# Quack: A Language for Novice Compiler Writers Version 0.3.3, September 22, 2018

# Michal Young

# Contents

1	Introduction 2		
	1.1	How to Read This Manual	2
	1.2	A Quick Tour	2
2	Lexical Structure		
	2.1	White Space	4
	2.2	Keywords	4
	2.3	Punctuation	5
	2.4	Identifiers	5
	2.5	Integer Literals	5
	2.6	String Literals	6
	2.7	Comments	6
3	Quack Grammar		
	3.1	Notation	7
	3.2	Structure of a Quack program	7
4	Classes and Types		
	4.1	Class Hierarchy	9
5	Statements		
	5.1	Control structures	9
	5.2	Assignments	10
	5.3	Bare Expressions	10
6	Expressions 10		
	6.1	Constants	11
	6.2	Binary Operators	11
	6.3	Logical Expressions (short circuit evaluation)	12
	6.4	Method Invocation	13
	6.5	Return	13
	6.6	Typecase	13
7	Dyn	amic Semantics	15
8	8 Acknowledgments		15

## 1 Introduction

quack (noun)

- 1. charlatan
- 2. a pretender to medical skill
- 3. a cry made by a duck

- Merriam-Webster Dictionary

This manual describes a small language defined specifically for use in a very short (10 week) compiler construction class. Quack is an object-oriented language with single inheritance and flow-insensitive type inference. To make it viable for a 10 week course, Quack purposely omits many features, such as templates (generics), arrays, and overloading, that require more sophistication in the type system and code generation. Nonetheless I believe implementing Quack will deepen your understanding of the languages and compilers you use regularly.

#### 1.1 How to Read This Manual

Do not expect to absorb everything here in one reading. Give it a light reading once, then re-read parts relevant to the part of the project you are working on. In the first week, you will need to understand the *lexical* structure of Quack to build your scanner (also called a "lexer"). Shortly after, you will need to understand the *syntactic* structure of Quack to build a parser, and it will help to understand the static semantics (type system) and dynamic (that is, execution) semantics so that you structure your grammar in a way that eases construction of the abstract syntax tree structure you will need for type checking and code generation. You will need to study the type system more thoroughly to build the abstract syntax tree and the type checker. Since the type checking and type inference are basically an abstraction of execution semantics, the code generation phase will likely not require any additional understanding of Quack.

## 1.2 A Quick Tour

The example program in Figure 1 illustrates some of the main features of Quack and should help in understanding the piecemeal presentation that follows. This program introduces three Quack classes (Pt, Rect, and Square) and uses the built-in classes Int and String. The output should be

Note that arguments to the constructor for class Pt are given in the class declaration, and the statements immediately following the class signature are the body of the constructor. As in Java, 'this' is an identifier bound to the current object instance (sometimes called the "receiver" object) in all methods, including the constructor. Unlike Java, Quack does not permit omitting 'this' in a reference to an instance variable. (If 'this' could be omitted, we would need another way to distinguish between instance variables and local variables used only in the constructor.)

```
/**
 * A simple sample Quack program
 */
class Pt(x: Int, y: Int) {
  this.x = x;
  this.y = y;
  def STR() : String {
      return "(" + this.x.STR() + ","
                 + this.y.STR() + ")";
  }
  def PLUS(other: Pt) : Pt {
      return Pt(this.x + other.x, this.y + other.y);
  }
  def _x() : Int { return this.x; }
  def _y() : Int { return this.y; }
}
class Rect(ll: Pt, ur: Pt) extends Obj {
  this.ll = 11;
  this.ur = ur;
  def translate(delta: Pt) : Pt { return Rect(l1+Pt, ur+Pt); }
  def STR() : String {
      lr = Pt( this.ur._y(), this.ll._x() ); // lower right
      ul = Pt( this.ll._x(), this.ur._y() ); // upper left
      return "(" + this.ll.STR() + ", "
                        ul.STR() + ","
                 + this.ur.STR() + ","
                        lr.STR() + ")";
 }
}
class Square(ll: Pt, side: Int) extends Rect {
  this.ll = 11;
  this.ur = Pt(this.ll._x() + side, this.ll._y() + side);
}
a_{square} = Square(Pt(3,3), 5);
a_square = a_square.translate( Pt(2,2) );
a_square.PRINT();
```

Figure 1: A simple Quack program that prints ((5,5), (5,10), (10,10), (10,5))

Methods STR and PRINT are defined in Obj, the root of the class hierarchy, but may be overridden by other classes using the standard compatibility rules familiar from languages like Java. Thus if a method STR (which is roughly like Java's 'to\_string' method) is defined, it must take no arguments (except the implicit 'this' passed in all method calls), and it must return a String or a subclass of String. Method PRINT is seldom overridden, but o.PRINT() prints o.STR, so the behavior of PRINT is affected by overriding STR.

Note there are no variable declarations in the sample program. Nonetheless, Quack is a statically typed language like Java, not a dynamically typed language like Python. The static type of a variable is calculated ("inferred") by the compiler by considering all assignments to the variable. Thus the instance variables x and y of Pt have type Int, because they are assigned an Int value.

While this sample program does not include type declarations for instance variable or local variables, an assignment can optionally include a type declaration:

```
a_square: Rect = Square( Pt(3,3), 5 );
```

If this leaves you wondering what happens when different types are assigned to the same variable, good for you. The type inference rules will be described in more detail later, but for now suffice it to say that the static type of a variable is the most specific type that is consistent with all assignments to that variable

# 2 Lexical Structure

# 2.1 White Space

White space consists of any sequence of the ASCII characters: HT, NL, CR, and SP. These characters are represented by the following C/C++ character literals respectively: '\t', '\n', '\r', and ' '. White space is ignored in Quack, except in quoted strings and to separate tokens.

## 2.2 Keywords

The keywords of Quack are

```
class def extends
if elif else
while return
typecase
```

There are also a handful of predefined identifiers that name built-in classes and values. They are not keywords. The predefined identifiers in Quack are

```
String Int Obj
Boolean true false
and or not
Nothing none
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is also somewhat similar to *auto* in C++, but differs in that we consider *all* assignments to the variable rather than only the current assignment.

#### 2.3 Punctuation

The following symbols are used like keywords in Quack:

- +,-,\*,/ Arithmetic operators, syntactic sugar for PLUS, MINUS, TIMES, DI-VIDE method calls.
- ==, <=, <,>=,> Comparisons, syntactic sugar for EQUALS, ATMOST, LESS, ATLEAST, MORE.
- and,or,not Logical operations with short-circuit semantics. These are not syntactic sugar. They can be used only for boolean values, and they have short-circuit semantics.
- {} Used to mark the beginning and end of a class or statement block.
- = Used to indicate assignment. I pronounce this symbol "gets".
- () Used to group sub-expressions.
- , Used to separate formal or actual arguments in an argument list.
- ; Used to mark the end of a statement.
- . Used to reference a method or variable in an object.
- : Used to associate a type with a variable in an assignment and to indicate the type of value returned by a method.

#### 2.4 Identifiers

Identifiers are strings (other than keywords) beginning with a letter or underscore and consisting of letters, digits, and the underscore character.  $my\_cool\_fUNCtion$  is a an identifier, as are 133tc0de, and  $\_\_just\_dont$ . Note that PLUS, MINUS, TIMES, and DIVIDE are valid identifiers and can appear as method names in any class to give meaning to the binary operators +, -, \* and /. Likewise EQUALS, ATMOST, ATLEAST, LESS, and MORE can be defined so that the comparisons = , <=, <, >=, > work for some class. Using those operators on values for which they are not defined results in the same error messages as using any other method that has not been defined. (Nothing prevents you from defining a comparison method from returning a non-boolean value, but it's a bad idea.)

Although it is conventional to begin class names with a capital letter and variable and method names with lower case letters, it is not a rule of Quack. In lieu of adding a *properties* facility to Quack, I have informally adopted the convention of starting a 'getter' method name with a single underscore.

#### 2.5 Integer Literals

Integer literals are non-empty strings of digits. 0 is an integer literal, as are 42, 99099, and 00088. –99 is not an integer literal; it is a pair of tokens, the second of which is the integer literal 99. <sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Do you see why -99 is not an integer literal? Consider the expression b - 99.

# 2.6 String Literals

There are two forms for string literals. The simple version of string literals are enclosed in double quotes "...". Within a string literal, a sequence '\c' is illegal unless it is one of the following:

```
\0 NUL
\b backspace
\t tab
\n newline
\r return
\f form feed
```

\" double quote

\\ backslash

A newline character may not appear in the simple form of a string literal (even if escaped):

```
"This is not\
OK"
```

Note the difference between the illegal example above, in which the newline character itself is escaped, and the following, in which the newline character is denoted by an escape code:

```
"This is\n OK"
```

The other form of string literals starts with *three* double quotes and continues until ended by three double quotes (again). Any characters, including backslashes and newlines are legal. The only thing forbidden is three double quotes. Thus the following represents a string literal:

```
"""This starts a string literal
that continues on for several lines
even though it includes "'s and \'s and newline characters
in a "wild" profu\sion\\ of normally i\\egal t"ings.\"""
```

The string contains literally everything inside of it.

#### 2.7 Comments

There are two forms for comments in Quack. Any characters after two slashes // and before the next newline (or EOF, if there is no next newline) are ignored. Also, any characters excepting the sequence \*/ may be enclosed in C-style comments: /\*...\*/.

.

# 3 Quack Grammar

## 3.1 Notation

The grammar of Quack is described here informally in English and more formally in an extended BNF<sup>3</sup> notation.

- foo denotes the literal string "foo". We use this for keywords like class and punctuation like:
- $\langle Sym \rangle$  (capitalized, in angle brackets) indicates Sym is a non-terminal symbol. For example,  $\langle Class \rangle$  represents the grammatical symbol for a class in Quack, but class denotes the keyword that introduces a class definition.
- t (italic font, lower case) indicates t is a terminal symbol (other than a keyword or punctuation). For example, ident is the terminal symbol for an identifier in Quack. "foo" and "a\_long\_variable\_name" are two different identifiers in Quack, both represented by ident in the grammar.

We use the following shorthands and conventions in our EBNF:

- We use  $\lambda$  to represent the empty string. This is just for clarity of expression.
- We use a postfix star (\*) to denote zero or more repetions (exactly like Kleene star in a regular expression).<sup>4</sup>

$$\langle S \rangle ::= \alpha (X Y Z)^* \beta$$

indicates that XYZ may appear zero or more times between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

- We use square braces like [ X Y Z ] to indicate an optional sequence of symbols, i.e., zero or one occurrences.
- We use parentheses to group symbols.

# 3.2 Structure of a Quack program

A program is a sequence of zero or more class definitions followed by zero or more statements.

$$\langle Program \rangle ::= (\langle Class \rangle)^* (\langle Statement \rangle)^*$$

A class is a class signature followed by the body of the class. The class signature gives the class name, arguments to its constructor method, and its superclass.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Backus Normal Form, or Backus-Naur Form, depending on whom you ask.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>An alternative EBNF convention you will sometimes encounter is to use curly braces { ...} to enclose a phrase that can be repeated. Although the braces notation is very readable, it was not practical to use braces in this way in parser generator tools that used braces for other purposes. Moreover, it is desirable to be as consistent as possible between our notation for regular expressions and our notation for context-free grammars. Thus we adopt the Kleene star notaton.

```
\langle \mathit{Class} \rangle \qquad ::= \langle \mathit{Class\_Signature} \rangle \, \langle \mathit{Class\_Body} \rangle
\langle \mathit{Class\_Signature} \rangle ::= \boxed{\mathtt{class}} \, \mathit{ident} \, \boxed{(\langle \mathit{Formal\_Args} \rangle)} \, \boxed{\mathtt{extends}} \, \mathit{ident}} \, \boxed{}
\langle \mathit{Formal\_Args} \rangle \qquad ::= [\, \mathit{ident} \, \boxdot \, \mathit{ident} \, (\lnot, \mathit{ident} \, \boxdot \, \mathit{ident} \, )^* \, \boxed{}
\mathsf{For} \, \mathsf{example},
\mathsf{class} \, \mathsf{Point}(\mathtt{x} \colon \mathsf{Int}, \, \mathtt{y} \colon \mathsf{Int}) \, \mathsf{extends} \, \mathsf{Obj}
```

When the extends clause is omitted, it is taken as shorthand for extends Obj, so the above example may be rewritten

```
class Point(x: Int, y: Int)
```

Classes in Quack form an inheritance hierarchy which is also a type hierarchy. This is familiar from languages like Java: If class C is a subclass of class S, then type C is also a subtype of type S and an object of type C may be used where an object of type S is required. When a subclass introduces a method that overrides a method of its superclass, the usual rules of contravariance for method arguments and covariance for results apply. Obj is the root of the class hierarchy.

Classes may not be redefined. Identifiers in Quack, including class names, are case sensitive; MyClass is different from myclass which is different from myClass. Although we conventionally use capitalized identifiers for class names in Quack, it is not a rule of the language.

Class signatures have global scope. All methods are accessible through objects of that class (i.e., like public methods in Java or C++), but all instance variables are accessible only within a class (like private fields in Java or C++). There is no identifier overloading in Quack: Although two things with the same name may appear in distinct scopes, a class cannot have the same name as a method or variable. The convention of capitalizing class names and using lowercase for variables and methods will prevent clashes between them.

The body of a class begins with a sequence of zero or more statements that act as the constructor of the class. All instance variables must be created in these statements. Method declarations follow the statements.

$$\langle Class\_Body \rangle ::= \boxed{\{\ (\langle Statement \rangle)^* \ (\langle Method \rangle)^*\ \}}$$

Method declarations require explicit declarations of argument and result types. This makes type checking or type inference simple and local.

$$\langle Method \rangle ::= \boxed{\text{def} \ ident \ (\ \langle formal\_arguments \rangle \ )} \ [\ :: \ ident \ ]$$

$$\langle Statement\_Block \rangle$$

A statement block is a sequence of zero of more statements, delimited by curly braces.

$$\langle Statement\_Block \rangle ::= \boxed{\{ (\langle Statement \rangle)^* \}}$$

# 4 Classes and Types

Although the type system of Quack is simple and fairly conventional, a full description of type checking and inference requires a separate document. Here we give a

short summary. Refer to *Type Checking and Type Inference for Quack* for details.

# 4.1 Class Hierarchy

As in many object-oriented programming languages, each class in Quack is associated with a type of the same name. Unlike Java or C++, it is also the case in Quack that every type an object can have<sup>5</sup> is a class.

Quack classes have single inheritance. Every class except *Obj* has a single superclass. The superclass of a class is designated by the *extends* clause of the class declaration, and an omitted *extends* clause implicitly designates *Obj* as the superclass. There are no Java-style interfaces or other means to create a supertype (subtype) relation that is not also an inheritance relation.

The subtype relation is a partial order, and we write  $C \leq S$  to indicate that C is a subtype of S. Note that the subtype relation is reflexive and transitive:  $A \leq B$  and  $A \leq B \land B \leq C \Rightarrow A \leq C$ . Cycles in the subclass relation are forbidden. (This is a separate check that the Quack compiler must make before attempting type checking.)

The subtype relation is intended to satisfy a weak version of the Liskov substitution principle: Anywhere an object of type A may legally be used, an object of type B such that  $B \leq A$  may legally be used. In other words, where an object of a given type is expected (e.g., as an actual argument passed to a method), an object of any subtype of the expected type is acceptable. Quack follows the usual covariance and contravariance rules familiar from other object-oriented languages like Java, without the complications introduced by collections, generics, and first-class functions.

Quack supports a limited version of intraprocedural, flow-insensitive type inference. Type checking and type inference are described in a separate document.

## 5 Statements

#### 5.1 Control structures

Quack has two control structures, *if* conditionals and *while* loops. Conditionals include optional *elif* and *else* parts. Note that we avoid the "dangling else problem"<sup>6</sup>. by making each branch be a block, not a statement.

$$\langle Statement \rangle ::= \boxed{\texttt{if}} \langle R\_Expr \rangle \langle Statement\_Block \rangle \\ (\boxed{\texttt{elif}} \langle R\_Expr \rangle \langle Statement\_Block \rangle)^* \\ [\boxed{\texttt{else}} \langle Statement\_Block \rangle]$$

The only loop construct in Quack is the basic *while* loop, without *break* or *continue* or any other fancy bits:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The hedge "that a variable can have" is because there are two special types,  $\top$  and  $\bot$ , that we use in type checking to represent uninitialized variables and type errors, respectively. No object ever has either of these types.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>The dangling else problem is a classic grammar booboo (I believe that's the technical term) that appears in C and many languages that imitate C grammar, such as Java. Your textbook likely discusses it. You can find a short, clear explanation in Wikipedia. Another way to avoid the problem is to close an *if* statement with *endif* of a similar exp. In languages like C and Java, the dangling else problem is typically resolved by making an *else* bind to the nearest *if* 

```
\langle Statement \rangle ::= \boxed{\text{while}} \langle R\_Expr \rangle \langle Statement\_Block \rangle
```

# 5.2 Assignments

An assignment statement has a left-hand side and a right hand side. The left hand side evaluates to a *location*.<sup>7</sup> The right hand side evaluates to a *value*. All values in Quack are references to objects.

```
\langle Statement \rangle ::= \langle L\_Expr \rangle \ [ \ : \ | ident \ ] \ [ \ = \ | \langle R\_Exp \rangle \ ];
```

A left-hand expression (that is, designation of a location in which to store a value) is often just an identifier, but sometimes it is the location of a field of an object.

It may appear surprising that a right-hand expression, evaluated for value, can appear as part of a location in a left-hand expression, but consider:

```
foo.findmax(this.children).weight = 42;
```

Note that field access is left-associative: a.b.c is (a.b).c, not a.(b.c).

# 5.3 Bare Expressions

A right-hand expression by itself can serve as a statement. This may make sense if evaluaton of a method has an *effect* as well as a *value*.

$$\langle Statement \rangle ::= \langle R\_Exp \rangle$$
 ;

I think allowing all bare expressions as statements is probably a bad idea, but the grammar is not the place to restrict which expressions can appear as statements. Extending the type system so that each method either has an effect or a result but never both, and then restricting bare expressions to method calls with effects, might be a nice extension project.

# 6 Expressions

So far we have a lot of ways to name and structure things, but we haven't defined any ways to perform actual computation. It's time to fix that. In what follows I'll use 'expression' as shorthand for 'right-hand expression' except when necessary to disambiguate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>You may think of a location as a memory address, although it is also useful have a more abstract notion of an *environment* as a map from names to locations and a *store* as a map from locations to values.

#### 6.1 Constants

The simplest expressions are literal constants. The literal constants in Quack are quoted strings and integers, whose forms are described in the lexical structure and are completely unsurprising.

```
\langle R\_Expr \rangle ::= string\_literal
\langle R\_Expr \rangle ::= integer\_literal
```

Also, anything that can be named in a left-hand expression can be evaluated in a right-hand expression:

```
\langle R\_Expr \rangle ::= \langle L\_Expr \rangle
```

# 6.2 Binary Operators

Quack has a handful of binary operators for addition, subtraction, etc.

$$\langle R\_Expr \rangle ::= \langle R\_Expr \rangle \boxed{+} \langle R\_Expr \rangle$$

$$\langle R\_Expr \rangle ::= \langle R\_Expr \rangle \boxed{-} \langle R\_Expr \rangle$$

$$\langle R\_Expr \rangle ::= \langle R\_Expr \rangle \boxed{*} \langle R\_Expr \rangle$$

$$\langle R\_Expr \rangle ::= \langle R\_Expr \rangle \boxed{/} \langle R\_Expr \rangle$$

$$\langle R\_Expr \rangle ::= \boxed{-} \langle R\_Expr \rangle$$

This expression grammar is highly ambiguous: How do we know whether a-b+c is a-(b+c) or (a-b)+c? It would be possible to expand the grammar to determine both associativity and precedence, but we'll keep it simple (and exploit features of almost all parser generator tools) by simply declaring our intention: Multiplication and division have higher precedence than addition and subtraction, and they are all left-associative (i.e., a-b+c is (a-b)+c but a-b/c is a-(b/c)). Unary negation has higher precedence than multiplication and division, so a\*-b is the same as a\*(0-b) and -a\*b is the same as (0-a)\*b.

If we want to group in a different way, or just be very clear about the meaning of an expression, we can use parentheses:

$$\langle R\_Expr \rangle ::= \left[ \left( \left| \langle R\_Expr \rangle \right| \right) \right]$$

The binary operators are actually *syntactic sugar* for method calls. For example, a + b will be represented internally as a . PLUS(b). This is called "desugaring". Because we desugar, we get a simple kind of operator overloading for free. You can define a class Point like this:

```
/*
 * A point has an x component and a y component
 */
class Pt(x: Int, y: Int) {
   this.x = x;
   this.y = y;
```

```
/* Note type of this.x and this.y is
 * fixed in the object --- methods cannot
 * change it. Essentially, the flow relation is
 * from every method to every other method.
 */
def _get_x(): Int {
    return this.x;
}
def _get_y(): Int {
    return this.y;
/* Mutator: Evaluate for effect */
def translate(dx: Int, dy: Int): Nothing {
    this.x = this.x + dx;
    this.y = this.y + dy;
}
/* More functional style: Evaluate for value */
def PLUS(other: Pt): Pt {
    return Pt(this.x + other.x, this.y + other.y);
}
```

Now Point (3,2) + Point (4,4) will give a point with coordinates (7,6).

# 6.3 Logical Expressions (short circuit evaluation)

}

Comparisons and logical operations also expressions. Comparisons can be syntactic sugar, but *and*, *or*, and *not* are not, because Quack (like most languages) uses short-circuit evaluation.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Semantically, short-circuit evaluation requires *lazy evaluation*; we cannot decide whether to evaluate the right-hand operand of *and* until we know the result of evaluating the left-hand operand. Method calls in Quack are call-by-value and are not lazy: All arguments are evaluated before their results are transmitted to the method. In principle this does not matter for *not*, since its single operand must always be evaluated. In practice, though, we will translate *not* as well as *and* and *or* into control flow, and often *not* will translate into zero operations.

$$\langle R\_Expr\rangle ::= \langle R\_Expr\rangle \boxed{==} \langle R\_Expr\rangle$$

$$\langle R\_Expr\rangle ::= \langle R\_Expr\rangle \boxed{<=} \langle R\_Expr\rangle$$

$$\langle R\_Expr\rangle ::= \langle R\_Expr\rangle \boxed{<} \langle R\_Expr\rangle$$

$$\langle R\_Expr\rangle ::= \langle R\_Expr\rangle \boxed{>=} \langle R\_Expr\rangle$$

$$\langle R\_Expr\rangle ::= \langle R\_Expr\rangle \boxed{>} \langle R\_Expr\rangle$$

$$\langle R\_Expr\rangle ::= \langle R\_Expr\rangle \boxed{and} \langle R\_Expr\rangle$$

$$\langle R\_Expr\rangle ::= \langle R\_Expr\rangle \boxed{or} \langle R\_Expr\rangle$$

$$\langle R\_Expr\rangle ::= \boxed{not} \langle R\_Expr\rangle$$

Comparisons bind more loosely (that is, have lower precedence) than arithmetic operators. Logical operations have lower precedence than comparisons.

#### 6.4 Method Invocation

To call a method, we evaluate a right-hand expression to get an object. The method is found in the class of the object. Zero or more actual arguments are passed in the method call.

$$\langle R\_Expr \rangle$$
 ::=  $\langle R\_Expr \rangle$  ... ident [  $\langle Actual\_Args \rangle$  ]  $\langle Actual\_Args \rangle$  ::= [  $\langle R\_Expr \rangle$  ( $\neg \langle R\_Expr \rangle$ )\*]

Constructors are the only methods that can be called without first dereferencing an object value.

$$\langle R\_Expr \rangle ::= ident \left[ \left( \left| \langle Actual\_Args \rangle \right| \right) \right]$$

#### 6.5 Return

A method returns a value to its caller with a *return* statement. A return statement may be followed by an expression indicating the value to be returned to the caller. If no expression is given, we treat it as if it returned a default value of a special empty type. The type of the expression given in the return statement must be compatible with the return type declared in the method declaration, and the only value compatible with the default return type (given by omitting a declared return type in the method) is the value returned by omitting an expression in the *return* statement.

$$\langle Statement \rangle ::= \boxed{\texttt{return}} [ \langle R\_Expr \rangle ] \boxed{;}$$

## 6.6 Typecase

A typecase statement is like a combination of an *instanceof* test and a cast in Java, or dynamic casts with checks in C++.

```
\langle Statement \rangle \qquad ::= \langle Typecase \rangle
\langle Typecase \rangle \qquad ::= \boxed{typecase} R_Expr \left\{ \left( \langle type_alternative \rangle \right)^* \right\}
\langle type_alternative \rangle ::= ident \left[ : \right] ident \langle Statement_Block \rangle
```

The right-hand expression introduced in the typecase statement is evaluated once. Type alternatives are checked in sequential order. For each type alternative, the value produced by the expression is checked for conformance (think *instanceof*) with the type specified in the alternative. If the value conforms to (is a subtype of) the specified type, it is assigned to a variable of that type, whose scope is the corresponding statement block. The statement block is executed, and the typecase statement is exited (i.e., only the first matching alternative is executed).

An example may make this clearer. Recall our example program with the Pt class. We wish to write an EQUALS method, to override the default method invoked when we use the == operation. Our first attempt might look like this:

```
def EQUAL(other: Pt) {
    return this.x == other.x and this.y == other.y;
}
```

Unfortunately this is illegal, because the type of the *other* argument must not be more restrictive than *Obj*. This is the *contravariance* rule for arguments when we override a method.

We might try to fix the problem this way:

return false;

}

```
def EQUAL(other: Obj): Boolean {
    return this.x == other.x and this.y == other.y;
}
```

This will also fail, because we can't take the x field of an Obj. If we were writing Java, we might solve this by using an *instanceof* test, and then using a cast. In C++ we might make a dynamic cast and then check to see whether the result is null. In Quack we use a typecase:

```
def EQUAL(other: Obj): Boolean {
    typecase other {
        pt: Point { return this.x == pt.x and this.y == pt.y; }
        thing: Obj { return false; }
    }
}

We could also have written

def EQUAL(other: Obj): Boolean {
    typecase other {
        pt: Point { return this.x == pt.x and this.y == pt.y; }
```

# 7 Dynamic Semantics

The dynamic semantics of Quack are pretty standard, and probably about what you expect from your experience with other object-oriented programming languages. In particular, method calls work just as you expect them to, dispatching to the method definition of the type of an object value (which may be a subtype of the type resolved during type-checking, and may therefore be a different but compatible method than that expected from the static type). We will implement this is in the conventional way, using virtual function tables (vtables) in type descriptors.

Storage reclamation. Quack is designed as a language with automatic storage reclamation, also known as garbage collection. However, we will not have time to implement a garbage collector. (It would be fun!) I will talk a little bit about how they work, and how we would accommodate a garbage collector in code generation, but this is largely (not quite completely) independent of other code generation tasks, and our programs can run (for a while) without garbage collection, so this will be one of the compromises we make to fit a compiler construction project into a 10-week term.

**Sugared Methods** A number of methods are "sugared" in Quack programs. For example, instead of writing a EQUALS(b), we can write a == b. Some of these methods are provided in class Obj, but it is intended that subclasses override them. Others are left undefined in Obj. For example, if we write  $a \le b$  where no AT-MOST method has been defined for the class associated with variable a (even if the value of a is a more refined type that does have an ATMOST method), the Quack compiler must report an error.

The only sugared method currently provided by *Obj* is *EQUALS*, which is sugared to ==. The default implementation of *EQUALS* provided by *Obj* returns true only if its two arguments refer to the same object.

## Other methods of Obj

STR returns "<object xxxxx>", where xxxxx is some unique identifying number, in decimal or hexadecimal notation. One way of producing unique identifying numbers is to take the address of the object as an integer. Note that the STR method of a class is called by the default PRINT method, so overriding the STR method will typically also change how an object is printed.

**PRINT** returns nothing. *x.PRINT()* prints *x.STR()* on the standard output stream.

# 8 Acknowledgments

Although Quack is a new language, portions of this manual are cribbed directly from the documentation of Cool, the Classroom Object-Oriented Language, by Alex Aiken.

Quack draws ideas from a variety of contemporary programming languages. Defining as much of the expression grammar as possible as syntactic sugar on method calls is inspired by Python, but also influenced by work on sugaring and desugaring

by Shriram Krishnamurthy. Use of very simple, local type inference rules (with explicit type declarations in method signatures) is inspired by a number of recent languages including C#, Swift, and Rust, and of course the basic idea of type inference goes back much further, to Milner's ML. Leaving out null pointers or uninitialized variables is likewise taken from a variety of recent languages.

# Mistakes

There are mistakes in this language definition, and in this manual. I don't know what they are, but I am certain they are there. Some of them may be trivial, but it is quite likely that some deeper inconsistencies or Very Bad Ideas have crept in. Please help me find and fix them. Send sightings of definite and possible bugs to michal@cs.uoregon.edu with subject "Quack bugs."



"I see you're admiring my little box," the Knight said in a friendly tone. "It's my own invention – to keep clothes and sandwiches in. You see I carry it upside-down, so that the rain ca'n't get in."

"But the things can get out," Alice gently remarked. "Do you know the lid's open?" "I didn't know it," the Knight said, a shade of vexation passing over his face.

- Lewis Carroll, Through the Looking Glass illustrated by John Tenniel