

# Some Remarks on Computing Gradients of a Matrix Exponential

March 27, 2023

## 1 The Dynamical Systems View

We are interested in computing

$$\nabla_J \exp(tQ) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\exp(t(Q + \epsilon J)) - \exp(tQ)}{\epsilon} \quad (1.1) \quad \text{--eq:grad:def--}$$

matrices  $J, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . Noting that  $X(t) := \exp(tQ)$  obeys the (matrix valued) ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = QX, \quad X(0) = I, \quad (1.2) \quad \text{--eq:exptQ--}$$

and taking  $X^\epsilon = \exp(tQ + \epsilon J)$ ,  $Y^\epsilon = \epsilon^{-1}(X^\epsilon - X)$  we have

$$\frac{dY^\epsilon}{dt} = QY^\epsilon + JX^\epsilon, \quad Y^\epsilon(0) = 0.$$

Hence taking a limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  we find that  $Y = \nabla_J \exp(tQ)$  obeys

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = QY + JX, \quad Y(0) = 0, \quad (1.3) \quad \text{--eq:sen:eq--}$$

and so variation of constants yields that, for any  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$Y(t) = \exp(tQ) \int_0^t \exp(-sQ) J \exp(sQ) ds = \exp(tQ) \sum_{k,m=0}^{\infty} \int_0^t \frac{(-s)^k Q^k J s^m Q^m}{k!m!} ds \quad (1.4) \quad \text{--eq:var:const:1--}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \exp(tQ) \sum_{k,m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{Q^k J Q^m}{m!k!(m+k+1)} t^{k+m+1} = \exp(tQ) \sum_{k,m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(m+k)!}{m!k!} \frac{Q^k J Q^m}{(m+k+1)!} t^{k+m+1} \\ &= \exp(tQ) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \left( \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \binom{n}{l} Q^l J Q^{n-l} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.5) \quad \text{--eq:var:const:2--}$$

Note that the last line corresponds to the identity from [NH95]. Taking the first order approximation in (1.5) gives

$$\tilde{\nabla}_J \exp(tQ) = t \exp(tQ) J, \quad (1.6)$$

which we see from (1.4) is evidently exact in the case when  $J$  and  $Q$  commute.

Next notice that if we differentiate  $\tilde{Y} = t \exp(tQ) J$  we find that  $\tilde{Y}$  obeys

$$\frac{d\tilde{Y}}{dt} = Q\tilde{Y} + \exp(tQ) J, \quad \tilde{Y}(0) = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Taking

$$Z(t) = Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) = \nabla_J \exp(tQ) - t \exp(tQ) J \quad (1.8) \quad \text{--eq:true:diff--}$$

and comparing with (1.3) yields

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = QZ + J \exp(tQ) - \exp(tQ)J, \quad Z(0) = 0. \quad (1.9) \quad \text{--eq:Z:dym--}$$

and hence

$$Z(t) = \exp(tQ) \int_0^t (\exp(-sQ)J \exp(sQ) - J) ds = \exp(tQ) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \left( \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \binom{n}{l} Q^l J Q^{n-l} \right) \quad (1.10) \quad \text{--eq:ass:procs--}$$

as could also be directly deduced from (1.4), (1.5).

## 2 Time Asymptotic Approximation Error

We would like to deduce the time asymptotic behavior of  $Z(t) := \nabla_J \exp(tQ) - t \exp(tQ)J$ . Evidently we need some further structure for  $Q$ . Consider the class of (irreducible) rate matrices

$$\mathcal{R} = \{Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} | Q_{i,j} > 0 \text{ for } j \neq i \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^d Q_{i,j} = 0 \text{ for each } i\} \quad (2.1)$$

and subclass of diagonalizable matrices

$$\mathcal{D} = \{Q \in \mathcal{R} | Q \text{ is diagonalizable and for a suitable diagonalizing matrix } M, D = MQM^{-1} \\ \Re D_{i,i} < 0, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, d-1, D_{d,d} = 0\}. \quad (2.2) \quad \text{--eq:Q:spc--}$$

Notice that, for  $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$Q \exp(tQ) = M^{-1} D M M^{-1} \exp(tD) M = M^{-1} D \exp(tD) M$$

and hence

$$\|Q e^{tQ}\| \leq \|M^{-1}\| \|M\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} |D_{j,j} \exp(tD_{j,j})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{d-1} \|M^{-1}\| \|M\| e_M \exp(-te_m) \quad (2.3) \quad \text{--eq:decay:est:1--}$$

where here and below  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the standard Frobenius norm on  $\mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$  and

$$e_m = \min_{i=1, \dots, d-1} |D_{i,i}|, \quad e_M = \max_{i=1, \dots, d-1} |D_{i,i}|. \quad (2.4) \quad \text{--eq:max:min:D--}$$

Thus  $\|Q e^{tQ}\|$  decays with an exponential rate and so with (1.2) so does  $d e^{-tQ} / dt$

Building on this observation and (1.10) we might expect, for  $t$  large, that

$$Z(t) \approx \exp(tQ) J \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+1} Q^n}{(n+1)!} = \exp(tQ) J Q^+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+1} Q^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} = \exp(tQ) J Q^+ (\exp(tQ) - I - tQ) \quad (2.5)$$

$$\approx -\exp(tQ) J Q^+ (I + tQ) \quad (2.6) \quad \text{--eq:ass:procs:der--}$$

where  $Q^+$  is the pseudo-inverse  $Q$ . Notice that

$$\tilde{Z}(t) := -\exp(tQ) J Q^+ (I + tQ) \quad (2.7) \quad \text{--eq:t:ass:diff--}$$

obeys

$$\frac{d\tilde{Z}}{dt} = Q\tilde{Z} - \exp(tQ) J Q^+ Q, \quad \tilde{Z}(0) = -J Q^+. \quad (2.8) \quad \text{--eq:t:Z:dym--}$$

Here in our heuristic (2.6) we used our structural assumptions on  $Q \in \mathcal{D}$  to infer that

$$Q = QQ^+Q = Q^+Q^2.$$

To justify dropping  $\exp(tQ)JQ^+e^{tQ}$  as small for large  $t$  we observe analogously to (2.3)

$$\|Q^+e^{tQ}\| \leq \|M^{-1}\| \|M\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} |D_{i,i}^{-1} \exp(tD_{i,i})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{d-1} \|M^{-1}\| \|M\| e_M^{-1} \exp(-te_M). \quad (2.9) \quad \text{--eq:decay:est:2--}$$

with  $e_M$  defined as in (2.4).

Let us now prove that indeed  $-\exp(tQ)JQ^+(I+tQ) \approx \nabla_J \exp(tQ) - t \exp(tQ)J$  with an error decaying at an exponential rate as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . More precisely we have the following

thm:ass:error

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any  $Q \in \mathcal{D}$  and any  $J \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$*

$$\| -\exp(tQ)JQ^+(I+tQ) + Q^+J(I-QQ^+) - (\nabla_J \exp(tQ) - t \exp(tQ)J) \| \leq Ce^{-\kappa t} \quad (2.10)$$

for constants  $C, \kappa > 0$  depending on  $Q$  and  $J$  explicitly as

$$C := \quad \kappa := \quad (2.11)$$

*Proof.* Take  $W(t) = \tilde{Z}(t) - Z(t)$  where are defined as in (2.7), (1.8) respectively. Notice that  $W$  follows the dynamic, cf. (1.9), (2.8)

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = QW + \exp(tQ)J(I-Q^+Q) - J \exp(tQ) \quad W(0) = -JQ^+ \quad (2.12) \quad \text{--eq:ass:err:eq--}$$

With variation of constants we arrive at the expression

$$W(t) = -\exp(tQ) \left( JQ^+ + \int_0^t (\exp(-sQ)J \exp(sQ) - J(I-Q^+Q)) ds \right) \quad (2.13) \quad \text{--eq:ass:err:sol--}$$

But we observe the following for expression inside of the integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-sQ)J \exp(sQ) - J(I-Q^+Q) \\ &= \exp(-sQ)QQ^+J \exp(sQ) + \exp(-sQ)(I-QQ^+)J \exp(sQ) - J(I-Q^+Q) \\ &= \exp(-sQ)QQ^+J \exp(sQ) + (I-QQ^+)J \exp(sQ) - J(I-Q^+Q) \\ &= \exp(-sQ)QQ^+J \exp(sQ) + (I-QQ^+)JQQ^+ \exp(sQ) \\ & \quad + (I-QQ^+)J(I-QQ^+) \exp(sQ) - J(I-Q^+Q) \\ &= \exp(-sQ)QQ^+J \exp(sQ) + (I-QQ^+)JQQ^+ \exp(sQ) \\ & \quad + (I-QQ^+)J(I-QQ^+) - J(I-Q^+Q) \\ &= \exp(-sQ)QQ^+J \exp(sQ) + (I-QQ^+)JQQ^+ \exp(sQ) - QQ^+J(I-QQ^+) \\ &= \exp(-sQ)QQ^+JQQ^+ \exp(sQ) + \exp(-sQ)QQ^+J(I-QQ^+) \\ & \quad + (I-QQ^+)JQQ^+ \exp(sQ) - QQ^+J(I-QQ^+) \end{aligned}$$

In deriving the above identity we have repeatedly used that

$$\begin{aligned} (I-QQ^+) \exp(sQ) &= M^{-1}M(I-QQ^+) \exp(sQ)M^{-1}M = M^{-1}M(I-QQ^+)M^{-1}M \exp(sQ)M^{-1}M \\ &= M^{-1}(I-DD^+) \exp(sD)M = I-QQ^+ \end{aligned}$$

Hence combining the above we find

$$\begin{aligned} W(t) &= -\exp(tQ) \left( JQ^+ + \int_0^t (I-QQ^+)JQQ^+ \exp(sQ) + \exp(-sQ)QQ^+J(I-QQ^+) ds \right) \\ & \quad - \int_0^t \exp((t-s)Q)Q^+QJQQ^+Q \exp(sQ) ds + t \exp(tQ)Q^+QJ(I-QQ^+) \\ &:= T_1(t) + T_2(t) + T_3(t) \end{aligned}$$

Regarding  $T_1(t)$  observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1(t) &= -\exp(tQ) \left( JQ^+ + \int_0^t (I - QQ^+) JQ Q^+ \exp(sQ) + \exp(-sQ) Q Q^+ J(I - QQ^+) ds \right) \\
&= -\exp(tQ) \left( JQ^+ + \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} [(I - QQ^+) JQ^+ \exp(sQ) - \exp(-sQ) Q^+ J(I - QQ^+)] ds \right) \\
&= -\exp(tQ) \left( JQ^+ + (I - QQ^+) JQ^+ \exp(tQ) - \exp(-tQ) Q^+ J(I - QQ^+) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (I - QQ^+) JQ^+ + Q^+ J(I - QQ^+) \right) \\
&= -\exp(tQ) \left( QQ^+ JQ^+ + (I - QQ^+) JQ^+ \exp(tQ) + Q^+ J(I - QQ^+) \right) - Q^+ J(I - QQ^+)
\end{aligned}$$

Turning to  $T_2(t)$  and letting  $\bar{J} = M^{-1} J M$  and  $I_{-d}$  be the identity matrix with final diagonal element set to 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2(t) &= \int_0^t \exp((t-s)Q) Q^+ Q J Q^+ Q \exp(sQ) ds \\
&= M \left( \int_0^t (\exp((t-s)D) I_{-d}) \bar{J} (I_{-d} \exp(sD)) ds \right) M^{-1} \\
&= M \left( \int_0^t \bar{J} \circ \Psi(t, s) ds \right) M^{-1} = M \left( \bar{J} \circ \int_0^t \Psi(t, s) ds \right) M^{-1} \\
&= M (\bar{J} \circ \Phi(t)) M^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

where the elements of  $\Psi(t, s)$  satisfy

$$\Psi_{ij}(t, s) = \begin{cases} e^{(t-s)D_{j,j} + sD_{i,i}}, & i, j < d, i \neq j \\ e^{tD_{j,j}}, & i, j < d, i = j \\ 0, & o/w \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Phi_{ij}(t) = \int_0^t \Psi_{ij}(t, s) ds = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{tD_{i,i}} - e^{tD_{j,j}}}{D_{i,i} - D_{j,j}}, & i, j < d, i \neq j \\ te^{tD_{j,j}}, & i, j < d, i = j \\ 0, & o/w \end{cases}$$

Then, by the definition of the Frobenius norm

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_2(t)\| &= \|M (\bar{J} \circ \Phi(t)) M^{-1}\| \leq \|\bar{J} \circ \Phi(t)\| \|M\| \|M^{-1}\| \\
&= \left( \sum_{i,j} |\bar{J}_{ij}|^2 |\Phi_{ij}(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|M\| \|M^{-1}\| \\
&\leq \left( \sum_{i,j} |\Phi_{ij}(t)|^4 \right)^{1/4} \left( \sum_{i,j} |\bar{J}_{ij}|^4 \right)^{1/4} \|M\| \|M^{-1}\| \\
&\leq \left( (D-1)^2 \max_{i,j} |\Phi_{ij}(t)|^4 \right)^{1/4} \|\bar{J}^{\circ 2}\|^{1/2} \|M\| \|M^{-1}\| \\
&= \left( \max_{i,j} |\Phi_{ij}(t)| \right) \sqrt{D-1} \|\bar{J}^{\circ 2}\|^{1/2} \|M\| \|M^{-1}\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\max |\Phi_{ij}|$  goes to 0 at an exponential rate, we have  $\|T_2(t)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_3(t)\| &= \|t \exp(tQ) Q^+ Q J(I - QQ^+)\| \\
&\leq \left( t \max_{i < d} e^{tD_{i,i}} \right) \|J\| \|M\|^2 \|M^{-1}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

[FINISH]

□

### 3 High-dimensional Asymptotics

#### 3.1 Eigenvalues

Given the above result, it would be interesting to characterize the behavior of

$$\begin{aligned}\|Q^+ J(I - QQ^+)\|_F &\leq \|M^{-1} D^+ M\|_F \|J\|_F \|O^T(I - I_{-d})O\|_F \\ &\leq \|D^+\|_F \|M\|_F \|M^{-1}\|_F \|J\|_F \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{d-1}}{e_m} \|M\|_F \|M^{-1}\|_F \|J\|_F\end{aligned}$$

for random  $Q$  as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ . Here,  $O$  is the orthogonal matrix obtained by diagonalizing  $QQ^+$ , and we have used the rotation invariance of the Frobenius norm. We are therefore interested in the complex modulus of the non-zero eigenvalue closest to the origin on the complex plain.

#### 3.2 Singular values

Let  $U\Sigma V^T := Q$  be the SVD of  $Q$ . Then  $Q^+ = V\Sigma^+ U^T$ , and the spectral norm satisfies

$$\|Q\|_2 = \sigma_M, \quad \|Q^+\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sigma_m},$$

where  $\sigma_M$  is the maximal entry in the diagonal matrix  $\Sigma$  and  $\sigma_m$  is the minimal non-zero value of the same. Then

$$\|Q^+ J(I - QQ^+)\|_2 \leq \|Q^+\|_2 \|J\|_2 \|U(I - I_{-d})U^T\|_2 = \frac{\|J\|_2}{\sigma_m}.$$

When  $J$  is a matrix with a single non-zero value equal to 1, then  $\|J\|_2 = 1$ , and we have

$$\|Q^+ J(I - QQ^+)\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_m}.$$

Questions/Comments:

- Empirically,  $e_m, \sigma_m \rightarrow \infty$  as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 4 Questions, Comments and Clarifications

- Where does the extra correction term  $-Q^+ J(I - QQ^+)$  come from?
- $\mathcal{D}$  defined as (2.2) where  $Q$  lives is not a linear space? How does HMC work here? What is the underlying target distribution on  $\mathcal{D}$ ?
- How does the surrogate trajectory method implied by  $\tilde{\nabla}_J \exp(tQ) = t \exp(tQ)J$  perform relative to e.g. an adjoint method which exactly computes  $\nabla_J \exp(tQ)$ ?
- Why not use a ‘higher order’ approximation of  $\nabla_J \exp(tQ)$ ? For example we could take

$$\nabla_J \exp(tQ) \approx \exp(tQ) \int_0^t (I - sQ)J(I + sQ)ds = \exp(tQ) \left( tJ + \frac{t^2}{2}(JQ - QJ) - \frac{t^3}{3}QJQ \right). \quad (4.1)$$

Why is this a big deal to compute?

- Maybe we should try to prove a higher order version of (2.1) in any case.
- The formula (1.5), (1.4) may be useful for developing surrogate trajectory methods for other Matrix coefficient estimation problems.

#### 4.1 Estimation Problem 1: Determining the ‘Potential’ in a Toy QM Model.

Suppose we observe (some component of)  $x(t) \in \mathbb{C}^d$  from the solution of

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax, \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $A \in \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d} | A^* = -A\}$ . If  $|x_0| = 1$  then  $|x(t)|$  can be interpreted as a discrete probability distribution on  $\{1, \dots, d\}$  for any  $t \geq 0$ .

#### 4.2 Estimation Problem 2: Back to the Advection Diffusion model.

Consider the advection-diffusion model

$$\partial_t \theta = (\kappa \Delta - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \theta \quad \theta(0) = \theta_0 \quad (4.3)$$

Denote

$$S_{\mathbf{u}}(t)\theta_0 := \theta(t; \theta_0, \mathbf{u}). \quad (4.4)$$

Then taking

$$\rho = \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} S_{\mathbf{u}}(t)\theta_0 := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^{-1} (S_{\mathbf{u}+\epsilon \mathbf{v}}(t)\theta_0 - S_{\mathbf{u}}(t)\theta_0) \quad (4.5)$$

we have the  $\rho$  obeys

$$\partial_t \rho = (\kappa \Delta - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \theta \quad \rho(0) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Here we still have the variation of constants formula

$$\rho(t) = \int_0^t S_{\mathbf{u}}(t-s) \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \theta(s) ds = \int_0^t S_{\mathbf{u}}(t-s) \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla S_{\mathbf{u}}(s)\theta_0 ds. \quad (4.7)$$

## References

[Najfeld1995derivatives](#)

- [NH95] Igor Najfeld and Timothy F Havel. Derivatives of the matrix exponential and their computation. *Advances in applied mathematics*, 16(3):321–375, 1995.