


▲ My feedback

Group feedback

Peer feedback

Group 4





Students in this group

Search students...

Antimeniuc, Daniil D. Benschop, Elijah E.S. Luzianin, Vlad V. Matviienko, Andrii A.Y. Palynskyi, Nikita N.O.

Checkpoint 23 Week 17: Final Presentation 18-06-2025





Matviienko, Andrii A.Y. 2 days ago

Our group was the last to speak. Starting with a presentation where we told the class, the client, and the developers from the client's company one by one how it all started, a brief structure of our project, how we planned the division of tasks through sprints, why we chose Agile, we smoothly moved on to the Demo. Our group also offered the audience to use the functions of the end user of the reseller company, through a hosted website and a QR code, using which they can enter it. The demonstration consisted of three parts - the Demo frontend of our abstract reseller's website, the technical part from displaying endpoints in Postman, and the frontend of the reseller API user, through which you can create an API key, manage it (delete, view data, change a subscription), and also manage subscriptions for the administrator. In the end, we finished by answering questions from the class and the client. Overall, the presentation, despite some minor problems (Wi-Fi in the video lab, and minor inconsistencies in the form of the need to change the laptop in order to show the reseller api frontend), went very well, which the client himself noted. The client also said that the application is very close to the level of what he would expect from real developers (we are also developers, but still students).



Chua, Jessie L.Y. (Teacher) 2 days ago Well done!

Well-prepared. It is always challenging to be the last group to present, and you group still managed to draw our attention to your presentation.

Thumbs up!

The layer architecture design (triangle) provides an insight on how project was analysed before design and implementation.

The demo was interesting, especially the part where the group managed to show list of API keys (adding and deleting).

The client is satisfied with the work.

Keep up the good work!.

Checkpoint 22 Week 16: Progress Update and Status 10-06-2025





Benschop, Elijah E.S. 4 days ago

In this progress update we asked jessie in this talk what we should not add in the presentation and what we should not. we should show in our the first 15 minutes a picture of our c3 diagram and also show perhaps a picture of the end point that we have and explain what they do for the non technical people. we should talk about all the sprints and what we did and also show a demo of all the end functionalities.

Checkpoint 21 Week 15b: Sprint D review with client 01-06-2025







Benschop, Elijah E.S. 16 days ago

today we got some feedback for the final presentation. we should start with introducing ourselves in the presentation. 2nd we should make an use case diagram for the introduction of the presentations to explain what our application is about. for the presentation we should at least have some friend end like a login function. don not show a reflection and challenges in the final presentation.



Chua, Jessie L.Y. (Teacher) 16 days ago

Good job! Nice presentation and demo (with extra features).

Checkpoint 20 Week 15a: Progress Update and Status 01-06-2025







Chua, Jessie L.Y. (Teacher) 19 days ago

Reminder: Don't forget to add 2 more checkpoints for peer evaluation for Sprint D and E.



Luzianin, Vlad V. 17 days ago

We checked the requirements that were done by now, what will be shown during the presentation, what is still to do and what we should improve abot the job that is already done.

We have received some feedback on technical and user manuals.

Documentation feedback.

Should contain:

- What is the system about?
- What are we implementing?
- for user manual copy and paste the same introduction
- error messages in troubleshoting part shold be exactly the same as the user receives from an actual API
- the user manual has to inform the "Resellers" how to use the API. Try to write the document in such a way that the one who reads the manual is the reseller.

Checkpoint 19 Week 14: Progress Update and Status 25-05-2025





Luzianin, Vlad V. 24 days ago

The progress done by today that we discussed was

- the burndown chart
- the tasks of this sprint that possibly would still be in a pending state after the end of sprint D
- questions for the next meeting with the client (tomorrow)
- brief summary of whether our group is currently on the right track
- our plan for the sprint E

Summary:

Our group is currently on track with the job that has been done so far. We have prepared a few questions for the client regarding the way of implementation of his requirements. We have shown who is working on which task in the project, what the tasks are for sprint E, and what is still expected to be done by the end of the next sprint.

Checkpoint 18 Week 13: Progress Update and Status 20-05-2025







Benschop, Elijah E.S. a month ago

today we talked about which of the requirements we still need to finish and how our status is with our individual project so we could see if it is possible to also finish the extra requirements that we got. We told Jessie our plan and that we think it is manageable to do at least one of the two extra requirements that we received last week from the client.

Checkpoint 17 Week 12b: Sprint C review with client 13-05-2025







Benschop, Elijah E.S. a month ago

today we showed our sprint c review and what we will implement in sprint d. the client told the class that he would like two extra features these are hard casting availability and translating the front end to different languages possible for users. The client would also like to have in the documentation an user manual.

Checkpoint 16 Week 12a: Progress Update and Status 13-05-2025





Benschop, Elijah E.S. a month ago

In this progress update we showed our progress what we have for our sprint c review and what we would work on in sprint d. We also showed our presentation and had asked for some feedback about the structure and the slides.

Checkpoint 15 Week 11: Progress Update and Status 05-05-2025









Luzianin, Vlad V. a month ago

We have discussed the tasks that were done by now. Also we showed the list of pending tasks. Then the talked about updated burndown chart. Next week will be devoted to the pending tasks, preparation of the presentation and rehersal.

Checkpoint 14 Week 10: Progress Update and Status 22-04-2025	
Chua, Jessie L.Y. (Teacher) a month ago Please fill in the feedpulse checkpoint.	
Benschop, Elijah E.S. a month ago we had discussed with our group what everyone would do for this sprint and added the task to everyone via jira. we also looked at what could be improved for our workflow for the following sprint	





For the group project, we need to start looking into using a runner for Docker otherwise we won't be able to begin end-to-end testing. Jessie strongly advised us to implement end-to-end testing, as it's considered good practice.

Integration testing is optional at this stage we can choose whether to do it now or wait until it's covered in the upcoming lectures.

For tomorrow's submissions, we should include:

- A Git link to the project
- The updated project plan
- The architecture design

Checkpoint 11 Week 8: Progress Update and Status 02-04-2025







Palynskyi, Nikita N.O. 2 months ago

Today, we had a meeting with our mentor Jessie to discuss our project progress and upcoming development tasks.

We were advised to create a burn down chart for the entire semester, structured on a weekly basis, in order to better track our workflow and manage our time efficiently.

Additionally, Jessie emphasized the importance of starting unit testing for features that meet our Definition of Done (DoD). This will help us ensure code quality and stability as we continue to develop core functionalities.

Lastly, we were reminded to implement end-to-end tests as soon as possible, to validate the flow and integrity of the system from the user's perspective.

These tasks are critical for maintaining a consistent development process and aligning with agile best practices.



Benschop, Elijah E.S. 2 months ago

This week, during our feedback session, we talked about starting to implement unit tests for our user stories.

We also discussed how we can approach end-to-end testing. To support that, we need to add CI/CD to our backend application. Once that's set up, we'll be able to run tests automatically and prepare for smoother deployments.

Another thing we shared is that we've already started working in pairs when coding. That's been helpful for collaboration and improving the quality of our work.

Finally, we were reminded that all team members should complete the peer feedback form for the group project.

Checkpoint 10 Week 7: Progress Update and Status 28-03-2025







Palynskyi, Nikita N.O. 2 months ago

Today, we had a meeting with our mentor Jessie to discuss our project progress and upcoming development tasks.

We were advised to create a burn down chart for the entire semester, structured on a weekly basis, in order to better track our workflow and manage our time efficiently.

Additionally, Jessie emphasized the importance of starting unit testing for features that meet our Definition of Done (DoD). This will help us ensure code quality and stability as we continue to develop core functionalities.

Lastly, we were reminded to implement end-to-end tests as soon as possible, to validate the flow and integrity of the system from the user's perspective.

These tasks are critical for maintaining a consistent development process and aligning with agile best practices.

Checkpoint 9 Week 6b: Sprint A review with client 23-03-2025







Matviienko, Andrii A.Y. 3 months ago

We made a demo of our group project. Starting with an introduction, we showed the C4 diagram, continued with a sequence diagram, followed by a demo, and finally our sprint goal and questions from the audience. The client noted the good design of the presentation, even asking where our group got some elements. We also showed all our ready-made functionality related to booking and parking spaces, which the client liked. The client also appreciated the fact that we imitate the client's system through which communication with the database and segregation of parking spaces and booking takes place. The client was shown the functionality of the email service, which notifies users about successful booking of a parking space. The client also appreciated the design of the email.

Overall, the client liked our progress, he agreed with our Sprint B goal, and said that we did a good job.



Chua, Jessie L.Y. (Teacher) 3 months ago Good progress. Keep up the good work!

Checkpoint 8 Week 6a: Progress and Sprint A retrospective 23-03-2025 ©





Luzianin, Vlad V. 3 months ago

We have discussed what to prepare for the presentation for the client.

Jessie has asked us about any ammendments or implementation of the feedback that we received from the client last week.

It is also important part of the presentation to show the demo of the project and explain the special structure of our sollution: our solution introduces "Reseller API" that requests data from the "Mimic Roosh System" which is connected to the database.

At the end of the Sprint A our product owner is supposed to notify the cliend about the beginning of the next Sprint B

Presentation plan:

- 1. Presentation intro
- 2. Architecture design (C4)
- 3. Sequence diagram (on booking)
- 4. Demo
- 5. Sprint B goal
- 6. Q/A

Checkpoint 7 Week 5b: Client Meeting 16-03-2025







Palynskyi, Nikita N.O. 3 months ago

During our meeting with the client, we presented the updated Project Plan, C4, and a prototype of our app. We quickly reviewed changes to the Project Plan and C4, then moved on to discussing the prototype.

The client emphasized tracking API users and clarifying authorization. User input should be handled before anything else. Booking requires price validation, and while more validation is needed, the current approach is fine.

For confirmation, the client expected emails only for fully confirmed and paid bookings. He found our approach interesting but suggested linking payment directly to the web shop instead of email. CRUD operations for bookings should trigger an email. Sorting is handled by Roosh.

The client liked that we kept the Fake Roosh API separate. Price quotations should remain fixed for a set time to avoid discrepancies. Endpoints are the main priority.

Checkpoint 6 Week 5a: Progress Update and Status 16-03-2025







Today, we have had a meeting with our mentor Jessie regarding the process update and status.

We discussed the updated version of the C4 diagram. We talked about the potential email container. We need to discuss with our client if an extra component is required for the implementation of the email system.

We explained the distribution of the user stories within the group.

We also talked about the need for the sequence diagram and the entity-relationship diagram. If there is a vague vision on a certain container in the C4 diagram, the tasks this container is responsible for should be displayed in its separate sequence diagram. Regarding the Entity Relationship diagram, it is required to have it to be able to easily describe the content of the program.

We were asked to do the Stand Up sessions within our group every time we have a meeting together.

Checkpoint 5 Week 4b: Client Meeting 11-03-2025







Matviienko, Andrii A.Y. 3 months ago

We had a conversation with the client. We asked all the questions of interest, showed our project plan, with which the client had no problems, except for a few small amendments. Regarding the questions, we received answers to all the questions of interest to us, and are ready to continue working.



Chua, Jessie L.Y. (Teacher) 3 months ago

Client's feedback: Some good questions were raised. Keep it up!

Checkpoint 4 Week 4a: Progress Update and Status 09-03-2025







Benschop, Elijah E.S. 3 months ago

today we showed our user stories to the teacher. Also we had a 5 min feedback moment that showed us what we can do better and what we want to keep in our workflow in the group project. We also got the note that the project plan for now is ok and for later we need to extend the project plan for the next sprints



Matviienko, Andrii A.Y. 3 months ago

We showed our C4 diagram to the teacher. We also talked to the teacher about the correctness of our C4 diagram. The teacher advised us to remove the ROOSH System from C1, and also to start working on the booking container class diagram, and in addition, the teacher briefly explained to us what DODs are.

Checkpoint 3 Week 3: Project Plan, A.Criteria, Priority, DOD 20-02-2025 ©







Chua, Jessie L.Y. (Teacher) 4 months ago Please fill in the feedpulse checkpoint.



Matviienko, Andrii A.Y. 3 months ago

Working together in a group through Microsoft Teams, we created a project plan and submitted it as an assignment.





Luzianin, Vlad V. 4 months ago
We have discussed the questions

We have discussed the questions that were prepared by our group for the project kick-off with the client. Jessie says that our questions are good for now and most of them will be answered tomorrow. It is clear that after the meeting we will be able to come up with more specific questions for further interactions with our client.