

Aug 7 1997 15:09:10

bjcn27.txt

```

*****
I JADE COMPUTER NOTE NR. 27 I
I I
I *****
*****
JADE DATA REDUCTION, STEP 1
*****
M.GODDARD J.OLSSON P.STEPPEN
05.10.197900003600
*****

```

GENERAL INFORMATION (BOOK-KEEPING):

THE START POINT FOR DATA REDUCTION ARE THE DATA TAPES FROM THE REFORMATING STEP. THESE ARE FOUND IN THE DATA GENERATION GROUP

F11LHO.JDATA01.REFORM.GONNNV00

CURRENT STATUS OF THESE TAPES IS FOUND IN THE MEMBER

JADEPR.YENLHO.S(RUNLST)

BEFORE STARTING THE REDUCTION STEP, AN UPDATING OF "BAD LEAD GLASS" INFORMATION HAS TO BE PERFORMED. BOOK-KEEPING OF THIS STEP IS FOUND IN F22MAT.BADCHS(BADCH)

F22MAT.BADCHS(BADCH)

DATA REDUCTION STEP 1 HAS TWO SERIES OF OUTPUT TAPES. DUE TO TIME

REASONS, TEMPORARY TAPES WITH THE NAMES

JADEPR.TNNNA

JADEPR.TNNNB ETC..

ARE FIRST CREATED. NORMALLY 3-4 PER REFORM-TAPE (WITH NUMBER NNN)

THESE TEMPORARY TAPES ARE THEN GROUPED TOGETHER INTO PERMANENT

TAPES IN THE GENERATION GROUP

JADEPR.REDUC1.G00MMV00

THE NUMBER MM HAS NO RELATION TO THE PREVIOUS NUMBER NNN OF THE REFORMATING STEP. THE BOOK-KEEPING OF THIS STEP IS FOUND IN

JADEPR.JADESR(REDUC)

IF POSSIBLE, THE GROUPING TO REDUC1 TAPES FOLLOWS THE VARIOUS

CM-ENERGIES.

THE DATA REDUCTION CUTS:

1. OVERFLOW FLAG IFPM, 0 OR 1 (SHORTER OR LONGER THAN 3000 WORDS)
2. TAGGING FLAG IFPG, 0 OR 1 (SHORTER OR LONGER THAN 3000 WORDS)
3. TOKYO LEAD GLASS LABEL, IAC
4. ENERGY ABOVE LIMIT IN NEG. FW ARM
5. ENERGY ABOVE LIMIT IN POS. FW ARM
6. ENERGY ABOVE LIMIT IN BOTH FW ARMS (LUMI)
7. ENERGY ABOVE LIMIT IN BOTH FW ARMS (LUMI)
8. TOKYO LEAD GLASS LABEL, IAC
9. IAC = 0 IF ETOT < 7 GEV AND ECVL < 3.5 GEV
10. IAC = 0 IF LSCALB GIVES ERROR RETURN
11. IAC = 0 IF ETOT IS CONTAINED (>95%) IN ONE ENDCAP BLOCK
12. IAC = 0 IF ETOT IS CHECKED ONLY IF ETOT > 6 GEV
13. IAC = 1 OTHERWISE

THESE THREE FLAGS ARE COMBINED INTO ONE WRITE FLAG, IMRT :

Aug 7 1997 15:09:10

bjcn27.txt

```

IMRT = 1
IF(IAC.EQ.0.AND.IFLW.EQ.0.AND.(IFTG.EQ.0.OR.ETOT.LT.300.)) IMRT=0

THE LAST ETOT LIMIT SERVES TO REJECT PURE LUMI TRIGGERS
*****
OBS OBS
THE WRITE FLAG IS USED TO SAVE THE EVENT IF IT WOULD OTHERWISE BE
REJECTED IN ONE OF THE FOLLOWING ZVERTEX OR PATREC STEPS.
*****
EVENTS WITH NO INNER DETECTOR HITS ARE NOW REJECTED IF IMRT = 0
EVENTS WITH NO INNER DETECTOR HITS ARE NOW WRITTEN IF IMRT = 1
*****

```

Z-VERTEX CALCULATION

EVENTS WITH NO Z-VERTEX (ZVTX) FOUND ARE REJECTED IF IMRT = 0
EVENTS WITH ABS(ZVTX) > 450. MM ARE REJECTED IF IMRT = 0
ALL OTHER EVENTS PROCEED TO PATTERN RECOGNITION

PATTERN RECOGNITION

EVENTS WITH NO 'PATR' BANK ARE REJECTED (PATHOLOGIC)
EVENTS WITH 'PATR' BANK WITH MINIMUM LENGTH OF 8 I*4 WORDS IS
ALWAYS CREATED. EVEN IF NO TRACK IS FOUND. THE ONLY EXCEPTION
TO THIS IS WHEN COMMON /BCS/ HAS NOT ENOUGH FREE SPACE EVEN
FOR THIS SHORT BANK. PRESENT SIZE OF /BCS/ IS 20000 I*4 WORDS
(MINUS ADMINISTRATIVE SPACE FOR "BOS")
EVENTS WITH NO TRACKS (NTR = 0) ARE REJECTED IF IMRT = 0
EVENTS WITH NO TRACKS (NTR = 0) ARE WRITTEN IF IMRT = 1

THE REMAINING EVENTS WITH TRACKS (NTR > 0) ARE NOW SEPARATED INTO
TAGGED AND NOTTAGGED EVENTS (IFTG > 0 AND IFTG = 0). THEY ARE
TREATED DIFFERENTLY:

TAGGED EVENTS:

SEARCH FOR TRACKS WITH > 12 HITS AND PTRANS > 200 MEV

EVENTS WITH AT LEAST ONE SUCH TRACK ARE WRITTEN

EVENTS WITHOUT SUCH TRACKS ARE REJECTED

NOTAGGED EVENTS:

THESE EVENTS ARE DIVIDED INTO THREE DIFFERENT CLASSES, ACCORDING TO

QUALITY OF TRACKS:

ISTAR=0 MEANS ALL TRACKS SHORT (< 12 HITS IN THE R-Z FIT)

ISTAR=1 MEANS AT LEAST ONE LONG HIGH PTRANS TRACK (> 600 MEV)

ISTAR=2 MEANS AT LEAST ONE LONG TRACK BUT NOT HIGH PTRANS

ISTAR = 0 EVENTS:

EVENTS ARE WRITTEN IF IMRT = 1

IF IMRT = 0 THE EVENTS UNDERGO A FURTHER CHECK:

EVENTS ARE WRITTEN IF THEY HAVE AT LEAST ONE LONG (> 20 HITS)

EVENTS ARE REJECTED IF THEY DO NOT HAVE SUCH A TRACK

ISTAR = 2 EVENTS:

EVENTS ARE REJECTED IF IMRT = 0

EVENTS ARE WRITTEN IF IMRT = 1

ISTAR = 1 EVENTS:

EVENTS ARE WRITTEN IF IMRT = 1

IF IMRT = 0 THEN THE QUANTITY ZMIN IS CALCULATED:

ZMIN IS THE SMALLEST Z-AXIS INTERCEPT OF ALL THE LONG TRACKS

EVENTS ARE REJECTED IF ZMIN > 450 MM

IF ZMIN IS < 450 MM THEN THE QUANTITY RMIN IS CALCULATED

RMIN IS THE SMALLEST DISTANCE TO THE Z-AXIS OF ALL LONG TRACKS

EVENTS ARE REJECTED IF RMIN > 60 MM

EVENTS ARE WRITTEN IF RMIN < 60 MM

REDUCTION STATISTICS

BELOW IS GIVEN THE TYPICAL STATISTICS FOR A DATA REDUCTION STEP 1
ACCORDING TO THE CUTS DESCRIBED ABOVE. PERCENTAGES GIVEN REFER TO THE
TOTAL NUMBER OF READ EVENTS (- PULSER EVENTS) IN CASE OF REJECTS AND
TO THE TOTAL NUMBER OF WRITTEN EVENTS IN CASE OF WRITTEN EVENTS.

```
+++++ STATISTICS FOR RUN 0 ++++++
EVENTS READ 7000 PULSER EVENTS 13
OVERFLOW EVENTS 9 LGALB ERROR RETURNS 0
6798 EVENTS FAILED LGALSS CUT 97.29%
189 EVENTS PASSED LGALSS CUT 2.71%
95 EVENTS HAD BAD LGALSS BLOCKS 1.36% (E-CAP SPINNERS)
5966 EVENTS HAVE NO TAG TRIGGER 85.39%
1021 EVENTS HAVE TAG TRIGGER 14.61%
149 EVENTS WITH TAG TRIGGER AND ETOT > 300. MEV 2.13%
6650 EVENTS WITH COMBINED WRITE FLAG OFF 95.18%
337 EVENTS HAD NO ID HITS AND WRITE FLAG ON 4.82%
110 EVENTS HAD NO ID HITS AND WRITE FLAG OFF 1.57%
0 EVENTS HAD NO ID HITS AND WRITE FLAG ON 0.0 %
6877 EVENTS ENTERED ZVETEX ROUTINE 98.43%
821 EVENTS FAILED TO FIND Z VERTEX & HAD WRITE FLAG OFF 11.75%
122 EVENTS FAILED TO FIND Z VERTEX BUT HAD WRITE FLAG ON 1.75%
3045 EVENTS HAD ZVETX > 450 AND WRITE FLAG OFF 43.58%
3011 EVENTS THROUGH PATREC 43.09%
87 EVENTS WERE 0 PRONGS 1.25%
6 EVENTS WERE 0 PRONGS AND HAD NO WRITE FLAG 0.09%
81 EVENTS WERE 0 PRONGS AND HAD WRITE FLAG ON 6.61%
2924 EVENTS HAD AT LEAST ONE TRACK 41.85%
222 EVENTS WITH TRACKS AND TAG FLAG 3.18%
40 EVENTS WITH TRACKS AND TAG FLAG, LOW MOMENTUM 0.57%
182 EVENTS WITH TRACKS AND TAG FLAG, HIGH MOMENTUM 14.86%
103 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=0 1.47%
46 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=0 AND HAD WRITE FLAG ON 3.76%
11 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=0 BUT HAD LONG TRACK IN RFI 0.90%
46 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=0 AND HAD NO LONG TRACK IN RFI 0.66%
990 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=2 14.17%
30 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=2 AND HAD WRITE FLAG ON 2.45%
960 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=2 AND HAD WRITE FLAG OFF 13.74%
1609 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=1 23.03%
213 EVENTS HAD ZMIN > 450 AND NO WRITE FLAG 3.05%
5 EVENTS HAD ZMIN > 450 BUT HAD WRITE FLAG ON 0.41%
36 EVENTS HAD ZMIN < 450 AND WRITE FLAG ON 2.94%
1355 EVENTS WENT INTO RMIN CUT 19.39%
521 EVENTS REJECTED BY RMIN CUT 7.46%
834 EVENTS PASSED RMIN CUT AND ZMIN 68.08%
6987 EVENTS WERE READ AND A TOTAL OF 1225 EVENTS WERE WRITTEN
REDUCTION FACTOR : 17.53%
```

REDUCTION STEP 1 FOR DATA FROM SUMMER 1979

THE STATISTICS GIVEN ABOVE IS TYPICAL FOR DATA TAKEN IN AUTUMN 79.
DATA FROM THE PRECEDING RUN IN SUMMER 79 IS MUCH DIRTIER, DUE TO WORSE
BEAM CONDITIONS. THUS THE PROPORTION OF COSMIC EVENTS IN THE AUTUMN
DATA IS MUCH HIGHER; THIS IS MIRRORRED IN THE VERY DIFFERENT FRACTIONS
OF EVENTS THAT PROCEED TO PATTERN RECOGNITION, AS WELL AS IN THE VERY
DIFFERENT OVERALL REDUCTION FACTORS. BELOW IS GIVEN THE SAME SUMMARY
AS ABOVE, BUT FOR A TYPICAL SUMMER RUN.

```
+++++ STATISTICS FOR RUN 0 ++++++
EVENTS READ 9011 PULSER EVENTS 12
OVERFLOW EVENTS 6 LGALB ERROR RETURNS 0
8906 EVENTS FAILED LGALSS CUT 98.97%
93 EVENTS PASSED LGALSS CUT 1.03%
71 EVENTS HAD BAD LGALSS BLOCKS 0.79% (E-CAP SPINNERS)
5724 EVENTS HAVE NO TAG TRIGGER 63.61%
3275 EVENTS HAVE TAG TRIGGER 36.39%
```

```
115 EVENTS WITH TAG TRIGGER AND ETOT > 300. MEV 1.28%
8787 EVENTS WITH COMBINED WRITE FLAG OFF 97.64%
212 EVENTS WITH COMBINED WRITE FLAG ON 2.36%
140 EVENTS HAD NO ID HITS AND WRITE FLAG OFF 1.56%
0 EVENTS HAD NO ID HITS AND WRITE FLAG ON 0.0 %
8859 EVENTS ENTERED ZVETEX ROUTINE 98.44%
4633 EVENTS FAILED TO FIND Z VERTEX & HAD WRITE FLAG OFF 51.48%
93 EVENTS FAILED TO FIND Z VERTEX BUT HAD WRITE FLAG ON 1.03%
2566 EVENTS HAD ZVETX > 450 AND WRITE FLAG OFF 28.51%
1660 EVENTS THROUGH PATREC 18.45%
129 EVENTS WERE 0 PRONGS 1.43%
60 EVENTS WERE 0 PRONGS AND HAD NO WRITE FLAG 0.67%
69 EVENTS WERE 0 PRONGS AND HAD WRITE FLAG ON 19.44%
1531 EVENTS HAD AT LEAST ONE TRACK 17.01%
158 EVENTS WITH TRACKS AND TAG FLAG 1.76%
104 EVENTS WITH TRACKS AND TAG FLAG, LOW MOMENTUM 1.16%
54 EVENTS WITH TRACKS AND TAG FLAG, HIGH MOMENTUM 15.21%
227 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=0 2.52%
33 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=0 AND HAD WRITE FLAG ON 9.30%
0 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=0 BUT HAD LONG TRACK IN RFI 0.0%
194 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=0 AND HAD NO LONG TRACK IN RFI 2.16%
708 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=2 7.87%
15 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=2 AND HAD WRITE FLAG ON 4.23%
693 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=2 AND HAD WRITE FLAG OFF 7.70%
438 EVENTS WERE ISTAR=1 4.87%
167 EVENTS HAD ZMIN > 450 AND HAD NO WRITE FLAG 1.86%
3 EVENTS HAD ZMIN > 450 BUT HAD WRITE FLAG ON 0.85%
19 EVENTS HAD ZMIN < 450 AND WRITE FLAG ON 5.35%
249 EVENTS WENT INTO RMIN CUT 2.77%
87 EVENTS REJECTED BY RMIN CUT 0.97%
162 EVENTS PASSED RMIN CUT AND ZMIN 45.63%
8999 EVENTS WERE READ AND A TOTAL OF 355 EVENTS WERE WRITTEN
REDUCTION FACTOR : 3.94%
```

THE DIFFERENT BEAM CONDITIONS ARE ALSO MIRRORRED IN THE DEMAND ON CPU-
TIME FOR THE REDUCTION STEP. FOR AUTUMN DATA THE TOTAL TIME SPENT IN
PATTERN RECOGNITION IS C:A 50 % OF THE TOTAL JOBTIME AND AVERAGE
CPU-TIME DEMAND IS 80 - 100 MSEC / EVENT. THIS MEANS THAT WITH AN
L-JOB (15 MIN) 9 - 10000 EVENTS CAN BE PROCESSED. THIS SHOULD BE COM-
PARED WITH 20 - 22000 EVENTS / L-JOB FOR THE SUMMER RUN.

PROGRAM CHANGES

THE DATA REDUCTION PROGRAM (SUBROUTINE USREDUC1 ON LIB JADEPR.JADESR)
HAS CHANGED FROM THE VERSION USED IN THE SUMMER RUN. BOTH BECAUSE SOME
OF THE CALLED PROGRAMS HAVE CHANGED (LEAD GLASS CALIBRATION, PATTERN
RECOGNITION) AND BECAUSE OF THE INTRODUCTION OF NEW CONDITIONS. THESE
NEW CONDITIONS ARE MAINLY THAT TAGGED EVENTS ARE NOW GIVEN SPECIAL
ATTENTION AND THAT A RMIN-CUT IS PERFORMED. TEST RUNS OF THE OLD AND
THE NEW PROGRAMS ON SUMMER DATA SHOW ONLY SMALL VARIATIONS, DUE TO THE
FACT THAT THE INCLUSION OF TAGGED EVENTS IS BALANCED BY THE REJECTION
BY THE RMIN CUT.

A COPY OF THIS INFORMATION CAN BE OBTAINED BY
SUBMITTING THE JOB JBMEMRDI ON THE LIBRARY
JADEPR.JADESR

