

Andrew H. Song*, Richard J. Chen*, Tong Ding, Drew F.K. Williamson, Guillaume Jaume, Faisal Mahmood
asong@bwh.harvard.edu, richardchen@g.harvard.edu, faisal.mahmood@bwh.harvard.edu

Motivation

Computational Pathology

- Patient-level outcome prediction of **digitized tissue sections** (whole-slide images, WSIs), of up to **100,000 x 100,000 pixels** (at 0.5 $\mu\text{m}/\text{pixel}$) [1]
- Multiple Instance Learning (MIL)** (1) tokenizes WSI into a set of image patches encoded using a pretrained vision encoder and (2) aggregates patch embeddings into a slide embedding for patient-level task.

Limitations of MIL

- Resulting slide embeddings are **specific** to the downstream task
- Due to **large-p** (# of parameters) and **small-n** (# of patients), unstable training for supervised models

Can we create a **task-agnostic, unsupervised slide embedding**?

PANTHER for Slide Representation Learning

Task-agnostic (Unsupervised)

Generative model for patch embedding (Gaussian Mixture Model)

$$p(\mathbf{z}_n; \theta) = \sum_{c=1}^C \pi_c \cdot N(\mathbf{z}_n; \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \Rightarrow \text{Each component: a prototype and its distribution}$$

Unsupervised $\mathbf{z}_{\text{WSI}} = [\hat{\pi}_1, \hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\Sigma}_1, \dots, \hat{\pi}_C, \hat{\mu}_C, \hat{\Sigma}_C] \in \mathbb{R}^{C \cdot (2d+1)}$ \Rightarrow EM algorithm for param. Estimation

Per-prototype feed-forward network (linear or MLP) for downstream task

PANTHER for Interpretability

Prototype-oriented interpretability

- Visualization of the most similar prototype on WSI (Clustermap)
- Quantification of prototype distribution per WSI

PANTHER for slide-level evaluation

Unsupervised slide embedding for downstream task

- Extensive evaluation on 4 cancer classification and 9 cancer survival datasets
- Competitive performance against other **supervised MIL** baselines

Classification	ABMIL	TransMIL	DSMIL	DeepAttnMIL	Low-rank MIL	PANTHER
eBrains (30 classes)	~0.68	~0.72	~0.65	~0.52	~0.62	~0.68
TCGA-NSCLC (2 classes)	~0.94	~0.95	~0.96	~0.85	~0.88	~0.92
CPTAC-NSCLC (2 classes)	~0.89	~0.86	~0.91	~0.80	~0.85	~0.90
PANDA (6 classes)	~0.92	~0.92	~0.93	~0.88	~0.91	~0.94

Survival	ABMIL	TransMIL	DSMIL	DeepAttnMIL	Low-rank MIL	PANTHER
TCGA-BRCA	~0.58	~0.55	~0.48	~0.58	~0.60	~0.65
TCGA-BLCA	~0.55	~0.62	~0.48	~0.48	~0.52	~0.60
TCGA-UCEC	~0.65	~0.68	~0.48	~0.55	~0.58	~0.72
TCGA-KIRC	~0.65	~0.65	~0.45	~0.62	~0.58	~0.70

References

- [1] Song et al., Artificial intelligence for digital and computational pathology. *Nature Reviews Bioengineering*, 2023
- [2] Ilse et al., Attention-based deep multiple instance learning. *ICML*, 2018
- [3] Mialon et al., A Trainable Optimal Transport Embedding for Feature Aggregation and its Relationship to Attention. *ICLR*, 2021
- [4] Kim M., Differentiable Expectation-Maximization for Set Representation Learning. *ICLR*, 2022