Theorem 0.1. Let M be a compact manifold and let $g: M \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be a smooth map, with $k \geq 2n + 1$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an a smooth embedding $g': M \to \mathbb{R}^k$, such that $\sup_{x \in M} |g(x) = g'(x)| < \varepsilon$.

Theorem 0.2 (Parametric transversality theorem). Suppose $F: X \times S \to Y$ is smooth, and $Z \subset Y$ is a submanifold. Denote $F_s: X \to Y$ by $F_s(\cdot) = F(s, \cdot)$. Suppose that $F \pitchfork Z$. Then for almost all $s \in S$, $F_s \pitchfork V$.

Lemma 0.3. If $s \in S$ is a regular value of π then $F_s \pitchfork Z$.

Proof of Lemma 0.3. Since the claim is local, we work in local coordinates. Let (x, s) be coordinates on $X \times S$, and choose coordinates (u, z) on Y such that z is the coordinate on Z and locally Z is given be $\{(z, u) : u = 0\}$ and F(0, 0) = 0. In these coordinates we can write

$$F(x,s) = (A(x,s), B(x,s)).$$

$$W = F^{-1}(Z) = \{(x, s) \colon A(x, s) = 0\}.$$

By Example ??, $T_0W = \{(\dot{x}, \dot{s}) \in T_0X \times T_0S : \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \cdot \dot{x} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial s} \cdot \dot{s} = 0\}$.

- 1. The transversality of F to Z means that $A_*(0)$ is onto. Indeed, by our our choice of coordinates, $T_0Z = \{(0, \dot{z})\} \subset T_0Y = \{(\dot{u}, \dot{z})\}$. Additionally, $F_*(T_0X \times S) = (A_*(T_0X \times S), B_*(T_0X \times S))$. Therefore, the condition $F_*(T_0X \times S) + T_0Z = T_0Y$ translates to surjectivity of $A_*(0)$. Thus for any \dot{u} there exist \dot{x}, \dot{s} such that $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \cdot \dot{x} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial s} \cdot \dot{s} = \dot{u}$.
- 2. By assumption, s=0 is a regular value of the projection $\pi|_W$. Now, $\pi_*: T_0W \to T_0S$, $(\dot{x}, \dot{s}) \mapsto \dot{s}$. Therefore, the surjectivity of $(\pi|_W)_*$ means that for any \dot{s} we can find \dot{x} such that $(\dot{x}, \dot{s}) \in T_0W$, that is, such that $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}\dot{x} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial s}\dot{s} = 0$.

Finally, our goal is to prove the transversality of F_0 to Z. As before, this amounts to requiring that $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}(0)$ is surjective, that is, for any \dot{u} we should find \dot{x} such that $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \cdot \dot{x} = \dot{u}$. So, let \dot{u} . By condition (1), we have \dot{x}_1, \dot{s} such that $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \cdot \dot{x}_1 + \frac{\partial A}{\partial s} \cdot \dot{s} = \dot{u}$. Now by condition (2) we have \dot{x}_2 such that $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \cdot \dot{x}_2 + \frac{\partial A}{\partial s} \cdot \dot{s} = \dot{u}$. Subtracting the two equations, we find that $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \cdot (\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2) = \dot{u}$. This proves the surjectivity of $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}(0)$ and hence the lemma.

Theorem 0.4 (Approximation theorem). Let $f: X \to Y$ be smooth, and let $Z \subset Y$ be a submanifold. Then there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a smooth $F: X \times D^N \to Y$ so that $F \cap Z$ and F(x,0) = f(x).

Therefore, by the parametric transversality theorem, $F_s \pitchfork Z$ for almost all $s \in S$. In particular, we can find s_i conv 0 such that $F_{s_i} \pitchfork Z$. In a suitably chosen topology on the space of smooth maps $X \to Y$, one obtains F_{s_i} conv f as s_i conv 0. Moreover, we note that by taking $s \to 0$ we in fact obtain a homotopy F_s such that $F_0 = 0$ and $F_s \pitchfork Z$ for almost any s. Thus Theorem 0.4 states that any map between manifolds can be approximated by homotopic maps transversal to Z.

For the proof of Theorem 0.4 we require the notion of a tubular neighbourhood: we assume that Y is compact, and consider it embedded in \mathbb{R}^N . Let $U_{\varepsilon}(Y) = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^N : d(w,Y) < \varepsilon\}$. Consider the normal bundle to Y in \mathbb{R}^N : $NY = \{(y,\xi) : y \in Y, \xi \in T_y \mathbb{R}^N, \xi \perp Y_y \mathbb{R}^N\}$, and its ε -disc bundle: $N_{\varepsilon}Y = \{(y,\xi) \in NY : |\xi| < \varepsilon\}$.

Exercise 0.5. Show that for small $\varepsilon > 0$ the map $N_{\varepsilon}Y \to U_{\varepsilon}(Y)$, $(y,\xi) \mapsto y + \xi$ is a diffeomorphism.

Exercise 0.6. Let $\pi: N_{\varepsilon}Y \to Y$ be the projection $(y, \xi) \mapsto y$. Prove that π is a submersion.

Next, define $G: Y \times D^N \to Y$, $(y, \varepsilon) \mapsto \pi(y + \varepsilon \xi)$.

Exercise 0.7. Prove that for any $y \in Y$, the map $D^N \to Y$, $\xi \mapsto G(y,\xi)$ is a submersion.

Proof of Theorem 0.4. Define $F: X \times D^N \to Y$, $F(x,\xi) = G(f(x),\xi) = \pi(f(x) + \varepsilon \xi)$. Note that F(x,0) = f(x). By Exercise 0.7, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi}(x,\xi) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \xi}(f(x),\xi): T_{\xi}D^N \to T_{F(x,\xi)}Y$ is onto for all (x,ξ) . In particular, $F \pitchfork Z$.

Intersection Index First, a bit of terminology: A compact and boundaryless manifold is called *closed*. Define the *intersection index* of f and Z by $I(f,Z) = \sum_{x \in f^{-1}(Z)} (f_*T_xX) \circ Z$.

Lemma 0.8. Assume that $X = \partial W$ with W oriented and X oriented with the boundary orientation. Let $F: X \to Y$ such that $F \pitchfork Z$ and $F|_X \pitchfork Z$. Then $I(F|_X, Z) = 0$.

Theorem 0.9 (Classification of 1-manifolds). Let M be a 1-dimensional compact connected manifold with boundary. Then M is diffeomorphic either to S^1 or [0,1].

Lemma 0.10. Assume that $X = \partial W$ with W oriented and X oriented with the boundary orientation. Let $F: X \to Y$ such that $F \pitchfork Z$ and $F|_X \pitchfork Z$. Then $I(F|_X, Z) = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 0.10. Denote $f = F|_X$ and $\gamma = F^{-1}(Z)$. If $\gamma = \emptyset$ then no problem, so assume $\gamma \neq \emptyset$. Since dim $W = \dim X + 1$, γ is a 1-dimensional submanifold, and by an exercise from last time, $\partial \gamma = F^{-1}(Z) \cap X$, and by definition, this is just $f^{-1}(Z)$. Now, by Lemma 0.9, γ a finite union of circles and intervals, and hence each component of γ is either boundaryless or has exactly two boundary points, denote them x_{\pm} . For each such point x put $\varepsilon(x) = f_*(T_x X) \circ T_{f(x)} Z$. We will show that for any such pair $\varepsilon(x_+) + \varepsilon(x_-) = 0$. Therefore we can assume wlog that $\gamma \approx [0,1]$, and $\partial \gamma = f^{-1}(Z)$ contains exactly two point. Choose a parametrization $\gamma \colon [0,1] \to X$ such that $\gamma(0) = x_-, \ \gamma(0) = x_+, \ \text{ and } \dot{\gamma}(t) \neq 0 \ \forall t \in [0,1]. \text{ Note that, since } \dim F_{x_{\pm}}X + \dim T_{f(x_{\pm})}Z = \dim T_{f(x_{\pm})}Y, \text{ the transversality of } f \text{ and } f(x_{\pm}) = \dim T_{f(x_{\pm})}Y$ Z implies that $f_*(T_{x_{\pm}}) \cap T_{f(x_{\pm})} = \{0\}$. In particular, since $F_*|_{T_{x_{\pm}}X} = f_*$, $F_*(T_{x_{\pm}}) \cap T_{f(x_{\pm})} = \{0\}$. On the other hand, note that since $F(\gamma(t)) \in Z$ for all $t \in [0,1]$, we have $F_*\dot{\gamma}(t) \in T_{F(\gamma(t))}Z$. In particular, $F_*\dot{\gamma}(0) \in T_{F(x_-)}Z$, $F_*\dot{\gamma}(1) \in T_{F(x_+)}Z$. Therefore, $\dot{\gamma}(0) \notin T_{x_-}X$ and $\dot{\gamma}(1) \notin T_{x_+}X$, which allows us to pick a Riemannian metric on W such that $\dot{\gamma}(0) \perp T_{x_-}X$ and $\dot{\gamma}(1) \perp T_{x_+}X$. Set $E(t) = {\dot{\gamma}(t)}^{\perp}$. By definition, $E(0) = T_{x_-}X$ and $E(1) = T_{x_+}X$. Observe that since $F \pitchfork Z$ and $F_*\dot{\gamma}(t) \in T_{F(\gamma(t))}Z$, $F_*(E(t)) \pitchfork T_{F(\gamma(t))}Z$ $\forall t \in [0,1]$. Thus the function $t \mapsto F_*(E(t)) \circ T_{F(\gamma(t))}Z$ is defined for all $t \in [0,1]$ and continuous. Therefore, it is constant. In particular, $F_*(T_{x-}X) \circ T_{F(\gamma(0))}Z = F_*(T_{x+}X) \circ T_{F(\gamma(1))}Z$. However, we note that this function is constant when considered with the product orientation. In view of Remark ??, in terms of the induced orientation on X, $-f_*(T_{x_-}X) \circ T_{f(\gamma(0))}Z = f_*(T_{x_+}X) \circ T_{f(\gamma(1))}Z$. Therefore, $\varepsilon(x_{-}) + \varepsilon(x_{+}) = 0$ and the lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem ??. Suppose $f,g:X\to Y$ are homotopic and transversal to Z. Put $W=X\times [0,1]$ and let $F\colon W\to Y$ be a homotopy between f and g. By the approximation theorem, we may assume that $F \pitchfork Z$. Now, considered with the boundary orientation, $\partial W = (X \times \{0\}, -or) \sqcup (X \times \{1\}, +or)$. Now by Lemma 0.10 I(f, Z, -or) + I(g, Z, +or) = 0, thus with respect to the standard orientation on X, I(f, Z) = I(g, Z).

Theorem 0.11. Let W^{n+1} , $V^n = \partial W$ as above, and assume $n \geq 2$. Let $f: W \to S^n$ be a smooth map with deg f = 0. Then f extends to $F: W \to S^n$.

Lemma 0.12 (Homotopy extension). Suppose $f, g: V \to S^n$ are homotopic maps, and g extends to W. Then f extends as well.

Lemma 0.13. Let M be a smooth manifold, $X \subset N$ a smooth subset and $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^d$ a smooth map. Then f extends to a smooth $F \colon M \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 0.14. Let $A_0, A_1 \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ be two matrices such that

 $\operatorname{sign} \det A_0 = \operatorname{sign} \det A_1$.

Then there exists a smooth $G: D^n \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $G(x,0) = A_0 x$, $G(x,1) = A_1 x$, $G(x,t) = 0 \iff x = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 0.11. Let $y \in S^n$ be a regular value of f. If $f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$, f is not surjective, and hence since the sphere with one point removed is contractible, f is homotopic to a constant map, which clearly extends to W, and hence by Lemma 0.12 f extends to W as well.

Therefore, assume $f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$. In this case, we know that it is a finite collection of points, say x_1, \ldots, x_m . Then $\deg(g) = \sum_i \varepsilon(x_i)$,

where, as usual, $\varepsilon(x_j) = \begin{cases} +1, & D_{x_j}f \colon T_{x_j}V \to T_yS^n \text{ is orientation preserving,} \\ -1, & D_{x_j}f \colon T_{x_j}V \to T_yS^n \text{ is orientation reversing.} \end{cases}$ Since $\deg f = 0, \ m = 2k$ must be even, and we can assume that $\varepsilon(x_{2j-1}) + \varepsilon(x_{2j}) = 0$ for all j. Choose pair-wise non-intersecting embedded arcs $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ such that γ_j connects x_{2j-1}

to x_{2j} . This can be achieved since by the transversality theorem we may assume that each pair is transversal. But since each arc is one-dimensional and by assumption dim $W \geq 3$, if $\gamma_{2j-1} \pitchfork \gamma_{2j}$ they must be non-intersecting. Additionally, equip W with a Riemannian metric such that $\gamma_j \perp \partial W$ for all j (as we did in the proof of the transversality theorem).

For each j, let U_j be a tubular neighbourhood of γ_j , such that $U_j \simeq D^n \times [0,1]$. Choose local coordinates near $x_j \in W$ which agree with the product orientation on $U_j \simeq D^n \times [0,1]$. Choose coordinates near $y \in S^n$ such that $f(x_j) = 0$ and write in local coordinates $f(q) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q}(0) \cdot q + O(q^2)$. Now, using a local homotopy near x_j , we can 'kill' the remainder term, so that in local coordinates $f(q) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q}(0) \cdot q$. Now, the matrix $\frac{\partial f}{\partial q}(0)$ is simply the local coordinate expression for $D_{x_j}f$. Since $\varepsilon(x_{2j-1}) = -\varepsilon(x_{2j})$ we have, in our coordinates, sign det $D_{x_{2j-1}}f = \operatorname{sign} \det D_{x_{2j}}f$. The signs are reversed since the coordinates respect the *product* orientation.

Now, applying Lemma 0.14 to each U_j we can extend f to $F' \colon V \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^k U_j = Z \to S^n$. Note that the last condition in the lemma ensures that F'(z) = y iff $z \in \gamma_j$. Therefore, we have $F' \colon Z \setminus \bigcup_j \gamma_j \to S^n \setminus \{y\} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$. Now we can use Lemma 0.13 to extend F' to

 $F \colon W \setminus \bigcup_i \gamma_i \to S^n \setminus \{y\}$ Now we note that by construction, F agrees with F' on a neighbourhood of each γ_j and hence extends fsmoothly to W.

Definition 0.15. The Euler characteristic of X is the intersection index $X \circ X$ in TX. It is denoted $\chi(X)$.

Example 0.16. We know that in general $A \circ B = (-1)^{\dim A \dim B} B \circ A$. Therefore, if dim X is odd, $\chi(X) = 0$.

In general, if X' is sufficiently close to X, then X' is the graph of some vector field. This is since $\pi|_X \colon X \to X$ is a diffeomorphism, so for small enough perturbations, $\pi|_{X'}: X' \to X$ is still a diffeomorphism. Now, assume that X' is the graph of a vector field v. Then $X \cap X'$ is in bijection with the set of zeroes of v. By definition,

$$\chi(X) = X \circ X' = \sum_{x: v(x)=0} \operatorname{ind}_x v, \tag{1}$$

Proposition 0.17. In local positively-oriented coordinates (q_1, \ldots, q_n) on X, $\operatorname{ind}_q v = \operatorname{sign} \det \frac{\partial v}{\partial a}(q)$.

Proof. Take the natural coordinates $(q_1, \ldots, q_n \dot{q}_1, \ldots, \dot{q}_n)$. Then $X = \{(q, 0)\}$. Therefore, a positive basis for $T_q X$ is $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial q_n}\right)$. Additionally, graph $v = \{(q, v(q))\}$. Therefore, a positive basis of T_q graph v is $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} \oplus \frac{\partial v}{\partial q_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial q_n} \oplus \frac{\partial v}{\partial q_n}\right)$. We need to determine whether the union of these bases is positively oriented with respect to the natural orientation on TX. Therefore, we form the $2n \times 2n$ matrix with these vectors as columns, and compute its determinant. We get, in block notation: $\det\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \mathbb{1} \\ 0 & \frac{\partial v}{\partial q} \end{pmatrix} = \det\frac{\partial v}{\partial q}$. This proves the claim.

The Gauss Map Let M be a closed surface embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Let v(x) define the outward unit normal to M. |v(x)| = 1, $v(x) \perp T_x M$.

Definition 0.18. The Gauss map $M \to S^2$ is given by $x \mapsto v(x)$.

Theorem 0.19. deg $g = \frac{1}{2}\chi(M)$

Proof. Choose $v \in S^2$ s.t. both v, -v are regular values of g. Wlog, $v = [0, 0, 1]^t$. For $q \in M$, let $w(q) = v(q) \times v$. $w(q) \in T_qM$. Note. $w(q) = 0 \Leftrightarrow v(q) = \pm v$

Assume v(q) = v. Calculate $\operatorname{ind}_q(w)$:

In local coordinates, we may express M as the set (picture) $z = \varphi(x,y)$. Since v(q) = v (the unit normal is pointing up),

The parametrization $(x,y)\mapsto (x,y,\varphi(x,y))$ induces $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\mapsto (1,0,\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x})$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\mapsto (0,1,\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y})$ on $T\mathbb{R}^2$. Then $v(q)=\frac{n(q)}{|n(q)|}$ $n(q):=\frac{n(q)}{|n(q)|}$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} i & j & k \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \end{array} \right) = \left(\frac{-\partial \varphi}{\partial x}, -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}, 1 \right)$$

Now we can compute w(q): $w(q) = \frac{n(q) \times v}{|n(q)|} = \frac{1}{|n(q)|} \begin{vmatrix} i & j & k \\ -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} & -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{|n(q)|} \left(-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}, 0 \right)$ In local coordinates

 $w(x,y) = \frac{\left(-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right)}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}} \text{ To compute } \frac{\partial w}{\partial q}\Big|_{q=0} \text{ we first note that } \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}\Big|_{q=0} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\Big|_{q=0} = 0, \text{ so } \frac{\partial w}{\partial q}\Big|_{q=0} = \frac{1}{|n(q)|} \begin{pmatrix} -\varphi_{yx} & -\varphi_{yy} \\ \varphi_{xx} & \varphi_{xy} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\Rightarrow \det\left(\left.\frac{\partial w}{\partial q}\right|_{q=0}\right) = -\varphi_{xy}^2 + \varphi_{xx}\varphi_{yy}$$

Calculate the contribution of this point to deg(g).

$$v(v,y) = \frac{n(x,y)}{|n(x,y)|} = \frac{\left(-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}, -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}, 1\right)}{|n(x,y)|}$$

In x, y-coordinates on M and S^2 , we get $v(x, y) = \frac{\left(-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}, -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}\right)}{|n(x, y)|}$

Hence (using again that $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}\Big|_{q=0} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\Big|_{q=0} = 0$), $\frac{\partial v}{\partial q}\Big|_{q=0} = \frac{1}{|n(x,y)|}\begin{pmatrix} -\varphi_x x & -\varphi_{xy} \\ -\varphi_{yx} & -\varphi_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \det\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial q}\Big|_{q=0}\right) = \varphi_{xx}\varphi_{yy} - \varphi_{xy}^2$ Finally $\chi(M) = \sum_{w(q)=0} \operatorname{ind}_q(w) = \sum_{v(q)=v} \operatorname{ind}_q(v) + \sum_{v(q)=-v} \operatorname{ind}_q(v)$

$$= \sum_{v(q)=v} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\det\frac{\partial v}{\partial q}\right) + \sum_{v(q)=-v} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\det\frac{\partial v}{\partial q}\right) = 2\operatorname{deg}(g)$$

Let X^n be a closed, connected, oriented manifold. Let $\Delta \subset X \times X$ -diagonal. Note: Δ is teh graph of the identity map $X \to X$. Assume $f: X \to X$ is smooth.

Definition 0.20. A: fixed point of f is a point x s.t. f(x) = x. In $X \times X$, the set of fixed points is $\Gamma(f) \cap \Delta$, where $\Gamma(f)$ denotes the graph of f.

Definition 0.21. $L(f) := \Delta \circ \Gamma(f)$

Remark 0.22. L(f) = L(g) if $f \sim g$, another remark#Fix $(f) \geq |L(f)|$ generically.

What does $\Gamma(f) \pitchfork_q \Delta$ mean?

Lemma 0.23. $\Gamma(f) \pitchfork \Delta \Leftrightarrow \det \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q}(q) - 1 \right) \neq 0$. Then $\Delta \circ \Gamma(f) = sgn \det \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q}(q) - 1 \right)$.

Proof. Near the fixed point q, we may approximate f(q) by $\frac{\partial f}{\partial q}q$. Locally: 1. $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ 2. f(x) = Ax 3. 0 is a fixed point and $A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q}(q)$ 4. $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$ 5. $\Delta = \operatorname{Span}(e_1 \oplus e_1, \dots, e_n \oplus e_n)$ 6. $\Gamma(f) = \operatorname{Span}(e_1 \oplus Ae_1, \dots, e_nAe_n)$

$$\Delta \circ \Gamma(f) = \operatorname{sgn} \det(e_1 \oplus e_1, \dots, e_n \oplus e_n, e_1 \oplus Ae_1, \dots, e_n \oplus Ae_n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ I & A \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ I & A - I \end{pmatrix} = \det(A - I)$$

Theorem 0.24. $\chi(x) = L(1_X)$

Proof. We need to perturb 1_X . Choose a vector field v on X. We can solve $\dot{x} = v(x)$ to get $f_t(x(0)) = x(t)$. This gives $f_0 = 1_X$ and $f_t \sim f_0$ for any t.

Locally, $f_t(x) = x + tv(x) + O(t^2)$. Assume v has non-degenerate zeroes. Then for any fixed point x, $x + tv(x) = x \Rightarrow v(x) = 0$. So for $|t| \ll 1$, we have that fixed points of f_t are 0's of v. By the Taylor expansion, $\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial x} - \mathrm{id} = t \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, t > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \det\left(\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial x} - \mathrm{id}\right) = t^n\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \Rightarrow L(f_t) = \sum_{f_t(x) = x} \mathrm{sgn} \det\left(\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial x} - 1\right) = \sum_{v(x) = 0} \mathrm{sgn} \det\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) = \chi(X)$$

3. Let p: E to M^n be a vector bundle, f: N^n to E be a smooth map transversal to all fibers (that is the sets of the form $p^{-1}(x)$). Show that $p \circ f$: N to M is a submersion (since M and N have the same dimension, f is a submersion whenever it is an immersion). 5.2. Let S2 in R3 be the unit sphere. Show that $f: S2 \to CP1$, (x1, x2, x3)to[1 - x3 : x1 + ix2] is a diffeomorphism. 5.3. Calculate the self-intersection number CP1 oCP1 of the projective line inside the projective plane CP2. 5.4. Let p(z) = zm+am-1zm-1+...+a1z+a0 be a polynomial with complex coefficients. Consider the corresponding homogeneous polynomial q(z,w) = zm+am-1zm-1w+...+a1zwm-1+a0wm so that p(z) = q(z,1). Find the degree of the map g: CP1? CP1, [z0: z1]?? [q(z0,z1): zm 1].

Homework 4.

- 2. Let a0,..., an be non-zero complex numbers. Consider a map fa : CP n to CPn [z0 : ... : zn] to [a0z0 : ... : anzn] Find all fixed points of fa. For which a all of them are non-degenerate? For such a, calculate their indices and find the Lefschetz number of fa. Apply this to the calculation of χ (CPn)
- 3. Consider the torus Tn = Rn/Zn Let A be a n x n matrix with integer . coeffcients. Calculate the degree and the Lefschetz number of a map fA : Tn to Tn, x to $Ax \mod Zn$
- 4. Let $U \subset Rn$ be a compact domain (that is, a compact n-dimensional submanifold with boundary) with a smooth boundary M. Dene the Gauss map GU : M to Sn-1; $x \to v(x)$; where v(x) is the outward Euclidean normal to M. 4.1. Let V be a vector field on U which coincides with v on the boundary M and whose zeroes in U are non-degenerate. Show that $\sum_{v(x)=0} indx(v) = deg(GU)$ 4.2. Let U be a tubular neighborhood Show that $\chi(M) = deg(GU)$.
 - 5. Prove that two maps f and g from S1 to S1 are homotopic if and only if they have the same degree.
 - 6. Let Mn be a closed connected oriented manifold, and let f : Sn to M be a map of degree 1. Show that M is simply connected. homework 5
- 1. Let v be a vector eld dened in a neighborhood U of 0 in R n so that v(0) = 0 and v(q) != 0 for all q in U -0 (the point 0 is called an isolated zero of v). Choose e $\[\] 0$ so that the sphere S $(n-1)_e$ of radius e centered at 0 is fully contained in U and put $f_e : S_e$ to S $(n-1)_1$ by q to v(q)/|v(q)|

Show that there exists I in Z and e ξ 0 so that deqf_b = I for all 0 ; b ; e. Show that if v has a non-degenerate zero at 0, I coincides with the usual index: I = ind0(v). Hint: reduce the second part of the problem to the case when v(q) = Aq where A is an invertible matrix n n, and use the polar decomposition. 2. Let M be a connected manifold of dimension ξ 1. Let x1; :::; xN, y1; :::; yN be two collections of pair-wise distinct points on M. Show that there exists a dieomorphism f : M! M with yj = f(xj) for all j = 1; :::; N. See Guillemin-Pollack, Chapter 3, beginning of Section 6. Is the same result true for M = S 1 and N = 3? N = 4? 3. Let M be a closed oriented connected manifold with $\chi(M) = 0$. Show that M admits a nowhere vanishing vector eld. Hint: Start with any vector eld v with non-degenerate zeroes. Reduce the problem to the case when all the zeroes of v are contained in a small ball B in M (use Problem 2). Use Problem 1 and a theorem proved in the class (which exactly?) in order to modify v in the interior of B to a desired vector eld without zeroes