# Bivariate conditional spatial models: Case study in Section 5

Noel Cressie and Andrew Zammit-Mangion Thursday, October 29, 2015

## Setting up

As part of this vignette we will be needing part of the INLA package and installation instructions for this can be found on the R-INLA homepage. Once this is installed we can load the required packages. As with the other vignette (simulation example in Section 3.2), we will also need dplyr, tidyr, and Matrix for core operations and ggplot2, gridExtra, grid, extrafont for plotting purposes. In addition, in this vignette we will need maptools, mapproj, and RandomFields that provides the data used in this problem. and the verification package that contains a handy routing for computing CRPSs. For parallel operations we will be requiring foreach and doParallel and possibly doMPI if an MPI backend is available.

```
library(INLA)
library(dplyr)
library(tidyr)
library(Matrix)
library(ggplot2)
library(gridExtra)
library(grid)
library(extrafont)
library(maptools)
library(maptools)
library(RandomFields)
library(verification)
library(foreach)
library(doParallel)
```

Finally, we will also need the package bicon to facilitate some of the operations used here.

```
library(bicon)
```

As detailed in Cressie & Zammit-Mangion (2015), we consider four models that vary only through the interaction function  $b_o(h)$ . Each model is given by

```
Model 1 (independent Matérns): b_o(h) \equiv 0,

Model 2 (pointwise dependence): b_o(h) \equiv A\delta(h),

Model 3 (diffused dependence): Model 3 with \Delta = 0

Model 4 (asymmetric dependence): b_o(h) \equiv \begin{cases} A\{1 - (\|h - \Delta\|/r)^2\}^2, & \|h - \Delta\| \leq r \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
```

where  $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2)^{\mathrm{T}}$  is a shift parameter vector that captures asymmetry, r is the aperture parameter, and A is the amplitude. In Model 3,  $b_o(h)$  is a shifted bisquare function in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The covariance functions  $C_{11}(\cdot)$  and  $C_{2|1}(\cdot)$  are Matérn covariance functions with  $\nu_{11} = \nu_{2|1} = 1.5$ . For each model we also consider a reversed dependence, where we switch  $Y_2$  and  $Y_1$ . This gives us a total of eight models to fit and compare.

The first thing we do is set some options. is to indicate whether we want to run the full program. We use a flag LOO\_analysis to indicate whether we want to run the leave-one-out cross-validation study (without

re-fitting) while the flag LK\_analysis indicates whether we want to carry out a standard likelihood fit of all 8 models using the entire dataset (requires about 30 minutes of computing time). In this vignette we set both flags to zero; the results are instead loaded from cache.

```
### Model choice
model_names <- c("independent", "pointwise", "moving_average_delta0", "moving_average")</pre>
img_path <- "../paper/art"</pre>
                                               ## Where to save the figures
show_figs <- 1
                                               ## Show the figures in document
print_figs <- 0</pre>
                                               ## Print figures to file (leave =0)
LK_analysis <- 0
                                               ## Carry out likelihood analysis
LOO_analysis <- 0
                                               ## Carry out LOO analysis
RF_estimation <- 0
                                               ## Carry out LOO with RFields
useMPI <- 0
                                               ## MPI backend available?
```

#### The data

The data were made available through the package RandomFields and was studied at length in the paper of T. Gneiting, Kleiber, & Schlather (2010). We load the data and pre-process it as follows:

```
data(weather,package = "RandomFields")
weather <- weather %>% data.frame()
print(head(weather))

## pressure temperature lon lat
## 1 200.4844  0.60537720 -131.000 46.000
## 2 384.8516 -0.02233887 -124.400 41.900
## 3 156.8984 -0.26644897 -124.500 46.100
## 4 248.4297 -1.30670166 -124.700 47.300
## 5 253.2266  0.14398193 -124.500 44.600
## 6 159.2031 -0.27954102 -124.985 49.907
```

The weather table contains four fields, with latitude, longitude, pressure forecasting errors and temperature forecasting errors for December 13, 2003 at 4 p.m. in the North American Pacific Northwest. Since pressure and temperature have different units, we find a scaling factor by taking the ratio of the variances of the two variates, and computing its square root:

From this data frame we extract  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  and concatenate them into one long vector Z through a function form\_Z. The vectors  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are inverted if the model being analysed is greater than 4 (reversed model). We also define m1 as the number of observations of  $Y_1$ , m2 as the number of observations of  $Y_2$  and m as the total number of observations.

```
form_Z <- function(model_num,scale=T) {
   Z1 <- matrix(weather$temperature)
   Z2 <- matrix(weather$pressure)
   if(scale) Z2 <- Z2 / P_scale # Change pressure to have similar scale
   if(model_num > 4) {
      temp <- Z1
      Z1 <- Z2</pre>
```

```
Z2 <- temp
}
Z <- rbind(Z1,Z2)
}

m1 <- m2 <- nrow(weather)
m <- m1 + m2
I_m1 <- Diagonal(m1) # identity matrix of size m1 x m1</pre>
```

#### Process discretisation

We approximate the processes as a sum of elemental basis functions (tent functions) constructed on a triangulation. The triangulation is formed using the mesher in the INLA package, while we provide a tailored function initFEbasis which takes information from the INLA mesher and casts it into a Mesh object. We provide several methods associated with the Mesh class which will be useful for plotting later on. Importantly, the Mesh object also contains information on the areas of the elements in the Voronoi tesselation, which will be used to approximate the integrations.

```
##
## PLEASE NOTE: The components "delsgs" and "summary" of the
## object returned by deldir() are now DATA FRAMES rather than
## matrices (as they were prior to release 0.0-18).
## See help("deldir").
##

PLEASE NOTE: The process that deldir() uses for determining
## duplicated points has changed from that used in version
0.0-9 of this package (and previously). See help("deldir").
```

We next establish the dimension of our grids. Since we will be evaluating  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  on the same grid, n1 = n2.

```
### Process models
###-----
n1 <- nrow(mesh_locs)
n2 <- nrow(mesh_locs)
n <- n1 + n2</pre>
```

As in the first vignette (simulation example in Section 3.2), we will approximate the integration using the rectangular rule. When using finite elements, this reduces to using the area of the Voronoi tessellation as the weight for the function values.

We first compute the vector of displacements h which will be of length ( $n2 \times n1$ ) and with each element associate an integration weight equal to the area of the Voronoi tessellation associated with the element:

```
### Mesh integration points
###------
h <- matrix(0,n1*n2,2)
areas <- rep(0,n1*n2)
for(i in 1:n2) {
   h[((i-1)*n1+1):(i*n1),] <- t(t(mesh_locs) - mesh_locs[i,])
   areas[((i-1)*n1+1):(i*n1)] <- Mesh["area_tess"]
}
h1_double <- as.double(h[,1])
h2_double <- as.double(h[,2])</pre>
```

The displacements (h1,h2) and the areas areas can then be used to construct the matrix B using the function bisquare\_B.

## Organising the observations

In order to map the process to the observations we construct an incidence matrix, which contains a 1 wherever the observation coincides with a vertex on the triangulation and a 0 otherwise. The dimension of this incidence matrix is  $(m1 + m2) \times (n1 + n2)$ , where m1, m2, are the number of observations in  $Z_1$ ,  $Z_2$ , respectively. Since in this problem we have collocated observations, we find the incidence matrix for one of the observations,  $Z_1$ , and then form the whole incidence matrix by simply carrying out bdiag (block diagonal) of the first matrix with itself. We find the points with which the observation locations coincide by using the function left\_join, which returns an NA if no observation coincides with the vertex.

```
mesh_locs <- data.frame(lon=mesh_locs[,1],lat=mesh_locs[,2])  ## mesh locations
idx <- which(!(is.na(left_join(mesh_locs,weather)$temperature)))  ## index of coincidence
C1 <- sparseMatrix(i=1:m1,j=idx,x=1,dims=c(m1,n1))  ## incidence matrix of Z1
C <- bdiag(C1,C1)  ## incidence matrix</pre>
```

#### Maximum likelihood estimation

Since the optimisation algorithm requires a parameter vector of the same length (irrespective of the model number) we first define a function append\_theta that takes the parameter vector associated with the model in question and appends it so it is of the required size (in this case of length 11)

```
append_theta <- function(theta,model_num) {
  if(model_num %in% c(1,5)) {
    theta <- c(theta,rep(0,4))
    theta[9] <- 0.001
} else if(model_num %in% c(2,6)) {
    theta <- c(theta,rep(0,3))
    theta[9] <- 0.001
} else if(model_num %in% c(3,7)) {
    theta <- c(theta,rep(0,2))
}</pre>
```

```
theta
}
```

Next, we require a function that, given the parameter vector theta and the model number model\_num, returns the required matrices and vectors used in fitting. These are the process covariance matrix

$$cov((Y_1^{\mathsf{T}}, Y_2^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{T}}) = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{11}B^{\mathsf{T}} \\ B\Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{2|1} + B\Sigma_{11}B^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

the observation error covariance matrix and the vector Z that, recall, is equal to  $[Z_1^T, Z_2^T]^T$  or  $[Z_2^T, Z_1^T]^T$ , depending on the model number. If whole\_mesh is TRUE, then the process covariance matrix is evaluated over the entire mesh (used for co-kriging at unobserved locations).

```
construct_mats <- function(theta,model_num,whole_mesh=F) {</pre>
  nu1 <- ifelse(model_num < 5,0.6,theta[7])</pre>
  nu2 <- ifelse(model_num >=5,0.6,theta[7])
  B <- theta[8] *Diagonal(n1) # Automatically zero if Model 1
  if(model_num %in% c(3,4,7,8)) {
    B <- theta[8]*bisquare_B(h1_double,h2_double,</pre>
                               delta=theta[10:11], # Automatically zero for Model with no shift
                               r=theta[9],
                               n1 = n1,
                               n2 = n2,
                               areas = areas)
  C1B <- C1 %*% B
  ## Form matrices (scaled pressure)
  S11 <- makeS(r = Dobsvec, var = theta[3],
                  kappa = theta[5],nu = nu1)
  S2_1 <- makeS(r = Dobsvec, var = theta[4],
                   kappa = theta[6],nu = nu2)
  if(model_num %in% c(3,4,7,8) | whole_mesh==TRUE) {
    S11_big \leftarrow makeS(r = Dvec, var = theta[3],
                      kappa = theta[5],nu = nu1)
    S21 <- C1B %*% (S11_big %*% t(C1))
    S12 \leftarrow t(S21)
    S22 <- S2_1 + forceSymmetric(C1B %*% forceSymmetric(S11_big) %*% t(C1B))
  } else {
    S21 <- S12 <- theta[8]*S11
    S22 \leftarrow S2_1 + theta[8]^2 * S11
  }
  if(whole_mesh) {
    S11 <- S11_big
    S2_1 \leftarrow makeS(r = Dvec, var = theta[4],
                   kappa = theta[6],nu =nu2)
    S21 <- B %*% S11_big
    S12 \leftarrow t(S21)
    S22 <- S2_1 + Matrix::crossprod(chol(S11_big) %*% t(B))
```

Now we're in place to define the log-likelihood function. This is the usual Gaussian log-likelihood function. In the function we allow the dropping of certain observations for cross-validation purposes. The indices of the observations we wish to drop are stored in the parameter i.

```
loglik_Model <- function(theta,model_num,i=NULL) {</pre>
  # theta1: sigma2e1
  # theta2: sigma2e2
  # theta3: sigma211
  # theta4: sigma22_1
  # theta5: kappa11
  # theta6: kappa2_1
  # theta7: nu2_1
  # theta8: A
  # theta9: r/100
  # theta10: d1/100
  # theta11: d2/100
  theta <- append_theta(theta,model_num)</pre>
  if(theta[1] \le 0 \mid theta[2] \le 0 \mid theta[3] \le 0 \mid
     theta[4] \leq 0 | theta[5] \leq 0.001 | theta[6] \leq 0.001 |
     theta[7] \leq 0.05 | theta[9] \leq 0.0005) {
       return(Inf)
     } else {
       ## Construct matrices
       X <- construct_mats(theta,model_num)</pre>
       ## Drop observations if required for CV
       if(is.null(i)) {
         SY <- X$SY
         So <- X$So
         Z <- X$Z
       } else {
         SY \leftarrow X$SY[-i,-i]
         So <- X$So[-i,-i]
         Z \leftarrow X$Z[-i,,drop=F]
```

For optimising we will use the R function optim (BFGS). We allow for 3000 maximum iterations and set trace=6 for detailed output. We choose to not compute the Hessian since this is not required in our analysis. Recall that the parameter i here contains the indices of the observations we do not wish to include in the fit. If i = NULL then all observations are inleuded. The function optim\_loglik is called for each model in the program later on.

The last function we need to define is one that fits all the models, possibly with a set of observations in i removed. Note that in the function we first fit Model 1 using realistic starting values and store the results in fit.Model1, and then fit the reversed version (with pressure as  $Y_1$ ) and store that in fit.Model1\_rev. Model 2 is then fit using the results of Model 1 as starting values. Model 3 uses the maximum likelihood estimates of Model 2 and so on. The reversed version of Model 2 uses the results of the reversed version of Model 1 as starting parameters and so on.

```
fit_all_models <- function(i) {</pre>
                 <- optim_loglik(par=c(0.01,1,5,15,0.01,0.01,1.5),</pre>
  fit.Model1
                                                                        model num=1, i = i)
  fit.Model1_rev <- optim_loglik(par=c(1,0.01,15,5,0.01,0.01,1.5),
                                                                        model num=5, i = i)
  fit.Model2
                 <- optim_loglik(par=c(fit.Model1$par,-0.2),
                                                                   model_num=2, i = i)
  fit.Model2_rev <- optim_loglik(par=c(fit.Model1_rev$par,-0.2),model_num=6, i = i)</pre>
                                                                   model_num=3, i = i)
                 <- optim_loglik(par=c(fit.Model2$par,0.1),
  fit.Model3
  fit.Model3_rev <- optim_loglik(par=c(fit.Model2_rev$par,0.1), model_num=7, i = i)</pre>
                 <- optim_loglik(par=c(fit.Model3$par,0,0),
  fit.Model4
                                                                   model_num=4, i = i)
  fit.Model4_rev <- optim_loglik(par=c(fit.Model3_rev$par,0,0), model_num=8, i = i)</pre>
  list(Model1 = fit.Model1,
       Model2 = fit.Model2,
       Model3 = fit.Model3,
       Model4 = fit.Model4,
```

```
Model5 = fit.Model1_rev,
Model6 = fit.Model2_rev,
Model7 = fit.Model3_rev,
Model8 = fit.Model4_rev)
}
```

With all functions in place we now call fit\_all\_data <- fit\_all\_models(NULL). All this does is fit all the models using all the observations (since i = NULL). If LK\_analysis = 1 then this is done from scratch (takes about 30 minutes), otherwise the data is loaded from cache.

```
## First we carry out the analysis with all data in
if(LK_analysis) {
  fit_all_data <- fit_all_models(NULL)
  save(fit_all_data, file=paste0("../inst/extdata/temp_pressure/LK_fits.rda"))
} else {
  load("../inst/extdata/temp_pressure/LK_fits.rda")
}</pre>
```

The log-likelihoods and AICs given by our fit are given in the table below. Note that Model 5 is Model 1 reversed (i.e., with pressure as  $Y_1$ ), Model 6 is Model 2 reversed and so on.

```
print("Log-likelihood for all models trained with complete dataset")
```

## [1] "Log-likelihood for all models trained with complete dataset"

```
sapply(fit_all_data,function(x) x$value) ## Negative LL
```

## Model1 Model2 Model3 Model4 Model5 Model6 Model7 Model8 ## 1276.802 1269.910 1264.879 1258.438 1276.802 1267.566 1268.726 1267.909

```
sapply(fit_all_data,function(x) x$value)*2 + 2*c(8,9,10,12) ## AIC
```

```
## Model1 Model2 Model3 Model4 Model5 Model6 Model7 Model8
## 2569.605 2557.820 2549.758 2540.877 2569.605 2553.132 2557.452 2559.818
```

The parameters are listed below (the output is in LaTeX for direct use in paper). Note that since P\_scale was used to put pressure on the same scale as temperature, we scale the fitted marginal standard deviation of the pressure fields so that they are on the original scale.

```
print("Estimated parameters for all models")
```

## [1] "Estimated parameters for all models"

```
par_est <- plyr::rbind.fill(sapply(fit_all_data,function(x) data.frame(t(x$par))))
par_est1 <- par_est[1:4,]
par_est1[,c(1,3)] <- sqrt(par_est1[,c(1,3)])
par_est1[,c(2,4)] <- sqrt(par_est1[,c(2,4)]) * P_scale
par_est1[,8] <- par_est1[,8] * P_scale</pre>
```

```
"$\\sigma_{2|1}$","$\\kappa_{11}$","$\\kappa_{2|1}$","$\\nu_{2|1}$",
                       "$A$","$r$", "$\\Delta_1$","$\\Delta_2$")
rownames(par_est1) <- c("Model 1", "Model 2", "Model 3", "Model 4")</pre>
print(xtable::xtable(par_est1,digits=c(rep(2,5),3,3,rep(2,5))),
     sanitize.text.function=function(x){x},
     hline.after=NULL)
## \% latex table generated in R 3.2.0 by xtable 1.7-4 package
## % Thu Oct 29 15:48:40 2015
## \begin{table}[ht]
## \centering
& $\sigma_1$ & $\sigma_2$ & $\sigma_{11}$ & $\sigma_{2|1}$ & $\kappa_{11}$ & $\kappa_{2|1}$
## Model 1 & 0.00 & 68.57 & 2.55 & 277.49 & 0.012 & 0.010 & 1.64 & & & & \\
##
    Model 2 & 0.06 & 67.77 & 2.62 & 240.52 & 0.011 & 0.010 & 1.55 & -14.43 & & & \\
    Model 3 & 0.00 & 70.11 & 2.62 & 243.24 & 0.011 & 0.009 & 1.62 & -43.86 & 1.37 & & \\
##
    Model 4 & 0.00 & 71.75 & 3.13 & 223.27 & 0.008 & 0.004 & 1.69 & -64.24 & 1.19 & 0.75 & -1.38 \\
##
##
    \end{tabular}
```

colnames(par\_est1) <- c("\$\\sigma\_1\$","\$\\sigma\_2\$","\$\\sigma\_{11}\$",</pre>

#### Prediction

## \end{table}

We predict the temperature and pressure fields at the unobserved locations using cokriging. Since we assume zero mean, this is simple cokriging; the predictive mean and variance can thus be obtained by simple conditioning with a joint multivariate Gaussian distribution. If i = NULL then the data is used to predict at all (observed and unobserved) locations. Otherwise prediction is only carried out at the locations in i with the observations in i removed. Note that when i is specified it is assumed that only the covariance matrices associated with the observation locations are supplied. This enables us to use the same function for cross-validation (see below).

```
cokrige <- function(X,i=NULL) {</pre>
  SS <- X$SY + X$So
  if(is.null(i)) {
   Z <- X$Z
    Q <- chol2inv(chol(C%*% SS %*% t(C))) %>% as("dgeMatrix")
   mu_pred <- SS%*% t(C) %*% Q %*% Z %>% as.numeric()
   var_pred <- diag(SS - SS %*% t(C) %*% Q %*% C %*% SS) %>% as.numeric()
    data.frame(mu_pred = mu_pred,
               var_pred = var_pred)
  } else {
    cholSS <- chol(SS[-i,-i]) ## this was SS[-i,-i]
   SSinv <- chol2inv(cholSS) %>% as("dgeMatrix")
   mu_pred <- SS[i,-i] %*% SSinv %*% X$Z[-i,,drop=FALSE] %>% as.numeric()
   var_pred <- diag(SS[i,i] - SS[i,-i] %*% SSinv %*% SS[-i,i]) %>% as.numeric()
    data.frame(mu_pred = mu_pred,
               var_pred = var_pred,
               Z = X$Z[i,],
               i=i)
 }
```

```
}
```

Below we predict at all the mesh locations using Model 1 and Model 4. First we construct the required matrices and store them in X1 and X4. Then we carry out cokriging and add the mean predictions to the mesh.

# LOO Analysis

Unlike Tilmann Gneiting, Raftery, Westveld III, & Goldman (2005), here we carry out leave-one-out cross validation (LOOCV) without re-fitting the model each time. If we have an MPI cluster available we carry out the LOOCV over MPI, otherwise we parallelise using the machine's multiple cores. The two loops below iterate over the observations and models.

```
## Now we do a LOO analysis
if(LOO_analysis) {
  if(useMPI) {
    library(doMPI)
    cl <- startMPIcluster(count=80)</pre>
    registerDoMPI(cl)
  } else {
    library(doParallel)
    cl <- makePSOCKcluster(4,outfile="cores_output.txt")</pre>
    registerDoParallel(cl)
  }
  ## Loop over each observation location
  pred <- foreach(i = 1:m1,.combine = "rbind",</pre>
                   .packages = c("Matrix", "bicon", "dplyr", "foreach")) %dopar% {
    fit.Model <- fit_all_data</pre>
    ## Loop over each model (not parallelised)
    pred <- foreach(j = seq_along(fit.Model),.combine = "rbind") %do% {</pre>
```

If we decided not to run the LOOCV, we load the results from cache.

```
if(!LOO_analysis) {
    #load(system.file("extdata/temp_pressure/all_predictions.rda"), package = "bicon")
    load("../inst/extdata/temp_pressure/all_predictions.rda")
}
```

## Displaying and plotting results

The following code is only documented in-line since it just involves data manipulation for obtaining the results shown in the paper. For verification we find the mean absolute error (MAE), the root mean-squared prediction error (RMSPE) and the continuous probability rank score (CRPS) as described by Tilmann Gneiting et al. (2005).

```
### Analyse results
## put data set into long format
weather_long <- mutate(weather,loc_num = 1:nrow(weather)) %>%
  mutate(sum_D = apply(Dobs,1,function(x) sum(sort(x)[1:2]))) %>%
  gather(process,z,temperature,pressure,convert = TRUE)
## put data set into long format
pred2 <- mutate(pred,</pre>
                                                                  # Take our LOOCV results
                process = ifelse((model_num < 5 & i <= m1) |</pre>
                                                                  # Assign process name to row
                                    (model_num >=5 & i > m1),
                                  "temperature",
                                  "pressure"),
                loc num = ((i-1) \% m1)+1) \%
                                                                  # Assign loc ID
  left_join(weather_long) %>%
                                                                  # Join up with data
  dplyr::select(-i,-z)
                                                                  # Remove unwanted columns
```

```
## Utility wrapper around the crps function
crps_wrapper <- function(Z,mu,sd) {</pre>
  crps(Z,cbind(mu,sd))$crps
}
results <- pred2 %>%
                                                        # Take the predictions
  group_by(process,model_num) %>%
                                                        # Group by process and model
  summarise(MAE = mean(abs(mu_pred - Z)),
                                                         # Find MAE
            MAE_se = sd(mu_pred - Z)/sqrt((m1-1)),
                                                        # Find MAE standard error
            Bias = mean(mu_pred - Z),
                                                         # Find mean bias
            Bias_se = sd(mu_pred - Z)/sqrt((m1-1)),
                                                        # Find bias standard error
            Bias_norm = mean((mu_pred - Z)/sqrt(var_pred)), # Find mean normalised bias
            RMSPE = sqrt(mean((mu_pred - Z)^2)),
                                                             # Find RMSPE
            CRPS = mean(crps_wrapper(Z,mu_pred,sqrt(var_pred))),
                                                                    # Find CRPS
            CRPS_se = sd(crps_wrapper(Z,mu_pred,sqrt(var_pred)))/sqrt(m1-1)) # Find CRPS se
print(results)
## Source: local data frame [16 x 10]
## Groups: process [?]
##
##
          process model num
                                  MAE
                                         MAE se
                                                         Bias
                                                                Bias se
##
                      (int)
                                          (dbl)
                                                         (dbl)
                                                                   (db1)
            (chr)
                                (dbl)
                          1 69.657942 9.8842056 -8.8865936771 9.8842056
## 1
         pressure
                          2 70.137762 9.9627741 -9.0674065481 9.9627741
## 2
         pressure
## 3
        pressure
                          3 70.140622 9.8277033 -7.7388133830 9.8277033
                          4 66.202180 9.2001006 -4.3089970119 9.2001006
## 4
         pressure
## 5
        pressure
                          5 69.657942 9.8842056 -8.8865936771 9.8842056
## 6
        pressure
                          6 69.504063 9.7034455 -9.0462998431 9.7034455
## 7
                          7 69.596895 9.6769264 -9.6728898866 9.6769264
         pressure
## 8
         pressure
                          8 69.198906 9.6323128 -9.7584812476 9.6323128
## 9
     temperature
                          1 1.143007 0.1305349 0.0401688772 0.1305349
## 10 temperature
                          2 1.144545 0.1304922 0.0403258099 0.1304922
## 11 temperature
                          3 1.094859 0.1231361 0.0398591596 0.1231361
## 12 temperature
                          4 1.083025 0.1175563 0.0176873034 0.1175563
## 13 temperature
                          5 1.143007 0.1305349 0.0401688772 0.1305349
## 14 temperature
                          6 1.108627 0.1248802 0.0068013657 0.1248802
## 15 temperature
                          7 1.104001 0.1248068 -0.0006304529 0.1248068
## 16 temperature
                          8 1.099026 0.1246658 -0.0052548711 0.1246658
## Variables not shown: Bias_norm (dbl), RMSPE (dbl), CRPS (dbl), CRPS_se
     (dbl)
results2 <- pred2 %>%
                                          # Take original (ungrouped) predictions
  mutate(MAE = abs(mu_pred - Z),
                                          # Find MAE of each obs
        Bias = mu_pred - Z,
                                          # Find bias of each obs
        SR = (mu_pred - Z)/sqrt(var_pred), # Find normalised residual
        CRPS = crps_wrapper(Z,mu_pred,sqrt(var_pred))) # Find CRPS
```

Now we need to carry out LOOCV on the parsimonious and full Matérn models using the RandomFields package. This closely follows the approach illustrated in M. Schlather, Malinowski, Menck, Oesting, & Strokorb (2015).

```
Dist.mat <- as.vector(RFearth2dist(as.matrix(weather[, 3:4]))) # Compute distances
PT <- as.matrix(weather[, 1:2])
                                                                  # Change data into matrix
if(RF_estimation) {
  ## Parsimonious Matern model
  nug <- RMmatrix(M = matrix(nc = 2, c(NA, 0, 0, NA)), RMnugget())</pre>
                                                                      # nugget model
  pars.model <- nug + RMbiwm(nudiag = c(NA, NA), scale = NA,</pre>
                                                                      # parsimonious model
                                cdiag = c(NA, NA), rhored = NA)
  RFpars <- RFfit(pars.model, distances = Dist.mat, dim = 3, data = PT) # fit model
  print(RFpars)
  CVresults.pars <- RFcrossvalidate(RFpars,</pre>
                                                            # carry out CV
                       x = as.matrix(weather[, 3:4]),
                       data = PT,
                                                           # on all the data (no re-fitting)
                       full = TRUE)
  ## Full Matern model
  whole.model <- nug + RMbiwm(nudiag = c(NA, NA), nured = NA,
                              s = rep(NA, 3), cdiag = c(NA, NA), rhored = NA)
  RFwhole <- RFfit(whole.model, distances = Dist.mat, dim = 3, data = PT)
  CVresults.whole <- RFcrossvalidate(RFwhole,
                       x = as.matrix(weather[, 3:4]),
                       data = PT,
                       full = TRUE)
  ## cache results
  save(CVresults.pars,RFpars,CVresults.whole,RFwhole,file = "../inst/extdata/temp_pressure/RF_CV_result
} else {
  ## otherwise load
  load("../inst/extdata/temp_pressure/RF_CV_results.rda")
## Combine results into one long data frame
RFpred2 <- rbind(data.frame(Z = weather$pressure,
                              mu_pred = CVresults.pars$`user's model`$predicted[,1],
                              var pred = CVresults.pars$`user's model`$krige.var[,1],
                              loc_num = 1:m1,
                              model_name = "Pars",
                              process = "pressure"),
                   data.frame(Z = weather$temperature,
                              mu_pred = CVresults.pars$`user's model`$predicted[,2],
                              var_pred = CVresults.pars$`user's model`$krige.var[,2],
                              loc_num = 1:m1,
                              model_name = "Pars",
                              process = "temperature"),
                   data.frame(Z = weather$pressure,
                              mu_pred = CVresults.whole$`user's model`$predicted[,1],
                              var_pred = CVresults.whole$`user's model`$krige.var[,1],
                              loc_num = 1:m1,
                              model_name = "Whole",
                              process = "pressure"),
                   data.frame(Z = weather$temperature,
                              mu_pred = CVresults.whole$`user's model`$predicted[,2],
```

```
var_pred = CVresults.whole$`user's model`$krige.var[,2],
                              loc_num = 1:m1,
                              model_name = "Whole",
                              process = "temperature")) %>%
            left_join(weather_long) %>%
            dplyr::select(-z)
## Joining by: c("loc_num", "process")
## Warning in left_join_impl(x, y, by$x, by$y): joining character vector and
## factor, coercing into character vector
## Get out the diagnostics
RFresults <- RFpred2 %>%
  group_by(process,model_name) %>% # Group by process and model name
  summarise(MAE = mean(abs(mu_pred - Z)),
            MAE_se = sd(mu_pred - Z)/sqrt((m1-1)),
            Bias = mean(mu_pred - Z),
            Bias_se = sd(mu_pred - Z)/sqrt((m1-1)),
            Bias_norm = mean((mu_pred - Z)/sqrt(var_pred)),
            RMSPE = sqrt(mean((mu_pred - Z)^2)),
            CRPS = mean(crps wrapper(Z,mu pred,sqrt(var pred))),
            CRPS_se = sd(crps_wrapper(Z,mu_pred,sqrt(var_pred)))/sqrt(m1-1))
## Extract our results
select_results <- filter(results, model_num < 5) %>%
                                                              # Only consider models with Y1 temp.
                  dplyr::select(model num,MAE,RMSPE,CRPS)
## Extract RandomFields results
select_resultsRF <- dplyr::select(RFresults,model_name,MAE,RMSPE,CRPS)</pre>
## Relabel columns
colnames(select_results) <- c("Process", "Model", "MAE", "RMSPE", "CRPS")</pre>
colnames(select_resultsRF) <- c("Process", "Model", "MAE", "RMSPE", "CRPS")</pre>
## Joint our results with those from RandomFields
all_results <- rbind(select_results,select_resultsRF) %>% as.data.frame() %>% arrange(Process)
## Print the LaTeX table
print(xtable::xtable(all_results,digits=3),
      each = "column", max = c(F, NA, NA, T, NA),
      sanitize.text.function=function(x){x},
      hline.after=NULL,include.rownames=FALSE)
## \% latex table generated in R 3.2.0 by xtable 1.7-4 package
## % Thu Oct 29 15:49:03 2015
## \begin{table}[ht]
## \centering
## \begin{tabular}{llrrr}
## Process & Model & MAE & RMSPE & CRPS \\
## pressure & 1 & 69.658 & 123.380 & 55.338 \\
   pressure & 2 & 70.138 & 124.369 & 55.614 \\
```

```
##
     pressure & 3 & 70.141 & 122.601 & 55.136 \\
##
    pressure & 4 & 66.202 & 114.624 & 51.893 \\
    pressure & Pars & 70.150 & 122.970 & 55.349 \\
##
    pressure & Whole & 66.189 & 122.758 & 55.225 \\
##
##
     temperature & 1 & 1.143 & 1.626 & 0.813 \\
##
     temperature & 2 & 1.145 & 1.625 & 0.814 \\
##
     temperature & 3 & 1.095 & 1.534 & 0.781 \\
##
     temperature & 4 & 1.083 & 1.464 & 0.767 \\
##
     temperature & Pars & 1.110 & 1.562 & 0.790 \\
##
     temperature & Whole & 1.109 & 1.576 & 0.792 \\
     \end{tabular}
## \end{table}
best_performing <- pred2 %>%
  filter(model_num %in% 1:4) %>%
  group_by(lon,lat,process) %>%
  summarise(best_model_MAE = model_num[which.min(abs(mu_pred - Z))],
            best_model_CRPS = model_num[which.min(crps_wrapper(Z,mu_pred,sqrt(var_pred)))]) %>%
  mutate(reversed = best_model_MAE > 4)
best_summary <- group_by(best_performing,process,best_model_MAE) %>%
  summarise(tot_count = length(best_model_MAE),
            reverse = best_model_MAE[1] > 4)
if(show_figs) {
  g1 <- LinePlotTheme() +
    stat_qq(data=filter(pred2,model_num %in% c(1,4)),
            aes(sample=(mu_pred - Z)/sqrt(var_pred),
                shape=process,
                colour=as.factor(model_num)),
            size=4) +
   geom_abline()
  g2 <- LinePlotTheme() + geom_bar(data=best_performing,</pre>
                                   aes((best model MAE - 1)\%4 + 1,fill=c(process)),
                                   position="dodge",
                                   binwidth=0.5) +
    scale_fill_grey(guide_legend(title="process")) +
   xlab("best model for MAE")
  g3 <- LinePlotTheme() + geom_bar(data=best_performing,</pre>
                                   aes((best_model_CRPS - 1)%%4 + 1,fill=c(process)),
                                   position="dodge",
                                   binwidth=0.5) +
    scale_fill_grey(guide_legend(title="process")) +
   xlab("best model for CRPS")
  print(arrangeGrob(g1,g2,g3,nrow=1))
```




Figure 1: QQ plots and bar charts illustrating the number of times each model does best at different spatial locations

```
table(best_performing$best_model_MAE)
##
         2
##
     1
             3
                 4
            91 125
    48
        50
table(best_performing$best_model_CRPS)
##
##
     1
         2
             3
    34
        51
            97 132
# Load shapefiles
#shapefile_path <- system.file("extdata", "cb_2013_us_state_5m.shp", package = "bicon")
shape1 <- "../inst//extdata//cb_2013_us_state_5m.shp"</pre>
shape2 <- "../inst//extdata//Canada_provinces.SHP"</pre>
US_States <- maptools::readShapeSpatial(shape1)</pre>
Ca_States <- maptools::readShapeSpatial(shape2)</pre>
US_States_fort <- fortify(US_States) %>% mutate( id= as.numeric(id))
## Regions defined for each Polygons
Ca_States_fort <- fortify(Ca_States) %>% mutate( id= as.numeric(id)+100)
## Regions defined for each Polygons
US_State_names <- data.frame(Name = US_States$NAME, id = 0:(length(US_States$NAME)-1))
Ca_State_names <- data.frame(Name = Ca_States$NAME, id = 0:(length(Ca_States$NAME)-1) + 100)
US_States_fort <- left_join(US_States_fort,US_State_names)</pre>
## Joining by: "id"
Ca_States_fort <- left_join(Ca_States_fort,Ca_State_names)</pre>
## Joining by: "id"
```

```
All_States <- rbind(US_States_fort,Ca_States_fort) %>%
  filter(Name %in% c("British Columbia", "Alberta",
                      "Washington", "Oregon",
                      "Idaho", "California",
                      "Nevada", "Montana"))
conv_hull <- Mesh[c("x","y")][chull(Mesh[c("x","y")]),]</pre>
conv hull <- rbind(conv hull,conv hull[1,])</pre>
Stateplot <- LinePlotTheme() +</pre>
  geom_path(data=All_States,aes(long,lat,group=group,label=Name),linetype="solid") +
  coord_fixed(xlim=c(-137,-110),ylim=c(35,58)) +
  geom_path(data=conv_hull,aes(x,y),size=1,colour="black",linetype="dashed") +
  theme(plot.margin = grid::unit(c(2, 2, 2, 2),units="mm")) + xlab("lon")
meshplot <- function(g,include_obs=1L) {</pre>
  p <- plot(Mesh,g=g,plot_dots=F)</pre>
 p <- p +
    xlab('lon') + ylab('lat') +
    coord_fixed(xlim=c(-137,-110),ylim=c(35,58)) +
    geom_path(data=All_States,aes(long,lat,group=group,label=Name),linetype="solid") +
    geom_path(data=conv_hull,aes(x,y),size=1,colour="black",linetype="dashed")
  if(include_obs) p <- p + geom_point(data = weather,aes(lon,lat),size=3,col="red")</pre>
 p
}
if(show figs) {
  States_mesh <- meshplot(LinePlotTheme())</pre>
  print(States_mesh)
}
g1M1 <- plot interp(Mesh, "y1 Model1", 150, max=5, min=-5, leg title="degC") %>%
  meshplot(include obs = OL)
g1M4 <- plot interp(Mesh, "y1 Model4", 150, max=5, min=-5, leg title="degC") %>%
  meshplot(include_obs = OL)
g2M1 <- plot_interp(Mesh, "y2_Model1", 150, max=500, min=-500, leg_title="Pa") %>%
  meshplot(include obs = OL)
g2M4 <- plot_interp(Mesh, "y2_Model4", 150, max=500, min=-500, leg_title="Pa") %>%
  meshplot(include obs = OL)
if(show_figs) {
  print(arrangeGrob(g1M1,g1M4,g2M1,g2M4,ncol=2))
par1 <- fit_all_data[[4]]$par</pre>
par2 <- fit_all_data[[8]]$par</pre>
x <- seq(-3,3,length=100)
XY <- expand.grid(h1=x,h2=x)</pre>
XY$b1 <- bisquare_2d(h1=XY[,1],h2=XY[,2],delta=par1[10:11],r=par1[9],A=par1[8])</pre>
XY$b2 <- bisquare_2d(h1=XY[,1],h2=XY[,2],delta=par2[10:11],r=par2[9],A=par2[8])</pre>
```





Figure 2: State boundaries and province boundaries of a region of the U.S.A. and Canada (dark solid lines), with the domain of interest enclosed by a bounding polygon (dashed line). The irregular triangular grid used for discretizing D (light solid lines) and the observation locations given by  $D^O$  (dots) are also shown. The discretized spatial domain  $D^L$  consists of the vertices of the triangular grid.






Figure 3: The cokriged surface using maximum likelihood estimates for the parameters with Model 1 (left panels) and Model 4 (right panels) for the temperature (top panels) and pressure (bottom panels) error fields.

## Warning: Non Lab interpolation is deprecated

```
g1 <- LinePlotTheme() + geom_tile(data=XY,aes(h1,h2,fill=b1)) +
   greys + axes + coord_fixed() +ggtitle("Model 4")
g2 <- LinePlotTheme() + geom_tile(data=XY,aes(h1,h2,fill=b2)) +
   axes + greys + coord_fixed() +ggtitle("Model 8")
if(show_figs) print(arrangeGrob(g1,g2,nrow=1))</pre>
```




Figure 4: Cross-covariance functions for Model 4 and Model 8 (that is, Model 4 with temperature and pressure reversed)

```
h1_grid < seq(-4,4,by=0.2)
h2 grid < - seq(-4,4,by=0.2)
Disp <- expand.grid(h1 = h1_grid,h2 = h2_grid)
xo \leftarrow seq(-127, -113, by=0.2)
yo <- seq(40,55,by=0.2)
doMC::registerDoMC(6)
Disp$corr <- foreach(i = 1:nrow(Disp),.combine="c") %dopar% {</pre>
  Temp <- akima::interp(mesh_locs[,1]-Disp$h1[i],mesh_locs[,2]-Disp$h2[i],</pre>
                         Mesh["y1_Model1"],xo,yo)
  Pres <- akima::interp(mesh_locs[,1],mesh_locs[,2],</pre>
                         Mesh["y2_Model1"],xo,yo)
  cor(c(Pres$z),c(Temp$z),"na.or.complete")
}
axes \leftarrow geom_line(data=data.frame(x=c(0,0,-4,4),y=c(-4,4,0,0),grp=c(1,1,2,2)),
                   aes(x,y,group=grp),colour="black")
corr_plot <- LinePlotTheme() + geom_tile(data=Disp,aes(h1,h2,fill=corr)) + axes + bluered +</pre>
                            geom_point(data=data.frame(d1 = par1[10],d2 = par1[11]),
                                       aes(d1,d2),pch=9,size=5,colour="yellow") +
                            coord_fixed(xlim=c(-2.5,2.5),ylim=c(-2.5,2.5))
```






Figure 5: Correlation between interpolated gridded maps of temperature and pressure as a function of displacement of the temperature field in latitude/longitude degrees, h. The yellow symbol indicates the maximum-likelihood estimate of the shift parameter with Model 4.

```
#delta <- 0.2
#x_grid<- seq(-5,5,by=delta)
#y_grid <- seq(-5,5,by=delta)
delta <- 0.25
x_grid<- seq(-131,-115,by=delta)
y_grid <- seq(40,50,by=delta)
grid_locs <- expand.grid(x = x_grid, y = y_grid) %>% as.matrix()

# D_grid <- as.matrix(dist(grid_locs))
D_grid <- as.matrix(RFearth2dist(grid_locs))
Dvec_grid <- as.double(c(D_grid))

# Dvec_grid <- fields::rdist(grid_locs,matrix(c(0,0),1,2))
# h_grid <- t(t(grid_locs) -grid_locs[i,])</pre>
```

```
n2_grid <- n1_grid <- nrow(grid_locs)</pre>
h_grid <- matrix(0,n1_grid^2,2)</pre>
areas_grid <- rep(delta^2,n1_grid^2)</pre>
for(i in 1:n2_grid) {
  h_{grid}[((i-1)*n1_{grid}+1):(i*n1_{grid}),] \leftarrow t(t(grid_locs) -grid_locs[i,])
theta = fit all data$Model4$par
B <- theta[8]*bisquare_B(h_grid[,1],h_grid[,2],</pre>
                          delta=theta[10:11], # Automatically zero for Model with no shift
                          r=theta[9],
                          n1 = n1_grid,
                          n2 = n2_grid,
                          areas = areas_grid)
  S11 <- makeS(r = Dvec_grid, var = theta[3],
               kappa = theta[5], nu = 0.6)
  S2_1 <- makeS(r = Dvec_grid, var = theta[4],
                kappa = theta[6],nu = theta[7])
  S21 <- B %*% S11
  S12 \leftarrow t(S21)
 S22 <- S2_1 + Matrix::crossprod(chol(S11) %*% t(B))
#centre_node <- which(grid_locs[,1] == 0 & grid_locs[,2] == 0)</pre>
centre node <- which(grid locs[,1] == -123 & grid locs[,2] == 45)
# h_centre <- t(t(grid_locs) -c(0,0))
h centre \leftarrow t(t(grid locs) -c(-123,45))
H <- data.frame(h1 = h_centre[,1],</pre>
                h2 = h_centre[,2],
                S11 = (S11) [centre_node,],
                 S12 = (S12)[centre_node,],
                 S21 = (S21)[centre_node,],
                S22 = (S22)[centre_node,]) %>%
    gather(cov_mat,C,-h1,-h2) %>%
    mutate(Cgrp1 = ifelse(cov_mat %in% c("S11", "S12"), "Y1", "Y2"),
           Cgrp2 = ifelse(cov_mat %in% c("S11", "S21"), "Y1", "Y2")) %>%
    group_by(cov_mat) %>%
    mutate(corr = C / max(abs(C)))
corr_fn_plot <- LinePlotTheme() + geom_tile(data=H,aes(h1,h2,fill=corr)) +</pre>
    geom_contour(data=H,aes(h1,h2,z=corr),binwidth=0.2,colour="black",lty="dashed") +
          facet_grid(Cgrp1~Cgrp2) + axes +
          scale fill gradient2(low="blue",high="red") +
  coord fixed(xlim=c(-4,4),ylim=c(-4.8,4.8)) +
    theme(panel.margin = grid::unit(3, "lines"))
## Warning: Non Lab interpolation is deprecated
if(print_figs) ggsave(corr_fn_plot,
                       filename = file.path(img_path, "T-P-cov.png"),
                       width=8,height=7,family="Arial")
if(print_figs) {
```

```
g <- arrangeGrob(States_mesh,corr_fn_plot,ncol=2)</pre>
  ggsave(g,
         filename = file.path(img_path, "Fig2.eps"),
         width=14,height=6,family="Arial")
}
Mesh["M1T_errors"] <- sqrt(pmax(ALL1$var_pred,0))[1:n1]</pre>
Mesh["M4T_errors"] <- sqrt(pmax(ALL4$var_pred,0))[1:n1]</pre>
Mesh["diffT_errors"] <- Mesh["M1T_errors"] - Mesh["M4T_errors"]</pre>
Mesh["ratioT_errors"] <- Mesh["M1T_errors"]/pmax(Mesh["M4T_errors"],1e-9)</pre>
std_diff <- (plot_interp(Mesh, "diffT_errors", 150) +</pre>
               scale_fill_gradient2(low=muted("yellow"),
                                     mid="white",
                                     high=muted("magenta"),
                                     guide = guide_legend(title="diff (deg. C)"))) %>%
              meshplot(include_obs = 1L)
## Warning: Non Lab interpolation is deprecated
## Scale for 'fill' is already present. Adding another scale for 'fill', which will replace the existing
# std_diff <- (plot_interp(Mesh, "ratioT_errors", 150) +</pre>
#
                 scale_fill_gradient(low="green", high="red", limits=c(0.77,1.5),
#
                                       guide =guide_legend(title="diff (deg. C)"))) %>%
#
                meshplot(include_obs = 1L); std_diff
X <- data.frame(M1_errors = Mesh["M1T_errors"],</pre>
                M4_errors = Mesh["M4T_errors"])
std_diff_scatter <- LinePlotTheme() +</pre>
  geom_point(data=X,aes(M1_errors,M4_errors,fill = M1_errors - M4_errors),
             colour="black",size=4,shape=21) +
  scale_fill_gradient2(low=muted("green"), mid="white", high=muted("magenta"),
                      guide=guide_legend(title="diff (deg. C)")) +
  xlab("Model 1 standard errors for Y1 (deg. C)") +
  ylab("Model 4 standard errors for Y1 (deg. C)") +
  theme(text = element_text(size = 30), axis.title.y = element_text(vjust=2));
## Warning: Non Lab interpolation is deprecated
print(std_diff_scatter)
if(print_figs) {
  g <- arrangeGrob(g1M4,(std_diff_scatter +
                            theme(plot.margin = grid::unit(c(10, 10, 10, 10),
                                                            units="mm"))),
                    g2M4,std diff,ncol=2)
  ggsave(g,
         filename = file.path(img_path, "Fig3.eps"),
         width=28, height=16, family="Arial")
}
```




Figure 6: A scatter plot of the kriging standard errors of  $Y_1$  obtained with Model 4 against those obtained with Model 1 at each of the mesh vertices. The colour illustrates the difference between the two, with green denoting the higher standard error of Model 4 and purple the higher standard error of Model 1.

### References

Cressie, N., & Zammit-Mangion, A. (2015). Multivariate spatial covariance models: A conditional approach. Submitted.

Gneiting, T., Kleiber, W., & Schlather, M. (2010). Matérn cross-covariance functions for multivariate random fields. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 105, 1167–1177.

Gneiting, T., Raftery, A. E., Westveld III, A. H., & Goldman, T. (2005). Calibrated probabilistic forecasting using ensemble model output statistics and minimum cRPS estimation. *Monthly Weather Review*, 133(5), 1098–1118.

Schlather, M., Malinowski, A., Menck, P. J., Oesting, M., & Strokorb, K. (2015). Analysis, simulation and prediction of multivariate random fields with package RandomFields. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 63(8), 1–25.