

Comparison of cryptographic hash functions

The following tables compare general and technical information for a number of cryptographic hash functions. See the individual functions' articles for further information. This article is not all-inclusive or necessarily up-to-date. An overview of hash function security/cryptanalysis can be found at hash function security summary.

General information

Basic general information about the cryptographic hash functions: year, designer, references, etc.

Year	Designer	Derived from	Reference			
2008	Jean-Philippe Aumasson Luca Henzen Willi Meier Raphael CW. Phan	ChaCha20	Website (https://131002.net/blake/) Specification (https://web.archive.org/web/20201001184633/http://1310 02.net/blake/blake.pdf)			
2012	Jean-Philippe Aumasson Samuel Neves Zooko Wilcox- O'Hearn Christian Winnerlein	BLAKE	Website (https://blake2.net/) Specification (https://blake2.net/blake2.pdf) RFC 7693 (https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7693)			
2020	Jack O'Connor Jean-Philippe Aumasson Samuel Neves Zooko Wilcox- O'Hearn	BLAKE2	Website (https://github.com/BLAKE3-team/BLAKE3) Specification (https://github.com/BLAKE3-team/BLAKE3-specs/blob/master/blake3.pdf)			
1994	FAPSI and VNIIstandart	GOST 28147- 89	RFC 5831 (https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc5831)			
1992	Yuliang Zheng Josef Pieprzyk Jennifer Seberry	_	Website (https://web.archive.org/web/20150111210116/http://labs.calyptix.com/haval.php) Specification (https://web.archive.org/web/20140411060613/http://labs.calyptix.com/files/haval-paper.pdf)			
2016	Guido Bertoni Joan Daemen Michaël Peeters Gilles Van Assche	Keccak	Website (https://keccak.team/kangarootwelve.html) Specification (https://keccak.team/files/KangarooTwelve.pdf)			
1989			RFC 1319 (https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1319)			
1990			RFC 1320 (https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1320)			
1992	Ronald Rivest	MD4	RFC 1321 (https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1321)			
2008			Website (https://groups.csail.mit.edu/cis/md6/) Specification (https://groups.csail.mit.edu/cis/md6/docs/2009-04-15-md 6-report.pdf)			
1992	The RIPE Consortium ^[1]	MD4				
1996	Hans Dobbertin Antoon Bosselaers Bart Preneel	RIPEMD	Website (http://homes.esat.kuleuven.be/~bosselae/ripemd160.html) Specification (https://homes.esat.kuleuven.be/~bosselae/ripemd160/pd f/AB-9601/AB-9601.pdf)			
1993			SHA-0 (https://web.archive.org/web/20090130063617/http://w2.eff.org/ Privacy/Digital_signature/?f=fips_sha_shs.info.txt)			
1995		SHA-0				
2002	<u>NSA</u>		Specification (https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.180- <u>df)</u>			
2004						
2008	Guido Bertoni Joan Daemen Michaël Peeters Gilles Van Assche	RadioGatún	Website (https://keccak.team/) Specification (https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.202.pdf)			
2012	FSB, InfoTeCS JSC		RFC 6986 (https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6986)			
2012 1995			RFC 6986 (https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6986) Website (https://www.cs.technion.ac.il/~biham/Reports/Tiger/) Specification (https://www.cs.technion.ac.il/~biham/Reports/Tiger/tiger/node3.html)			
	2008 2012 2020 1994 1992 2016 1989 1990 1992 2008 1992 1996 1993 1995 2002 2004	Jean-Philippe Aumasson Luca Henzen Willi Meier Raphael CW. Phan Jean-Philippe Aumasson Samuel Neves Zooko Wilcox- O'Hearn Christian Winnerlein Jack O'Connor Jean-Philippe Aumasson Samuel Neves Zooko Wilcox- O'Hearn 1994 FAPSI and VNIIstandart 1992 Josef Pieprzyk Jennifer Seberry Guido Bertoni Joan Daemen Michaël Peeters Gilles Van Assche 1989 1990 1992 Ronald Rivest 2008 The RIPE Consortium[1] 1996 Hans Dobbertin Antoon Bosselaers Bart Preneel 1993 1995 NSA 2002 2004 Guido Bertoni Joan Daemen Michaël Peeters	Year Designer from 2008 Jean-Philippe Aumasson Luca Henzen Willi Meier Raphael CW. Phan ChaCha20 2012 Jean-Philippe Aumasson Samuel Neves Zooko Wilcox-O'Hearn Christian Winnerlein BLAKE 2020 Jack O'Connor Jean-Philippe Aumasson Samuel Neves Zooko Wilcox-O'Hearn BLAKE2 1994 FAPSI and VNIIstandart GOST 28147-89 1992 Yuliang Zheng Josef Pieprzyk Jennifer Seberry Keccak 1989 Joan Daemen Michaël Peeters Gilles Van Assche Keccak 1989 1990 MD4 1992 Ronald Rivest MD4 2008 Hans Dobbertin Antoon Bosselaers Bart Preneel RIPEMD 1993 SHA-0 1995 SHA-0 2004 Guido Bertoni Joan Daemen Michaël Peeters 2004 RadioGatún			

Parameters

Algorithm	Output size (bits)	Internal state size ^[note 1]	Block size	Length size	Word size	Rounds	
BLAKE2b	512	512	1024	128 ^[note 2]	64	12	
BLAKE2s	256	256	512	64 ^[note 3]	32	10	
BLAKE3	Unlimited	256 ^[note 4]	512	64	32	7	
GOST	256	256	256	256	32	32	
HAVAL	256/224/192/160/128	256	1024	64	32	3/4/5	
MD2	128	384	128	_	32	18	
MD4	128	128	512	64	32 32	3	
MD5	128	128	512	64		64	
PANAMA	256	8736	256	_	32 1–64 ^[note 7]	_	
RadioGatún	Unlimited ^[note 5]	58 words	19 words ^[note 6]	_		18 ^[note 8]	
RIPEMD	128	128	512	64	32	48	
RIPEMD-128, -256	128/256	128/256	512	64	32	64	
RIPEMD-160	160	160	512	64	32	80	
RIPEMD-320	320	320	512	64	32	80	
SHA-0	160	160	512	64	32	80	
SHA-1	160	160	512	64	32	80	
SHA-224, -256	224/256	256	512	64	32	64	
SHA-384, -512, -512/224, -512/256	384/512/224/256	512	1024	128	64	80	
SHA-3	224/256/384/512 ^[note 9]	1600	1600 - 2*bits	_[note 10]	64	24	
SHA3 -224	224	1600	1152	_	64	24	
SHA3 -256	256	1600	1088	_	64	24	
SHA3 -384	384	1600	832	_	64	24	
SHA3 -512	512	1600	576	_	64	24	
Tiger(2)-192/160/128	192/160/128	192	512	64	64	24	
Whirlpool	512	512	512	256	8	10	

Notes

- 1. The *internal state* here means the "internal hash sum" after each compression of a data block. Most hash algorithms also internally use some additional variables such as length of the data compressed so far since that is needed for the length padding in the end. See the Merkle–Damgård construction for details.
- 2. The size of BLAKE2b's message length counter is 128-bit, but it counts message length in bytes, not in bits like the other hash functions in the comparison. It can hence handle eight times longer messages than a 128-bit length size would suggest (one byte equaling eight bits). A length size of 131-bit is the comparable length size ($8 \times 2^{128} = 2^{131}$).
- 3. The size of BLAKE2s's message length counter is 64-bit, but it counts message length in bytes, not in bits like the other hash functions in the comparison. It can hence handle eight times longer messages than a 64-bit length size would suggest (one byte equaling eight bits). A length size of 67-bit is the comparable length size $(8 \times 2^{64} = 2^{67})$.
- 4. The full BLAKE3 incremental state includes a chaining value stack up to 1728 bytes in size. However, the compression function itself does not access this stack. A smaller stack can also be used if the maximum input length is restricted.
- 5. RadioGatún is an <u>extendable-output function</u> which means it has an output of unlimited size. The official test vectors are 256-bit hashes. RadioGatún claims to have the security level of a cryptographic <u>sponge function</u> 19 words in size, which means the 32-bit version has the security of a 304-bit hash when looking at <u>preimage attacks</u>, but the security of a 608-bit hash when looking at <u>collision attacks</u>. The 64-bit version, likewise, has the security of a 608-bit or 1216-bit hash. For the purposes of determining how vulnerable RadioGatún is to <u>length extension attacks</u>, only two words of its 58-word state are output between hash compression operations.
- 6. RadioGatún is not a Merkle–Damgård construction and, as such, does not have a block size. Its belt is 39 words in size; its mill, which is the closest thing RadioGatún has to a "block", is 19 words in size.
- 7. Only the 32-bit and 64-bit versions of RadioGatún have official test vectors

- 8. The 18 blank rounds are only applied once in RadioGatún, between the end of the input mapping stage and before the generation of output bits
- 9. Although the underlying algorithm Keccak has arbitrary hash lengths, the NIST specified 224, 256, 384 and 512 bits output as valid modes for SHA-3.
- 10. Implementation dependent; as per section 7, second paragraph from the bottom of page 22, of FIPS PUB 202.

Compression function

The following tables compare technical information for <u>compression functions</u> of <u>cryptographic hash functions</u>. The information comes from the specifications, please refer to them for more details.

		Size (<u>bits</u>)[note 1]						_	
Function	Word	Digest	Chaining values [note 5]	Computation values [note 6]	Block	Length [note 7]	Passes = Rounds ^[note 2]	Operations ^[note 3]	Endian [note 4
GOST R 34.11-94	32		×8 = 256		×8 = 256	32	4	ABLS	Little
HAVAL- 3-128		×4 = 128							
HAVAL- 3-160	×5 = 160 ×6 = 192 ×7 = 224								
HAVAL- 3-192		×6 = 192					32 × 3 = 96		
HAVAL- 3-224		×7 = 224							
HAVAL- 3-256		×8 = 256							
HAVAL- 4-128		×4 = 128							
HAVAL- 4-160		×5 = 160			×32 = 1,024	64	32 × 4 = 128	ABS	Little
HAVAL- 4-192	32	32 ×6 = 192 ×7 = 224 ×8 = 256 ×4 = 128	×8 =	= 256					
HAVAL- 4-224									
HAVAL- 4-256									
HAVAL- 5-128									
HAVAL- 5-160		×5 = 160							
HAVAL- 5-192		×6 = 192							
HAVAL- 5-224		×7 = 224							
HAVAL- 5-256		×8 = 256							
MD2	8	×16 = 128	×32 = 256	×48 = 384	×16 = 128	None	48 × 18 = 864	В	N/A
MD4	32		×4 = 128		×16 = 512	64	16 × 3 = 48	ABS	Little
MD5							16 × 4 = 64		
RIPEMD		4				64	16 × 3 = 48	ABS	Little
128		×4 = 128 ×8 = 256		×8 = 256			16 × 4 = 64		
256	32				×16 = 512				
RIPEMD- 160 RIPEMD-			= 160	×10 = 320			16 × 5 = 80		
320 SHA-0		×10	×10 = 320						
SHA-1			×5 = 160		×16 = 512	64	16 × 5 = 80	-	
	32	×8 = 256							
SHA-256 SHA-224		×7 = 224	×8 =	= 256	×16 = 1024	128	16 × 4 = 64 16 × 5 = 80	ABS	Big
SHA-512		×8 = 512							
SHA-384	64	×6 = 384	×8 =	= 512					
Tiger- 192	64	×3 = 192	×3 =	= 192	×8 = 512	64	8 × 3 = 24	ABLS	Not Specifie
Tiger- 160		×2.5=160	30						

	Tiger- 128		×2 = 128								
Function	Word	Digest	Chaining values	Computation values	Block	Length	Words × Passes =	Operations	Endian		
	Size (<u>bits</u>)						Rounds				

Notes

- 1. The omitted multiplicands are word sizes.
- 2. Some authors interchange passes and rounds.
- 3. A: addition, subtraction; B: bitwise operation; L: lookup table; S: shift, rotation.
- 4. It refers to *byte* endianness only. If the operations consist of bitwise operations and lookup tables only, the endianness is irrelevant.
- 5. The size of message digest equals to the size of chaining values usually. In truncated versions of certain cryptographic hash functions such as SHA-384, the former is less than the latter.
- 6. The size of chaining values equals to the size of computation values usually. In certain cryptographic hash functions such as RIPEMD-160, the former is less than the latter because RIPEMD-160 use two sets of parallel computation values and then combine into a single set of chaining values.
- 7. The maximum input size = $2^{\text{length size}} 1$ bits. For example, the maximum input size of SHA-1 = 2^{64} 1 bits.

See also

- List of hash functions
- Hash function security summary
- Word (computer architecture)

References

1. Dobbertin, Hans; Bosselaers, Antoon; Preneel, Bart (21–23 February 1996). RIPEMD-160: A strengthened version of RIPEMD (https://homes.esat.kuleuven.be/~bosselae/ripemd160/pdf/AB-9601/AB-9601.pdf) (PDF). Fast Software Encryption. Third International Workshop. Cambridge, UK. pp. 71–82. doi:10.1007/3-540-60865-6_44 (https://doi.org/10.1007%2F3-540-60865-6_44).

External links

- ECRYPT Benchmarking of Cryptographic Hashes (https://bench.cr.yp.to/results-hash.html) measurements of hash function speed on various platforms
- The ECRYPT Hash Function Website (https://ehash.iaik.tugraz.at/wiki/The_eHash_Main_Page) A wiki for cryptographic hash functions
- SHA-3 Project (https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/hash-functions/sha-3-project) Information about SHA-3 competition

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Comparison of cryptographic hash functions&oldid=1199236125"