

# MATH 20510. Analysis in $\mathbb{R}^n$ III (accelerated)

Based on lectures by Prof. Donald Stull

Notes taken by Andrew Hah

The University of Chicago - Spring 2025

## 1 Measure Theory

**Definition 1.1.** A family of sets  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a *ring* if, for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

- (i)  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$
- (ii)  $A \setminus B \in \mathcal{A}$

**Definition 1.2.** A ring  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a  $\sigma$ -*ring* if for any  $\{A_n\}_1^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\bigcup_1^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{A}.$$

**Definition 1.3.**  $\phi$  is a *set function* on a ring  $\mathcal{A}$  if for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\phi(A) \in [-\infty, \infty].$$

**Definition 1.4.** A set function  $\phi$  is *additive* if for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,

$$\phi(A \cup B) = \phi(A) + \phi(B).$$

**Definition 1.5.** A set function  $\phi$  is *countably additive* if for any  $\{A_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$ ,

$$\phi\left(\bigcup_1^n A_n\right) = \sum_1^n \phi(A_n).$$

In the last two we assume that there are no  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(A) = -\infty, \phi(B) = \infty$ .

**Remark 1.6.** If  $\phi$  is an additive set function,

- (i)  $\phi(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are pairwise disjoint then  $\phi(\bigcup_1^n A_n) = \sum_1^n \phi(A_n)$ .
- (iii)  $\phi(A_1 \cup A_2) + \phi(A_1 \cap A_2) = \phi(A_1) + \phi(A_2)$ .

- (iv) If  $\phi$  is nonnegative and  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  then  $\phi(A_1) \leq \phi(A_2)$ .
- (v) If  $B \subseteq A$  and  $|\phi(B)| < \infty$  then  $\phi(A \setminus B) = \phi(A) - \phi(B)$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $\phi$  be a countably additive set function on a ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose  $\{A_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  and  $A = \bigcup_1^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\phi(A_n) \rightarrow \phi(A)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $B_1 = A_1$  and  $B_n = A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$ . Note

- (i)  $\{B_n\}$  is pairwise disjoint.
- (ii)  $A_n = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_n$ .
- (iii)  $A = \bigcup_1^\infty B_n$ .

Hence  $\phi(A_n) = \sum_1^n \phi(B_j)$ ,  $\phi(A) = \sum_1^\infty \phi(B_j)$  and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Definition 1.8.** An *interval*  $I = \{(a_i, b_i)\}_1^n$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the set of points  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  such that  $a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i$  or  $a_i < x_i \leq b_i$ , etc. where  $a_i \leq b_i$ .

Note.  $\emptyset$  is an interval.

**Definition 1.9.** If  $A$  is the union of a finite number of intervals, we say  $A$  is *elementary*.

We denote the set of elementary sets by  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Definition 1.10.** If  $I$  is an interval of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we define the volume of  $I$  by

$$\text{vol}(I) = \prod_i^n (b_i - a_i).$$

If  $A = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_k$  is elementary, and the intervals are disjoint, then

$$\text{vol}(A) = \sum_1^k \text{vol}(I_j).$$

**Remark 1.11.** (i)  $\mathcal{E}$  is a ring, but not a  $\sigma$ -ring.

(ii) If  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $A$  can be written as a finite union of disjoint intervals.

(iii) If  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $\text{vol}(A)$  is well-defined.

(iv)  $\text{vol}$  is an additive set function on  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $\text{vol} \geq 0$ .

**Definition 1.12.** A nonnegative set function  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  is *regular* if  $\forall A \in \mathcal{E}, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists$  open  $G \in \mathcal{E}, G \supseteq A$  and closed  $F \in \mathcal{E}, F \subseteq A$ , such that

$$\phi(G) \leq \phi(A) + \varepsilon, \quad \phi(A) \leq \phi(F) + \varepsilon.$$

Note.  $\text{vol}$  is regular.

**Definition 1.13.** A *countable open cover* of  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a collection of open elementary sets  $\{A_n\}$  such that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_1^\infty A_n$ .

**Definition 1.14.** The *Lebesgue outer measure* of  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as

$$m^*(E) = \inf \sum_1^\infty \text{vol}(A_n).$$

where  $\inf$  is taken over all countable open covers of  $E$ .

**Remark 1.15.** (i)  $m^*(E)$  is well-defined.

(ii)  $m^*(E) \geq 0$ .

(iii) If  $E_1 \subseteq E_2$  then  $m^*(E_1) \leq m^*(E_2)$ .

**Theorem 1.16.** (i) If  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $m^*(A) = \text{vol}(A)$ .

(ii) If  $E = \bigcup_1^\infty E_n$  then  $m^*(E) \leq \sum_1^\infty m^*(E_n)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\text{vol}$  is regular,  $\exists$  open  $G \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $A \subseteq G$  and  $\text{vol}(G) \leq \text{vol}(A) + \epsilon$ . Since  $G \supseteq A$  and  $G \in \mathcal{E}$  is open,  $m^*(A) \leq \text{vol}(G) \leq \text{vol}(A) + \epsilon$ . There also  $\exists$  closed  $F \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $F \subseteq A$  and  $\text{vol}(A) \leq \text{vol}(F) + \epsilon$ . By definition,  $\exists$  collection  $\{A_n\}$  of open elementary sets such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup A_n$  and  $\sum_1^\infty \text{vol}(A_n) \leq m^*(A) + \epsilon$ . Since  $F \subseteq \bigcup A_n$  and  $F$  is compact,  $F \subseteq A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N$  from some  $N$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(A) &\leq \text{vol}(F) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \text{vol}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_1^N \text{vol}(A_n) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_1^\infty \text{vol}(A_n) + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq m^*(A) + \epsilon + \epsilon \\
&= m^*(A) + 2\epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary,  $m^*(A) = \text{vol}(A)$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* (ii) If  $m^*(E_n) = \infty$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we are done. Assume not. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists$  open cover of  $E_n$ ,  $\{A_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \text{vol}(A_{n,k}) \leq m^*(E_n) + \epsilon/2^n$$

Then  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_{n,k}$  and so

$$\begin{aligned}
m^*(E) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^\infty \text{vol}(A_{n,k}) \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty m^*(E_n) + \epsilon/2^n \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^\infty m^*(E_n) + \sum_1^\infty \epsilon/2^n \\
&= \sum_1^\infty m^*(E_n) + \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$
 $\square$

**Definition 1.17.** Let  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (i)  $A \triangle B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ .
- (ii)  $d(A, B) = m^*(A \triangle B)$ .
- (iii) We say  $A_n \rightarrow A$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(A_n, A) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.18.** If there is a sequence of elementary sets  $\{A_n\}$  such that  $A_n \rightarrow A$  then we say  $A$  is *finitely  $m$ -measurable* and we write  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_F(m)$ .

**Definition 1.19.** If  $A$  is the countable union of finitely  $m$ -measurable sets, we say that  $A$  is  *$m$ -measurable* (Lebesgue measurable) and we write  $A \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$ .

**Theorem 1.20.**  $\mathfrak{M}(m)$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring and  $m^*$  is countably additive on  $\mathfrak{M}(m)$ .

**Definition 1.21.** The *Lebesgue measure* is the set function defined on  $\mathfrak{M}(m)$  by

$$m(A) = m^*(A), \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{M}(m).$$

To summarize,

set function	domain	properties
vol	$\mathcal{E}$	$\geq 0$ , additive, $\mathcal{E}$ -regular
$m^*$	$\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$	$\geq 0$ , $m^*(A) = \text{vol}(A) \forall A \in \mathcal{E}$ , countably subadditive
$m$	$\mathfrak{M}(m)$	$\geq 0$ , $m(E) = m^*(E) \forall E \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$ , countable additivity(!)

**Example 1.22.** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (i) If  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  then  $A \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$  since  $m^*(A \triangle A) = m^*(\emptyset) = 0 \implies A \rightarrow A$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbb{R}^n \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$  since  $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} [-N, N]^n \implies m(\mathbb{R}^n) = \infty$ .
- (iii) If  $A \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$  then  $A^c \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$ .
- (iv)  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\{x\} \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$  and  $m(\{x\}) = 0$ .
- (v)  $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$  and  $m(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) = 0 \implies m(\mathbb{Q}^n) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.23.**  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$  is *measurable* if  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) > a\} \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $f^{-1}((a, \infty]) \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 1.24.**  $f$  continuous  $\implies f$  measurable.

**Theorem 1.25.** *The following are equivalent,*

- (i)  $\{x : f(x) > a\}$  is measurable  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (ii)  $\{x : f(x) \geq a\}$  is measurable  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (iii)  $\{x : f(x) < a\}$  is measurable  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (iv)  $\{x : f(x) \leq a\}$  is measurable  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii).

$$\{x : f(x) \geq a\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{x : f(x) > a - \frac{1}{n}\right\}$$

□

**Theorem 1.26.** *If  $f$  is measurable then  $|f|$  is measurable.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\{x : |f(x)| < a\} \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\{x : |f(x)| < a\} = \{x : f(x) < a\} \cap \{x : f(x) > -a\}$$

□

**Theorem 1.27.** Suppose  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions. Define

$$g = \sup_n f_n \quad \text{and} \quad h = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$$

Then  $g, h$  are measurable.

*Proof.*  $\{x : g(x) > a\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : f_n(x) > a\}$  implies  $g$  is measurable. Similarly,  $\inf_n f_n$  is measurable. Define  $g_n = \sup_{m \geq n} f_m$  and note that  $g_n$  is measurable for all  $n$ . Since  $h = \inf_n g_n$ ,  $h$  is measurable. □

**Corollary 1.28.** If  $f, g$  are measurable then  $\max\{f, g\}$  and  $\min\{f, g\}$  are also measurable.

**Corollary 1.29.** Define  $f^+ = \max\{f, 0\}$ ,  $f^- = -\min\{f, 0\}$ . Then if  $f$  is measurable,  $f^+, f^-$  are also measurable.

**Corollary 1.30.** If  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions such that  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  pointwise, then  $f$  is measurable.

**Theorem 1.31.**  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  measurable,  $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous, and  $h(x) = F(f(x), g(x))$ . Then  $h$  is measurable. In particular, this tells us that  $f + g$  and  $fg$  are measurable.

**Definition 1.32.** A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *simple* if  $\text{range}(f)$  is a finite set.

**Example 1.33.** Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . The characteristic function of  $E$  is

$$\chi_E(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Suppose  $f$  is simple, so  $\text{range}(f) = \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ . Let  $E_i = \{x : f(x) = c_i\}$ . Then

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{E_i} c_i$$

**Theorem 1.34.**  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . There exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of simple functions such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise.

(i) If  $f$  is measurable,  $\{f_n\}$  can be chosen to be measurable.

(ii) If  $f \geq 0$  then  $\{f_n\}$  can be chosen to be monotonically increasing.

*Proof.* If  $f \geq 0$ , define the sets

$$E_{n,i} = \left\{ x : \frac{i-1}{2^n} \leq f(x) < \frac{i}{2^n} \right\}, \quad n \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, n2^n$$

$$F_n = \{x \mid f(x) \geq n\}, \quad n \geq 1$$

Define

$$f_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n2^n} \frac{i-1}{2^n} \chi_{E_{n,i}} + n \chi_{F_n}$$

We see that  $f_n$  is measurable. Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > f(x)$  and  $2^{-N} < \varepsilon$ . Let  $n \geq N$ . Note that  $x \in E_{n,i}$  for some  $i$ . Since  $f_n(x) = \frac{i-1}{2^n}$  and  $f(x) \geq f_n(x)$ ,  $f(x) - f_n(x) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} < \varepsilon$ . Thus  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise. We now show  $\{f_n\}$  is monotonically increasing.

- (i) Case 1:  $x \in F_n$ . Then  $f(x) \geq n$  and  $f_n(x) = n$ . If  $x \in F_{n+1}$ , then  $f_{n+1}(x) = n+1 > n = f_n(x)$ . If  $x \notin F_{n+1}$  then  $x \in$  some  $E_{n+1,i}$ . Then  $\frac{i-1}{2^{n+1}} \geq n \implies f_{n+1}(x) \geq n = f_n(x)$ .
- (ii) Case 2:  $x \in E_{n,i}$  for some  $i$ . Then  $f_n(x) = \frac{i-1}{2^n}$ . Then there is some  $j$  such that  $x \in E_{n+1,j} = \{x : \frac{j-1}{2^{n+1}} \leq f(x) \leq \frac{j}{2^{n+1}}\}$ . Because  $\frac{i-1}{2^n} \leq f(x)$ , we have  $\frac{j-1}{2^{n+1}} \geq \frac{i-1}{2^n}$  so  $f_{n+1}(x) = \frac{j-1}{2^{n+1}} \geq \frac{i-1}{2^n} = f_n(x)$ .

Thus in both cases,  $\{f_n\}$  is monotonically increasing. We next consider the general case. Given  $f$ , we write  $f^+(x) = \max\{f(x), 0\}$  and  $f^- = -\min\{f(x), 0\}$  so that  $f = f^+ - f^-$  and  $f^+, f^- \geq 0$ . By the previous part, there exist two sequences of nonnegative measurable simple functions  $f_n^+ \rightarrow f^+$  and  $f_n^- \rightarrow f^-$  each converging pointwise. Define  $f_n(x) = f_n^+(x) - f_n^-(x)$ . Then  $f_n$  is simple and measurable since it is the difference of two simple measurable functions, and converges pointwise.  $\square$