

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/226936523>

# Gamma-ray tracking with the MARS detector

Article in *European Physical Journal A* · April 2003

DOI: 10.1140/epja/i2002-10355-6

CITATIONS

9

READS

54

24 authors, including:



**Thorsten Kröll**

Technische Universität Darmstadt

316 PUBLICATIONS 3,845 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



**R. Venturelli**

INFN - Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

78 PUBLICATIONS 1,247 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



**B. Quintana**

Universidad de Salamanca

225 PUBLICATIONS 2,264 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



**C. A. Ur**

Horia Hulubei National Institute for R&D in Physics and Nuclear Engineering

630 PUBLICATIONS 6,953 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



filose [View project](#)



Nuclear Reactions around the Coulomb Barrier [View project](#)

# Gamma-ray tracking with the MARS detector

Th. Kröll<sup>1,2,a</sup>, D. Bazzacco<sup>3</sup>, R. Venturelli<sup>3</sup>, B. Quintana Arnes<sup>3,4</sup>, C.A. Ur<sup>3,b</sup>, M. Bellato<sup>3</sup>, R. Isocrate<sup>3</sup>, Ch. Manea<sup>3</sup>, R. Menegazzo<sup>3</sup>, P. Pavan<sup>3</sup>, C. Rossi Alvarez<sup>3</sup>, E. Farnea<sup>1,3</sup>, A. Gadea<sup>1</sup>, D. Rosso<sup>1</sup>, P. Spolaore<sup>1</sup>, F. Camera<sup>5</sup>, B. Million<sup>5</sup>, E. Musso<sup>5</sup>, A. Pullia<sup>5</sup>, O. Wieland<sup>5</sup>, G. Casati<sup>6</sup>, A. Geraci<sup>6</sup>, G. Ripamonti<sup>6</sup>, and M. Descovich<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, Viale dell'Università 2, 35020 Legnaro (Padova), Italy

<sup>2</sup> Physik-Department E12, Technische Universität München, James Franck Straße, 85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>3</sup> INFN, Sezione di Padova, and Dipartimento di Fisica "Galileo Galilei", Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy

<sup>4</sup> Universidad de Salamanca, Pl. La Merced s/n, 37008 Salamanca, Spain

<sup>5</sup> INFN, Sezione di Milano, and Dipartimento di Fisica, Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy

<sup>6</sup> Politecnico di Milano and INFN, Sezione di Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy

<sup>7</sup> Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, UK

Received: 28 October 2002 /

Published online: 2 March 2004 – © Società Italiana di Fisica / Springer-Verlag 2004

**Abstract.** The feasibility of the entire process of  $\gamma$ -ray tracking is demonstrated experimentally for the first time. The accuracy of the results is tested by the capability for Doppler correction of  $\gamma$ -rays emitted in flight. The resolution of the 847.8 keV ( $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ ) transition detected with the MARS detector after Coulomb excitation of a  $^{56}\text{Fe}$  beam could be improved from 15 keV to below 5 keV (FWHM).

**PACS.** 29.30.Kv X- and gamma-ray spectroscopy – 29.40.Gx Tracking and position-sensitive detectors – 29.40.Wk Solid-state detectors

## 1 Introduction


The next generation of detector arrays for nuclear-structure studies with  $\gamma$ -ray spectroscopy will be based on the concept of  $\gamma$ -ray tracking [1]. The major goal of such an array is the combination of both high photopeak efficiency ( $\epsilon_{\text{Ph}} > 40\%$ ) and peak-to-total ratio ( $P/T > 60\%$ ).

MARS is the Italian effort to explore the feasibility of this concept [2,3]. In this contribution, we present the results of an in-beam experiment aiming at the first-time demonstration of the entire process of  $\gamma$ -ray tracking with experimental data.

## 2 Ingredients for $\gamma$ -tracking

The MARS prototype detector is a cylindrical crystal with 90 mm length and 72 mm diameter. It has a closed-end geometry with an inner hole of 10 mm diameter and 75 mm length. The outer contact of the detector is divided electrically in 25 segments (fig. 1): 6 angular "sectors" (1–6) and 4 transversal "slices" (A–D) plus a segment of 10 mm diameter in the centre of the front face (F).

For a tracking detector, the processing of the signals from the segments is done in digital electronics. In our test



**Fig. 1.** Signals for single interactions within a segment of the quasi true-coaxial part of the detector. Net charge (right, bottom) and transient signals (right, top) are shown.

DAQ system, the preamplifier outputs are sampled by an array of 7 digital oscilloscopes (4 channels, 200 MSamples/s, 8 bit). The energy deposited in the segments is reconstructed from these digitised pulses. Applying the MWD-algorithm [4], we obtain an intrinsic resolution of 2.2 keV at 847.8 keV.

The points of interaction of the  $\gamma$ -rays are determined by the analysis of the shapes of the signals from the segments. In fig. 1, the dependence of the signals on the position of the interaction is demonstrated. The shown signals are calculated applying computer programs we

<sup>a</sup> e-mail: Thorsten.Kroell@ph.tum.de

<sup>b</sup> On leave from: NIPNE, Bucharest, Romania.

developed [5]. An important feature of segmented detectors is the fact that not only the segment which collects the net charge released by the interaction has a signal. Also the neighbouring segments have transient signals with no net charge at the end.

Usually, there is more than one interaction in the detector or even in one segment and the measurable signals are the sum of the signals originating from the individual interactions. The decomposition algorithm has to identify the number of interaction points and to characterise each of them determining the three-dimensional position and the energy deposit. Our approach for this task is based on a genetic algorithm (GA). In order to test the algorithm, we simulated the interaction points of  $\gamma$ -rays and calculated the corresponding signals. Analysing these signals, the algorithm was able to localise the interaction points within an average error  $\langle d \rangle = 5.4$  mm.

Eventually, the tracking algorithm identifies the individual  $\gamma$ -rays by reconstructing their scattering paths from the points of interaction. Every permutation of a sub-set of points is considered as a possible scattering sequence. In order to value the plausibility of different sequences, we defined a “figure of merit” derived from the properties of the main interaction processes: photoelectric effect, Compton scattering, and pair production. If its value is below a threshold a sequence is accepted as a reconstructed  $\gamma$ -ray.


### 3 In-beam experiment

Goal of the in-beam experiment presented in the following is to evidence the properness of the results of our approach for  $\gamma$ -ray tracking by the capability for Doppler correction of  $\gamma$ -rays emitted in flight. The knowledge of the emission angle of a  $\gamma$ -ray, in fact, is identical with the determination of its first point of interaction in the detector. Therefore, an improved Doppler correction measures directly the accuracy of the position of this first point.

In order to generate  $\gamma$ -rays emitted at large velocities, we employed Coulomb excitation of a 240 MeV  $^{56}\text{Fe}$  beam obtained from the XTU Tandem Accelerator at Legnaro impinging on a 3.7 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> lead target. At a scattering angle of  $\vartheta_{\text{Lab}} \approx 60^\circ$  velocities of  $\beta \approx 0.08$  are attainable ( $\sigma_{\text{CLX}} = 250$  mb/sr).

The complete kinematics was defined experimentally by detecting the scattered projectiles with PHOBOS, an array of 8 PIN diodes and 7 Si detectors, in coincidence with the emitted  $\gamma$ -rays. In order to obtain a maximum Doppler broadening by keeping the relative angle between the PHOBOS detectors and the MARS detector at  $\approx 90^\circ$ , the latter had to be placed at  $\vartheta_{\text{Lab}} \approx 135^\circ$  taking into account the respective  $\phi$ -angles. For each event, all 26 sampled pulses, the ID of the PHOBOS detector, and the time between MARS and PHOBOS were recorded. The counting rate was about 2 Hz.

The set-up of this experiment was simulated including effects which cannot be corrected afterwards like the finite opening angle of the individual PHOBOS detectors ( $2.6^\circ$ ), the blur of the velocity distribution due to the energy loss of the projectiles in the target before and after the scat-



**Fig. 2.** Analysis of the 847.8 keV transition: no Doppler correction, Doppler correction with respect to the barycentres of the segments, and after full tracking.

tering, and the finite size of the beam spot ( $\approx 4$  mm diameter). If the positions of the simulated points are folded with an error distribution like that obtained from the analysis of simulated signals as mentioned above, an energy resolution of about 4.2 keV (FWHM) is achieved.

In fig. 2, all three spectra contain the same events. Taking the MARS detector as one single detector, the 847.8 keV transition is a broad peak with 14.8 keV resolution (FWHM). This improves to 6-6.5 keV considering every segment as an individual detector. Currently, only for a small fraction of the data, *i.e.* one PHOBOS counter, the entire analysis with full pulse shape analysis and subsequent  $\gamma$ -ray tracking has been performed. Clearly, the resolution improves considerably to 4.5-5 keV (FWHM) comparable to the value expected from simulations.

This work is supported by the INFN and the European Commission under contract No. ERBFMRXCT97-0123. Th.K. acknowledges support by the Technische Universität München. The authors would like to thank K. Vetter and I.Y. Lee (LBNL) for fruitful discussions and R. Krücken (Yale University) for providing the PIN diodes silicon detectors.

### References

1. I.Y. Lee, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **422**, 195 (1999).
2. D. Bazzacco *et al.*, *Workshop on GRETA Physics* (Berkeley, LBNL-41700 CONF-980228, 1998).
3. Th. Kröll *et al.*, in *Proceedings of the Conference Bologna 2000: Structure of the Nucleus at the Dawn of the Century, Bologna, Italy, 29 May-3 June 2000*, edited by G.C. Bonsignori, M. Bruno, A. Ventura, D. Vretenar (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001) p. 257.
4. A. Georgiev, W. Gast, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **40**, 770 (1993).
5. Th. Kröll, D. Bazzacco, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **463**, 247 (2001).