MLE of multivariate Gaussian

CS5014

Lei Fang

In this note, we derive the maximum likelihood estimator for multivariate Gaussian. Given $\{x^1,x^2,\ldots,x^n\}$, assume $x^i\sim N(\mu,\Sigma)$; find the ML estimate of

$$\mu, \Sigma$$

The log likelihood is:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\mu, \Sigma) &= \log p(\{x^i\}_1^n | \mu, \Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log N(x^i; \mu, \Sigma) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} (x^i - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (x^i - \mu) - \frac{d}{2} \log 2\pi \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x^i - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (x^i - \mu) + \text{const.} \end{split}$$

Now ready to compute the ML estimator

MLE for $\hat{\mu}$

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu,\Sigma) = -rac{n}{2} \mathrm{log} \, |\Sigma| - rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x^i - \mu)^ op \Sigma^{-1} (x^i - \mu) + \mathrm{const.}$$

The MLE are defined as usual:

$$\hat{\mu}, \hat{\Sigma} = rg \max_{\mu, \Sigma} \mathcal{L}(\mu, \Sigma)$$

Remember I have mentioned that quadratic form is multivariate generalisation of quadratic function:

$$x^{ op}Ax$$

is simular to $x \cdot a \cdot x$; their gradients are similar as well

$$\frac{\partial xax}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial ax^2}{\partial x} = (a+a)x = 2ax$$

The gradient of quadratic form is

$$rac{\partial x^ op Ax}{\partial x} = (A + A^ op)x = 2Ax$$

if we assume A is symmetric, then $A^{ op}+A=2A.$

Take derivative w.r.t μ (notice it is a quadratic form w.r.t μ) and set it to zero:

$$abla_{\mu}\mathcal{L} = -rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}2\cdot(-1)\cdot\Sigma^{-1}(x^{i}-\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\Sigma^{-1}(x^{i}-\mu) = 0$$

which leads to

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^{i} - \mu) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^{i} - \mu) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow n \cdot \mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{i}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{i}$$

where

- the first step left multiplies Σ on both sides, $\Sigma\Sigma^{-1}=I$

MLE for $\hat{\Sigma}$

The same deal, we need to take derivative and then set the derivative to zero.

We will use the trace trick here first to rewrite the log likelihood function;

Note that

$$\operatorname{Trace}(X) = \sum_i X_{ii},$$

the sum of the diagonal entries of a matrix; and also $\mathrm{Trace}(c)=c$, i.e. trace of a scalar is itself; and trace is a linear operator,

$$\operatorname{Trace}\!\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i A_i
ight) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \operatorname{Trace}(A_i),$$

and trace has a cyclic property:

$$\operatorname{Trace}(ABC) = \operatorname{Trace}(CAB) = \operatorname{Trace}(BCA)$$

We are ready to rewrite the log likelihood now:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\mu, \Sigma) &= -\frac{n}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^i - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (x^i - \mu) + \text{const.} \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Trace} \left((x^i - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (x^i - \mu) \right) + \text{const.} \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Trace} \left(\Sigma^{-1} (x^i - \mu) (x^i - \mu)^\top \right) + \text{const.} \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace} \left(\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^i - \mu) (x^i - \mu)^\top \right) + \text{const.} \end{split}$$

where

- line two has used the property that trace of a scalar is itself; a quadratic form is a scalar; as well as linear operator
- line three: cyclic property
- line four: linear operator again

Check matrix cookbook for some useful matrix gradient identities:

$$\frac{\partial \ln |X|}{\partial X} = (X^{-1})^{\top}, \quad \frac{\partial \operatorname{Trace}(AX^{-1}B)}{\partial X} = -(X^{-1}BAX^{-1})^{\top}$$

Then the gradient becomes:

$$abla_{\Sigma}\mathcal{L} = -rac{n}{2}ig(\Sigma^{-1}ig)^{ op} - rac{1}{2}\left[-igg(\Sigma^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n(x^i-\mu)(x^i-\mu)^{ op}\Sigma^{-1}igg)^{ op}
ight]$$

Set the derivative to zero, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{n}{2} \left(\Sigma^{-1}\right)^{\top} - \frac{1}{2} \left[-\left(\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^{i} - \mu)(x^{i} - \mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1}\right)^{\top} \right] = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow n \cdot \Sigma^{-1} = \left(\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^{i} - \mu)(x^{i} - \mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1}\right) \\
&\Rightarrow \Sigma \cdot n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^{i} - \mu)(x^{i} - \mu)^{\top} \\
&\Rightarrow \Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^{i} - \mu)(x^{i} - \mu)^{\top}
\end{aligned}$$

where

- the first step multiplies -2 on both side and move the second term to the right hand side of the equation; and then take transpose on both side: i.e. $(A^\top)^\top = A$
- the second step multplies both left and right hand side with Σ , note $\Sigma^{-1}\Sigma=\Sigma\Sigma^{-1}=I$
- the third step does not need explanation...

So MLE for multivariate Gaussians are

$$\hat{\mu} = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x^i; \quad \hat{\Sigma} = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (x^i - \hat{\mu})(x^i - \hat{\mu})^ op$$

• intuitive results: empirical sample mean and covariance are the estimators!

Weighted MLE should be very similar. Typing latex is very painful. I will stop here and leave it as an exercise...