

# 3D Reconstruction from Multi-View Stereo: From Implementation to Oculus Virtual Reality

Anonymous CVPR submission

Paper ID \*\*\*\*

## Abstract

*Multi-View Stereo reconstructs a 3D model from images. Each image is a projection of a 3D model onto the camera plane ( $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ), which inherently results in a loss of information. With enough images taken from a variety of perspectives, a reasonable model of the original scene can be reconstructed. These reconstructions usually begin by determining where each image was taken from. Once the cameras are calibrated, a dense, colored point cloud can be generated. A mesh can be fit over the point cloud to represent structures in the original scene. This entire process can be visualized through an Oculus Rift.*

## 1. Introduction

Most current approaches to Multi-View Stereo can be broken down into three steps: feature matching, camera calibration, and dense reconstruction.

### 1.1. Feature Matching

The first step in most Multi-View Stereo pipelines is finding correspondence points between images. Once we know these points, we can determine the relative position of the cameras which took the images.

First, we select features of interest in each image. There exist numerous algorithms for selecting these points (SIFT, SURF). The general idea behind most of them is to find a feature which is unique enough such that finding a similar feature in another images indicates with high probability that the two features corresponds to the same object in the scene.[Brown and Lowe, 2007]

Once features have been extracted from each image, pairwise matches must be found. Matches will not exist for all features, so some criteria must be specified for when to accept a match. One such criteria is to match two features if the first is the best match for the second, and the second is the best match for the first. Another approach is to match one feature to another feature if the second best match is a

much worse match than the best match. [Brown and Lowe, 2007]

### 1.2. Camera Calibration

Now that we have correspondence points, we want to compute a homography relating one image to another. If we only wanted to find the orientation of one camera relative to another, we could use RANSAC to fit a homography (with the Discrete Linear Transform to make it linear).[Fischler, Bolles, 1981] However, if we only found the optimal pairwise relative positions, we would not be guaranteed that they would be consistent.

Instead, we want to find the *global* optimal camera positions. This process is known as Sparse Bundle Adjustment, and can be seen as minimizing a series of nonlinear equations. The LevenbergMarquardt for nonlinear least-squares is commonly used as a subroutine. Sparse Bundler Adjustment incrementally alters the positions of the cameras to as to minimize the *reprojective error* of the found correspondence points with respect to the images in which they appear. At the end of this process, we have a calibration matrix for each camera, relating the pose of each camera to a global coordinate system.[Snavly, Phototourism] [SBA Lourakis and Argyros 2009]

### 1.3. Dense Reconstruction

We can now reconstruct the scene from calibrated cameras. We want to find eventually output a scene which is *photo consistent*. Common approaches to this problem include: (1) building up a scene from points whose locations are found by triangulating between images; (2) starting with a volume which encloses the region of interest, and removing *voxels* which are not photoconsistent; and (3) generating stereo depth maps for pairs of images, and then fusing them together.



Figure 1. Sample input image (one of 312)

### 1.3.1 Point Based Approaches

### 1.3.2 Volumetric Approaches

### 1.3.3 Stereo Depth Approaches

## 2. Our Approach

## References

- [1] A. Alpher. Frobnication. *Journal of Foo*, 12(1):234–778, 2002.
- [2] A. Alpher and J. P. N. Fotheringham-Smythe. Frobnication revisited. *Journal of Foo*, 13(1):234–778, 2003.
- [3] A. Alpher, J. P. N. Fotheringham-Smythe, and G. Gamow. Can a machine frobnicate? *Journal of Foo*, 14(1):234–778, 2004.
- [4] Authors. The frobnicatable foo filter, 2014. Face and Gesture submission ID 324. Supplied as additional material `fg324.pdf`.
- [5] Authors. Frobnication tutorial, 2014. Supplied as additional material `tr.pdf`.




Figure 2. Sparse Reconstruction




Figure 3. Dense Patch reconstruction




Figure 4. Poisson Surface Reconstruction to fit mesh to patches