PCA Mini-Project

Our discussion of PCA spent a lot of time on theoretical issues, so in this mini-project we'll ask you to play around with some sklearn code. The eigenfaces code is interesting and rich enough to serve as the testbed for this entire mini-project.

The starter code can be found in pca/eigenfaces.py. This was mostly taken from the example found here (http://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto examples/applications/plot face recognition.html), on the sklearn documentation.

Take note when running the code, that there are changes in one of the parameters for the SVC function called on line 94 of pca/eigenfaces.py. For the 'class_weight' parameter, the argument string "auto" is a valid value for sklearn version 0.16 and prior, but will be depreciated by 0.19. If you are running sklearn version 0.17 or later, the expected argument string should be "balanced". If you get an error or warning when running pca/eigenfaces.py, make sure that you have the correct argument on line 98 that matches your installed version of sklearn.

We mentioned that PCA will order the principal components, with the first PC giving the direction of maximal variance, second PC has second-largest variance, and so on. How much of the variance is explained by the first principal component? The second?

We found that sometimes the pillow module (which is being used in this example) can cause trouble. If you get an error related to the fetch_lfw_people() command, try the following: pip install --upgrade PILLOW

```
In [2]:
        Faces recognition example using eigenfaces and SVMs
        _____
        The dataset used in this example is a preprocessed excerpt of the
        "Labeled Faces in the Wild", aka LFW :
         http://vis-www.cs.umass.edu/lfw/lfw-funneled.tgz (233MB)
          .. LFW: http://vis-www.cs.umass.edu/lfw/
         original source: http://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto_examples/applications/face
        .....
        print __doc__
        from time import time
        import logging
        import pylab as pl
        import numpy as np
        from sklearn.cross validation import train test split
        from sklearn.datasets import fetch lfw people
        from sklearn.grid search import GridSearchCV
        from sklearn.metrics import classification report
        from sklearn.metrics import confusion matrix
        from sklearn.decomposition import RandomizedPCA
        from sklearn.svm import SVC
        # Display progress logs on stdout
        logging.basicConfig(level=logging.INFO, format='%(asctime)s %(message)s')
        # Download the data, if not already on disk and load it as numpy arrays
        lfw_people = fetch_lfw_people(min_faces_per_person=70, resize=0.4)
        # introspect the images arrays to find the shapes (for plotting)
        n samples, h, w = lfw people.images.shape
        np.random.seed(42)
        # for machine learning we use the data directly (as relative pixel
        # position info is ignored by this model)
        X = 1 fw people.data
        n features = X.shape[1]
        # the label to predict is the id of the person
        y = lfw people.target
        target_names = lfw_people.target_names
        n_classes = target_names.shape[0]
        print "Total dataset size:"
```

```
print "n_samples: %d" % n_samples
print "n_features: %d" % n_features
print "n_classes: %d" % n_classes
# Split into a training and testing set
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.25, random_
# Compute a PCA (eigenfaces) on the face dataset (treated as unlabeled
# dataset): unsupervised feature extraction / dimensionality reduction
n components = 150
print "Extracting the top %d eigenfaces from %d faces" % (n_components, X_train.s
pca = RandomizedPCA(n components=n components, whiten=True).fit(X train)
print "done in %0.3fs" % (time() - t0)
eigenfaces = pca.components .reshape((n components, h, w))
print "Projecting the input data on the eigenfaces orthonormal basis"
t0 = time()
X_train_pca = pca.transform(X_train)
X_test_pca = pca.transform(X_test)
print "done in %0.3fs" % (time() - t0)
# Train a SVM classification model
print "Fitting the classifier to the training set"
t0 = time()
param grid = {
       'C': [1e3, 5e3, 1e4, 5e4, 1e5],
        'gamma': [0.0001, 0.0005, 0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.1],
        }
# for sklearn version 0.16 or prior, the class_weight parameter value is 'auto'
clf = GridSearchCV(SVC(kernel='rbf', class weight='balanced'), param grid)
clf = clf.fit(X train pca, y train)
print "done in %0.3fs" % (time() - t0)
print "Best estimator found by grid search:"
print clf.best_estimator_
# Quantitative evaluation of the model quality on the test set
print "Predicting the people names on the testing set"
t0 = time()
y pred = clf.predict(X test pca)
print "done in %0.3fs" % (time() - t0)
print classification_report(y_test, y_pred, target_names=target_names)
print confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred, labels=range(n_classes))
```

```
# Qualitative evaluation of the predictions using matplotlib
def plot gallery(images, titles, h, w, n row=3, n col=4):
   """Helper function to plot a gallery of portraits"""
   pl.figure(figsize=(1.8 * n_col, 2.4 * n_row))
   pl.subplots adjust(bottom=0, left=.01, right=.99, top=.90, hspace=.35)
   for i in range(n_row * n_col):
       pl.subplot(n_row, n_col, i + 1)
       pl.imshow(images[i].reshape((h, w)), cmap=pl.cm.gray)
       pl.title(titles[i], size=12)
       pl.xticks(())
       pl.yticks(())
# plot the result of the prediction on a portion of the test set
def title(y_pred, y_test, target_names, i):
   pred name = target names[y pred[i]].rsplit(' '
   true_name = target_names[y_test[i]].rsplit(' ', 1)[-1]
   return 'predicted: %s\ntrue:
                                  %s' % (pred_name, true_name)
prediction titles = [title(y pred, y test, target names, i)
                       for i in range(y_pred.shape[0])]
plot_gallery(X_test, prediction_titles, h, w)
# plot the gallery of the most significative eigenfaces
eigenface titles = ["eigenface %d" % i for i in range(eigenfaces.shape[0])]
plot_gallery(eigenfaces, eigenface_titles, h, w)
print pca.explained variance ratio
pl.show()
```

Now you'll experiment with keeping different numbers of principal components. In a multiclass

classification problem like this one (more than 2 labels to apply), accuracy is a less-intuitive metric than in the 2-class case. Instead, a popular metric is the F1 score.

We'll learn about the F1 score properly in the lesson on evaluation metrics, but you'll figure out for yourself whether a good classifier is characterized by a high or low F1 score. You'll do this by varying the number of principal components and watching how the F1 score changes in response.

As you add more principal components as features for training your classifier, do you expect it to get better or worse performance? Better

Change n_components to the following values: [10, 15, 25, 50, 100, 250]. For each number of principal components, note the F1 score for Ariel Sharon. (For 10 PCs, the plotting functions in the code will break, but you should be able to see the F1 scores.) If you see a higher F1 score, does it mean the classifier is doing better, or worse?

BETTER

```
In [3]: n components = 250
       print "Extracting the top %d eigenfaces from %d faces" % (n components, X train.s
       t0 = time()
       pca = RandomizedPCA(n components=n components, whiten=True).fit(X train)
       print "done in %0.3fs" % (time() - t0)
       eigenfaces = pca.components .reshape((n components, h, w))
       print "Projecting the input data on the eigenfaces orthonormal basis"
       t0 = time()
       X_train_pca = pca.transform(X_train)
       X_test_pca = pca.transform(X_test)
       print "done in %0.3fs" % (time() - t0)
       # Train a SVM classification model
       print "Fitting the classifier to the training set"
       t0 = time()
       param_grid = {
                'C': [1e3, 5e3, 1e4, 5e4, 1e5],
                'gamma': [0.0001, 0.0005, 0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.1],
       # for sklearn version 0.16 or prior, the class weight parameter value is 'auto'
       clf = GridSearchCV(SVC(kernel='rbf', class weight='balanced'), param grid)
       clf = clf.fit(X train pca, y train)
       print "done in %0.3fs" % (time() - t0)
       print "Best estimator found by grid search:"
       print clf.best estimator
       # Quantitative evaluation of the model quality on the test set
       print "Predicting the people names on the testing set"
       t0 = time()
       y pred = clf.predict(X test pca)
       print "done in %0.3fs" % (time() - t0)
       print classification_report(y_test, y_pred, target_names=target_names)
       print confusion matrix(y test, y pred, labels=range(n classes))
       # Qualitative evaluation of the predictions using matplotlib
       def plot gallery(images, titles, h, w, n row=3, n col=4):
           """Helper function to plot a gallery of portraits"""
           pl.figure(figsize=(1.8 * n_col, 2.4 * n_row))
           pl.subplots adjust(bottom=0, left=.01, right=.99, top=.90, hspace=.35)
           for i in range(n_row * n_col):
              pl.subplot(n_row, n_col, i + 1)
              pl.imshow(images[i].reshape((h, w)), cmap=pl.cm.gray)
              pl.title(titles[i], size=12)
```

```
Extracting the top 10 eigenfaces from 966 faces done in 0.033s

Projecting the input data on the eigenfaces orthonormal basis done in 0.015s

Fitting the classifier to the training set
```

C:\Users\Andrew\Anaconda3\envs\conda2\lib\site-packages\sklearn\utils\deprecation.py:58: DeprecationWarning: Class RandomizedPCA is deprecated; RandomizedPCA was deprecated in 0.18 and will be removed in 0.20. Use PCA(svd_solver='randomized') instead. The new implementation DOES NOT store whiten ``components_``. Apply transform to get them.

warnings.warn(msg, category=DeprecationWarning)

Do you see any evidence of overfitting when using a large number of PCs? Does the dimensionality reduction of PCA seem to be helping your performance here?

There is evidence of overfitting, it helps

```
In [ ]:
```