median		
4/27 (14.81%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	5,234	4.52	0.86	5.00	778	4.51	0.89	5.00		

UWYO: Arts & Sciences

Spring 2015 Arts and Sciences Teaching Evaluations

Course: MATH-2210-25 : MATH-2210-25

Instructor: Andrew Herring *

7 - How would you rate the Andrew Herring in making the course intellectually challenging?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses					Means			
Excellent	(5)	13	56.52%						4.48	4.30	4.41	
Good	(4)	8	34.78%									
Satisfactory	(3)	2	8.7%									
Poor	(2)	0	0%									
Unsatisfactory	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	
23/27 (85.19%)	4.48	0.67	5.00	15,428	4.30	0.87	4.00	1,865	4.41	0.78	5.00	

8 - What is your overall assessment of the instruction in this course? Andrew Herring												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses					Means			
Excellent	(5)	13	56.52%						4.43	4.21	4.22	
Good	(4)	7	30.43%									
Satisfactory	(3)	3	13.04%									
Poor	(2)	0	0%									
Unsatisfactory	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	
23/27 (85.19%)	4.43	0.73	5.00	15,450	4.21	1.00	4.00	1,872	4.22	0.99	4.00	

9 - Would you recommend this instructor to others? Andrew Herring												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses					Means			
Most definitely	(4)	16	69.57%						3.65	3.38	3.39	
Probably	(3)	6	26.09%									
Probably not	(2)	1	4.35%									
Definitely not	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	
23/27 (85.19%)	3.65	0.57	4.00	15,447	3.38	0.85	4.00	1,871	3.39	0.84	4.00	

10 - What percentage of time have you attended this class?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses					Means			
Over 90%	(5)	13	59.09%						4.41	4.64	4.51	
90%	(4)	7	31.82%									
75%	(3)	1	4.55%									
50%	(2)	0	0%									
25%	(1)	1	4.55%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	
22/27 (81.48%)	4.41	0.96	5.00	13,524	4.64	0.74	5.00	1,868	4.51	0.87	5.00	

UWYO: Arts & Sciences

Spring 2015 Arts and Sciences Teaching Evaluations

Course: MATH-2210-25 : MATH-2210-25

Instructor: Andrew Herring *

11 - In comparison to other classes you have taken at UW, how interested are you in the subject matter covered in this class?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses				Means				
Very interested	(3)	10	43.48%					2.39	2.29	2.13		
Somewhat interested	(2)	12	52.17%									
Not interested at all	(1)	1	4.35%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	
23/27 (85.19%)	2.39	0.58	2.00	13,506	2.29	0.70	2.00	1,864	2.13	0.69	2.00	

12 - As honestly as possible, rate the effort you have put into this class?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses				Means				
A great deal	(5)	3	13.04%					4.00	4.14	4.16		
A good deal	(4)	18	78.26%									
Moderate	(3)	1	4.35%									
A little	(2)	1	4.35%									
Very little	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	
23/27 (85.19%)	4.00	0.60	4.00	13,554	4.14	0.83	4.00	1,866	4.16	0.81	4.00	

13 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses				Means				
A	(5)	3	14.29%					3.90	4.29	3.99		
B	(4)	14	66.67%									
C	(3)	3	14.29%									
D	(2)	1	4.76%									
F	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	
21/27 (77.78%)	3.90	0.70	4.00	13,534	4.29	0.80	4.00	1,863	3.99	0.90	4.00	

14 - What is your class standing?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses				Means				
Freshman	(1)	4	17.39%									
Sophomore	(2)	13	56.52%									
Junior	(3)	5	21.74%									
Senior	(4)	1	4.35%									
Graduate Student	(5)	0	0%									
Other	(6)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	College benchmark	Department benchmark	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	Department benchmark	Mean	STD	Median	
23/27 (85.19%)	2.13	0.76	2.00	13,577	2.66	1.31	3.00	1,873	2.24	1.17	2.00	